

We are committed to providing <u>accessible customer service</u>. If you need accessible formats or communications supports, please <u>contact us</u>.

Nous tenons à améliorer <u>l'accessibilité des services à la clientèle</u>. Si vous avez besoin de formats accessibles ou d'aide à la communication, veuillez <u>nous contacter</u>.

Assessment Report

On

2019 Prospecting Programme on the Central and South Quartz Zone

The Glass Hills Silica Property

for Precambrian Ventures Ltd.

Garrow and Clarkson Township

NTS 31L/11

Sudbury Mining District

Survey Dates: October 25 to Oct 31, 2019

Gregory Campbell, MSc. Frank Racicot, P Geol. December 31, 2019

Table of Contents

		Page
i .	Summary	4
1.0	Introduction	4
2.0	The Glass Hills Property	4
3.0	Ownership	4
4.0	Location and Access	4
5.0	Work Programme	8
6.0	Topography and Vegetation	8
7.0	Geology	
	7.1 Regional7.2 Property	9 9
8.0	Previous Work	
9.0	Daily Logs and Prospecting Tracks	11
10.0	Prospecting Survey Observations.	13
11.0	Results and Recommendations	16
12.0	References	17

List of Figures

Figure 1	Location of the Glass Hills Property	5
Figure 2	Claim Map	,6
Figure 3	Sketch of Samples for East Knob and South Fork Area	14
Figure 4	% SiO2 of Rock Sample Sites	15
	List of Tables	

List of Tables

e 1 List of Cell Claims, Glass Hills Property	7
e 2 List of Rock Samples, UTM Location and Description	16
e 3 Whole Rock XRF Results From All Prospecting Samples	.17
	2

List of Maps

Map 1 Prospecting Sample Locations, Scale 1:5,000

List of Appendices

Appendix 1 Prospecting Tracks on the Glass Hills Property, October 25-31, 2019

Appendix 2 SGS XRF Whole Rock Analytical Results

i Summary

During the period from October 25 to October 31, 2019, a programme of prospecting was conducted on the South and Central Quartz Zones of the Glass Hills Property to determine the extent, the quality and purity of the quartz in those areas. A total of 44 rock samples were taken and 21 samples were submitted for whole rock XRF analyses to SGS Labs in Lakefield, Ontario. All of the samples submitted averaged 97.1% SiO2 whereas the average SiO2 value of samples from the South Quartz Zone (SQZ) increased to a respectable 98.1% SiO2 and were beige-white to white in colour. The highest value was 99.2% SiO2 (GC-4) from outcrop adjacent the road in the South Fork area. The area adjacent the road is composed of well exposed outcrop that steps upward in elevation (12-15 m) in a series of outcrop benches to the east. A bulk sample could be taken from this elevated area as there is good access with minimal overburden cover to strip.

1.0 Introduction

During the period from October 25 – 31, 2019, a two-man crew provided by Racicot Geological Consulting Ltd. carried out a Prospecting Survey on the Glass Hills Quartz Property. Rock samples were taken and traverse tracks were digitally recorded and a log of the work performed was kept. All Assessment Regulations were adhered to in order to claim a two-time (2X) credit allowable for prospecting field labour costs. Work was concentrated on the South (SQZ) and Central Quartz Zones (CQZ).

2.0 The Glass Hills Property

The property consists of 42 Single Cell claims and 2 multi-cell claims (total of 14 cells) which total approximately 1,252 hectares (3,093 acres). The claims are located in Clarkson (G1717) and Garrow Lake (G1726) Townships. The Claim Sheets are located in the Sudbury Mining District.

3.0 Ownership

The property is held 100 % by Precambrian Ventures Ltd. Table 1 provides a Client Report stating the particulars of the claims that constitute the property.

4.0 Location and Access

The Glass Hills Property is a high-grade silica prospect located about 66 km by highway northeast of the city of North Bay, Ontario. Access is via Provincial Hwy #63 to a point 51.7 km northeast of North Bay where the McConnell Lake Road meets the highway. A secondary road referred to as the Clarkson Road branches northwest from the McConnell Lake Road at about the 7.6 km mark from Highway 63. By taking the Clarkson Road approximately 7 km, one accesses the south eastern part of the property. A seasonal bush road/trail to the left (southwest) is navigable by ATV and is referred to as the South Road while another ATV-skidder trail continues straight (northwest) and is referred to as the High Road (Figure 1, Figure 4 and Map 1).





Table 1 List of Cell Claims, Glass Hills Property

- 7

			TUDIC & LISE OF	een eranns, e	ass tims troperty			
Legacy Claim	Township / Area	Tenure ID	Tenure Type	Anniversary	Tenure Status	Tenure %	Work Req'd	Expl'n Reserve
3004487	GARROW	106911	Single Cell Mining Claim	2019-09-28	Hold Pending extension of time	100	400	0
3004487	GARROW	129209	Single Cell Mining Claim	2019-09-28	Hold Pending extension of time	100	400	0
3004487	GARROW	129210	Single Cell Mining Claim	2019-09-28	Hold Pending extension of time	100	400	0
03009463	GARROW	138207	Single Cell Mining Claim	201909-28	Hold Pending extension of time	100	400	0
3004487	GARROW	140694	Single Cell Mining Claim	2019-09-28	Hold Pending extension of time	100	400	0
3004487	GARROW	140695	Single Cell Mining Claim	2019-09-28	Hold Pending extension of time	100	400	0
3004487	GARROW	146095	Single Cell Mining Claim	2019-09-28	Hold Pending extension of time	100	400	0
3004487	GARROW	146096	Single Cell Mining Claim	2019-09-28	Hold Pending extension of time	100	400	0
03009463	GARROW	162658	Single Cell Mining Claim	2019-09-28	Hold Pending extension of time	100	400	0
3004487	GARROW	162659	Single Cell Mining Claim	2019-09-28	Hold Pending extension of time	100	400	0
03009463	GARROW	218094	Single Cell Mining Claim	201909-28	Hold Pending extension of time	100	400	0
03009463	GARROW	218095	Single Cell Mining Claim	2019-09-28	Hold Pending extension of time	100	400	0
3004487	GARROW	221944	Single Cell Mining Claim	2019-09-28	Hold Pending extension of time	100	400	0
03009463	GARROW	227382	Single Cell Mining Claim	2019 09-28	Hold Pending extension of time	100	400	0
03009463	GARROW	227383	Single Cell Mining Claim	201909-28	Hold Pending extension of time	100	400	0
3004487	GARROW	229925	Single Cell Mining Claim	2019-09-28	Hold Pending extension of time	100	400	0
3004487	GARROW	229926	Single Cell Mining Claim	2019-09-28	Hold Pending extension of time	100	400	0
3004487	GARROW	242088	Single Cell Mining Claim	2019-09-28	Hold Pending extension of time	100	400	7
03009463	GARROW	249415	Single Cell Mining Claim	2019-09-28	Hold Pending extension of time	100	400	0
03009463	GARROW	256956	Single Cell Mining Claim	20190928	Hold Pending extension of time	100	400	0
03009463	GARROW	288520	Single Cell Mining Claim	20190928	Hold Pending extension of time	100	400	0
3004487	GARROW	288521	Single Cell Mining Claim	2019-09-28	Hold Pending extension of time	100	400	0
03009463	GARROW	288522	Single Cell Mining Claim	2019-09-28	Hold Pending extension of time	100	400	0
03009463	CLARKSON, GARROW	306202	Single Cell Mining Claim	201909-28	Hold Pending extension of time	100	400	0
03009463	CLARKSON, GARROW	312945	Single Cell Mining Claim	2019-09-28	Hold Pending extension of time	100	400	0
3004487	GARROW	315330	Single Cell Mining Claim	2019-09-28	Hold Pending extension of time	100	400	0
	CLARKSON	540584	Multi-cell Mining Claim	201909-29	Hold Pending extension of time	100	4000	0
	CLARKSON	540585	Multi-cell Mining Claim	2019-09-29	Hold Pending extension of time	100	1600	0
4243830	CLARKSON	136776	Single Cell Mining Claim	2020-08-05	Active	100	400	0
4243829	CLARKSON	185387	Single Cell Mining Claim	2020-08-05	Active	100	400	0
4243829	CLARKSON	224652	Single Cell Mining Claim	2020-08-05	Active	100	400	0
4243829	CLARKSON	280694	Single Cell Mining Claim	2020-08-05	Active	100	400	0
3019184	CLARKSON	104321	Single Cell Mining Claim	2020 12 21	Active	100	400	0
3019184	CLARKSON	289863	Single Cell Mining Claim	2020-12-21	Active	100	400	0
03009481	CLARKSON	104320	Single Cell Mining Claim	2021-09-28	Active	100	400	0
03009463	CLARKSON, GARROW	108283	Single Cell Mining Claim	2021-09-28	Active	100	400	0
03009481	CLARKSON	138906	Single Cell Mining Claim	2021-09-28	Active	100	400	0
03009481	CLARKSON	185985	Single Cell Mining Claim	2021-09-28	Active	100	400	0
03009481	CLARKSON	203528	Single Cell Mining Claim	2021-09-28	Active	100	400	0
03009481	CLARKSON	209678	Single Cell Mining Claim	2021-09-28	Active	100	400	0
03009481	CLARKSON	244826	Single Cell Mining Claim	2021-09-28	Active	100	400	0
03009481	CLARKSON	247550	Single Cell Mining Claim	2021-09-28	Active	100	400	0
03009463	CLARKSON, GARROW	2\$6955	Single Cell Mining Claim	2021-09-28	Active	100	400	0
03009481	CLARKSON	286700	Single Cell Mining Claim	2021 09-28	Active	100	400	0
03009481	CLARKSON, GARROW	294714	Single Cell Mining Claim	2021-09-28	Active	100	400	0
03009481	CLARKSON	335000	Single Cell Mining Claim	2021-09-28	Active	100	400	0

5.0 Work Programme

A Prospecting Survey was carried out on in the southern part of the large Glass Hills Property. The object of the programme was to trace the South and Central Quartz Zones (SQZ and CQZ) to the northwest. Previous sampling and a core drill programme (2015) had indicated that the SQZ contained SiO2 values > 99.0% locally.

A Garmin GPS, model 60Cx was used to generate the prospecting tracks and establish waypoints. Racicot had a second identical GPS unit (model 60Cx) but at times that model would "short out" and/or take several hours to log into the satellites. When this happened, the tracks were transferred and hand drawn on one map for ease of presentation.

The programme was carried out by Frank Racicot of Racicot Geological Consulting Ltd. who was assisted by Eldon Phillips. Both men are from Sudbury, Ontario. A total of 3.5 days was spent prospecting in the field. A total of 44 rock samples were taken from which 21 samples were submitted to SGS Labs in Lakefield, Ontario for whole rock XRF analyses. An early snowfall terminated the programme at the end of October.

Rock samples chosen for major element XRF analysis were submitted to the SGS lab in Garson, Ontario for sample preparation. The prepared samples - pulps and rejects, were then sent to the SGS Lab in Lakefield, Ontario for analysis. Samples that were not analysed were stored at the residence of F. Racicot for latter retrieval and reference. G. Campbell, project manager, drove to, and collected the sample material from Lakefield and the reference samples in Sudbury and delivered them to Ontario Stone in Huntsville for examination and display for potential clients and investors.

A double or '2X' credit for assessment costs has been charged for the labour portion of the Prospecting Survey. A double credit is allowed if the prospecting survey adheres to the rules set out by the MNDM such as recording prospecting tracks, describing topography, overburden and vegetation cover and taking pictures to show these features. This report describes these features in the area covered.

6.0 **Topography and Vegetation** (by F. Racicot)

The Glass Hills Property is located on an area elevated above the surrounding countryside by 75-100 metres. The claim area has some high rolling, rounded hills, largely due to the fact that much of the outcrop is composed of quartz-rich rocks that are resistant to weathering. Many of the quartz outcrops are white-grey and very rounded making sampling difficult. Sampling was frequently limited to ledges and fractures or edges in the outcrop. The soil development over much of the area is relatively poor, especially in the areas where quartz-rich outcrop is common. Some very limited gravel was noted along the edge of some of the roads.

Most of the tree cover (70-80%) was deciduous, specifically 75% oak and 5% maple. There was about 4% white and yellow birch, 15% spruce and about 1% scattered white pine. Patches of alders were scattered about and mainly occurred in poorly drained, low-lying areas.

There were many round to sub-rounded erratics in the area - many of which were quartz-rich rocks similar to the quartz outcrops that were being investigated. This was somewhat surprising, indicating that despite the fact that these quartz boulders did not travel very far, they were none-the-less, well rounded. A few granite and metasedimentary boulders were also observed and they were scattered throughout the area. Many of the boulders were over 1 meter in size and a few were the size of a small shed (see photo 1).



Photo 1 - Large Glacial Erratic

7.0 Geology

7.1 Regional Geology

The Glass Hills Silica property is located in Tomiko Terrane which occurs in the Grenville Geological Province of the Canadian Shield. The Tomiko Terrane can be divided into a western and an eastern domain. The property is located in the eastern domain which is dominated by supracrustal rocks of mostly Paleoproterozoic age. These rocks consist mainly of quartzose and feldspathic metasedimentary rocks with minor calc-silicate gneiss, iron formation and marble.

7.2 Property Geology

The main zones of interest are two (2) and possibly three (3), northwest trending units of massive quartz/quartzite consisting of a glassy, translucent, coarse grained, grey-white quartz contain only minor impurities. The three (3) zones of silica-rich rock on the property are referred to as the Centre Quartz Zone (CQZ), the South Quartz Zone (SQZ) and the North Quartz Zone (NQZ). The quartz-rich metasedimentary sequence on the property has been folded into a synformal structure and where the quartzite was purest, the rocks have recrystallized into a coarse-grained,

white-grey, quartz rock (see photo 2). The more pelitic or impure quartz units, are metamorphosed to a micaceous quartzite.

The NQZ may be a subzone of the thicker CQZ as it is separated from the CQZ by a unit of micaceous quartzite probably representing a more pelitic or impure quartz sandstone unit. The Quartz Zones seem to extend northwest across the property for a distance of approximately 3.8 km. Silica values often range from 98.0 - 99.1% SiO2. Minor amounts of muscovite-sericite mica and very fine-grained iron oxide are present as impurities and constitute only a minor amount of the rock. The latter may be oxidized and visually exists as pink-red 'splashes' of coloration on the weathered surface. Of secondary interest are the mica-bearing quartzite units lying peripheral to the quartz units. These units may display attractive shades of red or green colouration which could be suitable for the landscaping market.

8.0 Previous Work

2007: A 10 km x 10 km IKONOS satellite image with a 1 metre resolution was commissioned for the area from Photosat Information Ltd. to provide a 1:5,000 scale base map.

2008: Maceron Drilling of Sudbury provided a crawler-mounted percussion drill rig that completed 23 holes totaling 137.2 metres of drilling at an all-in cost of \$1,076 per hole. A total of 90 samples of drill cuttings were taken at 1.5 metre intervals down each of the 6.1 metre vertical holes.

2009: A programme of overburden stripping, power-washing and channel sampling was undertaken. An area of about 2,450 m² was cleared by an excavator, then power-washed and channel sampled. A total of 56 samples were taken from 112m of channels that were cut across a portion of the Central Quartz Zone (CQZ). SGS Lakefield was asked to investigate methods to remove impurities such as mica and a very fine-grained Fe-oxide from the quartz. A simple magnetic separation removed most of the mica reducing much of the Al₂O₃ and K₂O from the samples however there was no significant reduction in Fe content.

2014: Carl Barfoot of Georgian Bay Marble and Stone of Wiarton, Ontario tested the rock for its cutting and polishing characteristics to see if it could be fabricated for tiles etc. in the housing (Coverings) Industry. A suite of attractive polished samples was sent to various dealers as well as the Stone Expo and Coverings Trade Show in Orlando Florida.

2015: A Phase 1 programme of geological mapping and sampling by Frank Racicot Consulting was initiated in order to better define and sample of the Central and South Quartz zones. Sixtynine (69) pulps from previous sampling (drill cuttings, grab samples) were analyzed for gold but results were negative. Phase 2 of the 2015 programme occurred from September 15 – October 1. An excavator cleared overburden in the main work area in the Central Quartz Zone. A small drill programme of 4 holes totaling 82.2 metres tested two (2) widespread areas for silica. In addition, the quality and attractiveness of red mica-bearing rocks were tested in an area between the two sites tested for silica.

2017: A programme of overburden stripping was conducted in late September to extend the area cleared in 2015 on the Central Quartz Zone. An addition area of 2,300 m² was cleared.

9.0 Daily Logs and Prospecting Tracks (by F. Racicot)

A total of 3.5 days was spent prospecting in the field by Frank Racicot and 2.5 days by assistant Eldon Phillips. A Garmin GPS, model 60Cx was used to generate the tracks and establish waypoints.

Oct 25: Racicot initially examines two silica outcrop areas on the South Road. An area referred to as the "Crystal Dome" had previously been blasted several years prior. A sample is taken and later labelled GC-27. Slightly south of "Crystal Dome" was a large rounded silica knob denoted as Outcrop G in previous reports. It had previously been stripped and channel sampled in several areas. Both of these areas were examined in order to compare them the quartz encountered during this prospecting session. Racicot samples and maps the rubble and ledges located at the 'South Fork'. He also prospects, samples and maps a new area north and east of the South Fork. Four samples are taken from the South Fork- (GC 1-GC 4) and 4 samples were taken from the new area northeast of the South Fork -referred to as the "East Knob' (Samples GC 5 to GC 8). See Figure 3.



Photo 2: Lower ledge of typical massive quartz looking south towards samples GC 1 to GC 4 (beyond moss cover)

Oct 27: Racicot and Phillips drive to the area and stay in Temiscaming during the evening.

Oct 28: Racicot and Phillips prospect and sample the area northwest of the South Fork. A system is required to be able to compare, quantify and document the purity and extent of the silica. It is decided

to sample each outcrop area and if possible, take a representative composite or grab sample of each outcrop. A total of 18 samples were taken. (GC 9 to GC 26)

Oct 30: Racicot and Phillips prospect and sample an area south of the High Road. An extensive northeast-trending ridge was located at the south end of the traverse. It was examined in more detail and a larger composite sample is taken (GC 30). On route to the area, several possible outcrops were examined- but they are finally determined to be huge boulders. Three samples were taken (GC 28 to GC 30). ½ day.

Oct 31: Racicot and Phillips prospect and sample the huge ridge on the 'High road', take 5 samples (GC 31-GC 35) and photograph quartz veins within the silica ridge (see below). They also prospect and sample the area north of where the last samples were taken on Oct 28th (GC 23 and GC 24) and up to within 50 meters of where sample GC 30 was taken on Oct 30th. Thirteen samples were taken (GC 31 to GC 45, no sample at GC 37). Also examined, sampled and photographed some rubble east of the South Fork (Photo 4).



Photo 3: Sample GC 33 showing quartz veins in quartz-rich outcrop located on the north side of the High Road.



Photo 4: Sample site GC 36 from outcrop rubble adjacent percussion Hole G08-10 drilled in 2008.

See the Track Maps in the Appendix 1 for the location of the above tracks and samples. Sample descriptions and UTM locations are listed in Table 2.

10.0 Prospecting Survey Observations (by F. Racicot)

The field program for this project was basically to search out and sample areas northwest and on strike of the South (SQZ) and Central Quartz Zones (CQZ) accessed from the South Road. Some areas on the South Road had been previously mapped, drilled and sampled in 2015. During the 2019 prospecting programme some of the outcrops had to be stripped with a grub hoe, but for the most part overburden was absent or only covered by a thin layer of moss. Difficulty in sampling these quartz outcrops was due to their 'rounded knobby' nature or as cliff ledges of varying sizes. The outcrops were usually too round and too hard to sampled unless a ledge or joint was exposed where one could break off pieces with a small sledge hammer. Such joints were invariably mica-rich and were not truly representative of the rock as a whole.

The 'South Fork' area where samples GC 1 to GC 4 are located contained one sample that was relatively rich in sericite (GC 3), even though most of the outcrop was relatively clean. It may be that any future evaluations may require that the outcrops be blasted or channel cut in order to provide a more accurate picture of their internal composition.

It appears that the SQZ outcropping at the South Fork extends to the northwest for at least several hundred meters. Based on the field observations, it also appears that there is a clean 'knob' of silica just east of the South Fork on the South road (referred to as the East Knob) as indicated by samples GC 5 to GC 8. Although they did contain minor hematite and sericite, the 'East Knob' area appears to contain high-grade quartz at least as clean as the silica at the Crystal Dome. Due to its elevated nature (12-15m above road level), the area would be suitable for a future bulk sample.

Schematic Sketch For East Knob Area and South Fork Area

"East Knub Area" N x GC-S Bench 4 96,99, Si02 "Bench 3" 917.49, Siloz KGC-6 Note: Sketch is Verticaly Exaggerated 12-15m "Bench 2 " × 6C-7 x 6C-1 98191 5:02 x 6C-2 97.42 si02 South Fork Samples 99.2% Silon South Fork

Scale 5 10 15m 1:500 1cm = 5m.

Legend x - Sample Site, Sil 70 - Ledge Bench'

Figure 3 F. Rucicul No. 2019

14-



Table 2 Prospecting Survey Sample Descriptions, October, 2019

Sample #	Fasting	Northing	Approximate Size	Notes	Date	SIOZ	AI203	Fe2O3	Туре
GC-1	634055	5170896	Fm 11m long blast area. 5. Fork	Relativey clean, grey and white quartz; minor pink, from blasted o/c	Oct-24	98.1	0.59	1.09	gb
GC-2	634056	5170892	Fm 11m long blast area, 5. Fork	As above, more sericite, moderate amount of fractures, fm blasted o/c	Oct 24	97.4	0.6	1.75	gb
GC-3	634057	5170889	Fm 11m long blast area, S. Fork	Dirty white guartz, rusty stained, much sericite on fractures, fm blasted o/c	Oct-24				gb
GC-4	634058	5170885	Fm 11m long blast area, S. Fork	Similar to GC1 with trace sericite on fractures: from blasted o/c	Oct-24	99.2	0.39	0.2	gb
GCF	634060	5170926	Em 20 m long 'ledge' E Knob	White to grey quartz, tr or spotty hematite +/- sericite on fractures	Oct-24				gb
GC-6	634058	5170920	Em 20 m long 'ledge' E Knob	Relative clean, grey and dirty white quartz, tr sericite on a few fractures	Oct-24	97.4	1.05	0.14	gb
GC-7	634055	5170906	Em 20 m long 'ledge' E Knob	Grev and white quartz with trace sericite	Oct-24				
00-7	034035	5170500	the Lothering ledge, Et thiob	Grev and white quartz with 1-2% sericite in rock and tr hematite on fractures.					-
GC-8	634100	5170914	Fm 20 m long 'ledge', E. Knob	approx. 45 m from GC 1 to GC 7, at hilltop.	Oct24	96.9	0.66	0.16	go
60-9	633926	5170843	10mX 20m	Mainly white gtz +/- some sericite or pink tinge in places.	Oct28	97.3	1.07	0.18	gb
GC-10	633938	5170856	Sm X 20m	Mainly white gtz and VERY rare to trace pink tinge in places	Oct-28				comp
GC-11	633900	5170886	2mX6m		Oct 28				comp
66-12	633863	5170864	3m X 1Sm- ledge	Manly clean otz with some mica on some fractures	Oct-28				
GC 13	633946	5170877	3mX8m	Quartz with trace benatite or sericite on fractures	Oct 28				
GC 14	622020	5170995	30m X 30m	Mainly clean quartz with tr brown stain or sericite in a few places, at hilltop	Oct-28				comp
GC-14	633620	5170885	10m X 25m	Clean quartz with trace mica on somme fractures- in some places	Oct 28	98.5	0.83	0.14	comp
GC-15	633790	5170095	10m X 2m lodgo	Scattered small amounts of bematite, nink tinge or sericite	Oct-28				comp
GC-10	633941	5170032		Clean quartz	Oct28	97.5	0.68	0.19	comp
GC 10	633963	5170925	2mX2m	Clean otz with very race sericite on fractures	Oct-28				comp
GC-18	633940	5170970	20m X E0m: 2 domos	Bare minor bematite on east dome: slightly more bematite on west dome	Oct-28				comp
GC-19	633911	5170978	Zom X 30m, Z domes	Mainly clean quartz with some hematite in places	Oct-28	98,3	0.65	0.2	comp
GC 20	633880	5171020	15m X 20m; top of hill	Quartz +/- some hematite and +/- some sericite	Oct-28				comp
GC-21	633826	5171059		Clear quartz: next to 10m X 50m nond	Oct-28				
GC-22	633843	5170975	Sm X Su m sim ridge	Translucent quartz with trace sericite and rare bematite	Oct-28	98.9	0.32	0.14	comp
GC-25	633791	5171000	2	Ar above	Oct-28				comp
GC-24	633805	5170977	Smx Sm	Mainly translucent or grey quartz with a few specs of pink in places	Oct-28	98.8	0.36	0.24	gb
GC-25	633873	5170966	3m X 20m silver ridge	Translucent quartz with trace sericite on fractures	Oct-28	98.3	0.95	0.11	comp
GC 26	633852	5170917		From Blast area at 'Crystal Dome'	Oct 30				comp
GC-27	654471	51/1550	on approx	Dirty quartz with sericite and some dark grey 1 cm hands (hematite?) that strike @					
GC-28	633883	5171772	4m X 10m approx	145/din 90 SW	Oct-30				comp
		F1 71 100	Der V 1 Fer niden (Inden	30% sericite and 1" hand of hematite @ 120 degrees / din 90	Oct-30				comp
GC-29	633600	5171189	2m X ISm Hage/ledge	Quarter with sericite and 'moderate' hematite	Oct-30	94.6	2.89	0.34	comp
GC-30	633615	51/1162	20m X 50m long high ridge	Quarte with sentite and moderate mematice	Oct-31	93.5	3.71	0.58	comp
GC-31	633800	51/1/60	8mx 10m	Quartz $\frac{1}{2}$ benatite or sericite: some quartz veins at 090 din 75N; abote	Oct-31				comp
GC 32	633780	51/1/53	15m X10m X &m cittr	cimilar to GC 35 (part of same ridge up to GC 35) (coordinates actimated)	Oct-31				comp
GC-33A	633760	51/1/50	5mx 10m	Mainly dull gray attauith some sociaite & other impusition	Oct-31	94.1	4.12	0.38	comp
GC-33B	633768	51/1/41	10m x 15m x 6m high clift	similar to GC 25 (post of some sides up to GC 35; on posth side)	Oct 31				comp
GC-34	633768	51/1/41	12m X 10m X 5m knob	20.95% quarta 1/ sericita and 1/ hematita	Oct-31	91.9	5.14	0.83	comp
GC-35	633751	51/1/10	40m x 50m x 25m high cliff	Plast area on past fork: mainly dightly nink with trace parisite	Oct 31	98 0	0.53	0.11	comp
GC 36	634048	51/0/9/	Smx9m	No soosdinates No sample	Oct-31				
GC-37		F1 70000	F	Majoby translucent quarts with trace hematite and trace sericite	Oct-31				comp
GC-38	633840	5170899	5m x 18m at top of hill	Translucent quality with trace serieite	Oct-31	97.3	3 1.13	0.17	comp
GC-39	633734	51/0929	3m 8m flat outcrop	Mainly translucent quartz with numerous bematite veins in some areas	Oct-31	98.2	0.73	0.15	comp
GC-40	633663	5170976	3m x 15m	Transfusent august with trace sericite but dightly more bematite	Oct31				comp
GC 41	633636	51/1004	Smx 12m	Ougitz with trace sericite: parts of major 30 m wide gully (fault)	Oct-31				gb
GC-42	633631	51/1025	2m x 9m ledge: pase of hill	Mainly quartz with trace sericite and trace pick (other side of cully)	Oct-31	98.	5 0 5	0.21	gb
GC-43	633622	51/1068	Zm X 15m dirt covered ledge	Quartz with some nink and trace sericite: About 30 m from GC 30	Oct-31	96.1	1 2.04	1 0.35	gb
GC-44	633601	51/1134	Sm x 9m; Above GC-30	Grav quarte	Oct-31	2 3 1			gb
GC-45	633753	51/10/0	small area; 2m x 4m	De sample- too sound- but looked 'slean'	Oct 31				-
GC-46	633825	51/0926	small area	no sample- loo rodhu- bul lookeu clean					

abbreviations: gb-grab

comp - composite

Table 3: Whole Rock XRF Results from All Prospecting Samples, October 2019																
ANALYTE	SiO2	AI2O3	Fe2O3	MgO	CaO	K20	Na2O	TiO2	MnO	P2O5	Cr2O3	V205	Sum	WtKg	LOI	Location
METHOD	GO_XRF76	\GO_XRF76\	GO_XRF76\	GO_XRF76\	GO_XRF76\GO	D_XRF76	SIGO_XRF76IG	O_XRF76	GAGO_XRF76A	GO_XRF76	GO_XRF76\	GO_XRF76\	GO_XRF76V	G_WGH79	GO_XRF76V	
DETECTION	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0	0.001	-10	
UNITS	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	kg	%	
GC1	98.1	0.59	1.09	< 0.01	0.02	0.17	< 0.01	0.04	0.01	0.02	< 0.01	< 0.01	100.3	2.6	0.342	SQZ
GC2	97.4	0.6	1.75	< 0.01	0.04	0.18	<0.01	0.03	0.02	0.04	0.01	< 0.01	100.1	2.674	0.0198	SQZ
GC4	99.2	0.39	0.2	0.03	<0.01	0.11	< 0.01	0.03	< 0.01	0.01	0.03	< 0.01	100.5	2.458	0.462	SQZ
GC6	97.4	1.05	0.14	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.3	0.02	0.05	<0.01	0.02	< 0.01	<0.01	99.5	1.388	0.476	SQZ
GC15	98.5	0.83	0.14	<0.01	< 0.01	0.24	0.01	0.03	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.02	<0.01	100.5	2.676	0.742	SQZ
GC17	97.5	0.68	0.19	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.2	< 0.01	0.05	<0.01	0.01	0.01	<0.01	99.1	0.794	0.439	SQZ
GC20	98.3	0.65	0.2	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.2	< 0.01	0.03	< 0.01	0.02	< 0.01	< 0.01	100	1.932	0.623	SQZ
GC23	98.9	0.32	0.14	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.07	< 0.01	0.03	0.03	0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	100	0.748	0.533	SQZ
GC25	98.8	0.36	0.24	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.1	<0.01	0.03	< 0.01	0.01	0.01	<0.01	99.9	1.435	0.357	SQZ
GC26	98.3	0.95	0.11	< 0.01	0.01	0.2	< 0.01	0.03	< 0.01	0.01	0.01	< 0.01	100.2	2.633	0.507	SQZ
GC30	94.6	2.89	0.34	0.06	<0.01	0.92	< 0.01	0.08	<0.01	0.02	< 0.01	0.01	99.8	2.057	0.867	
GC31	93.5	3.71	0.58	< 0.01	0.01	0.4	0.02	0.08	< 0.01	0.08	0.01	<0.01	99.1	1.326	0.657	
GC33B	94.1	4.12	0.38	<0.01	<0.01	0.3	0.04	0.1	< 0.01	0.03	0.03	< 0.01	99.8	1.83	0.74	
GC35	91.9	5.14	0.83	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.54	0.02	0.1	<0.01	0.05	< 0.01	< 0.01	99.3	3.035	0.703	
GC36	98.9	0.53	0.11	< 0.01	<0.01	0.17	<0.01	0.03	< 0.01	0.01	0.02	< 0.01	100.2	5.807	0.472	SQZ
GC39	97.3	1.13	0.17	0.02	<0.01	0.34	<0.01	0.05	< 0.01	0.01	< 0.01	<0.01	99.6	0.879	0.55	SQZ
GC40	98.2	0.73	0.15	< 0.01	<0.01	0.23	< 0.01	0.03	<0.01	0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	99.8	1.438	0.426	SQZ
GC43	98.5	0.55	0.21	<0.01	< 0.01	0.16	<0.01	0.03	<0.01	0.02	0.01	< 0.01	99.8	1.225	0.29	SQZ
GC44	96.1	2.04	0.35	0.03	<0.01	0.66	<0.01	0.11	< 0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01	99.9	2.089	0.577	
REP-GC8	98.2	0.64	0.16	< 0.01	<0.01	0.19	<0.01	0.04	<0.01	0.02	< 0.01	< 0.01	99.7		0.475	
Average All	97.13	1.38	0.36	0.04	0.02	0.29	0.02	0.05	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01				
Average SQZ	98.09	0.69	0.32	0.03	0.02	0.20	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.01	0.01	<0.01				

 \pm

Table 3: Whole Rock XRF Results from All Prospecting Samples, October 201

11.0 Results and Recommendations

The prospecting survey was focused mainly on the South Quartz Zone (SQZ) as previous samples had shown that a significant number contained >99.0 % SiO2. The SQZ was traced northwest and an elevated area of good outcrop adjacent the 'High Road" was also evaluated.

A total of 44 rock samples were taken and of these 21 of the 'better' looking samples were submitted for XRF Whole Rock analyses. The selected samples contained lower visual amounts of muscovite/sericite and magnetite/hematite. The average major element values of all 21 samples of the 4 most important major elements in this survey were SiO2 - 97.13%, Al2O3 - 1.38%, Fe2O3 - 0.36%, and K2O - 0.29%. Al2O3 and K2O reflect the presence and content of muscovite whereas Fe2O3 content reflects the content of magnetite/hematite and perhaps trace titaniferous magnetite. However values within the SQZ zone have the following averages: SiO2-98.09%, Al2O3 - 0.69%, Fe2O3 - 0.32% and K2O - 0.20%. The SQZ has about a 1% higher content of SiO2 then the surrounding mica-bearing units. The iron (Fe2O3) content seems to be comparable (see Table 2 and 3).

Surface sampling of the rounded quartz outcrops was difficult so that often only the edges of the outcrop or areas where fracturing had caused minor breaks on the outcrop surface were sampled. However, such sites always contained a coating of muscovite/sericite so the analytical results are skewed unfavourably. The SiO2 content would be lower then that of unfractured quartz rock. Blasting of some outcrop to provide 'fresh' samples is recommended.

An elevated area with good outcrop lies adjacent 'High Road' and is on-strike with the Central Quartz Zone (CQZ). Samples from here contain 91.9 - 96.1% SiO2 and 2.89 - 5.14 % Al2O3 reflecting the higher muscovite content of these rocks (Samples GC 28, GC 31- 35 on Fig. 4). Visual examination of sample here show the quartz is not as 'clean' and it has a dull grey appearance. K2O and Fe2O3 values are also higher and although the overburden is largely absent and the road access is good, the SiO2 content of the quartz is considered low.

The highest SiO2 value was 99.2% (GC-4) collected from outcrop adjacent the road at the South Fork within the confines of the SQZ (Fig. 3). Other values greater than 98.0 % SiO2 are also evident in the immediate area (i.e. GC 36). Sampling nearby with <98.0 % SiO2 reflect the presence of muscovite along fracture surfaces. Fracture surfaces are always coated with muscovite/sericite and the higher the fracture density, the poorer the quality of quartz there is present.

There is abundant outcrop and good exposure that steps upward in elevation, about 12-15 metres, in a series of outcrop benches east of the South Fork area. The quartz here is often a semi- translucent, grey-white colour and in fracture poor areas, is >98.5% SiO2. A bulk sample could be taken in this area with minimal overburden to strip. It is recommended that a bulk sample in this area be taken.

12.0 References:

Campbell, G., 2008: Assessment Report for Precambrian Ventures Ltd. on The Results of Rock Sampling and the Reconnaissance Percussion Drill Sampling Programme, Glass Hills Silica Prospect, Garrow and Clarkson Townships, MNDM Assessment Report, Part 1 and Part 2(maps), 19p.

Campbell, G., 2009: Assessment Report for Precambrian Ventures Ltd. on the 2009 Work Programme of Overburden Stripping, Rock and Channel Sampling Results and an SGS Lakefield Mineral Study to Remove Impurities from Silica-Rich Samples

Campbell, G., 2015a: Assessment Report on A Test to Determine if Cut and Polished Silica Rock Would Have Uses in the Housing (Coverings) Industry on Claim 3019184.

Campbell, G., 2015b: Assessment Report on Geology and Sampling to Define the Central and South Quartz Zones on Claims 03009481, 3019184,4243829, 45243830, 03009463 and 3004487, the Glass Hills Silica Property, MNDM Assessment Report dated August 27,2015, 12p.

Campbell, G. and Phillips, E., 2015: 2015 Drill and Overburden Stripping Programme on the Central and South Quartz Zones, Claims S3019184, S4243830, S03009463 and S3004487, the Glass Hills Silica Property, MNDM Assessment Report dated December 7, 2015, 11p.

Campbell, G., 2017: 2017 Overburden Stripping Programme on the Central Quartz Zone, Claim S03009481, MNDM Assessment Report dated October 31, 2017, 10p, 1 Map.

Easton, R.M. 2003: Reconnaissance Study of the Geology and Mineral Potential of the Eastern Tomiko Terrane, Grenville Province, Summary of Field Work and Other Activities, 2003, Ontario Geological Survey, Open File Report 6 120, p16-1 to 16-25.

Easton, R.M. 2006: Geology and Mineral Potential of the Eastern Tomiko terrane, Grenville Province; Ontario Geological Survey, Open File Report 5554, 117p.

Appendix 1

Prospecting Track on the Glass Hills Property, October 25-31, 2019









⁶³⁴⁰⁴⁸E

Appendix 2

SGS Labs - XRF Major Element Whole Rock Results



Certificate of Analysis Work Order : LK1902080 [Report File No.: 0000023105]

Weighing of samples and reporting of weights

@Ore grade Borate fusion, XRF (0.5g plus 1g LOI)

Pulverize, Tungsten Carbide Bowl, <100g

Dry samples to 3.0kg, 105°C Crush to 3kg, 2mm, 75% passing

Date: December 13, 2019

To: Gregory Campbell COD SGS MINERALS - GEOCHEM LAKEFIELD Precambrian Ventures Ltd. ON

P.O. No.: -Project No.: PRECAMBRIAN VENTURES Samples: 21 Received: Nov 16, 2019 Pages: Page 1 to 3 (Inclusive of Cover Sheet)

Methods Summary

No. Of Samples	Method Code
21	G_WGH79
21	G_DRY10
21	G CRU21
21	G_PUL56
21	GO XRF76V

Storage: Pulp & Reject

PULP STORAGE	
REJECT STORAGE	

Comments:

Assays not suitable for commercial exchange.

Certified By Tom Watt

Project Coordinator

SGS Minerals Services (Lakefield) is accredited by Standards Council of Canada (SCC) and conforms to the requirements of ISO/IEC 17025 for specific tests as indicated on the scope of accreditation to be found at http://www.scc.ca/en/programs/lab/mineral.shtml

Report Footer:

L.N.R. = Listed not received n.a.

= Not applicable

= Insufficient Sample IS = No result

*INF = Composition of this sample makes detection impossible by this method

M after a result denotes ppb to ppm conversion, % denotes ppm to % conversion

Description

Methods marked with an asterisk (e.g. *NAA08V) were subcontracted

Elements marked with the @ symbol (e.g. @Cu) denote assays performed using accredited test methods

This document is issued by the Company under its General Conditions of Service accessible at http://www.sqs.com/en/Terms-and-Conditions.aspx, Attention is drawn to the limitation of liability, indemnification and jurisdiction issues defined therein.

WARNING: The sample(s) to which the findings recorded herein (the "Findings") relate was (were) drawn and / or provided by the Client or by a third party acting at the Client's direction. The Findings constitute no warranty of the sample's representativity of the goods and strictly relate to the sample (s). The Company accepts no liability with regard to the origin or source from which the sample(s) is/are said to be extracted. The findings report on the samples provided by the client and are not intended for commercial or contractual settlement purposes. Any unauthorized alteration, forgery or falsification of the content or appearance of this document is unlawful and offenders may be prosecuted to the fullest extent of the law

SGS Canada Inc. Minerals 185 Concession Street Lakefield ON K0L 2H0 t+1(705) 652-2000 f+1(705) 652-6365 www.ca.sgs.com

Report File No. 0000023105

	Element	WtKg	@LOI	@SiO2	@A12O3	@Fe2O3	@MgO	@CaO	@K2O
	Method Det.Lim.	G_WGH79	GO_XRF76V						
		0.001	-10.000	0.01	0 01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
	Units	kg	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
GC-1		2.600	0.342	98.1	0.59	1.09	<0.01	0.02	0.17
GC2		2.674	0.0198	97.4	0.60	1.75	<0.01	0.04	0.18
GC4		2.458	0.462	99.2	0.39	0.20	0.03	<0.01	0.11
GC6		1.388	0.476	97.4	1.05	0.14	<0.01	<0.01	0.30
GC-8		2.601	0.544	96.9	0.66	0.16	<0.01	<0.01	0.18
GC9		1.333	1.21	97.3	1.07	0.18	<0.01	<0.01	0.33
GC-15		2.676	0.742	98.5	0.83	0.14	<0.01	<0.01	0.24
GC-17		0.794	0.439	97.5	0.68	0.19	<0.01	<0.01	0.20
GC25		1.435	0.357	98.8	0.36	0.24	<0.01	<0.01	0.10
GC20		1.932	0.623	98.3	0.65	0.20	<0.01	<0.01	0.20
GC-23		0.748	0.533	98.9	0.32	0.14	<0.01	<0.01	0.07
GC-26		2.633	0.507	98.3	0.95	0.11	<0.01	0.01	0.20
GC30		2.057	0.867	94.6	2.89	0.34	0.06	<0.01	0.92
GC31		1.326	0.657	93.5	3.71	0.58	<0.01	0.01	0.40
GC-33B		1.830	0.740	94.1	4.12	0.38	<0.01	<0.01	0.30
GC35		3035	0703	91.9	5.14	0.83	<0.01	<0.01	0.54
GC-36		5.807	0.472	98.9	0.53	0.11	<0.01	<0.01	0.17
GC39		0.879	0.550	97.3	1.13	0.17	0.02	<0.01	0.34
GC40		1.438	0.426	98.2	0.73	0.15	<0.01	< 0.01	0.23
GC43		1.225	0.290	98.5	0.55	0.21	<0.01	<0.01	0.16
GC44		2.089	0.577	96.1	2.04	0.35	0.03	<0.01	0.66
*Blk BLANK			100.0	<0.01	0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
*Rep GC8			0.475	98.2	0.64	0.16	<0.01	<0.01	0.19
*Std OREAS-751			0.646	71.1	15.8	2.40	0.50	1.06	2.90
*BIK BLANK			N.A.						

This document is issued by the Company under its General Conditions of Service accessible at <u>http://www.sqs.com/en/Terms-and-Conditions.aspx</u>. Attention is drawn to the limitation of liability, indemnification and jurisdiction issues defined therein.

WARNING: The sample(s) to which the findings recorded herein (the "Findings") relate was (were) drawn and / or provided by the Client or by a third party acting at the Client's direction. The Findings constitute no warranty of the sample's representativity of the goods and strictly relate to the sample (s). The Company accepts no liability with regard to the origin or source from which the sample(s) is/are said to be extracted. The findings report on the samples provided by the client and are not intended for commercial or contractual settlement purposes. Any unauthorized alteration, forgery or falsification of the content or appearance of this document is unlawful and offenders may be prosecuted to the fullest extent of the law

SGS Canada Inc. Minerals 185 Concession Street Lakefield ON KOL 2H0 t+1(705) 652-2000 f+1(705) 652-6365 www.ca.sgs.com

Page 2 of 3



Fina LK1902080 Order:

Report File No.: 0000023105

	Element Method	@Na2O	@TiO2 GO_XRF76V	@MnO GO_XRF76V	@P205	@Cr2O3	@V2O5 GO_XRF76V		
		GO_XRF76V			GO_XRF76V	GO_XRF76V		GO_XRF76V	
	Det.Lim.	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	U	
	Units	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	
GC1		<0.01	0.04	0.01	0.02	<0.01	<0.01	100.3	
GC-2		<0.01	0.03	0.02	0.04	0.01	<0.01	100.1	
GC4		<0.01	0.03	< 0.01	0.01	0.03	<0.01	100.5	
GC6		0.02	0.05	< 0.01	0.02	< 0.01	<0.01	99.5	
GC8		<0.01	0.03	0.01	0.01	<0.01	< 0.01	98.5	
GC9		0.02	0.05	<0.01	0.01	0.01	<0.01	100.2	
GC-15		0.01	0.03	<0.01	<0.01	0.02	<0.01	100.5	
GC17		<0.01	0.05	< 0.01	0.01	0.01	<0.01	99.1	
GC25		<0.01	0.03	<0.01	0.01	0.01	<0.01	99.9	
GC20		<0.01	0.03	<0.01	0.02	<0.01	<0.01	100.0	
GC23		<0.01	0.03	0.03	0.01	<0.01	<0.01	100.0	
GC26		<0.01	0.03	<0.01	0.01	0.01	<0.01	100.2	
GC30		<0.01	0.08	<0.01	0.02	<0.01	0.01	99.8	
GC31		0.02	0.08	<0.01	0.08	0.01	<0.01	99.1	
GC-33B		0.04	0.10	<0.01	0.03	0.03	<0.01	99.8	
GC35		0.02	0.10	<0.01	0.05	<0.01	<0.01	99.3	
GC36		<0.01	0.03	<0.01	0.01	0.02	<0.01	100.2	
GC39		<0.01	0.05	<0.01	0.01	<0.01	<0.01	99.6	
GC40		<0.01	0.03	<0.01	0.01	<0.01	<0.01	99.8	
GC43		<0.01	0.03	<0.01	0.02	0.01	<0.01	99.8	
GC44		<0.01	0.11	<0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01	99.9	
*BIK BLANK		0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	100.0	
*Rep GC8		< 0.01	0.04	<0.01	0.02	< 0.01	<0.01	99.7	
*Std OREAS-751		3.43	0.24	0.09	0.27	<0.01	<0.01	98.5	
*Blk BLANK		N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	

This document is issued by the Company under its General Conditions of Service accessible at <u>http://www.sgs.com/en/Terms-and-Conditions.aspx</u>. Attention is drawn to the limitation of liability, indemnification and jurisdiction issues defined therein.

WARNING: The sample(s) to which the findings recorded herein (the "Findings") relate was (were) drawn and / or provided by the Client or by a third party acting at the Client's direction. The Findings constitute no warranty of the sample's representativity of the goods and strictly relate to the sample (s). The Company accepts no liability with regard to the origin or source from which the sample(s) is/are said to be extracted. The findings report on the samples provided by the client and are not intended for commercial or contractual settlement purposes. Any unauthorized alteration, forgery or falsification of the content or appearance of this document is unlawful and offenders may be prosecuted to the fullest extent of the law.

SGS Canada Inc. Minerals 185 Concession Street Lakefield ON K0L 2H0 t+1(705) 652-2000 f+1(705) 652-6365 www.ca.sgs.com

Page 3 of 3







