


2-45023

RECEIVED
LARDER LAKE
MINING DIVISION
11:45 RH
JUN 15 2010



East Case Pegmatite 2010 Work Assessment Report

Claims L-1214668 and L-4251383

Steele Township (G-3571), Larder Lake Mining Division

NTS Map Sheet 32 E/4
49-02 N 79-55 W

Submitted By:

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Peter M. Hermeston".

Peter M. Hermeston

June 15th 2010

Forward:

This report builds upon a previous document, being a 2002 submission by P.C. LeCouteur Ph.D, P.Eng., of Navigator Exploration Corp., filed as No: 2.23538 (Resident Geologist Office Kirkland Lake, Ontario). This company was studying the commercial tantalum potential of the East Case Pegmatite.

LeCouteur's findings indicated that the East Case Pegmatite contained discouraging levels of tantalum, but promising results for rubidium, and indirectly, muscovite mica. However, the claims were not maintained by Navigator Exploration Corp. and they were left to expire.

The area of the East Case Pegmatite was subsequently staked by the author of this document during the late summer of 2009. Rubidium and muscovite-mica are now the current target commodities. The author is the 100% holder of the subject mining claims.

The assessment work addressed in this document includes the creation of two study areas. Work included establishing connecting field grid systems along with a supporting Beep Mat survey over the known outcrops and adjacent areas of the East Case Pegmatite.

The field work was accomplished over a period of 8 intermittent days commencing April 2nd and ending May 20th 2010. All tasks, including the preparation of this document, were performed by the author with the contracted assistance of a fellow prospector (Ed Shynkorenko, Lic. M-25405 / Client No. 194158), who has held the ground hosting the North, Main, and South Dikes of the Case Pegmatites since 1996.

All required illustrations/maps are contained separately with the Appendices of this document.

Expenditure rates for work, transportation, etc. were based upon industry standards.

Based on the results of the data compiled, further work will be undertaken on the subject area in 2011 which will involve; trenching out several promising conductors, soil sampling, and strategic channel cutting, along with assaying the samples collected

This document acknowledges previous assessment work/reports filed by other parties.

Table of Contents

Introduction/History:	Page (i)
List of Illustrations:	Page (i)
Location:	Page (i)
Access:	Page (i)
Regional Geology:	Page (ii)
Work Program:	Page (ii)
Rationale	Page (ii)
Beep Mat Survey	Page (ii)
(Study Area "A")	Page (iii)
(Study Area "B")	Page (iv)
Conclusion:	Page (iv)
Recommendations:	Page (v)
Author's Qualifications:	Page (v)
Communications (Direct/Indirect):	Page (vi)
References:	Page (vi)

List of Appendices

Appendix A	"Location Maps"
Appendix B	"Claim Map, Abstracts, and List"
Appendix C	"Access Map"
Appendix D	"Regional Geology Map"
Appendix E	"Assessment Work Performed on Mining Lands Form"
Appendix F	"Work Compilation Map"

Introduction/History:

Documentation pertaining to the East Case Pegmatite was first made by the Ontario Geological Survey (Lumbers) in 1962. This resulted in the area being held under various mining claims over the decades, including a mining lease issued to Dex Ltd., a company interested in exploiting the muscovite mica potential of the East Case Pegmatite. The Dex lease expired by 2001, and upon this expiration Navigator Exploration Corp. acquired the area via several staked claims in conjunction with another company known as Platinova A/S. Together, these companies studied the East Case Pegmatite for commercial amounts of tantalum, and their report submitted by P.C. LeCouteur, Ph.D, P.Eng., suggested that this was not obtainable. However, this submission did indicate promising levels of rubidium and muscovite mica. From feldspar and mica samples assayed, promising rubidium levels averaging 1555 g/t. were noted.

The actual work performed by Navigator Exploration Corp. consisted of a single channel cut over one of the western outcrop areas, combined with several additional grab samples from other outcrops. LeCouteur's report noted that very little sampling was performed over the East Case Pegmatite, nor its adjacent dikelets. LeCouteur's report also suggested that given the lichen encrusted nature of the exposed rock, chipped grab samples were difficult to acquire, casting some uncertainty as to the quality of the grab samples taken. Lacking commercial quantities of tantalum, and based upon this little amount of sampling Navigator and Platinova A/S abandoned their interest in the East Case Pegmatite and the author of this particular document staked the subject area during the late summer of 2009 with the objective of furthering the knowledge base of the East Case Pegmatite and its adjoining dikelets. The target commodities are now rubidium and muscovite mica.

List of Illustrations:

All illustrations, maps, and sketches referred to in this document are labeled accordingly and are contained within the attached appendices.

Location:

The subject areas of work are contained within staked mining claims L-1214668, and L-4251383, Steele Township, District of Cochrane, situated within the Larder Lake Mining Division (*See Appendix A "Location Map"*). The author also holds several additional adjoining/contiguous claims in the immediate vicinity amounting to an aggregate of 21-units (*See Appendix B "Claim Map. Abstracts and List"*).

Access:

The property is situated approximately 90 kilometres east of Cochrane, Ontario. It is best accessed by utilizing Highway 652 eastward from Cochrane Ontario and then turning east again onto the "Trans-Limit Road"; a 2 km. secondary road/trail system branching off northward then provides access to subject area (*See Appendix C "Access Map"*).

Regional Geology:

As documented by several geological surveys over the years, the system of pegmatites known collectively as the “Case Pegmatites”, are situated along the contact between the northerly, granodiorite and quartz monzonite Case Batholith and the Scapa Meta-Sediments to the south (*See Appendix D “Regional Geology Map”*). The East Case Pegmatite dike, as reported by LeCouteur, appears to be hosted by fine grained biotite-garnet meta-sediments. The east dike dips steeply and ranges up to 19 metres in width over an estimated 750 to 1200 metre length in an east-west direction. Using a hand held Garmin GPS unit, the average depth of the exposed outcrops has been recently approximated by the author to be 2.5 metres. Adjacent to the main dike there are numerous “dikelets”.

The subject area is situated within a traditional boreal setting. Forest cover includes black spruce, tamarack, and open bog in the wetter, lower areas changing to a jack pine, balsam fir, and white birch mixture over the more elevated areas of the dike. The area is drained by a slowly moving northward creek commencing at Wheat Lake to the south and emptying into Case Lake to the north.

Work Program 2010:

Rationale:

Rubidium and other rare earth minerals continue to grow in economic importance as society further incorporates more high tech devices into everyday aspects of life. Given the documented rubidium levels already derived from the East Case Pegmatite, the fact that only a few samples were taken, and that practically nothing is known about rubidium content of the numerous adjacent “dikelets”, an effort to collect additional field information was undertaken by the author. Taking advantage of the early spring conditions, field operations commenced in early April, 2010 (*See Appendix E “Assessment Work Performed on Mining Lands Form”*).

Over a period of 8 intermittent days during April and May of 2010, the author with the assistance of a fellow prospector, established a cut control line and two connecting grids over the subject work areas, which in turn, supported surveys utilizing a Beep Mat. It should be noted that the grids were also established for a possible geochemical survey in 2011. The objective of the exercise was to further quantify the East Dike strike extensions, study an adjacent dikelet and to possibly identify additional sub-parallel dikes.

Beep Mat Survey:

Prior to commencing the Beep Mat survey earlier reports filed for work done on the adjacent Main, North and South Case Pegmatites were reviewed. In particular, a report prepared/filed by J.D. Horne & Associates Ltd. in 2000 (*Submission No: 2.20118*).

Beep Mat Survey continued:

The Horne report identified the limited success of a conventional mag. survey conducted over the Main, North, and South Case Pegmatites, noting that the pegmatite-granite contrast was not significant enough to clearly delineate the dike contacts. Noting this shortfall, it was decided to use a Beep Mat (Model BM8) in order to conduct the survey as this particular instrument has been known to capture field data readings not always acquired by a conventional mag. instrument. Prior to commencing the actual field survey, contact was made with GDD Instrumentations, the markers of the Beep Mat. GDD confirmed that the Beep Mat could be useful over the East Case Pegmatite as rubidium is a known conductor, and given that the intent of the survey was to further ascertain a profile/definition the extensions of the dike, at least within a 10 foot depth range. Following GDD instructions, the instrument threshold levels (LFR: 2 hz / HFR: 4 hz / MAG: - 400 hz) were set correctly and proper field utilization of the instrument was ensured.

It was also decided to divide the undertaking into two components. The first being a comprehensive Beep Mat survey of the previously charted East Case Pegmatite outcrops and the immediate adjacent areas below grade (*Study Area "A"*). The second being a study of a dikelet identified via the use of aerial photography (*Study Area "B"*).

A 1200 metre control line was cut connecting the two study areas. Portions of this line also served as Line 0 +800 E for Study Area "A", and 300 metres of the control line also served as a base line for Study Area "B" (*See Appendix F, "Work Compilation Map"*).

Study Area A

The work consisted of establishing a cut base line and two tie lines (a total of 2.65 kilometres), along with taking 108 Beep Mat readings over the said area. A 100 metre interval grid system, totaling 4.6 kilometres was used, noting station locations every 50 metres via a hand held GPS unit (*Garmin E-Trex Venture HC model*). All GPS readings are in NAD 83 and should be considered accurate to within 3 metres (+/-).

It should be noted that prior to deciding to use the GPS unit for this particular function, a traditional flagged grid over Study Area "A" using the accepted method of compass-flagged lines between the cut base line and the cut north-south tie lines was started. However, this method was abandoned given time constraints and that the hand held GPS unit can locate the actual line/station positions with a high degree of accuracy/expediency. Thus these particular flagged taped grid stations are to be ignored if encountered in the field.

The compiled data is presented in map form with the more promising stations denoted (*See Appendix F, "Work Compilation Map"*).

Study Area B

As previously mentioned, 300 metres of the northern portion of the cut control line was utilized as a base line. An additional 300 metres of line to the east was cut parallel to serve as a tie line.

A total of 80 Beep Map readings were recorded over the area. A 50 metre interval grid was compassed and flagged.

Stations were marked at every 25 metres. This higher/denser amount of grid lines and stations was put in place as a method effectiveness comparison with Study Area "A" as future smaller grids over the property are planned.

Approximately 2.2 kilometres of flagged grid line was surveyed. These particular grid lines were laid out by compass, the approximate locations of where the grid lines intersected the base and tie lines are depicted as such on the compilation map. Several readings were captured indicating high conductivity.

The compiled data is presented in map form with the more promising stations denoted (See *Appendix F "Work Compilation Map"*).

Conclusions:

- (a) The Beep Mat survey determined several locations of higher quality conductivity.
- (b) Readings captured may be beneficial toward furthering the delineation of the pegmatite dike and the granite-sediment contacts when subsequent trenched out samples are assayed.
- (c) Higher magnetic values encountered may be the result of mafic intrusives as levels of manganese and iron were detected in previous assayed results submitted by Navigation Exploration Corp.
- (d) The conductive values captured by the Beep Mat may have been "dampened down" by the dielectric qualities of the muscovite mica component.
- (e) Values/readings may have been influenced by ground water presence.

Recommendations:

- (a) That prior to conducting further Beep Mat survey coverage of the property, several of the stations where higher quality conductors were noted be trenched out in 2011 and sampled/assayed. These particular stations are as follows:

Study Area "A"

Line 0+00 E, Stat. 0+50 N (0578697E / 5431476N).
Line 0+00 E, Stat. 0+50 S (0578697E / 5431376N).
Line 0+00 E, Stat. 0+100 S (057869E / 5431326N).
Line 0+00 E, Stat. 0+150 S (057869E / 5431276N).
Line 0+200 E, Stat. 0+50 N (0578897E / 5431476N).
Line 0+200 E, Stat. 0+50 S (0578897E / 5431376N).
Line 0+200 E, Stat. 0+100 S (0578897E / 5431326N).

Study Area "B"

Line SAB-L-1, 0+175 E
Line SAB-L-2, 0+150 E
Line SAB-L-2, 0+175 E

- (b) That a strategic geochemical soil sampling effort be undertaken in support of trenching efforts.
- (c) That additional out crops of the dike be tested via channel cuts across their width, including the dikelet surveyed in Study Area B.

Author Qualifications:

The author of this document has worked for the Ontario Northland Railway for his entire career. As he is now approaching retirement, implementing his interest in mineral exploration has recently become a reality. As such, this undertaking is his first venture into mineral exploration/development.

What he has learnt thus far has been mostly acquired by asking numerous questions of those already in the realm of mineral exploration, by reviewing previously published materials, and by actually performing the physical field work involved with the hands on assistance of a more seasoned prospector. He acknowledges this learning curve and is appreciative to others who have provided assistance/advice when needed.

Communications (Direct & Indirect):

Ed Shynkorenko, fellow prospector, Cochrane, Ontario.

Neil Doidge, “Imery Group”, Birmingham, Alabama.

Frederic Gaucher, GDD Instrumentation, Quebec City, Quebec.

Joel Ventura, “Suzorite”, Boucherville, Quebec.

Gary Clark, Ontario Prospectors Association, Thunder Bay, Ontario

References:

LeCouteur, P.C. “Geological Report on the East Case Pegmatite Property” (2002).

Lumbers, S.B. “Steele, Bonis, Scapa, Townships. Geological Report 8 ODM” (1962).


Horne, J.D. “Report of 1999 Field Work on the Case Rare-Earth Metals Pegmatite” (2000).

APPENDICES

0 100
kilometers

111	112	113	114	115	116	117	118	119	120
121	122	123	124	125	126	127	128	129	130
131	132	133	134	135	136	137	138	139	140
141	142	143	144	145	146	147	148	149	150
151	152	153	154	155	156	157	158	159	160
161	162	163	164	165	166	167	168	169	170
171	172	173	174	175	176	177	178	179	180
181	182	183	184	185	186	187	188	189	190
191	192	193	194	195	196	197	198	199	200

SUBJECT PROPERTY



**LOCATION MAP
APPENDIX "A"**

CASE

Date / Time of Issue: Mon Apr 19 09:03:30 EDT 2010

TOWNSHIP / AREA

PLAN

STEELE

G-3571

ADMINISTRATIVE DISTRICTS / DIVISIONS

Mining Division

Larder Lake

Land Titles/Registry Division


COCHRANE

Ministry of Natural Resources District

COCHRANE

CLAIM MAP (G-3571)

APPENDIX "B"




STEELE

Scale 1:40000

1km

0km

3km



- [Home](#)
- [Mines and Minerals](#)
- [Northern Development](#)
- [News](#)
- [Site Map](#)
- [Contact Us](#)

Mining Claim Abstract

| [Main Menu](#) | [Back](#) |

Due Date:	2011-Aug-26	Recorded:	2009-Aug-26
Work Required:	\$ 1,600	Staked:	2009-Aug-25 16:30
Total Work:	\$ 0	Township/Area:	STEELE (G-3571)
Total Reserve:	\$ 0	Lot Description:	
Present Work Assignment:	\$ 0	Claim Units:	4
Claim Bank:	\$ 0		

Claim Holders


HERMESTON, PETER M (100.00 %) 403428

Transaction Listing

STAKER 2009-Aug-26	RECORDED BY HERMESTON, PETER M (1003623)	R0980.02636
ORDER 2009-Nov-02	RECORDER'S ORDER. NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE DUE ON OR BEFORE 2009-DEC-11	D0980.00520
ORDER 2009-Nov-18	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE WITH ORDER (D098000520) RECEIVED	D0980.00601

Claim Reservations

- 01 400' surface rights reservation around all lakes and rivers
- 02 Sand and gravel reserved
- 03 Peat reserved
- 04 Other reservations under the Mining Act may apply
- 05 Including land under water
- 06 Excluding road



- [Home](#)
- [Mines and Minerals](#)
- [Northern Development](#)
- [News](#)
- [Site Map](#)
- [Contact Us](#)

Mining Claim Abstract

| [Main Menu](#) | [Back](#) |

Due Date:	2011-Sep-04	Recorded:	2009-Sep-04
Work Required:	\$ 2,400	Staked:	2009-Sep-03 15:20
Total Work:	\$ 0	Township/Area:	STEELE (G-3571)
Total Reserve:	\$ 0	Lot Description:	
Present Work Assignment:	\$ 0	Claim Units:	6
Claim Bank:	\$ 0		

Claim Holders

HERMESTON, PETER M (100.00 %)	Claim Number 403428
--------------------------------	------------------------

Transaction Listing

STAKER 2009-Sep-04	RECORDED BY HERMESTON, PETER M (1003623)	R0980.02834
--------------------	--	-------------

Claim Reservations

- 01 400' surface rights reservation around all lakes and rivers
- 02 Sand and gravel reserved
- 03 Peat reserved
- 04 Other reservations under the Mining Act may apply
- 05 Including land under water

- [Home](#)
- [Mines and Minerals](#)
- [Northern Development](#)
- [News](#)



MINISTRY OF NORTHERN DEVELOPMENT,
MINES AND FORESTRY

- [Home](#)
- [Mines and Minerals](#)
- [Northern Development](#)
- [News](#)
- [Site Map](#)
- [Contact Us](#)

Mining Claim Abstract

| [Main Menu](#) | [Back](#) |

PROPERTY INFORMATION		CLAIM INFORMATION	
Due Date:	2011-Sep-04	Recorded:	2009-Sep-04
Work Required:	\$ 800	Staked:	2009-Sep-03 18:30
Total Work:	\$ 0	Township/Area:	STEELE (G-3571)
Total Reserve:	\$ 0	Lot Description:	
Present Work Assignment:	\$ 0	Claim Units:	2
Claim Bank:	\$ 0		

Claim Holders

Click on the link below for contact information

HERMESTON, PETER M (100.00 %)

403428

403428

Transaction Listing


Click on the link below for more information

Transaction	Description	Reference
STAKER 2009-Sep-04	RECORDED BY HERMESTON, PETER M (1003623)	R0980.02834
ORDER 2009-Nov-02	RECORDER'S ORDER. NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE DUE ON OR BEFORE 2009-DEC-11	D0980.00520
ORDER 2009-Nov-18	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE WITH ORDER (D098000520) RECEIVED	D0980.00601

Claim Reservations

- 01 400' surface rights reservation around all lakes and rivers
- 02 Sand and gravel reserved
- 03 Peat reserved
- 04 Other reservations under the Mining Act may apply
- 05 Including land under water

- [Home](#)



- [Home](#)
- [Mines and Minerals](#)
- [Northern Development](#)
- [News](#)
- [Site Map](#)
- [Contact Us](#)

Mining Claim Abstract

| [Main Menu](#) | [Back](#) |

LARDER LAKE - Division 80		Claim No: L 4291304		Status: ACTIVE	
Due Date:	2011-Sep-08	Recorded:	2009-Sep-08		
Work Required:	\$ 1,200	Staked:	2009-Sep-07 15:06		
Total Work:	\$ 0	Township/Area:	STEELE (G-3571)		
Total Reserve:	\$ 0	Lot Description:			
Present Work Assignment:	\$ 0	Claim Units:	3		
Claim Bank:	\$ 0				

Claim Holders

Recorded Holder(s) Percentage

HERMESTON, PETER M (100.00 %)

Client Number

403428

Transaction Listing

<i>Type</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Applied</i>	<i>Description</i>	<i>Performed Number</i>
STAKER	2009-Sep-08		RECORDED BY HERMESTON, PETER M (1003623)	R0980.02835

Claim Reservations

- 01 400' surface rights reservation around all lakes and rivers
- 02 Sand and gravel reserved
- 03 Peat reserved
- 04 Other reservations under the Mining Act may apply
- 05 Including land under water

- [Home](#)
- [Mines and Minerals](#)
- [Northern Development](#)
- [News](#)



MINISTRY OF NORTHERN DEVELOPMENT,
MINES AND FORESTRY

- [Home](#)
- [Mines and Minerals](#)
- [Northern Development](#)
- [News](#)
- [Site Map](#)
- [Contact Us](#)

Mining Claim Abstract

| [Main Menu](#) | [Back](#) |

Due Date:	2011-Sep-21	Recorded:	2009-Sep-21
Work Required:	\$ 2,400	Staked:	2009-Sep-18 17:10
Total Work:	\$ 0	Township/Area:	STEELE (G-3571)
Total Reserve:	\$ 0	Lot Description:	
Present Work Assignment:	\$ 0	Claim Units:	6
Claim Bank:	\$ 0		

Claim Holders

View information on this listing

HERMESTON, PETER M (100.00 %)

Claim Number

403428

Transaction Listing

View information on this listing

STAKER 2009-Sep-21

View information

RECORDED BY HERMESTON, PETER M (1003623)

View information

R0980.03042

Claim Reservations

- 01 400' surface rights reservation around all lakes and rivers
- 02 Sand and gravel reserved
- 03 Peat reserved
- 04 Other reservations under the Mining Act may apply
- 05 Including land under water
- 06 Excluding road

- [Home](#)
- [Mines and Minerals](#)
- [Northern Development](#)

Claims Worked in 2010:

L-1214668 (4 units)


L-4251383 (6 units)

Other Claims within Contiguous Block

L-4251385 (2 units)

L-4251384 (3 Units)

L-4214935 (6 units)



ACCESS MAP
APPENDIX "C"


WMU
26


WMU
27

WMU
27

WMU
30

Area of Work





LEGEND

CENOZOIC*

RECENT

Peat, beach deposits, river deposits.

PLEISTOCENE

Varved clay, boulder clay, silt, sand, pebble gravel, boulder gravel.

UNCONFORMITY

PRECAMBRIAN**

LATE BASIC INTRUSIONS

Late diabase

8a Olivine diabase.
8b Diabase.

Early diabase

7a Quartz diabase.

INTRUSIVE CONTACT

ACID INTRUSIONS

Scapa stock***

6a Pink granite.

Case batholith***

5a Leucocratic quartz monzonite.
5b Granodiorite.
5d Pegmatite and apfite.
5e Feldspar porphyry and quartz-feldspar porphyry.
5f Felsite.

Sergeant batholith***

4a Quartz monzonite.
4b Granodiorite.
4c Quartz diorite.
4d Hornblende and pyroxene diorite.
4e Feldspar porphyry and quartz-feldspar porphyry.
4g Lamprophyre.
4h Hybrid rocks.
4j Pegmatite and apfite.

INTRUSIVE CONTACT

ULTRABASIC AND BASIC INTRUSIONS

3a Serpentinite and unalitized pyroxenites.

3b Amphibolite.
3c Diorite.

INTRUSIVE CONTACT

METAVOLCANIC-METASEDIMENT ASSEMBLAGE

D Bonis volcanics

FAULT(?)

C Steele metasediments.

B Steele volcanics.

A Scapa metasediments.

VOLCANIC DIVISIONS OF THE ASSEMBLAGE

2a Intermediate to basic lava.
2b Pillow lava.
2d Amphibolite, amphibole schist. Original rock type in brackets.
2g Diabasic lava.
2p Porphyritic basalt.
2t Flow breccia or tuff.

2e Acid volcanics. Includes rocks of indefinite origin.

METASEDIMENT DIVISIONS OF THE ASSEMBLAGE

1a Metamorphosed greywacke. Includes interbedded calc-silicate rocks.
1g Garnet schist.
1h Hornblende-plagioclase schist.
1s Staurolite schist.

IF = Iron formation.

REGIONAL GEOLOGY APPENDIX "D"

Scale 1:31680

**Assessment Work Performed
on Mining Lands**

Mining Act, Subsections 65(2) and 66(3), R.S.O. 1990

Folder Identification Number (office use)
Transaction Number (office use) W -
Submission Number (office use) 2.

Personal information collected on this form is obtained under the authority of subsections 65(2) and 66(3) of the Mining Act. Under section 8 of the Mining Act, this information is used to maintain a public record. This information will be also used to review the assessment work and correspond with the mining land holder. Questions about this collection should be directed to the Senior Manager, Mining Lands Section, Ministry of Northern Development and Mines, 3rd Floor, 933 Ramsey Lake Road, Sudbury ON P3E 6B5. Telephone 1 888 415-9845.

- Instructions:
- For work performed on Crown Lands before recording a claim, use form Assessment Work Performed Before Recording Claim(s)
 - Please type or print in ink.
 - Submit to Geoscience Assessment Office, 933 Ramsey Lake Road, Sudbury ON P3E 6B5. Telephone 1 888 415-9845.

Note: All correspondence will be sent to the address on record in the Provincial Recording Office, as required under the Mining Act, subsections 19(6) and (8).

1. Submitter I am an authorized agent or the recorded holder (if a company, enter name of person submitting)

Name (last) HERMESTON	(first) PETER	(initial) M.	Client number (optional) 403428
Address - Unit number, Street number, Street name 952 FRASER STREET			
City, Town or Village NORTH BAY	Province or State ONTARIO	Country CAN.	Postal Code P1B-3X8
Telephone number (705) 476-9131	Fax number () N/A	E-mail address (optional) JHERMESTON@COGECO.CA	

2. Provide

<input type="checkbox"/>	where there is a surface rights holder, before starting ground exploration work for the first time on a staked claim you must provide notice to the surface rights holder(s) as required by the Mining Act and provide proof of notification to the Ministry
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	your technical report and maps in paper or on a compact disc
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	a current legible map showing how the contiguous mining lands are linked for assigning work
<input type="checkbox"/>	proof of beneficial interest (if assigning amongst different recorded holders)

3. Work Performed This includes the date you traveled to the field or mobilized equipment to the date the technical report was completed.

From: DD/MM/YYYY (enter the month in full in this box e.g. 12/July/2008) 2, APRIL 2010	To: DD/MM/YYYY (enter the month in full in this box e.g. 28/July/2008) 14, JUNE 2010
--	--

Regulations: Calculate the time-adjusted credit column, in the tables below, as follows:

1. Work filed within 2 years of performance is claimed at 100%. (Enter 100% of actual costs in both of the last 2 columns).
2. Work filed after 2 years and up to 5 years after performance is credited at 50%. (Enter 100% of actual costs in the 2nd last column and 50% in the last column.)
3. Work older than 5 years is not eligible for credit.

3(A) Dates and Costs of Work Performed

From date DD/MM/YYYY	To date DD/MM/YYYY	Work Type	Unit of Work (example: hours/day, metres of drilling, km of grid lines)	Cost per Unit of Work	Actual Costs (\$)	Time-Adjusted Credit (\$) (See notes 1 and 2 above)
3/04/10	4/04/10	LINE-CUTTING	1.3 KMS	\$700/KM	\$ 910.00	\$ 910.00
10/04/10	11/04/10	LINE CUTTING	1.4 KMS	\$700/KM	\$ 980.00	\$ 980.00
01/05/10	02/05/10	LINE CUTTING	1.45 KMS	\$700/KM	\$ 1015.00	\$ 1015.00
19/05/10	20/05/10	GRID LAYOUT + BEEP MAT SU	4 MAN DAYS	\$240/M.D	\$ 960.00	\$ 960.00
22/05/10	14/06/10	CONTRACTED REPORT PREP.	65 HRS	\$30/HR	\$ 1950.00	\$ 1950.00

3(B) Associated Costs

From date DD/MM/YYYY	To date DD/MM/YYYY	Associated Costs (example: supplies, mobilization, demobilization)	Actual Costs (\$)	Time-Adjusted Credit (\$) (See notes 1 and 2 above)
2/04/10	5/04/10	CAMP MOB.-DEMOR [2x 1/4 x 240]	\$ 120.00	\$ 120.00
9/04/10	12/04/10	CAMP MOB.-DEMOR [2x 1/4 x 240]	\$ 120.00	\$ 120.00
29/04/10	03/05/10	CAMP MOB.-DEMOR [2x 1/4 x 240]	\$ 120.00	\$ 120.00
17/05/10	21/05/10	CAMP MOB.-DEMOR [2x 1/4 x 240]	\$ 120.00	\$ 120.00
02/04/10	21/05/10	RENTALS: TENT, SAWS, PURCHASE TAPE PRINT	\$ 325.00	\$ 325.00

6(B) Mining Claims: Work performed, applied, assigned, banked or assigned from table 6(A) above

Mining Claim Number	Number of Claim Units	Amount of Work Performed on this Claim (\$)	Amount of Credits Applied to this Claim (\$)	Amount of Credits Assigned to Other Mining Claims (\$)	Bank (Amount of credits to be applied or assigned at a future date)
1214668	4	\$ 4,346.30	\$ 1,600.00	\$ 2,646.30	\$ 100.00
4251383	6	4,346.30	\$ 2,400.00	\$ 1,753.70	\$ 192.60
4251385	2	0.00	\$ 800.00	0.00	—
4251384	3	0.00	\$ 1,200.00	0.00	—
4214935	6	0.00	\$ 2,400.00	0.00	—
Column Total for 6(B)		\$ 8,692.60	\$ 8,400.00	\$ 4,400.00	\$ 292.60
Column Totals of 6(A) + 6(B)		\$ 8,692.60	\$ 8,400.00	\$ 4,400.00	\$ 292.60

Note: Work performed on mining claims = credits applied + credits banked

Schedule attached (if you have more entries attach a schedule)

7. Some of the credits claimed in this Assessment Work form may be reduced. Please indicate below how you want your credits reduced if they are not approved. Check (X) in the boxes below. If you have not indicated how your remaining credits are to be allocated, credits will be reduced from the Bank first, followed by option number 2 if necessary. Credits are to be cutback:

1. Credits are to be cut back from the Bank first, followed by option 2 or 3 or 4 as indicated; or
 2. Credits are to be cut back starting with the claims listed last, working backwards; or
 3. Credits are to be cut back equally over all claims listed in this Assessment Work form; or
 4. Credits are to be cut back as shown below:

List the claim numbers in the order you want the credits to be cut back (setting your priority list).

Priority	Claim Number
1.	
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	

Priority	Claim Number
6.	
7.	
8.	
9.	
10.	

Schedule attached (if you have more entries attach a schedule)

8. Certification by Recorded Holder or Authorized Agent

I, Peter Hunter (Signature), do hereby certify on 15/06/2010 (Date) that I have personal

knowledge of the facts set forth in this Assessment Work form having caused the work to be performed or witnessed the same during or after its completion and, to the best of my knowledge, the annexed report is true.

EAST CASE PEGMATITE 2010 WORK COMPILATION MAP

CLAIMS 1-1214668 AND 1-4251383
STEELE TWP (G-3571), DIST. OF COCHRANE
LARDER LAKE MINING DIVISION

LEGEND

STUDY AREA "A" STAT./READING
[L.F.R.H.Z., H.F.R.H.Z., MAG.H.Z., RT.96]

(E.G.) 0, -52, -7, 0

STUDY AREA "B" STAT./READING
[L.F.R.H.Z., H.F.R.H.Z., MAG.H.Z., RT.96]

(E.G.) 0, -52, -7, 0

LOCATIONS TO BE TRENCHED

+


CLAIM/LINE POSTS * BOUNDARIES

*

EXPOSED PEGMATITE OUTCROP

INFERRED PEGMATITE

LOW/WETLAND AREA



SCALE 1:1563


UTM ZONE 17 NAD 83
[ACCURACY 3 METRES +/-]

100 METRES


PREPARED BY: ED SHYKORENKO

SUBMITTED BY: PETER HERMISTON

STUDY AREA "A"



STUDY AREA "B"



LINE POST 1-1214668
POST #1 CLAIM 4214935
05786156E
5431018N

POST #3
CLAIM 4251383
*
POST #2
CLAIM 1214668
0579056E
5431018N

LINE POST

POST #2
CLAIM 4251383
0579056E
5431018N