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#### Abstract

A total of 84 claims in Lorrain Township, Ontario, were sampled for kimberlite indicator minerals (KIMs) by RJK Explorations Ltd. personnel during 2019. The 84 claims belong(ed) to five parties: Bishop (/RJK), Camilleri, Cruz Cobalt, Cobalt Power, and Cobalt Industries. The sampling took place between June 15, 2019 and October 4, 2019. The 2019 Lorrain Chain overburden survey required 26 days of field work to collect the samples. (Figure 16-18, pages 30-32.) RJK Explorations Ltd. created option agreements for much of this claim block, and at the time of publication of this report, RJK has taken ownership of many of the optioned claims, and is looking seriously at the diamond, and other mineral potentials of Lorrain Township and Gillies Limit.

256 soil samples were collected during fieldwork (Figure 16, page 30) and combined into 108 numbered units from Unit 1 -Unit 108 for lab analysis (Figure17, page 31). In total, 107 samples from till and bedrock drift complexes in the Lorrain Chain were sent to ODM and processed in five batches during late 2019 and early 2020. (Figure 20-24, pages 20-24.) Unit 26 was the only creek sample taken in the survey, and was processed, concentrated, and picked for KIMs by Tony Bishop. Units 1-12 were at first processed and concentrated by Graeme and Tony Bishop, (Figure 11-13, page 27) but in the end, the samples were recombined with their rejects and sent to ODM for analysis to ensure contiguous reporting of data set within the survey.

107 of 108 Sample Units tested contained KIMs and other heavy minerals. (Figure 18, page 32.) The only barren sample was a 2.0 kg sample Unit 61, which was most likely taken too close to road-building aggregate deposited near the logging road. Gold counts are also high across the survey area, and so far, unexplained.


In the 108 samples of the 2019 Lorrain Chain Survey, there were 43 KIMs recovered in the $1-2 \mathrm{~mm}$ size range, including seven Pyrope Garnets, four Orange Garnets, thirty-one Ilmenites, and one Chrome Diopside, from 15 samples of 108. (The 2000-2003 OGS Regional heavy mineral surveys did not report a single Chrome Diopside in the +1.0 mm range.)

Preservation of large KIM grains generally indicate close proximity to a kimberlite source. In the Lorrain Chain 2019 survey, $13.8 \%$ of samples contained +1.0 mm KIMs. (Figure 4, page 22.) The 2020 drilling program conducted by RJK Explorations Ltd. in Lorrain Township discovered a field of kimberlites in-situ directly beneath the overburden, sometimes within two meters of the ground surface. (Figure 25, page 39.) The Lorrain Kimberlites help explain the abundant KIMs and many large KIMs found in the 2019 Lorrain Chain survey. (Figure 26-33, pages 40-47.)

In the first 35 potential KIM grains picked in creek Unit 26 and sent to be tested at CF Minerals Research Inc. in Kelowna, British Columbia, there were four Pyrope Garnets and four Orange Garnets in the 12 mm range, and eleven Pyrope Garnets and two Orange Garnets in the $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ range. It is most probable that these large grains have recently disintegrated into Paradis creek from their in-situ host material, the Paradis Kimberlite. Lab results for Unit 26 creek KIMs indicate the kimberlitic grains exhibit high-pressure geochemical signatures (deep formation) and probable low-resorption of diamonds.

Lorrain township KIM grain geochemistry plots and discussion of KIM grain EMP results from the 2019 Lorrain samples and 2020 RJK kimberlite samples is not included in this report, but can be researched via RJK Explorations Ltd. news releases accessible online.

## PURPOSE

The purpose of this report is to provide information on a program of overburden exploration for the presence of diamonds in Lorrain Township during 2019. The sampling work was done by RJK Explorations Ltd, and the concentration and lab analysis was done by Overburden Drilling Management.

This report includes an outline of the work done, the lab results for samples collected, and some basic reading of the results.

## PREVIOUS WORK

The first reported reconnaissance for Kimberlite Indicator Minerals (KIMs) via till sampling in the study area was conducted by the Ontario Geological Survey in the early 2000s. As part of a regional sampling program, four samples were collected by the OGS in or near the 2019 study area and are discussed later in this report. The first published KIM sampling by a private company or prospector for the study area was a set of Assessment Reports submitted to the Ministry by prospector Tony Bishop and his family, starting 2014 (Figure 6, page 25). Unpublished assessment work had been conducted earlier by other parties, including geologist Peter Hubacheck, who now works with RJK Explorations Ltd in their search for diamonds in the claims and wider region.

Grassroots prospecting and KIM reconnaissance groundwork in the study area was conducted by the Bishop family from 2014 to 2019. In 2019, RJK Explorations optioned the study area from Tony Bishop, and also optioned surrounding claims from other companies and prospectors. To date, RJK Explorations Ltd, with the help of lead geologist Peter Hubacheck, have identified multiple Kimberlite bodies in the study area (Figure 25, page 40). These kimberlite sources discovered by RJK Explorations Ltd. shed light on the provenance of KIMs found in Lorrain Township.

## Geological Setting

Structurally, the study area is located between two deep-seated faults, the Cross Lake Fault and the Temiskaming West Shore Fault, in the trend of the Lake Temiskaming Graben/deformation zone. Perpendicular structures affect the area, including the Schumann Lake Arch, Kerr Lake Fault, Gleeson Lake Fault, and the Latour Deformation Zone (see Figure 15, page 30). The claims which were sampled in 2019 lie above the proglacial freshwater inundation of Lake Ojibway Barlow. (Figure 19, page 34). The Quaternary geology consists primarily of non-wave washed till deposits and bedrock drift complex, with small areas of swampy muskeg and several small lakes. (Figure 1, page 20). Kimberlites discovered in the region have generally been agedetermined as Jurassic emplacements, and their deposit/eruption locations seem predisposed to deep seated structural breaks in the craton along the Rift System. Historically, the Archean and Paleoproterozoic geology has been intensely studied in the region due to the economic metal deposits around the town of Cobalt. Regarding practical exploration and prospecting for
diamonds, however, a greater attention can be given to the Quaternary characteristics of the land surface, including glaciation patterns, (Figure 5, page 23) deglaciation phases, and bedding of regolith deposits. For more information on the Quaternary and structural geology of the area, see various publications of the Ontario Geological Survey from authors such as Sage, Lovell, Caine, Gao, Veillette, and more. The OGS has done incredible work researching Quaternary Ontario, and their work is publicly accessible as Open File Reports. Local Assessment Reports by Tony (Brian) Bishop area also a useful source of information and bibliographic sources.

## Activities

A total of 84 claims were sampled during the 2019 Lorrain Chain overburden survey. The 84 claims belong to five parties: Bishop (RJK), Camilleri, Cruz Cobalt, Cobalt Power, and Cobalt Industries. The sampling took place between June 15, 2019 and October 4, 2019, totalling 26 days of field work (figure 8-10, page 26). Approximately 250 soil samples were collected during fieldwork and combined into numbered units from Unit 1 -Unit 108 for lab analysis. Unit 1 Unit 12, and Unit 26 were processed by Bishop Lab. Units 13-25, 27-108 were processed by ODM in four Batch's; Units 1-12 were later processed by ODM in a fifth Batch.

Personnel in the field: Graeme Bishop, Patrick Harrington, Kevin Schraeder. Lab work was done by Overburden Drilling Management, and by the Bishop Lab. Planning, management, and paperwork was done by Graeme Bishop. Some data modelling was done by Terry Link.

# FAQ: A Minute for the 2019 RJK Explorations Ltd. Lorrain Chain Kimberlite Indicator Minerals Definition Program 

Some of the items featured below are also discussed later in this report but have been briefly overviewed here because they are frequently asked questions.

## 1. What is the 'Lorrain Chain'?

The Lorrain Chain is a name used to describe an approximately 12-kilometer-long series of potential kimberlite diamond targets oriented in a north-north-west trend on mining claims paralleling the Cross Lake Fault in the highlands of Lorrain Township immediately south of the town of Cobalt and staked by prospector Tony Bishop between 2014 and 2019.
The Bishop targets in east Lorrain Township from north-to-south included Little Grassy Lake, Nicol-Lightning Lake, Cedar Pond, Paradis Pond, Gleeson Lake, Horseshoe Lake, and Peanut Lake. In early 2019, RJK Explorations Ltd. optioned the claims from Bishop and began conducting selected geophysics, drilling, and surface till sampling. RJK Explorations Ltd. continues actively to explore the nature of the geology in Lorrain Township, in addition to investigating targets extending west toward the Montreal River Fault along the trends of the Schumann Lake Arch and Gleeson Lake Fault which are structurally related to the deep-tectonic Cross Lake Fault, investigating for the presence of diamonds and other resources. In 2020 RJK discovered the presence of diamonds in their 2019 drill core from the Paradis Pond target in the centre of the Lorrain Chain.

## 2. Why a Lorrain Chain 'definition program'?

Previous sampling for kimberlite indicator minerals in the surface till of the Lorrain Chain conducted by the Bishop family showed high counts in kimberlite indicator minerals (KIMs) of interest at every target tested. Because the chain of kimberlite targets is roughly in line with the last / most recent glacial advancement (170-160 degrees) and are relatively close together, none more than 2 kilometers from the next, it was possible that KIMs from targets at the northern end of the chain were responsible for the KIM results further south along the chain of possible targets. Early in 2019, RJK Explorations Ltd. optioned Bishop's diamond prospects in Lorrain and determined that additional till sampling for KIMs could better isolate and define the apparently proximal source of KIMs in the till and help define drill targets for diamond-bearing kimberlite pipes in the chain. Field reconnaissance began in May, and sampling began in June 2019.

## 3. What is 'kimberlite'?

Kimberlite is the most common host rock for diamonds, and the only geological source known to produce large diamonds. Diamonds are formed and exist primarily at depth beneath the lithosphere, in the mantle of our planet's core. Diamonds occur on the surface of the earth when they have been transported into the lithosphere and to the surface by eruptions of kimberlite, encouraged through the path of least resistance in the rocks in part because of the energy from decompression of gasses at depth during
eruption. The Lake Temiskaming Structural Zone hosts many known clusters of kimberlite pipes. See: Reports of the Ontario Geological Survey, esp. "Kimberlites of the Lake Timiskaming Structural Zone" by R.P Sage, OFR 5937 [1996], and its "Supplement" by R.P. Sage, OFR 6018 [2000], and regional heavy mineral survey OFRs 6043, 6088, 6119, 6124 [2001-2005].

## 4. What are 'kimberlite indicator minerals' - KIMs?

Kimberlite, as a host-rock which transports diamonds to surface, carries with it not only diamonds but also a panoply of distinct minerals which inhabit the same formation-zone as diamonds in the mantle, with kimberlite also incorporating minerals from the horizons between the mantle and the surface of the lithosphere during eruption. Because distinct minerals from depth are carried with the diamonds, when these distinct minerals are found, they indicate the potential for diamonds. Kimberlite indicator minerals often occur as grains smaller than 2 mm , most often smaller than 0.5 mm , but they occur in far greater abundance than diamonds within the kimberlite host-rock. Indicator minerals are by nature more dense and usually have higher specific gravity than most of the Archean, Huronian, Proterozoic and younger geological fabric which is the source-rock of the rest of the overburden- since they are heavier, KIMs can be isolated from the background stereo of glaciated grains of current surface-sourced minerals by means of various concentration methods. The chemistry of individual grains of certain types of indicator minerals sometimes directly associate with inclusions found in diamonds, so some KIMs are also attributed to be Diamond Indicator Minerals. Tony Bishop and RJK Explorations Ltd. have tested and found Diamond Indicator Minerals in the samples from Lorrain claims. The Ontario Geological Survey conducted overburden sampling surveys across several regions of north eastern Ontario, covering immense surface area, and published an impressive dataset in a series of Open File Reports, finding numerous occurrences of KIMs in till associated with bedrock within the Lake Temiskaming Structural trend. This report contains some comparisons between OGS and RJK KIM data.

## 5. What is a 'kimberlite target'?

A 'kimberlite target' is a drill target for kimberlite.
A kimberlite is conceptualized as a 'target' in the already-mapped geological stereo because it is a very tiny and localized formation, compared to the other rock formations in the Cobalt Embayment and southern Archean province which make up the rest of the ground. Following glaciation, kimberlitic material was left deposited and mixed-in with the surface-sourced till down-ice from the bedrock kimberlite source. The process of finding a kimberlite body by means of till sampling is akin to 'hunting' the kimberlite source by 'tracking' the KIMs present in till. A 'train' of indicators will in theory point to a deposit; however, Quaternary natural history has created many obfuscations of mineral trains, and the exploration and interpretation of data in the geological investigation for diamonds in Ontario is constantly being improved upon.
On surface, kimberlite pipes sometimes look like small kettle lakes, or a small topographic depression, often round, sometimes with vegetative anomalies on top of
them; however, much of the bedrock where kimberlite pipes have been discovered between Lake Abitibi and Lake Temiskaming along the Temiskaming structural system have been overlain by Quaternary age deposits and sedimentary materials and were discovered only through the myriad drilling activities of the OGS and exploration companies. Unlike the pro- and post-glacial lake sediments to the west, north, and east, in the Lorrain highlands, there is a high incidence of bedrock exposure, and the Quaternary material does not in general obscure the nature of the bedrock. Kimberlite eruptions often occur in clusters. The Lorrain targets of Paradis Pond, Lightning Lake, Mozart Lake, and others, share striking resemblance to each other as small round lakes clustered around the Cross Lake fault and occur close to each other near the Schumann Lake arch. It is possible the Lorrain Chain targets represent a cluster of kimberlite pipes.
Because the overburden in the Lorrain highlands survey area contains materials glacially transported from bedrock sources up-ice, but no sediments from Lake OjibwayBarlow, (See: OGS Quaternary Geology Map 2685) sampling of the surface till to investigate for the presence of KIMs was done at first without the need for drilling. Sampling for KIMs was conducted manually by professionals with their boots on the ground, on site. For the 2019 RJK Lorrain sampling program, Graeme Bishop was supervisor, Mike "Mookie" Harrington was lead sampler.

## 6. What was the methodology of selecting the till sample locations?

Some surveys sample wide ranging areas and enact a regular grid system of sampling to gain an understanding of the presence of KIMs over multiple townships of interest and regionally. When KIMs are found, a company can analyse the grains and distribution and narrow in on a target. The Lorrain Chain Definition Survey was conducted with targets already presumed and known high-counts of KIMs. Instead of a wide area survey which later narrows inward, the LCDP tight-sampled a small corridor of land forensically to better clarify previous findings.
The previous results from Bishop sampling efforts guided the RJK sampling activities in 2019, but the 2014-2019 Bishop data is not plotted on the RJK Lorrain Chain results maps. Traverse maps for initial Bishop sampling work, taken from assessment reports submitted to ENDM, can be viewed in the Appendix of this report. The work assessment reports for the Lorrain Chain claims submitted by the Bishop family between 2014 and 2019 (see: Figure 6, on page 24) can be accessed via RJK's website:
https://www.rjkexplorations.com/
The results from the 2014-2019 Bishop sampling work provided two main insights: the string of small lakes east of the Cross Lake Fault in Lorrain Township all contained high KIM concentrations in their surface till sample sets, taken down-ice from each lake. The 2019 RJK sampling efforts were conducted to fill in gaps in the Bishop sampling and gain better definition of any mineral trains present.

## 7. Who collected the samples?

While consulting with Glenn Kasner and Tony Bishop, Graeme Bishop directed the Lorrain Chain sampling activities in 2019. G. Bishop has almost seven years'
experience with boots on the ground in the Lorrain highlands prospecting for diamonds, with nearly 200 days in the field on claim, collecting till samples, creek samples and doing ground study. Mike Harrington is an experienced prospector who staked some of the original Lorrain claims for Tony Bishop and accompanied Bishop on several till sampling expeditions prior to 2019. During the initial 2019 RJK sampling for the Lorrain Chain, Graeme Bishop and Mike Harrington worked together in the field, establishing best practices, and collecting samples from the Little Grassy Lake area and the Horseshoe/Peanut Lake area. As Mike Harrington was competent and experienced to lead sampling activities in the field, he took on Kevin Schraeder as helper for the rest of the sampling program while Graeme Bishop shifted to other field activities and heaviesconcentration.

## 8. How were samples collected?

Samples were collected manually using a small clean shovel to make shallow holes where $2-5 \mathrm{~kg}$ of till was collected into sample bags and labelled. Dry materials were screened to 6 mm mesh with a clean screen in the field to eliminate pebbles. Sample material was collected from near surface, just beneath the more recent Holocene horizons. Most of the sample units sent to ODM were composed of groups of two or more samples collected in the same vicinity and combined for analysis. The program targeted near-surface material in part because the overburden was often very shallow, and also to sample the most recent push of the Wisconsin glaciation.
Logging roads built after 2004 allowed access into the Lorrain highlands and a pickup truck can still traverse most of the highlands through these roads. The sampling methodology employed during collection of samples for the 2019 Lorrain Chain program followed best practices established for the specific region. Glaciated terrain in Temiskaming District does present diverse surface environments and depositional circumstances; however, the study area was relatively straightforward and undisturbed by Pleistocene lake sediments or proglacial lake wave action. 99 percent of the survey was located on high elevation bedrock-drift complex and till deposits located above the level of highest freshwater inundation during the Lake Ojibway-Barlow phases. (Figure 19, page 33.)

## 9. What was the process of separation?

All sample units in the LCDP survey, except the creek sample unit 26, from Paradis pond to Gleeson Lake, were processed by Overburden Drilling Management, in Nepean Ontario during 2019 and early 2020. The full ODM reports, including descriptions of their concentration methods, can be found in the Appendix of this report. Unit 26 was processed and picked by Bishop, and results discussed in an as yet unpublished report. See figure no. 7 , on page 25 , for a diagram describing the processing and reduction of samples into concentrates for analysis used by Tony Bishop. This process was employed, and gradually improved upon, by Bishop from 2014-2019. The same concentration process was employed at first on RJK's LCDP units 1-12 collected in the Little Grassy Lake area during the 2019 survey, before the concentrates were
recombined with their rejects and these twelve sample units were sent to ODM for analysis.

## 10. What is the relationship of the historic Nipissing Diamond to the Lorrain Chain exploration efforts of the Bishops, and RJK Explorations Ltd.?

The Nipissing Diamond was apparently found somewhere west of Lake Temiskaming around 1903-1905, brought to public attention by local prospector Charles Paradis, and sold by Mr. Paradis to Mr. Aubin, the MPP for the Nipissing District which encompassed Lake Temiskaming at that time. Aubin showed the diamond in parliament and had it examined by experts before sending it to New York to be cut and polished into smaller stones by Tiffany and Co. A November 1906 newspaper article related that Tiffany and Co. was sending a team of geologists and diamond experts to the Temiskaming area to search for the source of the Nipissing diamond.
Archival research by RJK consultants in 2019 and 2020 discovered that numerous claims were staked early in 1907, in the middle of winter, by the Baruch brothers and their friends, who had arrived from New York some time in the new year. There is a possible connection between the news stories of the Nipissing Diamond, and the claims staked by the Baruchs of New York; the Baruch claims were not staked in the more lucrative silver prospects of Cobalt to the north, or in the soon-to-boom Silvercentre claims to the south, but instead were clustered in the Lorrain highlands near the Cross Lake Fault.
There is no accessible record of the activities or findings of the Tiffany expedition. However, during the Bishop's 2016 field sampling activities, a hand-dug trench which sampled only till (it was not created to reach bedrock) was discovered immediately down-ice of the Paradis pond target. There is no accessible historic document recording trenching for diamond exploration in the Cobalt camp; trenching at that time was associated with exploration for metals. The trench is down-ice of the Baruch claims and appears to coincide in age with the period of the so-called Tiffany expedition. More importantly, the trench is located near a wagon road which had, in 1905, only recently been cut from Paradis Bay into the Lorrain highlands to reach Cobalt. After compiling and normalizing the 2019 KIM results provided by ODM, RJK personnel plotted the KIM train data from 107 sample units in the Lorrain Chain into a density contour map and found that the trench was dug where the corridor of highest KIM concentration transected the 1905 wagon road, immediately south-east of Paradis pond and the Paradis Kimberlite discovered by RJK during 2019 drilling, and positively identified as diamond bearing kimberlite in 2020. (See figure no. 25, on page 39)
The 1905 wagon road also transected the creek which flows west from Paradis pond into Goodwin Lake; the Paradis creek samples contain examples of some large and pristine KIM grains which are now known to be breaking down and entering the creek from the layer of kimberlite discovered by RJK. At its head, the creek is wholly underlain by the Paradis Kimberlite, and by the time it enters Goodwin Lake, the creek has cut and sampled the kimberlite horizon. It is possible that the Nipissing Diamond was found during examination of the creek during the construction of the wagon road.
In summary, there is an apparent temporal relationship including Charles Paradis and the Nipissing Diamond, a wagon road built from Paradis Bay to Cobalt between 1904
and 1905, a connection between Charles Paradis and the Paradis Bay settlement which built the road, the news reports of the Nipissing diamond in 1906, and claim-staking in the Lorrain Chain in early 1907.
Additionally, there is a potential relationship between the placement of the early trench discovered by the Bishops in 2016 and the now-known presence of a kimberlite indicator mineral train and diamond bearing kimberlite body in the same place. The trench could very well be the first material evidence for diamond exploration in Canada. Following the discovery of the Nipissing diamond sometime around 1905, no diamond was found again in Canada until 1920, when one was discovered by accident during railway construction efforts near Peterborough, Ontario.

## 11. Is there a relationship between the 2019 Lorrain Chain KIM data and the Kimberlites discovered by RJK Explorations Ltd. in 2020?

The drilling program which was conducted by RJK in the Lorrain Chain through 2020 was directed by company Geologist Peter Hubacheck. RJK discovered multiple bodies of kimberlitic origin, some very massive, like the Paradis-Goodwin Kimberlite, Gleeson Kimberlite, and the 'Haileybury School of Mines' HSM Kimberlite. These kimberlite bodies exhibit unique characteristics and exist directly beneath the 2019 Lorrain Chain till sampling survey area, sometimes overlain by less than two meters of generic till. (See figure no. 25, on page 39.) The kimberlites discovered by RJK in the Lorrain highlands are almost certainly the source-rock for the KIMs found during the 2019 Lorrain Chain program. The nature of the kimberlite emplacement remains unclear, but its expression over wide areas and close to surface, literally beneath the 2019 surface till survey, indicates that the KIMs found in 99 percent of sample units are almost certainly derived from the kimberlite horizon discovered by drilling. Discovery of the Lorrain Kimberlites sheds some light, at least, on the density and high counts of KIMs found so far; the KIMs were spread out from kimberlite bedrock very near surface. Follow RJK's news items on their website for ongoing updates.

# Note on KIM grains in the 1-2mm range: Ontario Geological Survey Open File Reports: 

## OFR 6043 - OFR 6119 - OFR 6088 - OFR 6124

These four Open File Reports include data from regional-scale overburden sampling surveys conducted by the OGS between 2000 and 2003, which covered approximately 15,794 square kilometers, and included analysis of 1075 samples taken in the field. (See figure no. 3, on page 21.) The reports were published between 2001 and 2005 and contain a tremendous amount of data. Thank you OGS.

Only 24 samples out of the 1075 collected contained KIM grains larger than 1 mm . i.e., only $2 \%$ of samples contained +1.0 mm KIMs.

In total, only 47 KIMs in the $1-2 \mathrm{~mm}$ size range were recovered, including eight Pyrope Garnets, four Orange Garnets, twenty-nine Ilmenites, five Chromites, one Forsterite Olivine, and zero Chrome Diopside.

## Some data from the OFRs

## Regional Modern Alluvium Sampling of the Temagami-Martin River

 Area, Northeastern Ontario, 2001 OGS OFR 6043 - ( 258 samples analysed, approx. 3619 km²)This survey recovered 25 KIMs in the 1-2mm range, including three Pyrope Garnets, one Orange Garnet, and twenty Ilmenites, from 11 samples of 357 .

| Sample: | KIM grain: 1-2mm |
| :--- | :--- |
| 7-MA-00SA | Ilmenite |
| 11-MA-00SA | Pyrope Garnet, Ilmenite |
| 27-MA-OOSA | Ilmenite |
| 33-MA-00SA | Pyrope Garnet, Orange Garnet , Ilmenite (x5) |
| 53-MA-00SA | Ilmenite |
| 79-MA-00SA | Ilmenite (x2) |
| 87-MA-00SA | Ilmenite |
| 103-MA-00SA | Pyrope Garnet |
| 409-MA-00SA | Ilmenite |
| $441-M A-00 S A$ | Orange Garnet |
| $443-M A-00 S A$ | Ilmenite $(x 7)$ |

Regional Modern Alluvium Sampling Survey of the Cobalt-Elk Lake
Area, Northeastern Ontario, 2004
OGS OFR 6119-(183 samples analysed, approx. 2850 km²)
This survey recovered no KIMs in the 1-2mm range, from 183 samples.

## Regional Modern Alluvium Sampling of the Mattawa-Cobalt Corridor, Northeastern Ontario, 2002 <br> OGS OFR 6088 - ( 277 samples analysed, approx. $3825 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ ) <br> This survey recovered 15 KIMs in the 1-2mm range, including four Pyrope Garnets, one Orange Garnet, nine Ilmenites, and one Forsterite Olivine, from 8 samples of 277.

## Sample:

01-JR-MA-155
01-JR-MA-169
01-JR-MA-173
01-JR-MA-180
01-JR-MA-213
01-JR-MA-228
01-JR-MA-253
01-JR-SG-001

KIM grain: 1-2mm
Ilmenite
Ilmenite (x2)
Pyrope Garnet
Pyrope Garnet
Orange Garnet , Ilmenite
Ilmenite
Forsterite Olivine
Pyrope Garnet (x2), Ilmenite (x4)

## Regional Modern Alluvium Sampling of the Kirkland Lake-Matachewan

## Area, Northeastern Ontario, 2005

OGS OFR 6124-(357 samples analysed, approx. 5500 km²)
This survey recovered 7 KIMs in the 1-2mm range, including one Pyrope Garnets, one Orange Garnet, and five Chromites, from 5 samples of 357 .

## Sample:

03-JR-MA-054
03-JR-MA-148
03-JR-MA-185
03-JR-MA-275
03-JR-SG-019

KIM grain: 1-2mm
Pyrope Garnet, Orange Garnet
Chromite
Chromite (x2)
Chromite
Chromite

## CONTEXT

Large KIM grains generally indicate close proximity to a kimberlite source. The OGS used wide grid spacing in some of their survey, and creek sampling in their survey, and their aim was to illustrate a regional scale distribution of heavy mineral grains. The low count of +1.0mm KIM grains in the OGS surveys represents the rarity of large KIM grains regionally.

By contrast, the high count of +1.0 mm KIM grains found by RJK in their 2019 Lorrain Township Survey is represented by a different methodological approach to sampling: the Lorrain Chain Definition Program was a tight-spaced/high-density survey in an area with already-known KIM trains, as the entire survey area is underlain by KIM trains discovered by prospector Tony Bishop. Where the OGS sought regional scale patterns, RJK sought definition in a known area of high KIM concentration. In the Lorrain Chain 2019 survey, $13.8 \%$ of samples contained +1.0 mm KIMs.

In the five ODM Batches from the RJK Lorrain Claims, there were $\mathbf{3 5} \mathrm{KIMs}$ in the $\mathbf{1 - 2 m m}$ size range, including three Pyrope Garnets, thirty-one Ilmenites, and one Chrome Diopside, from 14 samples of 107. The ODM results for Lorrain Chain samples also included forty-one Pyrope Garnets, two Chrome Diopsides, eleven Orange Garnets, and eighty-seven Ilmenites in the $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ range.
In Unit 26, after lab testing, there at least $\mathbf{8} \mathbf{K I M s}$ in the $\mathbf{1 - 2 m m}$ size range, including four Pyrope Garnets, and four Orange Garnets. In the $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ size there were eleven Pyrope Garnets, and two Orange Garnets.
$1-2 \mathrm{~mm}$
$0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$

## 2019 Till Samples: KIMs:

Unit 3 - IM

Unit 8 -
Unit 12 -
Unit 14 -
Unit 18 -
Unit 27 -
Unit 28 -
IM

Unit 29 -
IM
Unit 31 IM
Unit 34 -
Unit 40 -
IM
Unit 45 -
Unit 50 -
Unit 55 -
IM
Unit 57 -
Unit 64 -
Unit 65 -
IM
Unit 72 -
Unit 75 -
Unit 76 -
IM
IM

IM

IM(19),GP(2), DC
GP

[^0]| Unit $77-$ | GP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Unit 80 - | GO |


| Unit 85 - | IM |
| :--- | ---: |
| Unit 94 - | GP |

Unit 96- DC
Unit 97 -
Unit 99 -
Unit 100 -
$\mathrm{IM}(2)$
$\mathrm{IM}(2)$
IM(2)
Unit 104-IM
Unit 107 -
Unit 108 -

## 2019 Creek Sample:

Unit 26 - GP(4), GO(4) GP(11), GO(2)

## OGS sampling near the Lorrain Chain

OFR 6088 [2002] contains four samples collected by the OGS near the Lorrain Chain survey area. See figure no. 2, on page 20.

The samples were:

01-JR-MA-179 - collected immediately down-ice from Lorrain Chain and downhill in creek flowing from Latour Lake area: one Ilmenite, two Forsterites in 0.5-1.0mm size: four Pyrope Garnets, two Illmenites, one Chromite, one Forsterite in $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ size.

01-JR-MA-180 - collected downhill in creek flowing from Gleeson Lake area: one +1.0 mm Pyrope Garnet: one Pyrope Garnet, two Chrome Diopsides, one Ilmenite in the $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ size: twenty-six Pyrope Garnets, one Orange Garnet, two Chrome Diopsides, seven Ilmenites, nine Chromites in the $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ size.

01-JR-MA-181 - collected downhill in creek flowing from Little Grassy Lake: four Pyrope Garnets, one Ilmenite in the 0.5-1.0mm size: seventeen Pyrope Garnets, one Chrome Diopside, six Ilmenites, three Chromites in the $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ size.

01-JR-MA-208 - collected in sand and gravel at the south-west corner of Goodwin Lake: No KIMs.
The KIMs found in OGS samples 179, 180, and 181 almost certainly originated in and travelled downgrade from the Lorrain Kimberlites discovered by RJK Explorations Ltd. along the Little Grassy Lake, Gleeson, and Latour drainage systems. (See figure no. 25, on page 39.)

The 2019 RJK survey contained 108 sample units; 107 samples were sent to ODM. Unit 26 was the only creek sample taken in the survey, and was processed, concentrated and picked for KIMs by Tony Bishop. The creek was sampled by Graeme Bishop, following best practices for heavies-collection, and runs from

Paradis pond to Goodwin Lake; the creek sample contained high counts of KIMs, and also many large KIMs. The creek literally cuts through an in-situ kimberlite horizon, meaning KIMs are entering the creek directly from their kimberlite source, leading to a positive preservation bias of large grains, compared to the till samples taken in the rest of the 2019 survey.

The geochemistry of the Lorrain Kimberlites are unusual, and separate reports will be produced at a later date, dealing with the geochemistry and EMP data for Lorrain Chain KIMs, and with the data from the Unit 26 creek in particular. RJK Explorations Ltd. has discovered a unique and exciting kimberlite field, and ongoing study is called for.

The following is an excerpt from the forthcoming report by Tony Bishop on KIM grains in Unit 26:

## -Start of Excerpt-

"The following results are from the Paradis Creek samples obtained by Graeme Bishop and Patrick Harrington, and consequently concentrated by Tony Bishop, who then picked and mounted specific grains for shipping to be micro-probed at CF Minerals Research Inc.

The following results are from May 2020, the first 35 of 79 grains sent to be micro-probed.

## FINDINGS:

## Eclogitic Garnets

- 3 E G9 HPM*: PCR 02 (1.7mm), PCR 12 (1.6mm), PCR 19 (1.2mm); high pressure eclogitic garnet - classifies as such in every field; Orange $\rightarrow$ Orange-Red colour
- $\quad 1$ E G9 HPM: PCR 22 (1.2mm); high pressure eclogitic garnet - commonly from kimberlite and lamproite but also from other peridotitic, Iherzolitic, and volcanic rocks; Purple, frosted with Black inclusions
- 1 E G9 LPM*: PCR 15 (0.9mm); low pressure megacrystic eclogitic garnet - classifies such in every field; Purple
- 1 E G5: PCR46 (0.5mm); Frosted Orange


## Peridotitic Garnets

- 3 P G9: PCR 20-0.8mm, orange peel texture, Purple; PCR 28-0.8mm, frosted Purple; PCR 34 0.5 mm , Purple
- 4 P G9-1 (Gurney 1 score ${ }^{\text {C2 }}$ category of G9 garnet): PCR 03 - 1.5mm, frosted Purple; PCR 25 0.9 mm , frosted Purple; PCR $35-0.6 \mathrm{~mm}$, Purple; PCR $43-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$, Purple
- 1 P G11: PCR 38-0.4mm, frosted Purple
- 8 P G11-1 (Gurney 1 score ${ }^{\mathrm{C2}}$ category of G11 garnet): PCR 04 - 1.3 mm , frosted Purple; PCR 05 1.4 mm , frosted Purple; PCR $18-0.8 \mathrm{~mm}$, partial kelyphyite coat and frosted Purple; PCR 24 1.0mm, frosted Purple; PCR $29-0.8 \mathrm{~mm}$, frosted Purple; PCR $30-0.8 \mathrm{~mm}$, Purple; PCR 32 0.9 mm , Purple; PCR $52-0.6 \mathrm{~mm}$, Purple


## The following is from the $2^{\text {nd }}$ batch micro-probed in August 2020.

- Grain PCR 01 - A 3.5mm orange grain micro-probed as an ALM-Mn. Almandine garnets have been found as inclusions in diamonds. This grain is unusual in that it is a large size for a KIM, a part of one side displayed a rounded frosted surface, the remainder formed a sharp-edged shard which should have worn smooth in a short time in a creek, unless it had very recently worn out of the kimberlite itself. The overall shape appeared to have broken out of a much larger xenocrystic grain.
- Grain PCR 36 - A 0.6 mm orange ALM (Almandine garnet), that has several features that I attributed to kimberlitic origin. The most obvious is a frosted surface texture, and it's rounded. The microprobe shows noticeably less FeO and enhanced CaO and Ni than the other ALM microprobed. Specifically, 6.7-7.5x more Ca0 and a Ni content of $0.03 \%$ compared to $0--.01 \%$ for other ALM tested. Comparing to ALM-Mn (Almandine with high manganese) there is from 4-8.3x the CaO and $0.03 \% \mathrm{Ni}$ in Grain PCR 36 compared to $0 \%$ in all but one ALM-Mn with $0.01 \% \mathrm{Ni}$. The crystal formula for Almandine is $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{Al}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{4}\right)_{3}$. If nickel is now incorporated into this structure, the most likely cause is being subducted to the Ni-Fe rich deep mantle zone.
- Interestingly, Grain PCR 36 has an almost identical microprobe result except for Ni, as Grain PCR 41, a G5 garnet. Grain PCR 36 has . $03 \% \mathrm{Ni}$, whereas grain PCR 41 has 0\% Ni.
- I chose Grain PCR 37 due to garnet-like appearance but had it micro-probed due to a unique 'peach' colour. After picking and photographing thousands of kimberlitic grains, I'd never seen this colour previously. The grain is labelled by CFM as an ALM (Almandine garnet). I then (again) searched among various gemological and mineralogy sites and found reference to peachcoloured garnets.

From the IGS (International Gem Society): the rarest colour for garnets is peach, green, and colourless. Malaia (the only peach-coloured garnet) is very rare and only found in Tanzania, specifically the Umba Valley bordering Tanzania and Kenya.

Malaia garnets are orange, red-orange, peach, and pink, and have a highly variable composition, including 2-94\% Spessartine, 0-83\% Pyrope, 2-78\% Almandine (ALM), 0-24\% Grossular, and 0-4\% Andradite.

So, a micro-probe that had the highest percent being Almandine would label the grain ALM, when perhaps it's actually a Malaia subspecies. Being a crustal garnet of extreme rarity and unusual colour, its presence in Paradis Creek concentrates is most easily explained if this garnet had been subducted and brought to surface in a kimberlite.

Typically, peridotitic clinopyroxene or chromium diopside is bright emerald green and eclogitic clinopyroxene is mossy green.

Grain PCR 68 was chosen to be tested as a possible ilmenite or chromite - the grain is round, black in colour, with frosted surface texture; however, the micro-probed grain is CE* CP8 or a "High Pressure Clinopyroxene of Eclogitic paragenesis". It should be noted that "Group 8 clinopyroxenes... are found in diamonds as inclusions" (Erlich, E.I., Hausel, W.D. (2002). Diamond Deposits: Origin, Exploration, and History of Discovery. Society for Mining, Metallurgy, and Exploration, Inc. (SME). Littleton, CO, USA pp 302), however CFM lab indicated no diamond inclusion fields of interest for this grain using Dawson (modified by CFM) Group 8.

All the literature l've seen relates to a bright emerald green or mossy green kimberlitic pyroxene. This black colouration in itself suggests a non-typical genesis, i.e. a deep (high-pressure) origin for this unique eclogitic grain, and if so, would not have typical microprobe results for known diamond fields.

Grain PCR 72 is a CE CPx (Eclogitic Clinopyroxene), green in colour with a frosted surface texture"
-END OF EXCERPT-

## COMMENTS:

In the results for the first 35 potential KIM grains picked from Unit 26 and sent to be micro-probed at CF Minerals Research Inc., there were four Pyrope Garnets and four Orange Garnets in the $1-2 \mathrm{~mm}$ range, and eleven Pyrope Garnets and two Orange Garnets in the $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ range. Their measurements are:

GO- $1.7 \mathrm{~mm}, 1.6 \mathrm{~mm}, 1.2 \mathrm{~mm}, 1.2 \mathrm{~mm}, 0.9 \mathrm{~mm}, 0.6 \mathrm{~mm}$
GP- $1.5 \mathrm{~mm}, 1.3 \mathrm{~mm}, 1.4 \mathrm{~mm}, 1.0 \mathrm{~mm}, 0.8 \mathrm{~mm}, 0.8 \mathrm{~mm}, 0.5 \mathrm{~mm}, 0.9 \mathrm{~mm}, 0.6 \mathrm{~mm}, 0.5 \mathrm{~mm}, 0.8 \mathrm{~mm}, 0.8 \mathrm{~mm}$, $0.8 \mathrm{~mm}, 0.9 \mathrm{~mm}, 0.6 \mathrm{~mm}$
(Another 44 selected grains from Unit 26 were sent in a second batch to be micro-probed at CF Minerals Research Inc., which also included large KIM grains; further discussion of these grains will occur in later reports).

In the 108 units of the 2019 Lorrain Chain KIM Survey, there were $\mathbf{4 3}$ KIMs in the $\mathbf{1 - 2 m m}$ size range, including seven Pyrope Garnets, four Orange Garnets, thirty-one Ilmenites, and one Chrome Diopside, from 15 samples of 108.

See figure no. 4, on page 22 for a site sketch of large grains from 2019 sample results.

Pictures of grains -including a 4mm GP- from unpublished report: "Paradis Creek Sampling Results: Analysis by Tony Bishop"


DSCN 6445 - GO-4.0mm_red garnet-1.8mm_purple CrPyrope - 1.7mm


DSCN 6446 - Red-purple garnet see dscn 6445 - 1.8mm frosted orange peel texture with a GO thin layer attached


DSCN 6447 - CrP deep purple freshly fractured one edge with some kelyphite rim -1.7 mm see dscn 6445


DSCN 6448 - olivine \& GO, 3 grains-1.6mm_1.8mm_2.1mm

## Some grains sent to CFM:

Grain PCR 72 is a CE CPx (Eclogitic Clinopyroxene), green in colour with a frosted surface texture Grain PCR 37 due to garnet-like appearance but had it micro-probed due to a unique 'peach' colour.

Grain PCR 36 - A 0.6mm orange ALM (Almandine garnet)

Grain PCR 01 - A 3.5mm orange grain micro-probed as an ALM-Mn.

Lorrain Chain Report: RJK Explorations Ltd. 2019 Overburden Sampling Activities, Lorrain Township


Ontario Geological Survey MAP 2685

QUATERNARY GEOLOGY
COBALT AREA

Scale 1:50 000

LEGEND



Slaciolacacts: Sand, gavel


PRECAMBRIAN


Figure 1-Section of O.G.S. Map 2685 showing Quaternary geology of 'Lorrain Chain’ section of Lorrain Twp.


Figure 2 - Section of O.G.S. Open File Report 6088 Map with Lorrain Chain area shown in O.G.S. KIM Survey near the town of Cobalt, Ontario.


Figure 3 - Map: showing position of early 2000s OGS Regional sampling surveys. Courtesy of OGS OFR


Figure 4


Figure 5 - Local glacial flow direction over Topographic Map 31 M5 section, showing Lorrain Chain area

Lorrain Chain Report: RJK Explorations Ltd. 2019 Overburden Sampling Activities, Lorrain Township

| 1 | 1 | 337556, 241583, 199992, 241582, 230056-Peanut take - 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ASSESSMENT WORK REPORT |  |
|  | CLAIMS L 4281431 \& L 4282409 |  |
| ASSESSMENT WORK REPORT |  | ASSESSMENT WORK REPORT |
| CLAIM L4273040 | Township of Lorrain | for CELL CLAIMS 337054, 241583, 194992, 241582, 230056 |
|  | Larder Lake Mining Division | arising from LEGACY CLAIM 4282412 |
| Lot 5 Conc 7, Lorrain Township |  | Lorrain Township |
| Larder Lake Mining Division |  | Larder Lake Mining Division |
|  | Claim Holder - Brian Anthony (Tony) Bishop dient \#108621 |  |
|  |  | Claim Hoider - Brian Anthony (Tony) Bishop client H108621 |
| Claim Holder - Brian Anthony (Tony) Bishop dient 1108621 |  |  |
| Report prepared and submitted by Tony Bishop October 3, 2016 |  |  |
|  | Report prepared and submitted by Tony Bishop November 27, 2017 |  |
|  |  | Report prepared and submitted by Tony Bishop August 17, 2018 |
|  |  |  |
| 277002, 277011, 131127, 329881 - The Grasy Lake Project - 1 | 4283142-1 | 1 |
| ASSESSMENT WORK REPORT | ASSESSMENT WORK REPORT | ASSESSMENT WORK REPORT |
| for CELL CLAIMS 277042, 277041, 131127, \& 329881 | CLAIM L 4282142 | CLAIMS L 4282189 and 4282187 |
| arising from LEGACY CLAIMS 4282444, 4282707, \& 4286187 | (Central Cell \#126017, and Boundary Claims \#155684, 239443, 105615, 151798, and 293947) |  |
| Lorrain Township |  | Lot 5 Con 7, Lorrain Township |
| Larder Lake Mining Division | Lot 5, Con 7, Township of Lorrain | Larder Lake Mining Division |
|  | Larder Lake Mining Division |  |
| Claim Holder - Brian Anthony (Tony) Bishop client \#108621 | Claim Holder - Brian Anthony (Tony) Bishop client \#108621 | Claim Holder - Brian Anthony (Tony) Bishop client \#108621 |
|  | Photo A. Colour change garnet chrome pwape found - 100 m south of Parodis Pand Microphotograph taken under two different lights-soft white \& doylight LED iamps | Report prepared and submitted by Tony Bishop November 2, 2017 |
| Report prepared and submitted by Tony Bishop | Report prepared and submitted by Tony Bishop <br> June 6, 2018 |  |
| June 18, 2018 |  |  |

Figure 6 - Cover pages for Tony Bishop's submitted Work Assessment Reports for Lorrain Chain claims

14 - Technical Report on the Bishop Property (Gillies Limit \& Lorrain Twp) - August 6, 2018
Flow Sheet for Concentrating and Retrieving KIMs from Till \& Stream Samples


Figure 7 - Flow sheet: concentrating and retrieving KIMs from till and stream samples [Technical Report]


Figure 8 - typical sample site, east of Horseshoe Lake 2019


Figure 9 - 'Mookie' traversing a beaver dam southeast of Peanut Lake 2019


Figure 10 - samples ready to be picked up northeast of Peanut Lake 2019


Figure 11 - some of the collected Lorrain Chain samples prior to shipment to ODM


Figure 12 - sluicing Little Grassy Lake samples


Figure 13 - concentrated and fractionated samples (foreground)


Figure 14 - Map and Results: unpublished results Lorrain Silver Buffalo Project [2012] courtesy of Peter Hubacheck Consulting Geologists.


Figure 15 - Map of local Faults in the Lorrain Chain area, courtesy of Peter Hubacheck and RJK

Figure 16



Figure 17



Figure 19 - artistic representation of Lorrain Peninsula, Temiskaming: 8500-8200 BP
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Figure 20


Figure 21
2020-01-23


Lorrain Chain Report: RJK Explorations Ltd. 2019 Overburden Sampling Activities, Lorrain Township


Figure 23
2020-03-16


Figure 24


Figure 25 - Map: showing Kimberlites in Lorrain Chain discovered by RJK Explorations Ltd. in 2020


Figure 26 - Normalized KIM Results Density Map of the Lorrain Chain, courtesy of RJK Explorations Ltd.

## Bishop North Lorrain KIM Maps

By RJK Explorations|March 26th, 2020
Accessible on RJK's Website @: https://www.rikexplorations.com/bishop-north-lorrain-kim-maps/


Notes:

1. This map includes results from the 2019 KIM sampling program only. The results confirmed the sampling reports completed by the Bishop family south of Grassy Lake between 2014 and 2018, which returned abnormally high amounts of KIMs in concentrate. Select grains from that concentrate confirmed presence of KIMs in probing.
2. Two kimberlite boulder samples were discovered down-ice from the Grassy Lake target, both nearby the KIM sample locations.

Figure 27 - Map: distribution of Total KIMs - Lorrain Till Sampling 2019, courtesy of RJK Explorations Ltd.

## G9 \& G10 Garnets



## Notes:

1. Traditionally, G9 and G10 garnets have been used to determine the potential for diamonds in their kimberlite sources. Like Ilmenites, their chemistry is very important, as specific types are generally correlated with diamondiferous pipes.

Figure 28 - Map: distribution of G9 and G10 Garnets - Till Sampling 2019, courtesy of RJK Explorations Ltd.

## Eclogitic Garnets



## Notes:

1. Discovery of Eclogitic Garnets was an important step in determining that De Beers' Victor kimberlite pipe contained economic diamonds. Eclogitic garnets are believed to originate very deep within the earth's mantle, where larger diamonds are believed to be formed.
2. The Victor Mine won a "Mine of the Year" award in 2008, paying back its entire $\$ 1$ Billion Capex in year one. It was previously in production for 12 years, producing very high quality diamonds.

Figure 29 - Map: distribution of Eclogitic Garnets - Till Sampling 2019, courtesy of RJK Explorations Ltd.

## Chrome Diopsides



## Notes:

1. Chrome Diopsides generally disintegrate the fastest of all KIMs, and therefore historically tend to indicate proximity to a kimberlite source.

Figure 30 - Map: distribution of Chrome Diopsides - Till Sampling 2019, courtesy of RJK Explorations Ltd.

Ilmenites


Notes:

1. Ilmenite chemistry is very important when determining the odds of diamonds in the kimberlite origin, as specific chemistry types are highly correlated world-wide with diamondiferous kimberlite pipes.

Figure 31 - Map: distribution of Ilmenites - Till Sampling 2019, courtesy of RJK Explorations Ltd.

Chromites


Figure 32 - Map: distribution of Chromites - Till Sampling 2019, courtesy of RJK Explorations Ltd.

## Forsterite



Figure 33 - Map: distribution of Forsterites - Till Sampling 2019, courtesy of RJK Explorations Ltd.

## 2019 LORRAIN CHAIN SURVEY: ODM KIM Results for Units 13-108 (sans 26) with Remarks

Showing: Normalized KIM grain counts calculated from ODM results, Batch number 8213, 8214, 8215, 8216, by Graeme Bishop, Feb.13, 2020. Followed by the assemblage remarks for each unit, provided by Overburden Drilling Management: see full Reports in the appendix of this report. Coordinates for till samples composing each Sample Unit included. Because units do not all weigh the same amount, ODM table weights were used for grain count normalization conversions to a value of 10 kg to standardize results.

| Unit 13: Samples |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GLW-3 | 0605090 E | 5245731 N |
| GLW-4 | 0605091 E | 5245603 N |
| GLW-5 | 0605230 E | 5245614 N |


| ODM Table Weight | Normalized to 10kg | sample composition |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit 13: 8.5 kg Normalized: $\mathbf{3 4 . 1 0 4} \mathrm{KIMs} / \mathbf{1 0 k g}$ |  |  |  |  |
| ODM KIM count:29 | GP: 7.056 | 20.69 | percent | Pyrope Garnet |
|  | GO: $\mathbf{2 . 3 5 2}$ | 6.897 | percent | Orange Garnet |
|  | DC: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | IM: 16.464 | 48.276 | percent | Ilmenite |
|  | CR: 5.88 | 17.241 | percent | Chromite |
|  | FO: 2.352 | 6.897 | percent | Forsterite |

ODM Batch No. 8213
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 2 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates = 1 IM and 1 CR ; and 2 FO versus diopside candidates $=2$ FO. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 GO versus grossular candidates $=2 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope). 1 IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 5 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles.

Unit 14: Samples

| GLW-1 | 0605253 E | 5245862 N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GLW-2 | 0605159 E | 5245812 N |
| GLW-6 | 0605289 E | 5245747 N |
| GLW-7 | 0605382 E | 5245665 N |
| GLW-8 | 0605375 E | 5245891 N |

Unit 14: $\mathbf{1 2 . 2 k g}$ Normalized: $\mathbf{2 9 . 4 8 4} \mathrm{KIMs} / \mathbf{1 0 k g}$

| ODM KIM count:36 | GP: 4.914 | 16.667 | percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$\quad$ Pyrope Garnet

ODM Batch No. 8213
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 1 FO versus diopside candidate $=1$ FO. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); 6 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2 \mathrm{IM}$ and 4 CR ; and 1 FO versus diopside candidate $=1 \mathrm{FO}$.

Unit 15: Samples

| GLW-9 | 0605186 E | 5245979 N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GLW-10 | 0605084 E | 5245948 N |
| GLW-11 | 0604973 E | 5245922 N |

Unit 15: $7.5 \mathrm{~kg} \quad$ Normalized: $\mathbf{2 3 . 9 9 4} \mathrm{KIMs} / \mathbf{1 0 k g}$

| ODM KIM count:18 | GP: 7.998 | 33.333 | percent | Pyrope Garnet |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | GO: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | DC: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | IM: 1.333 | 5.556 | percent | Ilmenite |
|  | CR: 14.663 | 61.111 | percent | Chromite |

FO: 0
ODM Batch No. 8213
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1$ grossular. SEM check from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1 \mathrm{IM}$.

Unit 16: Samples

| GLW-12 | 0605096 E | 5246086 N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GLW-13 | 0604968 E | 5246068 N |
| GLW-14 | 0604871 E | 5246077 N |

Unit 16: $7.6 \mathrm{~kg} \quad$ Normalized: $\mathbf{3 2 . 8 7 5} \mathrm{KIMs} / \mathbf{1 0 k g}$

| ODM KIM count:25 | GP: 5.26 | 16 | percent | Pyrope Garnet |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | GO: 1.315 | 4 | percent | Orange Garnet |
|  | DC: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | IM: 11.835 | 36 | percent | Ilmenite |
|  | CR: 13.15 | 40 | percent | Chromite |
|  | FO: 1.315 | 4 | percent | Forsterite |

ODM Batch No. 8213
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 5 GO versus grossular candidates $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope) and 4 grossular; and 5 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2 \mathrm{IM}, 1$ crustal ilmenite and 2 CR . 3 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles.

Unit 17: Samples

| GLW-15 | 0605077 E | 5246248 N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GLW-16 | 0604952 E | 5246252 N |

Unit 17: 4.4kg Normalized: $11.36 \mathrm{KIMs} / 10 \mathrm{~kg}$

## ODM KIM count:5 GP: 0

GO: 0
DC: 0

| IM: 2.272 | 20 | percent | Ilmenite |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CR: 9.088 | 80 | percent | Chromite |

FO: 0
ODM Batch No. 8213
ODM remarks: Orthopyroxene-fayalite-ilmenite/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1 \mathrm{IM}$.; 5 fayalite (major paramagnetic assemblange mineral) candidates = 5 fayalite; and 5 orthopyroxene (major paramagnetic assemblage mineral) versus augite candidates $=5$ orthopyroxene.

Unit 18: Samples

| NLW-1 | 0605111 E | 5244972 N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NLW-2 | 0605307 E | 5244978 N |
| NLW-4 | 0605286 E | 5244803 N |


| Unit 18: 8.6 kg | Normalized: $11.62 \mathrm{KIMs} / 10 \mathrm{~kg}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ODM KIM count:10 | GP: 2.324 | 20 | percent | Pyrope Garnet |
|  | GO: 1.162 | 10 | percent | Orange Garnet |
|  | DC: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | IM: 5.81 | 50 | percent | Ilmenite |
|  | CR: 2.324 | 20 | percent | Chromite |
|  | FO: 0 |  |  |  |

ODM Batch No. 8213
ODM remarks: Almandine-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); and 2 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates = 1 IM and 1 crustal ilmenite.

Unit 19: Samples

| NLW-3 | 0605473 E |  | 5244985 N |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NLW-5 | 0605541 E |  | 5244802 N |  |  |  |
| Unit 19: 4.9 kg | Normalized: $6.12 \mathrm{KIMs} / 10 \mathrm{~kg}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| ODM KIM count:3 |  | GP: 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | GO: 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | DC: 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | IM: 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | CR: 6.12 |  | 100 | percent | Chromite |
|  |  | FO: 0 |  |  |  |  |

ODM Batch No. 8213
ODM remarks: Almandine/epidote-diopside assemblage.

Unit 20: Samples

| NLW-6 | 0605336 E | 5244631 N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NLW-7 | 0605504 E | 5244648 N |

Unit 20: $4.7 \mathrm{~kg} \quad$ Normalized: $10.635 \mathrm{KIMs} / \mathbf{1 0 k g}$

| ODM KIM count:5 | GP: 6.381 | 60 | percent | Pyrope Garnet |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | GO: 2.127 | 20 | percent | Orange Garnet |

ODM Batch No. 8213
ODM remarks: Almandine/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 GO versus staurolite candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope).

Unit 21: Samples

| NLL-5 | 0606577 E | 5245551 N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NLL-6 | 0606441 E | 5245559 N |


| Unit 21: 9.5 kg | Normalized: $8.416 \mathrm{KIMs} / 10 \mathrm{~kg}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ODM KIM count:8 | GP: 2.104 | 25 | percent | Pyrope Garnet |
|  | GO: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | DC: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | IM: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | CR: 3.156 | 37.5 | percent | Chromite |
|  | FO: 3.156 | 37.5 | percent | Forsterite |

ODM Batch No. 8213
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 1 FO versus diopside candidate $=1$ FO. SEM check from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 FO versus diopside candidates $=2$ FO.

Unit 22: Samples

| NLL-1 | 0606508 E | 5245389 N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NLL-2 | 0606556 E | 5245305 N |
| NLL-3 | 0606680 E | 5245266 N |
| NLL-4 | 0606685 E | 5245382 N |

Unit 22: $9.5 \mathrm{~kg} \quad$ Normalized: $10.52 \mathrm{KIMs} / 10 \mathrm{~kg}$

| ODM KIM count:10 | GP: 1.052 | 10 | percent | Pyrope Garnet |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | GO: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | DC: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | IM: 1.052 | 10 | percent | Ilmenite |
|  | CR: 8.416 | 80 | percent | Chromite |

ODM Batch No. 8213
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 5 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1 \mathrm{IM}, 3$ crustal ilmenite and 1 CR . Sole IM from 0.250.5 mm fraction has partial alteration mantle.


ODM Batch No. 8213
ODM remarks: Amandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. 1 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction has partial alteration mantle.

| Unit 24: Samples |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NLL-8 | 0606778 E | 5244901 N |
| NLL-16 | 0606820 E | 5245091 N |
| NLL-17 | 0607000 E | 5245005 N |

Unit 24: $8.4 \mathrm{~kg} \quad$ Normalized: $22.61 \mathrm{KIMs} / 10 \mathrm{~kg}$

| ODM KIM count:19 | GP: 1.19 | 5.263 | percent | Pyrope Garnet |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | GO: 1.19 | 5.263 | percent | Orange Garnet |
|  | DC: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | IM: 5.95 | 26.316 | percent | Ilmenite |
|  | CR: 13.09 | 57.895 | percent | Chromite |
|  | FO: 1.19 | 5.263 | percent | Forsterite |

ODM Batch No. 8213
ODM remarks: Almandine/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 GO versus staurolite candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); and 2 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1 \mathrm{IM}$ and 1 crustal ilmenite. 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles.

Unit 25: Samples

| NLL-9 | 0606814 E | 5244722 N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NLL-10 | 0606945 E | 5244731 N |
| NLL-11 | 0607063 E | 5244776 N |

Unit 25: 8.2 kg Normalized: $\mathbf{3 1 . 6 9 4 \mathrm { KIMs } / 1 0 \mathrm { kg } :}$

| ODM KIM count:26 | GP: 7.314 | 23.077 | percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

ODM Batch No. 8213
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus almandine candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope). SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 2 GP verus almandine candidates = 2 GP ; and 6 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates = $1 \mathrm{IM}, 2$ crustal ilmenite and 3 CR .

## Unit 26: $\quad 22$ kg

Paradis-Goodwin Creek group six samples blended. Not covered in this report.

| Unit 27: Samples |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NLL-12 | 0607145 E | 5244881 N |  |  |
| NLL-13 | 0607147 E | 5245022 N |  |  |
| NLL-14 | 0607000 E | 5245005 N |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 27: 9.4kg | Normalized: $\mathbf{4 4 . 5 2 \mathrm { KIMs } / \mathbf { 1 0 k g }}$ |  |  |  |
| ODM KIM count:42 | GP: $\mathbf{3 . 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 1 4 3}$ | percent | Pyrope Garnet |
|  | GO: $\mathbf{2 . 1 2}$ | 4.762 | percent | Orange Garnet |
|  | DC: $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |  |
|  | IM: 19.08 | 42.857 | percent | Ilmenite |
|  | CR: 12.72 | 28.571 | percent | Chromite |
|  | FO: $\mathbf{7 . 4 2}$ | 16.667 | percent | Forsterite |

ODM Batch No. 8213
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); 3 FO versus epidote candidates $=2$ FO and 1 epidote. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-
poor pyrope); 1 IM versus CR candidate $=1 \mathrm{CR}$; and 1 FO versus diopside candidate $=1 \mathrm{FO}$. Sole IM from 1.0-2.0 mm, both IM from 0.5-1.0 mm, and 1 GP and 5 IM from 0.25-0.5 fractions have partial alteration mantles.

Unit 28: Samples

| LL-1 | 0606617 E | 5244738 N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| LL-2 | 0606476 E | 5244746 N |

Unit 28: $5.6 \mathrm{~kg} \quad$ Normalized: $16.065 \mathrm{KIMs} / 10 \mathrm{~kg}$

| ODM KIM count:9 | GP: 1.785 | 11.111 | percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | GO: 1.785 | 11.111 | percent |

ODM Batch No. 8213
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 GO versus staurolite candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope). Sole IM from 0.5-1.0 mm and 1 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fractions have partial alteration mantles.

## Unit 29: Samples

| LL-B | 0606467 E | 5244609 N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| LL-C | 0606590 E | 5244545 N |

Unit 29: $5.6 \mathrm{~kg} \quad$ Normalized: $\mathbf{6 2 . 4 7 5 \mathrm { KIMs } / \mathbf { 1 0 k g }}$

| ODM KIM count:35 | GP: 7.14 | 11.429 | percent | Pyrope Garnet |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | GO: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | DC: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | IM: 28.56 | 45.714 | percent | Ilmenite |
|  | CR: 21.42 | 34.286 | percent | Chromite |
|  | FO: 5.355 | 8.571 | percent | Forsterite |

ODM Batch No. 8213
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. Sole IM from 1.0-2.0 mm; 2 IM from 0.5-1.0 mm ; and 5 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fractions have partial alteration mantles.

Unit 30: Samples

| LL-7 | 0606028 E | 5244867 N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| LL-10 | 0606202 E | 5244993 N |

Unit 30: $5.0 \mathrm{~kg} \quad$ Normalized: $42 \mathrm{KIMs} / 10 \mathrm{~kg}$

| ODM KIM count:21 | GP: 4 | 9.524 | percent | Pyrope Garnet |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | GO: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | DC: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | IM: 20 | 47.619 | percent | Ilmenite |
|  | CR: 16 | 38.095 | percent | Chromite |
|  | FO: 2 | 4.762 | percent | Forsterite |

ODM Batch No. 8213
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 13 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=4 \mathrm{IM}, 4$ crustal ilmenite and 5 CR ; and 3 FO versus epidote candidates $=1 \mathrm{FO}$ and 2 epidote. 1 IM from 0.5-1.0 mm; 1 GP and 5 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fractions have partial alteration mantles.

## Unit 31: Samples

| LL-3 | 0606323 E | 5244776 N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| LL-8 | 0606218 E | 5244876 N |
| LL-9 | 0606305 E | 5244950 N |

Unit 31: $8.5 \mathrm{~kg} \quad$ Normalized: $\mathbf{3 1 . 7 5 2 \mathrm { KIMs } / \mathbf { 1 0 k g }}$
ODM KIM count:27 GP: 2.352 7.407 percent Pyrope Garnet

GO: 0
DC: 0
IM: 10.584
CR: 18.816
33.333
percent
Ilmenite
59.259 percent

Chromite

ODM Batch No. 8213
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 3 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1 \mathrm{IM}$ and 2 CR . Sole IM from 1.0-2.0 mm; 1 IM from 0.5-1.0 mm and 2 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fractions have partial alteration mantles.

Unit 32: Samples

| LL-4 | 0606201 E | 5244711 N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| LL-5 | 0606082 E | 5244655 N |
| LL-6 | 0606115 E | 5244787 N |

Unit 32: $7.8 \mathrm{~kg} \quad$ Normalized: $15.384 \mathrm{KIMs} / \mathbf{1 0 k g}$

| ODM KIM count:12 | GP: 1.282 | 8.333 | percent | Pyrope Garnet |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | GO: 1.282 | 8.333 | percent | Orange Garnet |
|  | DC: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | IM: 6.41 | 41.667 | percent | Ilmenite |
|  | CR: 3.846 | 25 | percent | Chromite |
|  | FO: 2.564 | 16.667 | percent | Forsterite |

ODM Batch No. 8213
ODM remarks: Hornblende-almandine/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. SEM check from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 1 GP versus almandine candidate $=1 \mathrm{GP}$. SEM check from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 IM versus CR candidate $=1 \mathrm{CR}$. 1 GP from $0.5-1.0$; and 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles.

Unit 33: Samples

| LL-A | 0606334 E | 5244542 N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| LL-I | 0606404 E | 5244354 N |
| LL-J | 0606300 E | 5244309 N |

Unit 33: $7.8 \mathrm{~kg} \quad$ Normalized: $15.384 \mathrm{KIMs} / 10 \mathrm{~kg}$

| ODM KIM count:12 | GP: 1.282 | 8.333 | percent | Pyrope Garnet |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | GO: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | DC: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | IM: 10.256 | 66.666 | percent | Ilmenite |
|  | CR: 3.846 | 25 | percent | Chromite |
|  | FO: 0 |  |  |  |

ODM Batch No. 8213

ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. SEM checks from 0.250.5 mm fraction: 2 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2 \mathrm{IM}$. 1 IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles.

Unit 34: Samples

| LL-D | 0606585 E | 5244409 N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| LL-E | 0606576 E | 5244278 N |

Unit 34: 7.3kg Normalized: $71 \mathrm{KIMs} / 10 \mathrm{~kg}$

| ODM KIM count:52 | GP: 8.214 | 11.538 | percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

ODM Batch No. 8214
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. SEM checks from 0.250.5 mm fraction: 1 GP versus almandine candidate $=1 \mathrm{GP}$; and 3 GO versus grossular candidates $=3$ grossular. 1 GP from 0.5-1.0 mm and 2 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles.

| Unit 35: |  | Samples |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |
| LL-G | 0606361 E | 5244127 N |
| LL-F | 0606488 E | 5244176 N |

Unit 35: $4.3 \mathrm{~kg} \quad$ Normalized: $\mathbf{3 0 . 2 2 5} \mathrm{KIMs} / \mathbf{1 0 k g}$

| ODM KIM count:13 | GP: 2.325 | 7.692 | percent | Pyrope Garnet |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | GO: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | DC: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | IM: 6.975 | 23.077 | percent | Ilmenite |
|  | CR: 20.925 | 69.231 | percent | Chromite |

ODM Batch No. 8214
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage.

Unit 36: Samples

| LL-H | 0606254 E | 5244076 N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NL-1 | 0606096 E | 5243986 N |
| NL-10 | 0606138 E | 5243875 N |

Unit 36: $8.5 \mathrm{~kg} \quad$ Normalized: $19.992 \mathrm{KIMs} / 10 \mathrm{~kg}$

| ODM KIM count:17 | GP: 1.176 | 5.882 | percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

ODM Batch No. 8214
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. SEM checks from 0.250.5 mm fraction: 1 GP versus almandine candidate $=1 \mathrm{GP} ; 1 \mathrm{GO}$ versus grossular candidate $=1$ grossular. Sole GP and 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles.

Unit 37: Samples

| NL-2 | 0605955 E | 5243904 N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{NL}-3$ | 0606014 E | 5243767 N |

Unit 37: $4.7 \mathrm{~kg} \quad$ Normalized: $27.651 \mathrm{KIMs} / \mathbf{1 0 k g}$

| ODM KIM count:13 | GP: 2.127 | 7.692 | percent | Pyrope Garnet |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | GO: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | DC: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | IM: 14.889 | 53.846 | percent | Ilmenite |
|  | CR: 6.381 | 23.077 | percent | Chromite |
|  | FO: 4.254 | 15.385 | percent | Forsterite |

ODM Batch No. 8214
ODM remarks: Almandine-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 6 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=5 \mathrm{IM}$ and 1 crustal ilmenite. Both IM from 0.5-1.0 mm fractions have partial alteration mantles.

Unit 38: Samples


ODM Batch No. 8214
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 2 FO versus epidote candidates $=1 \mathrm{FO}$ and 1 epidote. 1 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction has a partial alteration mantle.

## Unit 39: Samples

| NL-6 | 0605916 E | 5243554 N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NL-7 | 0606077 E | 5243515 N |

Unit 39: $4.7 \mathrm{~kg} \quad$ Normalized: $\mathbf{2 3 . 3 9 7} \mathrm{KIMs} / \mathbf{1 0 k g}$

| ODM KIM count:11 | GP: 2.127 | 9.091 | percent | Pyrope Garnet |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | GO: 2.127 | 9.091 | percent | Orange Garnet |
|  | DC: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | IM: 8.508 | 36.364 | percent | Ilmenite |
|  | CR: 8.508 | 36.364 | percent | Chromite |
|  | FO: 2.127 | 9.091 | percent | Forsterite |

ODM Batch No. 8214
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 2 FO versus epidote candidates $=2$ epidote. 2 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles.

Unit 40: Samples

| NL-8 | 0606234 E | 5243655 N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NL-9 | 0606266 E | 5243773 N |
| NL-11 | 0606385 E | 5243721 N |

Unit 40: $7.7 \mathrm{~kg} \quad$ Normalized: $\mathbf{2 4 . 6 6 2} \mathrm{KIMs} / \mathbf{1 0 k g}$

| ODM KIM count:19 | GP: 5.192 | 21.053 | percent | Pyrope Garnet |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | GO: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | DC: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | IM: 5.192 | 21.053 | percent | Ilmenite |
|  | CR: 12.98 | 52.632 | percent | Chromite |
|  | FO: 1.298 | 5.263 | percent | Forsterite |

ODM Batch No. 8214
ODM remarks: Almandine-augite-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from 1.0-2.0 mm fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1 \mathrm{IM}$. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 3 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2$ crustal ilmenite and $1 C R$; and $5 C R$ candidates $=4 C R$ and 1 crustal ilmenite.

Unit 41: Samples

| LC-6 | 0606816 E | 5244111 N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| LC-7 | 0606729 E | 5244067 N |


| ODM KIM count:17 | GP: 4.254 | 11.765 | percent | Pyrope Garnet |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | GO: 4.254 | 11.765 | percent | Orange Garnet |
|  | DC: 2.127 | 5.882 | percent | Chrome Diopside |
|  | IM: 10.635 | 29.412 | percent | Ilmenite |
|  | CR: 12.762 | 35.294 | percent | Chromite |
|  | FO: 2.127 | 5.882 | percent | Forsterite |

ODM Batch No. 8214
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage.


ODM Batch No. 8214
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); 3 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2 \mathrm{IM}$ and 1 CR ; and 4 CR candidates $=4 \mathrm{CR} .1 \mathrm{GP}$ from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction lost in transfer to vial.

Unit 43: Samples

| LLS-3 | 0607135 E | 5244304 N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| LLS-4 | 0607276 E | 5244224 N |

Unit 43: $4.7 \mathrm{~kg} \quad$ Normalized: $19.143 \mathrm{KIMs} / 10 \mathrm{~kg}$

| ODM KIM count:9 | GP: 2.127 | 11.111 | percent | Pyrope Garnet |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | GO: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | DC: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | IM: 6.381 | 33.333 | percent | Ilmenite |
|  | CR: 10.635 |  | percent | Chromite |

ODM Batch No. 8214
ODM remarks: Almandine-fayalite-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 6 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1 \mathrm{IM}, 4$ crustal ilmenite and 1 CR .

Unit 44: Samples

| LLS-5 | 0607389 E | 5244340 N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| LLS-6 | 0607562 E | 5244390 N |

Unit 44: $4.9 \mathrm{~kg} \quad$ Normalized: $16.32 \mathrm{KIMs} / 10 \mathrm{~kg}$

| ODM KIM count:8 | GP: 2.04 | 12.5 | percent | Pyrope Garnet |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | GO: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | DC: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | IM: 8.16 | 50 | percent | Ilmenite |
|  | CR: 4.08 | 25 | percent | Chromite |
|  | FO: 2.04 | 12.5 | percent | Forsterite |

ODM Batch No. 8214
ODM remarks: Hornblende-almandine/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 2 GO versus spessartine candidates $=2$ almandine; 2 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates = 2 IM ; and 1 FO versus epidote candidate $=1$ epidote. Sole IM from 0.5-1.0 fraction has a partial alteration mantle.

## Unit 45: Samples

| LC-5 | 0606940 E | 5244005 N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| LC-8 | 0606737 E | 5243912 N |

Unit 45: $\mathbf{4 . 8 \mathrm { kg } \quad \text { Normalized: } \mathbf { 2 4 . 9 9 6 } \mathrm { KIMs } / \mathbf { 1 0 k g } , ~}$

| ODM KIM count:12 | GP: 8.332 | 33.333 | percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | GO: 2.083 | 8.333 | percent |

ODM Batch No. 8214
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{Mn}$-almandine. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); 1 IM versus CR candidates $=1$ crustal ilmenite; and 1 FO versus epidote candidates $=1$ epidote. 1 GP and 2 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm have partial alteration mantles.

Unit 46: Samples

| LLSE-4 | 0607253 E | 5243826 N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| LLSE-5 | 0607149 E | 5243969 N |

Unit 46: $4.5 \mathrm{~kg} \quad$ Normalized: $39.996 \mathrm{KIMs} / \mathbf{1 0 k g}$

| ODM KIM count:18 | GP: 8.888 | 22.222 | percent | Pyrope Garnet |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | GO: 4.444 | 11.111 | percent | Orange Garnet |
|  | DC: 4.444 | 11.111 | percent | Chrome Diopside |
|  | IM: 8.888 | 22.222 | percent | Ilmenite |
|  | CR: 6.666 | 16.667 | percent | Chromite |
|  | FO: 6.666 | 16.667 | percent | Forsterite |

ODM Batch No. 8214
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 7 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=4 \mathrm{IM}$ and 3 crustal ilmenite. 3 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles.


ODM Batch No. 8214
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 4 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1 \mathrm{IM}, 1$ crustal ilmenite, 1 tourmaline and 1 andradite. 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles.

| Unit 48: Samples |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LLSE-2 0 | 0607574 E | E 52 |  |  |  |
| LLSE-7 0 | 0607497 E |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 48: $4.6 \mathrm{~kg} \quad$ Normalized: $26.076 \mathrm{KIMs} / \mathbf{1 0 k g}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| ODM KIM count:12 |  | GP: 0 |  |  |  |
|  |  | GO: 0 |  |  |  |
|  |  | DC: 2.173 | 8.333 | percent | Chrome Diopside |
|  |  | IM: 15.211 | 58.333 | percent | Ilmenite |
|  |  | CR: 8.692 | 33.333 | percent | Chromite |
|  |  | FO: 0 |  |  |  |

ODM Batch No. 8214
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 GO versus staurolite candidate $=1$ staurolite. 1 IM from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction has a partial alteration mantle.

| Unit 49: Samples |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| LLSE-1 | 0607721 E | 5244115 N |
| LLS-7 | 0607686 E | 5244290 N |

Unit 49: 4.3kg Normalized: $39.525 \mathrm{KIMs} / 10 \mathrm{~kg}$

| ODM KIM count:17 | GP: 2.325 | 5.882 | percent | Pyrope Garnet |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | GO: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | DC: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | IM: 16.275 | 41.176 | percent | Ilmenite |
|  | CR: 16.275 | 41.176 | percent | Chromite |
|  | FO: 4.65 | 11.765 | percent | Forsterite |

ODM Batch No. 8214
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from 1.0-2.0 mm fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1$ crustal ilmenite. SEM check from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1$ almandine. 2 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles.

| Unit 50: Samples |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
| NL-12 | 0606514 E | 5243738 N | not ideal- est.50\% black muck |
| LC-1 | 0606610 E | 5243622 N |  |
| LC-2 | 0606762 E | 5243621 N |  |
| LC-9 | 0606688 E | 5243767 N |  |

Unit 50: 7.9kg Normalized: $24.035 \mathrm{KIMs} / \mathbf{1 0 k g}$ VALUES SHOULD BE multiplied 110\% (See end note)

| ODM KIM count:19 | GP: 2.53 | 10.526 | percent | Pyrope Garnet |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | GO: 3.795 | 15.789 | percent | Orange Garnet |
|  | DC: 1.265 | 5.263 | percent | Chrome Diopside |
|  | IM: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | CR: 12.65 | 52.632 | percent | Chromite |
|  | FO: 3.795 | 15.789 | percent | Forsterite |

ODM Batch No. 8214
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 4 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=4$ crustal ilmenite.

Unit 51: Samples

| LC-3 | 0606923 E | 5243640 N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| LC-4 | 0606936 E | 5243817 N |

Unit 51: $4.6 \mathrm{~kg} \quad$ Normalized: $41.287 \mathrm{KIMs} / \mathbf{1 0 k g}$

| ODM KIM count:19 | GP: 2.173 | 5.263 | percent | Pyrope Garnet |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | GO: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | DC: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | IM: 17.384 | 42.105 | percent | Ilmenite |
|  | CR: 21.73 | 52.632 | percent | Chromite |

ODM Batch No. 8214
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 2 GO versus grossular candidates $=2$ almandine. 3 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles.

Unit 52: Samples

| CW-1 | 0606066 E | 5243263 N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CW-2 | 0606107 E | 5243007 N |

Unit 52: $4.7 \mathrm{~kg} \quad$ Normalized: $31.905 \mathrm{KIMs} / \mathbf{1 0 k g}$

| ODM KIM count:15 | GP: 2.127 | 6.667 | percent | Pyrope Garnet |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | GO: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | DC: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | IM: 8.508 | 26.667 | percent | Ilmenite |
|  | CR: 17.016 | 53.333 | percent | Chromite |
|  | FO: 4.254 | 13.333 | percent | Forsterite |

ODM Batch No. 8214
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage.

Unit 53: Samples

| CW-4 | 0606331 E | 5243219 N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CW-6 | 0606546 E | 5243242 N |

Unit 53: $4.8 \mathrm{~kg} \quad$ Normalized: $27.079 \mathrm{KIMs} / \mathbf{1 0 k g}$
ODM KIM count:13 GP: 0
GO: 0
DC: 0
IM: 12.498
46.154 percent Ilmenite

CR: 14.581
53.846 percent Chromite

FO: 0
ODM Batch No. 8214
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. Sole IM from 0.5-1.0 mm and 3 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fractions have partial alteration mantles.

## Unit 54: Samples

| CW-3 | 0606265 E | 5242809 N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CW-5 | 0606447 E | 5242977 N |


| Unit 54: 5.6 kg | Normalized: $46.41 \mathrm{KIMs} / 10 \mathrm{~kg}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ODM KIM count:26 | GP: 1.785 | 3.846 | percent | Pyrope Garnet |
|  | GO: 3.57 | 7.692 | percent | Orange Garnet |
|  | DC: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | IM: 21.42 | 46.154 | percent | Ilmenite |
|  | CR: 10.71 | 23.077 | percent | Chromite |
|  | FO: 8.925 | 19.231 | percent | Forsterite |

ODM Batch No. 8215
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 3 FO versus diopside candidates $=3$ FO. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 GO versus almandine $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); and 4 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=4 \mathrm{IM} .1 \mathrm{GO}$ and 3 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles.

## Unit 55: Samples

| CNE-1 | 0607076 E | 5243340 N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CNE-3 | 0606900 E | 5243396 N |

Unit 55: $5.3 \mathrm{~kg} \quad$ Normalized: $\mathbf{3 9 . 6 0 6} \mathrm{KIMs} / \mathbf{1 0 k g}$

| ODM KIM count:21 | GP: 7.544 | 19.048 | percent | Pyrope Garnet |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | GO: 3.772 | 9.524 | percent | Orange Garnet |
|  | DC: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | IM: 16.974 | 42.857 | percent | Ilmenite |


| CR: 5.658 | 14.286 | percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| FO: 5.658 | 14.286 | percent |

ODM Batch No. 8215
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 2 GO versus grossular candidates $=2 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); and 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1 \mathrm{IM}$. One GP from 0.5-1.0 mm and both GP, 1 GO , and 3 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fractions have partial alteration mantles.

Unit 56: Samples

| CNE-2 | 0607251 E | 5243531 N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CNE-12 | 0607576 E | 5243581 N |

Unit 56: $4.2 \mathrm{~kg} \quad$ Normalized: $52.36 \mathrm{KIMs} / \mathbf{1 0 k g}$

| ODM KIM count:22 | GP: 4.76 | 9.091 | percent | Pyrope Garnet |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | GO: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | DC: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | IM: 16.66 | 31.818 | percent | Ilmenite |
|  | CR: 28.56 | 54.545 | percent | Chromite |
|  | FO: 2.38 | 4.545 | percent | Forsterite |

ODM Batch No. 8215
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 GP versus ruby corundum candidate $=1$ ruby corundum; and 1 FO versus zoisite candidate $=$ 1 FO. Sole IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 1 GP , and 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles.

Unit 57: Samples

| CW-7 | 0606711 E | 5243045 N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CW-8 | 0606952 E | 5243144 N |

Unit 57: 4.0kg Normalized: $90 \mathrm{KIMs} / 10 \mathrm{~kg}$

| ODM KIM count:36 | GP: 12.5 | 13.889 | percent | Pyrope Garnet |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | GO: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | DC: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | IM: 40 | 44.444 | percent | Ilmenite |
|  | CR: 32.5 | 36.111 | percent | Chromite |
|  | FO: 5 | 5.556 | percent | Forsterite |

ODM Batch No. 8215
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. All 3 GP and 1 IM from 0.5-1.0 mm and 1 GP and 6 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles.

Unit 58: Samples

| CNE-4 | 0607264 E | 5243233 N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CNE-7 | 0607472 E | 5243321 N |


| Unit 58: 5.1 kg | Normalized: $\mathbf{2 5 . 4 8 \mathrm { KIMs } / \mathbf { 1 0 k g }}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| ODM KIM count:13 | GP: $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |  |
|  | GO: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | DC: 0 | 38.462 | percent | Ilmenite |
|  | IM: 9.8 | 46.154 | percent | Chromite |
|  | CR: 11.76 | 15.355 | percent | Forsterite |

ODM Batch No. 8215
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside-titanite assemblage.

| Unit 59: Samples |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CNE-9 0 | 0607764 E - 5243260 N |  |  |  |
| CNE-11 0 | 0607788 E - 5243481 N |  |  |  |
| Unit 59: 4.6kg Normalized: $47.806 \mathrm{KIMs} / 10 \mathrm{~kg}$ |  |  |  |  |
| ODM KIM count:22 | 2 GP: 4.346 | 9.091 | percent | Pyrope Garnet |
|  | GO: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | DC: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | IM: 15.211 | 31.818 | percent | Ilmenite |
|  | CR: 15.211 | 31.818 | percent | Chromite |
|  | FO: 13.038 | 27.273 | percent | Forsterite |

ODM Batch No. 8215
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage.

Unit 60: Samples


ODM Batch No. 8215
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 GO versus almandine candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); and 2 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2 \mathrm{IM}$.

| 61: Sample |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| PNE-1 | 0607004 E 5242745 N |
| Unit 61: 2.0 kg | Normalized: $0 \mathrm{KIMs} / \mathbf{1 0 k g}$ |
| ODM KIM count:0 | GP: 0 |
|  | GO: 0 |
|  | DC: 0 |
|  | IM: 0 |
|  | CR: 0 |
|  | FO: 0 |

ODM Batch No. 8215
ODM remarks: Hornblende-hematite/epidote-zircon assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 5 titanite versus zircon candidates $=5$ zircons.

Unit 62: Samples

| PNE-4 | 0607241 E | 5242744 N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CNE-10 | 0607193 E | 5242905 N |

Unit 62: $3.7 \mathrm{~kg} \quad$ Normalized: $\mathbf{2 7 . 0 2} \mathrm{KIMs} / \mathbf{1 0 k g}$

| ODM KIM count:10 | GP: 2.702 | 10 | percent | Pyrope Garnet |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | GO: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | DC: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | IM: 16.212 | 60 | percent | Ilmenite |
|  | CR: 8.106 | 30 | percent | Chromite |

ODM Batch No. 8215
ODM remarks: Hornblende-almandine/epidote-diopside assemblage. Sole IM from 0.5-1.0 mm and sole GP and 1 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fractions have partial alteration mantles.

| Unit 63: Samples |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CNE-5 | 0607296 E |  |  |  |  |
| CNE-6 | 0607366 E |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 63: $4.7 \mathrm{~kg} \quad$ Normalized: $31.905 \mathrm{KIMs} / 10 \mathrm{~kg}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| ODM KIM count:15 |  | GP: 6.381 | 20 | percent | Pyrope Garnet |
|  |  | GO: 0 |  |  |  |
|  |  | DC: 2.127 | 6.666 | percent | Chrome Diopside |
|  |  | IM: 17.016 | 53.33 | percent | Ilmenite |
|  |  | CR: 6.381 | 20 | percent | Chromite |
|  |  | FO: 0 |  |  |  |

ODM Batch No. 8215
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1 \mathrm{IM}$.

Unit 64: Samples
CNE-8 $0607631 \mathrm{E} \quad 5243004 \mathrm{~N}$

Unit 64: 2.5kg Normalized: $\mathbf{3 6} \mathrm{KIMs} / \mathbf{1 0 k g}$

| ODM KIM count:9 | GP: 4 | 11.111 | percent | Pyrope Garnet |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | GO: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | DC: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | IM: 0 |  |  | Chromite |
|  | CR: 24 | 22.622 | percent | percent |

ODM Batch No. 8215
ODM remarks: Hornblende-almandine/epidote-diopside assemblage.

Unit 65: Samples

| PNE-2 | 0606989 E | 5242537 N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PNE-3 | 0607187 E | 5242485 N |

Unit 65: $4.9 \mathrm{~kg} \quad$ Normalized: $102 \mathrm{KIMs} / 10 \mathrm{~kg}$
ODM KIM count:50 GP: 8.16 percent 8 Pyrope Garnet
GO: $4.08 \quad 4 \quad$ percent $\quad 4 \quad$ Orange Garnet

DC: 0

| IM: 4.08 | 4 | percent | Ilmenite |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CR: 81.6 | 80 | percent | Chromite |
| FO: 4.08 | 4 | percent | Forsterite |

ODM Batch No. 8215
ODM remarks: Almandine-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 2 GO versus grossular candidates $=2 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); and 3 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1 \mathrm{IM}, 1$ crustal ilmenite and 1 CR .


ODM Batch No. 8215
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1 \mathrm{CR}$.

Unit 67: Samples

| PNE-7 | 0607952 E | 5242730 N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PNE-8 | 0607765 E | 5242626 N |

Unit 67: 4.9kg Normalized: $\mathbf{2 6 . 5 2 \mathrm { KIMs } / 1 0 \mathrm { kg }}$
ODM KIM count:13 GP: 2.04 Pyrope Garnet

GO: 0
DC: 0
IM: 6.12
CR: 14.28
23.077 percent

Ilmenite
53.846 percent

Chromite
FO: 4.08
15.385 percent

Forsterite
ODM Batch No. 8215
ODM remarks: Almandine/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 FO versus diopside candidate $=1 \mathrm{FO} .1 \mathrm{IM}$ from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction has a partial alteration mantle.

Unit 68: Samples

| PNE-9 | 0607910 E | 5242443 N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PNE-10 | 0607763 E | 5242339 N |

Unit 68: $4.6 \mathrm{~kg} \quad$ Normalized: $13.038 \mathrm{KIMs} / 10 \mathrm{~kg}$ ODM KIM count:6 GP: 0

GO: 0
DC: 0
IM: 8.69
66.666 percent Ilmenite

CR: 4.346
33.333 percent Chromite

ODM Batch No. 8215

ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende/epidote-staurolite-diopside assemblage. Sole IM from 0.5-1.0 mm and 2 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fractions have partial alteration mantles.

| Unit 69: Samples |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PNE-11 | 0607577 E - 5242251 N |  |  |  |
| PNE-12 | 0607551 E - 5242076 N |  |  |  |
| Unit 69: 4.7kg N | Normalized: $63.81 \mathrm{KIMs} / 10 \mathrm{~kg}$ |  |  |  |
| ODM KIM count:30 | 0 GP: 8.508 | 13.333 | percent | Pyrope Garnet |
|  | GO: 2.127 | 3.333 | percent | Orange Garnet |
|  | DC: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | IM: 27.651 | 43.333 | percent | Ilmenite |
|  | CR: 23.397 | 36.666 | percent | Chromite |
|  | FO: 2.127 | 3.333 | percent | Forsterite |

ODM Batch No. 8215
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. 2 IM from 0.5-1.0 mm and 5 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fractions have partial alteration mantles.

Unit 70: Samples

| PP-6 | 0607122 E | 5241981 N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PP-7 | 0607314 E | 5241985 N |

Unit 70: $4.6 \mathrm{~kg} \quad$ Normalized: $\mathbf{1 5 . 2 1 1} \mathrm{KIMs} / \mathbf{1 0 k g}$

| ODM KIM count:7 | GP: 2.173 | 14.285 | percent | Pyrope Garnet |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | GO: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | DC: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | IM: 6.519 | 42.857 | percent | Ilmenite |
|  | CR: 6.519 | 42.857 | percent | Chromite |

ODM Batch No. 8215
ODM remarks: Almandine/epidote-diopside assemblage. 2 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles.

| Unit 71: Samples |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PP-3 | 0606878 E |  | 5241988 N |  |  |  |
| PP-8 | 0606718 E |  | 5242142 N |  |  |  |
| Unit 71: $4.6 \mathrm{~kg} \quad$ Normalized: $8.692 \mathrm{KIMs} / 10 \mathrm{~kg}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ODM KIM count:4 |  | GP: 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | GO: 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | DC: 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | M: 2.173 |  | 25 | percent | Ilmenite |
|  |  | CR: 6.519 |  | 75 | percent | Chromite |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

ODM Batch No. 8215
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende/epidote-staurolite assemblage.

Unit 72: Samples

| PP-1 | 0606637 E | 5241982 N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PP-2 | 0606581 E | 5241822 N |
| PP-9 | 0606532 E | 5242137 N |


| Unit 72: 7.9 kg | Normalized: $18.975 \mathrm{KIMs} / 10 \mathrm{~kg}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ODM KIM count:15 | GP: 3.795 | 20 | percent | Pyrope Garnet |
|  | GO: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | DC: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | IM: 6.325 | 33.333 | percent | Ilmenite |
|  | CR: 8.855 | 46.667 | percent | Chromite |

ODM Batch No. 8215
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende/epidote-staurolite-diopside assemblage. 1 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction has a partial alteration mantle.

| Unit 73: Samples |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PPS-1 | 0606792 E |  | 5241815 N |  |  |  |
| PPS-2 | 0606761 E |  | 5241645 N |  |  |  |
| Unit 73: $4.9 \mathrm{~kg} \quad$ Normalized: $16.23 \mathrm{KIMs} / 10 \mathrm{~kg}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ODM KIM count:8 |  | GP: 4.08 |  | 25 | percent | Pyrope Garnet |
|  |  | GO: 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | DC: 2.04 |  | 12.5 | percent | Chrome Diopside |
|  |  | IM: 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | CR: 10.2 |  | 62.5 | percent | Chromite |
|  |  | FO: 0 |  |  |  |  |

ODM Batch No. 8215
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage.

| PP-4 | 0606995 E | 5241826 N |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PP-5 | 0607195 E | 5241752 N | not ideal- est.50\% black muck |  |  |
| Unit 74: 4.3kg | Normalized: $6.975 \mathrm{KIMs} / 10 \mathrm{~kg}$ _VALUES SHOULD BE multiplied 122.8\% (See end note) |  |  |  |  |
| ODM KIM count:3 | GP: 2.325 |  | 33.333 | percent | Pyrope Garnet |
| GO: 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| DC: 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| IM: 4.65 |  |  | 66.666 | percent | Ilmenite |
| CR: 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| FO: 0 |  |  |  |  |  |

ODM Batch No. 8216
ODM remarks: Almandine-hematite-hornblende/epidote-staurolite assemblage. Sole IM from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction has a partial alteration mantle.

| Unit 75: Samples |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PPS-3 0606843 E 5241463 N <br> PPS-4 0607014 E 5241289 N |  |

Unit 75: 4.9kg Normalized: $16.32 \mathrm{KIMs} / 10 \mathrm{~kg}$

| ODM KIM count:8 | GP: 12.24 | 75 | percent | Pyrope Garnet |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | GO: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | DC: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | IM: 2.04 | 12.5 | percent | Ilmenite |
|  | CR: 2.04 | 12.5 | percent | Chromite |
|  | FO: 0 |  |  |  |

ODM Batch No. 8216
ODM remarks: Almandine-hematite/epidote-staurolite assemblage. Sole GP from 0.5-1.0 mm; and 2 GP and sole IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fractions have partial alteration mantles.


ODM Batch No. 8216
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende/epidote-staurolite-diopside assemblage. 1 IM from 0.5-1.0 mm and 1 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fractions have partial alteration mantles.

Unit 77: Samples

| PPS-7 | 0607433 E |  | 5241605 N |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GN-14 | 0607608 E |  | 5241545 N |  |  |  |
| Unit 77: 4.5kg | Normalized: $13.332 \mathrm{KIMs} / 10 \mathrm{~kg}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| ODM KIM count:6 |  | GP: 6.666 |  | 50 | percent | Pyrope Garnet |
|  |  | GO: 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | D: 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | M: 2.222 |  | 16.666 | percent | Ilmenite |
|  |  | CR: 4.444 |  | 33.333 | percent | Chromite |
|  |  | O: 0 |  |  |  |  |

ODM Batch No. 8216
ODM remarks: Almandine/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. Sole IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction has a partial alteration mantle.

Unit 78: Samples

| GN-12 | 0607927 E | 5241555 N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GN-13 | 0607772 E | 5241559 N |

Unit 78: 4.3kg Normalized: $18.6 \mathrm{KIMs} / 10 \mathrm{~kg}$
ODM KIM count:8 GP: 2.325
12.5 percent Pyrope Garnet

GO: 0
DC: 0
IM: $\mathbf{2 . 3 2 5}$
12.5 percent Ilmenite

| CR: 11.625 | 62.5 | percent | Chromite |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| FO: 2.325 | 12.5 | percent | Forsterite |

ODM Batch No. 8216
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage.

Unit 79: Samples

| GN-10 | 0608256 E | 5241557 N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GN-11 | 0608100 E | 5241549 N |

Unit 79: 4.8kg Normalized: $18.747 \mathrm{KIMs} / 10 \mathrm{~kg}$

| ODM KIM count:9 | GP: 2.083 | 11.111 | percent | Pyrope Garnet |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | GO: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | DC: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | IM: 8.332 | 44.444 | percent | Ilmenite |
|  | CR: 2.083 | 11.111 | percent | Chromite |
|  | FO: 6.249 | 33.333 | percent | Forsterite |

ODM Batch No. 8216
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. SEM check from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 1 FO versus diopside candidate $=1 \mathrm{FO}$. Sole IM from 0.5-1.0 mm and 1 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fractions have partial alteration mantles.

## Unit 80: Samples

| GN-7 0 | 0607739 E | 5241209 N |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GN-8 0 | 0607558 E | 5241190 N | not ideal- est.50\% black muck |  |  |
| Unit 80: $4.6 \mathrm{~kg} \quad$ N | Normalized: $\mathbf{3 2 . 5 9 5} \mathrm{KIMs} / 10 \mathrm{~kg}$ |  | VALUES SHOULD BE multiplied 123.9\% (See e |  |  |
| ODM KIM count:15 |  |  | 6.666 | percent | Pyrope Garnet |
|  |  |  | 6.666 | percent | Orange Garnet |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 13.333 | percent | Ilmenite |
|  |  |  | 46.666 | percent | Chromite |
|  |  |  | 26.666 | percent | Forsterite |

ODM Batch No. 8216
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1 \mathrm{IM}$. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 6 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=6$ crustal ilmenite and 1 FO candidate $=1 \mathrm{FO} .1 \mathrm{IM}$ from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction has a partial alteration mantle.

## Unit 81: Samples

| GN-5 | 0608049 E | 5241151 N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GN-6 | 0607904 E | 5241216 N |


| Unit 81: 4.6 kg | Normalized: $26.076 \mathrm{KIMs} / 10 \mathrm{~kg}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ODM KIM count:12 | GP: 4.346 |  |  |  |
|  | GO: 2.173 | 8.667 | percent | Pyrope Garnet |
|  | DC: 2.173 | 8.333 | percent | Orange Garnet |
|  | IM: 6.519 | 8.333 | percent | Chrome Diopside |
|  | CR: 8.692 | 25 | percent | Ilmenite |
|  | FO: 2.137 | 33.333 | percent | Chromite |
|  |  | 8.333 | percent | Forsterite |

ODM Batch No. 8216

ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. 2 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles.

Unit 82: Samples

| GN-3 | 0608332 E | 5241178 N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GN-4 | 0608176 E | 5241201 N |

Unit 82: 4.1kg Normalized: 9.756 KIMs/10kg_VALUES SHOULD BE multiplied 111.6\% (See end note)
ODM KIM count:4 GP: $2.439 \quad 25$ percent Pyrope Garnet

GO: 0
DC: 0
IM: 7.317
75 percent Ilmenite
CR: 0
FO: 0
ODM Batch No. 8216
ODM remarks: Almandine-augite/diopside assemblage. 1 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction has a partial alteration mantle.

## Unit 83: Samples



ODM Batch No. 8216
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. SEM checks from 0.250.5 mm fraction: 2 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2 \mathrm{IM}$.


ODM Batch No. 8216
ODM remarks: Almandine/epidote-staurolite assemblage. Both IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles.

Unit 85: Samples

| GLS-7 | 0608529 E | 5240438 N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GLS-11 | 0608719 E | 5240363 N |

Unit 85: $2.2 \mathrm{~kg} \quad$ Normalized: $\mathbf{3 6 . 3 6} \mathrm{KIMs} / \mathbf{1 0 k g}$

| ODM KIM count:8 | GP: 9.09 | 25 | percent | Pyrope Garnet |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | GO: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | DC: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | IM: 13.635 | 37.5 | percent | Ilmenite |
|  | CR: 13.635 | 37.5 | percent | Chromite |

ODM Batch No. 8216
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende/epidote-staurolite assemblage. Sole IM from 1.0-2.0 mm fraction has a partial alteration mantle.

| Unit 86: Samples |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GLS-A | 0608711 E |  | 5240627 N |  |  |  |
| GLS-12 | 0608855 E |  | 5240424 N |  |  |  |
| Unit 86: 7.6kg ODM KIM count: 6 | Normalized: $7.89 \mathrm{KIMs} / 10 \mathrm{~kg}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | GP: 2.63 |  | 33.333 | percent | Pyrope Garnet |
|  |  | GO: 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | DC: 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | IM: 1.315 |  | 16.666 | percent | Ilmenite |
|  |  | CR: 3.945 |  | 50 | percent | Chromite |
|  |  | FO: 0 |  |  |  |  |

ODM Batch No. 8216
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende/epidote-staurolite assemblage.

Unit 87: Samples

| GLS-B | 0608823 E | 5240783 N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GLS-C | 0608968 E | 5240673 N |

Unit 87: $5.3 \mathrm{~kg} \quad$ Normalized: $15.088 \mathrm{KIMs} / 10 \mathrm{~kg}$
ODM KIM count: 8 GP: 0
GO: 0
DC: 0
IM: $5.658 \quad 37.5$ percent Ilmenite
CR: $5.658 \quad 37.5$ percent Chromite
FO: 3.772 percent 25 Forsterite
ODM Batch No. 8216
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. SEM checks from 0.250.5 mm fraction: 2 FO versus zoisite candidates $=2$ FO. Sole IM from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction has a partial alteration mantle.

| Unit 88: Samples |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GLS-F | 0609460 E | 5240781 N |
| GLS-G | 0609156 E | 5240780 N |

Unit 88: $5.6 \mathrm{~kg} \quad$ Normalized: $8.925 \mathrm{KIMs} / 10 \mathrm{~kg}$

| ODM KIM count:5 | GP: 7.14 | 80 | percent | Pyrope Garnet |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | GO: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | DC: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | IM: 0 | 20 | percent | Chromite |

ODM Batch No. 8216
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. 3 GP from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles.

| Unit 89: Samples |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GLS-D 0 | 0609113 E - 5240437 N |  |  |  |
| GLS-E 0 | 0609336 E - 5240419 N |  |  |  |
| Unit 89: $4.4 \mathrm{~kg} \quad$ Normalized: $29.536 \mathrm{KIMs} / \mathbf{1 0 k g}$ |  |  |  |  |
| ODM KIM count:13 | 3 GP: 4.544 | 15.385 | percent | Pyrope Garnet |
|  | GO: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | DC: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | IM: 22.72 | 76.923 | percent | Ilmenite |
|  | CR: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | FO: 2.272 | 7.692 | percent | Forsterite |

ODM Batch No. 8216
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. SEM check from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1 \mathrm{IM}$. 1 IM from 0.5-1.0 mm and 3 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles.

| Unit 90: Samples |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GLS-5 | 0608643 E |  | 5239807 N |  |  |  |
| GLS-6 | 0608486 E |  | 5239877 N |  |  |  |
| Unit 90: 5.3 kg Normalized: $1.886 \mathrm{KIMs} / 10 \mathrm{~kg}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ODM KIM count:1 | GP: 1.886 |  |  | 100 | percent | Pyrope Garnet |
|  |  | GO: 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | D: 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | M: 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | CR: 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | O: 0 |  |  |  |  |

ODM Batch No. 8216
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage.

## Unit 91: Samples

| GLS-1 | 0608621 E | 5240072 N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GLS-2 | 0608758 E | 5240075 N |
| GLS-3 | 0608749 E | 5239961 N |
| GLS-4 | 0608608 E | 5239959 N |

Unit 91: 10.3 kg Normalized: $\mathbf{4 3 . 6 5 \mathrm { KIMs } / 1 0 \mathrm { kg }}$

| ODM KIM count:45 | GP: 9.7 | 22.222 | percent | Pyrope Garnet |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | GO: 0.97 | 2.222 | percent | Orange Garnet |


| DC: 0.97 | 2.222 | percent | Chrome Diopside |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| IM: 17.46 | 40 | percent | Ilmenite |
| CR: 13.58 | 31.111 | percent | Chromite |
| FO: 0.97 | 2.222 | percent | Forsterite |

ODM Batch No. 8216
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende/epidote-staurolite-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.250.5 mm fraction: 1 GO versus staurolite candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); 1 FO versus zoisite candidate $=1 \mathrm{FO} .4 \mathrm{GP}$ and 4 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles.


ODM Batch No. 8216
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende/epidote-staurolite assemblage. 1 IM from 0.5-1.0 mm; and sole GP and 4IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fractions have partial alteration mantles.

Unit 93: Samples

| HS-13 | 0609211 E | 5240178 N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| HS-14 | 0609370 E | 5240103 N |

Unit 93: $5.9 \mathrm{~kg} \quad$ Normalized: $\mathbf{3 0 . 4 9 2} \mathrm{KIMs} / \mathbf{1 0 k g}$
ODM KIM count:18 GP: $11.858 \quad 38.889$ percent Pyrope Garnet

GO: 0
DC: 0
IM: 8.47
CR: 3.388
Ilmenite
11.111 percent

Chromite
FO: 6.776
22.222 percent

Forsterite
ODM Batch No. 8216
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende/epidote-staurolite-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.250.5 mm fraction: 2 forsterite versus epidote candidates = 2 FO. 2 GP and 2 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles.

Unit 94: Samples

| HS-9 | 0609097 E | 5239923 N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| HS-10 | 0609223 E | 5239925 N |

Unit 94: $5.4 \mathrm{~kg} \quad$ Normalized: $16.659 \mathrm{KIMs} / 10 \mathrm{~kg}$

| ODM KIM count:9 | GP: 5.553 | 33.333 | percent | Pyrope Garnet |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | GO: 1.851 | 11.111 | percent | Orange Garnet |
|  | DC: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | IM: 1.851 | 11.111 | percent | Ilmenite |
|  | CR: 3.702 | 22.222 | percent | Chromite |
|  | FO: 3.702 | 22.222 | percent | Forsterite |

ODM Batch No. 8216
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende/epidote-staurolite assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1 \mathrm{IM} ; 2 \mathrm{FO}$ versus epidote candidates $=1 \mathrm{FO}$ and 1 epidote. Sole IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction has a partial alteration mantle.

Unit 95: Samples

| HS-7 | 0609100 E | 5239774 N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| HS-8 | 0609218 E | 5239805 N |

Unit 95: $5.7 \mathrm{~kg} \quad$ Normalized: $10.524 \mathrm{KIMs} / 10 \mathrm{~kg}$
ODM KIM count: 6 GP: 0
GO: 0
DC: 0
IM: 7.016
66.666 percent Ilmenite

CR: $3.508 \quad 33.333$ percent Chromite
FO: 0
ODM Batch No. 8216
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende/epidote assemblage. SEM check from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 GO versus staurolite candidate $=1$ grossular. 1 IM from 0.5-1.0 mm and 1 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fractions have partial alteration mantles.

Unit 96: Samples

| HS-4 | 0609247 E | 5239684 N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| HS-5 | 0609113 E | 5239648 N |
| HS-6 | 0608973 E | 5239655 N |

Unit 96: $\mathbf{8 . 3} \mathbf{k g} \quad$ Normalized: $\mathbf{2 4 . 0 8} \mathrm{KIMs} / \mathbf{1 0 k g}$
ODM KIM count:20 GP: 0
GO: 0

| DC: 1.204 | 5 | percent | Chrome Diopside |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| IM: 14.448 | 60 | percent | Ilmenite |
| CR: 8.428 | 35 | percent | Chromite |
| FO: 0 |  |  |  |

ODM Batch No. 8216
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. 3 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles.

Unit 97: Samples

| HS-1 | 0609035 E | 5239497 N |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| HS-2 | 0609140 E | 5239514 N |  |
| HS-3 | 0609313 E | 5239502 N | not screened |

Unit 97: 12.4 kg Normalized: $\mathbf{3 7 . 0 7 6} \mathrm{KIMs} / \mathbf{1 0 k g}$

| ODM KIM count:46 | GP: 8.866 | 23.913 | percent | Pyrope Garnet |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | GO: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | DC: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | IM: 11.284 | 30.435 | percent | Ilmenite |
|  | CR: 14.508 | 39.13 | percent | Chromite |
|  | FO: 2.418 | 6.522 | percent | Forsterite |

ODM Batch No. 8216

ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. SEM check from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 1 GO versus almandine candidate $=1$ grossular. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 GO versus staurolite candidate $=1$ grossular; and 2 FO versus enstatite candidates $=2$ epidote. 1 IM from 0.5-1.0 mm; and 2 GP and 5 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles.

Unit 98: Samples

| PLNW-9 | 0609396 E | 5239089 N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PLNW-10 | 0609239 E | 5239064 N |


| Unit 98: 4.5 kg | Normalized: $19.998 \mathrm{KIMs} / 10 \mathrm{~kg}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ODM KIM count:9 | GP: 2.222 | 11.111 | percent | Pyrope Garnet |
|  | GO: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | DC: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | IM: 2.222 | 11.111 | percent | Ilmenite |
|  | CR: 15.554 | 77.777 | percent | Chromite |

ODM Batch No. 8216
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende/epidote-staurolite assemblage.
$\qquad$

| Unit 99: Samples |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PLNW-4 | 0609544 E | 5239475 N |
| PLNW-8 | 0609624 E | 5239159 N |


| Unit 99: 5.0kg | Normalized: $\mathbf{3 2} \mathrm{KIMs} / 10 \mathrm{~kg}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ODM KIM count:16 | GP: 12 | 37.5 | percent | Pyrope Garnet |
|  | GO: 2 | 6.25 | percent | Orange Garnet |
|  | DC: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | IM: 8 | 25 | percent | Ilmenite |
|  | CR: 4 | 12.5 | percent | Chromite |
|  | FO: 6 | 18.75 | percent | Forsterite |

ODM Batch No. 8216
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende/epdiote assemblage. SEM check from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 GO versus staurolite candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope).

| Unit 100: Samples |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PLNW-1 | 0609641 E |  | 5239525 N |  |  |  |
| PLNW-2 | 0609715 E |  | 5239599 N |  |  |  |
| PLNW-5 | 0609580 E |  | 5239684 N |  |  |  |
| Unit 100: 8.1 kg Normalized: $9.872 \mathrm{KIMs} / 10 \mathrm{~kg}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ODM KIM count:8 |  | GP: 2.468 |  | 25 | percent | Pyrope Garnet |
|  |  | GO: 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | DC: 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | IM: 4.936 |  | 50 | percent | Ilmenite |
|  |  | CR: 1.234 |  | 12.5 | percent | Chromite |
|  |  | FO: 1.234 |  | 12.5 | percent | Forsterite |

ODM Batch No. 8216
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. 1 IM from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction has a partial alteration mantle.

Unit 101: Samples

| PLNW-3 | 0609763 E | 5239667 N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PLNW-6 | 0609673 E | 5239740 N |
| PLNW-7 | 0609794 E | 5239792 N |


| Unit 101: 7.8 kg | Normalized: $5.128 \mathrm{KIMs} / 10 \mathrm{~kg}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ODM KIM count:4 | GP: 1.282 | 25 | percent | Pyrope Garnet |
|  | GO: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | DC: 0 |  |  | Ilmenite |
|  | IM: 1.282 |  | percent |  |
|  | CR: 0 | 50 | percent | Forsterite |

ODM Batch No. 8216
ODM remarks: Hornblende-almandine/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 2 FO versus diopside candidates $=2$ FO. Sole GP from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction has a partial alteration mantle.

Unit 102: Samples

| PLSE-1 | 0609706 E | 5238920 N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PLSE-2 | 0609862 E | 5238890 N |
| PLSE-8 | 0609953 E | 5238987 N |

Unit 102: 7.6kg Normalized: $22.355 \mathrm{KIMs} / \mathbf{1 0 k g}$

| ODM KIM count:17 | GP: 3.945 | 17.647 | percent | Pyrope Garnet |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | GO: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | DC: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | IM: 13.15 | 58.824 | percent | Ilmenite |
|  | CR: 2.63 | 11.765 | percent | Chromite |
|  | FO: 2.63 | 11.765 | percent | Forsterite |

ODM Batch No. 8216
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. SEM check from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 1 FO versus diopside candidate $=1 \mathrm{FO}$. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 2 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2 \mathrm{IM}$; 1 FO versus diopside candidate $=1 \mathrm{FO}$; and 1 enstatite versus FO candidate $=1$ enstatite. 2 GP and 4 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles.

| Unit 103: Samples |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PLSE-3 | 0610017 E | 5238864 N |
| PLSE-7 | 0610093 E | 5238746 N |

Unit 103: 4.6 kg Normalized: $\mathbf{2 6 . 0 8 8} \mathrm{KIMs} / \mathbf{1 0 k g}$ ODM KIM count:12 GP: 0

| GO: 2.174 | 8.333 | percent | Orange Garnet |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DC: 2.174 | 8.333 | percent | Chrome Diopside |
| IM: 13.044 | 50 | percent | Ilmenite |
| CR: 8.696 | 33.333 | percent | Chromite |
| FO: 0 |  |  |  |

ODM Batch No. 8216
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. SEM check from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 GO versus almandine candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope). 3 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles.

Unit 104: Samples

| PLSE-4 | 0610182 E | 5238852 N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PLSE-5 | 0610332 E | 5238814 N |
| PLSE-6 | 0610242 E | 5238708 N |

Unit 104: $\mathbf{7 . 2 \mathrm { kg } \text { Normalized: } \mathbf { 2 6 . 3 7 2 } \mathrm { KIMs } / \mathbf { 1 0 k g } , ~}$

| ODM KIM count:19 | GP: 2.776 | 10.526 | percent | Pyrope Garnet |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | GO: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | DC: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | IM: 15.268 | 57.895 | percent | Ilmenite |
|  | CR: 8.328 | 31.579 | percent | Chromite |
|  | FO: 0 |  |  |  |

ODM Batch No. 8216
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. 4 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles.

| Unit 105: Samples |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PLSE-9 | 0610061 E |  | 5239197 N |  |  |  |
| PLSE-10 | 0610159 E |  | 5239268 N |  |  |  |
| PLSE-11 | 0610155 E |  | 5239160 N |  |  |  |
| Unit 105: 7.3 kg Normalized: $10.96 \mathrm{KIMs} / 10 \mathrm{~kg}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ODM KIM count:8 |  | GP: 5.48 |  | 50 | percent | Pyrope Garnet |
|  |  | GO: 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | DC: 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | M: 2.74 |  | 25 | percent | Ilmenite |
|  |  | CR: 2.74 |  | 25 | percent | Chromite |
|  |  | FO: 0 |  |  |  |  |

ODM Batch No. 8216
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage.

Unit 106: Samples

| $1-A$ | 0610085 E | 5239795 N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1-B$ | 0610073 E | 5239873 N |
| $1-\mathrm{C}$ | 0610012 E | 5239815 N |

Unit 106: 7.3 kg Normalized: $17.81 \mathrm{KIMs} / 10 \mathrm{~kg}$

| ODM KIM count:13 | GP: 2.74 | 15.385 | percent | Pyrope Garnet |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | GO: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | DC: 1.37 | 7.692 | percent | Chrome Diopside |
|  | IM: 6.85 | 38.462 | percent | Ilmenite |
|  | CR: 5.48 | 30.769 | percent | Chromite |
|  | FO: 1.37 | 7.692 | percent | Forsterite |

ODM Batch No. 8216
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. 1 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction has a partial alteration mantle.

## Unit 107: Samples

| $1-D$ | 0610062 E | 5240030 N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1-\mathrm{E}$ | 0609959 E | 5240074 N |
| $1-\mathrm{F}$ | 0609976 E | 5239972 N |

Unit 107: 7.0kg Normalized: $28.56 \mathrm{KIMs} / 10 \mathrm{~kg}$

| ODM KIM count:20 | GP: 1.428 | 5 | percent | Pyrope Garnet |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | GO: 1.428 | 5 | percent | Orange Garnet |
|  | DC: 2.856 | 10 | percent | Chrome Diopside |
|  | IM: 9.996 | 35 | percent | Ilmenite |
|  | CR: 11.424 | 40 | percent | Chromite |
|  | FO: 1.428 | 5 | percent | Forsterite |

ODM Batch No. 8216
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. SEM checks from 0.250.5 mm fraction: 2 GO versus grossular candidates $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope) and 1 spessartine; and 1 FO versus epidote candidate $=1 \mathrm{FO}$ (lost in transfer to vial). One CR has attached gangue material. 4 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles.

Unit 108: Samples

| T1 - | 606835 E | 5245990 N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| T2 - | 606785 E | 5245950 N |
| T3 - | 606800 E | 5245880 N |
| T4 - | 606765 E | 5245822 N |
| T5 - | 606875 E | 5245795 N |

Unit 108: 13.4 kg Normalized: $24.09 \mathrm{KIMs} / \mathbf{1 0 k g}$

| ODM KIM count:33 | GP: 5.11 | 21.212 | percent | Pyrope Garnet |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | GO: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | DC: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | IM: 8.76 | 36.364 | percent | Ilmenite |
|  | CR: 8.03 | 33.333 | percent | Chromite |
|  | FO: 2.19 | 90.091 | percent | Forsterite |

ODM Batch No. 8216
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. Sole GP and both IM from 0.5-1.0 mm; and 2 GP and 3 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fractions have partial alteration mantles.

ODM KIM Results for Units $1 \mathbf{- 1 2}$, with Remarks: 2019 LORRAIN CHAIN SURVEY
Calculated from ODM results, Batch number 8314, by Graeme Bishop. March 17, 2020. Followed by the assemblage remarks for each unit, provided by Overburden Drilling Management. (see each full Report in the appendix of this
report for ODM legend etc.)

| ODM Table Weight | t Normalized to 10kg | sample composition |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit 1: Samples |  |  |  |  |
| LGL-A | 0605780 E 5246417 N |  |  |  |
| North Pit 1 | 0605585 E - 5246884 N |  |  |  |
| North Pit 2 | 0605586 E - 5246834 N |  |  |  |
| Unit 1: $\quad 6.7 \mathrm{~kg}$ | Normalized: $8.95524 \mathrm{KIMs} / 10 \mathrm{~kg}$ |  |  |  |
| (ship.con. 2.5 kg ) |  |  |  |  |
| ODM KIM count: 6 | GP: 2.98508 | 33.333 | percent | Pyrope Garnet |
|  | GO: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | DC: 1.49254 | 16.66 | percent | Chrome Diopside |
|  | IM: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | CR: 4.47762 | 50 | percent | Chromite |
|  | FO: 0 |  |  |  |

ODM Batch No. 8314
ODM remarks: Almandine-augite-hornblende/epidote-staurolite-diopside assemblage.

Unit 2: Samples


ODM Batch No. 8314
ODM remarks: Almandine-augite-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 bronz sulfide candidate $=1$ niccolite ( NiAs ); and 1 arsenopyrite versus loellingite candidate $=1$ loellingite. 3 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles.

Unit 3: Samples

| LGL-H | 0605431 E | 5246030 N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| LGL-G | 0605490 E | 5245925 N |
| LGL-I | 0605580 E | 5245794 N |

Unit 3: $7.6 \mathrm{~kg} \quad$ Normalized: $\mathbf{3 2 . 8 9 4 7 5} \mathrm{KIMs} / \mathbf{1 0 k g}$
(ship.con. 3.3kg)

| ODM KIM count:25 | GP: 3.94737 | 12 | percent | Pyrope Garnet |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | GO: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | DC: 1.31579 | 4 | percent | Chrome Diopside |
|  | IM: 7.89474 | 24 | percent | Ilmenite |
|  | CR: 15.78948 | 48 | percent | Chromite |
|  | FO: 3.94737 | 12 | percent | Forsterite |

ODM Batch No. 8314
ODM remarks: Almandine-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 5 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2 \mathrm{IM}$, 1 crustal ilmenite and 2 CR . 2 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles.

Unit 4: Samples


ODM Batch No. 8314
ODM remarks: Augite-almandine/epidote-diopside assemblage. 1 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction has a partial alteration mantle.

| Unit 5: Samples |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LGL-M 0 | 0605867 E | E 524 |  |  |  |
| LGL-L | 0605777 E | E 524 |  |  |  |
| Unit 5: $\quad 5.9 \mathrm{~kg}$ N | Normalized | d: 6.77968 |  |  |  |
| (ship.con. 1.8kg) |  |  |  |  |  |
| ODM KIM count:4 | GP: 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | GO: 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | DC: 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | IM: 1.69492 |  | 25 | percent | Ilmenite |
|  |  |  | 75 | percent | Chromite |
|  | CR: 5.08476FO: 0 |  |  |  |  |

ODM Batch No. 8314
ODM remarks: Augite-almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage.

Unit 6: Samples

| LGL-SO | 0605756 E - 2424635 N |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit 6: $\quad 3.3 \mathrm{~kg}$ | Normalized: $6.0606 \mathrm{KIMs} / 10 \mathrm{~kg}$ |  |  |  |
| (ship.con. 0.8kg) |  |  |  |  |
| ODM KIM count:2 | GP: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | GO: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | DC: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | IM: 3.0303 | 50 | percent | Ilmenite |
|  | CR: 3.0303 | 50 | percent | Chromite |
|  | FO: 0 |  |  |  |

ODM Batch No. 8314
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1$ grossular; and 1 IM versus crustal ilminite candidate $=1$ IM.

| Unit 7: Samples |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LGL-NH | 0605882 E | E 524 |  |  |  |
| LGL-WH 0 | 0605851 E | E 524 |  |  |  |
| Unit 7: $\quad 5.6 \mathrm{~kg} \quad$ Normalized: $17.8571 \mathrm{KIMs} / 10 \mathrm{~kg}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| (ship.con. 2.3kg) |  |  |  |  |  |
| ODM KIM count:10 |  | GP: 0 |  |  |  |
|  |  | GO: 0 |  |  |  |
|  |  | DC: 0 |  |  |  |
|  |  | M: 5.35713 | 30 | percent | Ilmenite |
|  |  | CR: 8.92855 | 50 | percent | Chromite |
|  |  | FO: 3.57142 | 20 | percent | Forsterite |

ODM Batch No. 8314
ODM remarks: Almandine-augie-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage.

Unit 8: Samples

| LGL-S3 | 0605821 E | 5245549 N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| LGL-S2 | 0605837 E | 5245455 N |
| LGL-S1 | 0605880 E | 5245428 N |
| LGL-SH | 0605906 E | 5245523 N |

Unit 8: $\quad 10.6 \mathrm{~kg}$ Normalized: $\mathbf{3 2 1 . 6 9 9 4 \mathrm { KIMs } / 1 0 \mathrm { kg }}$
(ship.con. 3.3kg)

| ODM KIM count:341 | GP: 94.34 | 29.325 | percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | GO: 37.736 | 11.73 | percent |

ODM Batch No. 8314
ODM remarks: Almandine-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. 20\% IM from 0.5-1.0 mm and 20\% IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fractions have partial alteration mantles.

Unit 9: Samples

| LGL-1 | 0606146 E | 5245875 N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| LGL-2 | 0606085 E | 5245790 N |
| LGL-3 | 0606033 E | 5245696 N |


| Unit 9: 7.4 kg | Normalized: $20.27025 \mathrm{KIMs} / 10 \mathrm{~kg}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (ship.con. 1.5kg) |  |  |  |  |
| ODM KIM count:15 | GP: 4.05405 | 20 | percent | Pyrope Garnet |
|  | GO: 1.35135 | 6.667 | percent | Orange Garnet |
|  | DC: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | IM: 4.05405 | 20 | percent | Ilmenite |
|  | CR: 9.45945 | 46.66 | percent | Chromite |
|  | FO: 1.35135 | 6.667 | percent | Forsterite |

ODM Batch No. 8314
ODM remarks: Almandine-augite-hematite/epidote-diopside assemblage. 1 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction has a partial alteration mantle.

| Unit 10: Samples |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LGL-4 0 | 0606087 E | E245 |  |  |  |
| LGL-5 0 | 0606086 E | E245 |  |  |  |
| LGL-6 0 | 0606165 E | E 5245 |  |  |  |
| Unit 10: $7.6 \mathrm{~kg} \quad$ Normalized: $\mathbf{3 1 . 5 7 8 9 6} \mathrm{KIMs} / \mathbf{1 0 k g}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| (ship.con. 3.0kg) |  |  |  |  |  |
| ODM KIM count:24 |  | GP: 9.21053 | 29.167 | percent | Pyrope Garnet |
|  |  | GO: 2.63158 | 8.333 | percent | Orange Garnet |
|  |  | D: 0 |  |  |  |
|  |  | M: 6.57895 | 20.827 | percent | Ilmenite |
|  |  | CR: 10.52632 | 33.333 | percent | Chromite |
|  |  | FO: 2.63158 | 8.333 | percent | Forsterite |

ODM Batch No. 8314
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. 2 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles.

Unit 11: Samples

| LGL-P | 0606222 E | 5245210 N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| LGL-N | 0606158 E | 5245160 N |
| LGL-O | 0606188 E | 5245090 N |

Unit 11: $7.4 \mathrm{~kg} \quad$ Normalized: $\mathbf{2 4 . 3 2 4 3} \mathrm{KIMs} / \mathbf{1 0 k g}$
(ship.con. 2.0kg)
ODM KIM count:18 GP: $2.7027 \quad 11.111$ percent Pyrope Garnet

GO: 0
DC: 0
IM: 16.216
66.667 percen

Ilmenite
CR: 5.4054
22.222 percent

Chromite
FO: 0
ODM Batch No. 8314
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. 1 IM from 0.5-1.0 mm and 4 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fractions have partial alteration mantles.

Unit 12: Samples

| LGL-R | 0606361 E | 5245222 N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| LGL-Q | 0606323 E | 5245145 N |

Unit 12: $5.0 \mathrm{~kg} \quad$ Normalized: $20 \mathrm{KIMs} / \mathbf{1 0 k g}$
(ship.con. 1.4kg)

| ODM KIM count:10 | GP: 2 | 10 | percent | Pyrope Garnet |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | GO: 0 |  |  |  |
|  | DC: 2 | 10 | percent | Chrome Diopside |
|  | IM: 4 | 20 | percent | Ilmenite |
|  | CR: 12 | 60 | percent | Chromite |

ODM Batch No. 8314
ODM remarks: Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. Sole IM from 1.0-2.0 mm and sole IM from 0.5-1.0 mm fractions have partial alteration mantles.

## END NOTE:

## Sample adjustment consideration for black muck compensation

During logging and checking of samples, prior to shipment to ODM, the following samples NL-4, LLS-1, NL-12, PNE-5, PP-5, PPS-6, GN-8, GN-4, and GLS-9 were remarked due to their high content of black muck (cedar swamp wet organic soil mixture). Black muck is Holocene material and does not represent ideal content for indicator mineral sampling. In the field, sometimes it is difficult to obtain a good sample, due to swampy conditions; care was taken during sampling to collect samples of good data quality. Sometimes it was necessary to attempt a hole multiple times at one site to collect a usable and representative sample. The following nine samples, each only a fraction of one Unit, exhibited less than ideal content of black muck; therefore, to represent normalized KIM count data extrapolated from ODM reporting, the following nine units have had a corrective adjustment accounting for loss from black muck content, according to logging remarks.

```
Unit 38: (5kg total from 2 units)
NL-4 (1.7kg) est.80% black muck
multiply unit KIM values 133%.
Unit 42: (4.5kg total from 2 units)
LLS-1 (2.6kg) est.50% black muck
multiply unit KIM values 125 %
Unit 50: (7.9kg from 4 units)
NL-12 (1.6kg) est.50% black muck
multiply unit KIM values 110%
```

Unit 66: (3.8kg total from 2 units)
PNE-5 (1.8kg) est, 25\% black muck
multiply unit KIM values $\mathbf{1 1 1 . 2 5 \%}$
Unit 74: (4.3kg total from 2 units)
PP-5 (2.1kg) est. 50\% black muck
multiply unit KIM value $\mathbf{1 2 2 . 8 \%}$
Unit 76: ( 6.9 kg total from 3 units)
PPS-6 (1.9kg) est. $50 \%$ black muck
multiply unit KIM values 135\%
Unit 80: (4.6kg total from 2 units)
GN-8 (2.3kg) est. 50\% black muck
multiply unit KIM values 123.9\%
Unit 82: (4.1kg total from 2 units)
GN-4 (2.0kg) est.25\% black muck
multiply unit KIM values $\mathbf{1 1 1 . 6 \%}$
Unit 84: (8kg total from 3 units)
GLS-9 (2.6kg) est. $25 \%$ black muck
multiply unit KIM values 108\%

## NOTES CONCERNING THE PROCESSING OF UNITS 1-12 [Little Grassy Lake area]

Initially, RJK planned to have the Lorrain Chain samples processed and picked in the Bishop family lab near Kirkland Lake. The samples collected from the Little Grassy Lake (LGL) area by the Bishops during earlier sampling produced the highest KIM results, and the LGL area was the northernmost of the original Bishop targets, so the LGL samples were the first of the 2019 Lorrain RJK units to be concentrated for picking.

Thus, samples 1 through 12 and 26 were run twice through a custom sluice to remove light material and save the heavier concentrates After the second run, the sluice rejects were discarded (based on methodological efficiency testing, there is no presence of KIMs in second run sluice rejects). The samples were then screened into four fraction sizes (minus $.25 \mathrm{~mm}, .25-.49 \mathrm{~mm}, .5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}, 1+\mathrm{mm}$ ). The .25-. 49 mm fraction was then concentrated again via a device called a GoldCube, and the rejects were saved separately.

Concentrating samples and picking KIMs to send for micro-probing is time consuming work, and when timeliness became important, RJK decided to ship Units 13 to 108 (minus 26) to ODM for analysis, instead of doing it locally. Due to the importance of continuity in reporting within the survey results, RJK was advised by the Bishop family that ODM, if possible, should process and pick the Lorrain Chain units 1 through 12 to produce a contiguous data set consistent with the overall data set produced through their analysis. i.e., the same pickers should be used for all samples.

Graeme Bishop spoke with Mike Crawford at ODM to discuss RJK units 1-12 being shipped and processed/picked in 2020 as part of the Lorrain Chain sampling project.

The various fractions, concentrates, and rejects from LCkimDP units 1 through 12 were then carefully recombined into 12 sample bags.

## "INFORMATION on the enclosed samples

TO: Mike Crawford, Overburden Drilling Management Ltd.
January 21, 2020

RJK Exploration Ltd.
4 Al Wende Ave.
Kirkland Lake, ON

IT IS OF THE GREATEST IMPORTANCE THAT THESE 12 UNITS - PRIOR TO ASSESSMENT - ARE WELL BLENDED to ensure that the stratified material from their recombined fractions properly represent the KIM content upon further processing. The samples have been reduced by between 50-75 percent of their original weight by the removal of lighter materials, thus, during results reporting, the original weights (listed in the LCkimDP master list, and in this letter) should be used for calculations of KIMs per kg etc."

## Data File: Lorrain Chain project (gold/KIMs) CONCENTRATION UNITs No. 1 through 12.

| Weight in the field | Weight after concentration then recombination with rejects |
| :---: | :---: |
| Unit 1: ( 6.7 kg ) | - Shipped weight: 2.61 kg |
| Unit 2: ( 11.7 kg ) | - Shipped weight: 3.24 kg |
| Unit 3: ( 7.6 kg ) | - Shipped weight: 3.41 kg |
| Unit 4: ( 5.2 kg ) | - Shipped weight: 1.94 kg |
| Unit 5: ( 5.9 kg ) | - Shipped weight: 1.87 kg |
| Unit 6: (3.3 kg) | - Shipped weight: 0.85 kg |
| Unit 7: $(5.6 \mathrm{~kg})$ | - Shipped weight: 2.38 kg |
| Unit 8: ( 10.6 kg ) | - Shipped weight: 3.4 kg |
| Unit 9: ( 7.4 kg ) | - Shipped weight: 1.58 kg |
| Unit 10: $\mathbf{( 7 . 6 ~ k g ) ~}$ | - Shipped weight: 3.04 kg |
| Unit 11: (7.4 kg) | - Shipped weight: 2.05 kg |
| Unit 12: $(5.0 \mathrm{~kg})$ | - Shipped weight: 1.51 kg |

## Some Speculation on Geological Provenance of KIM grains: Context For Future Sampling Methodology

During the initial drilling program by RJK Explorations Ltd. in early 2019 at Paradis Pond, an unexplainable deposit was found, hosting compact material which at that time was theorized by some to be a unique basal till; samples were sent to various labs, examined by geologists locally, and also shown at the Quaternary office of the Ministry in Sudbury, but RJK's material from Paradis Pond could not be positively identified. It was proposed that the material was kimberlite, but it had unique characteristics if so. The most interesting quality of the unknown 'basal till' was its high concentration of large KIM grains, as evinced by the material recovered from creek samples taken within the deposit. It was theorized by the author that the deposit was rich in KIMs because it was an indurated cache of glacially sequestered kimberlitic material which had not travelled far from its source and had been affected by atypical glaciation caused by sheering of the Wisconsin Ice Sheet by the severe features of the West Temiskaming Shore Fault, de-pressuring the benthic force of the ice at the height of land on the west side of Lake Temiskaming (Cedar Pond, in the Lorrain Chain). Prospector Tony Bishop suggested a theory that the deep Lake Temiskaming Structural Zone features were stressed and activated by the energy of isostatic depression of the lithosphere during heavy continental glacial loading during the Quaternary period, in turn flexing the entry points in the craton roots and encouraging the potential for ice-aged kimberlite eruptions between the Cross Lake Fault and Temiskaming Shore Faults. Geologist Peter Hubacheck directed a drilling program for RJK during 2020 which involved both reverse circulation and diamond drilling across the Lorrain Chain targets, discovering multiple massive deposits of the same material RJK drilled at Paradis Pond in early 2019. Hubacheck had RJK send core samples to Charles Fipke's lab in Kelowna for analysis, where it was found to host microdiamonds and KIM grains with excellent geochemistry for diamond potential.

With continued study of the Lorrain Township kimberlite beds discovered by RJK Explorations Ltd., Geologist Peter Hubacheck has suggested the possibility that the Lorrain Kimberlites might have erupted during the Pleistocene Glaciations. It is now known that the Lorrain Kimberlites are the geological source of the KIM grains found during the 2019 Lorrain Chain KIM survey.

Traditional conceptions of tracing mineral trains back to their bedrock sources in glaciated terrain would not necessarily apply when considering data of mineral trains from kimberlites which erupted underneath glacial loading.

## Interpretation of the surface till survey and the findings by RJK drilling through 2020

As Terry Link put it: "Due to the vagaries of glaciation - possible several cycles of wastage, advance, retreat, glacier thickness, glacier direction, glacier having to remove glacial deposits before reaching bedrock through maybe several cycles, not completely reaching bedrock in some areas, sampling bedrock in other areas - following KIM dispersal trains back to source can be challenging." (personal correspondence, 2020)

The quote refers to traditional ideas of sampling to discover mineral trains distributed by glacial action and following the train back to its bedrock source. This was the M.O. from 2014-2019 Bishop work, which proved that several apparent trains of KIMs existed in the Lorrain Chain of targets. The 2019 LCDP survey was conducted to provide greater definition of those trains and offer clarity about the situation of possible bedrock sources for those trains. However, the LCDP found KIMs in ninety-nine percent of the 108 units analysed, illustrating that the surface till in the Lorrain Chain area was populated by high numbers of KIMS.

Concurrent with the final Batch report from ODM, the drilling program began to uncover kimberlite nearly at surface, sometimes under two meters of till, and distributed over a wide area of the claims.

The nature of the kimberlite emplacement remains unclear, but its expression over wide areas and close to surface, literally beneath the 2019 surface till survey, indicates that the KIMs found in 99 percent of sample units are almost certainly derived from the kimberlite horizon discovered by drilling.

Modelling of the kinetic energy and displacement force of kimberlite ascent and eruption from the bedrock 'surface' at the benthic horizon of a glacier has not been done and would be difficult to estimate with so many physical unknowns. However, it is possible to imagine a cavity of disruption created by kimberlite eruption in the glacial ice strata, under pressure, which would likely infill with collapsing weight. This scenario is purely speculative but illustrates that the eruptive material and any potential flow material could be emplaced in a wide area around the eruption pipe beneath the glacier.

If it erupted beneath a glacier, kimberlite could emplace 'up-ice' proximal to its source, and the distribution of KIMs could not be examined/interpreted through strictly traditional understanding of mineral trains in glaciated terrain. Glacial action could have still disturbed and distributed KIMs into trains but did not entirely displace the in-situ eruptive deposit.

RJK Explorations Ltd. is exploring possibly the most interesting kimberlite deposit in the world.

## Appendix



| Sample\# | Time/hrs worked | Coordinates 17T UTM | Elevation m | Activity / Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WP1T2 | $\begin{aligned} & 8: 15 \\ & \text { am } \end{aligned}$ | 0607135_E/5242601_N | 353 | Parked truck; Headed south; Graeme saw a bear ahead; after logged area is a cedar swamp, very tough and slow walking; slow decline $1^{\text {st }}$ half of the claim |
| LECT2 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 9: 58 \\ & \text { am } \end{aligned}$ | 0607149_E/5242294_N | 343 | Small creek, barely any measurable flow $E$ to W, ~1-2'wide, ~1'deep |
| WP2T2 | $\begin{aligned} & 10: 18 \\ & \mathrm{am} \end{aligned}$ | 0607147_E/5242164_N | 343 | Somewhat drier ground; will now head west to collect samples |
| TS1T2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 10:23 } \\ & \text { am } \end{aligned}$ | 0607119_E/5242154_N | 343 | Dug down through mucky ground to some gravel/sand/muck. Took ~6lb sample |
| TS2T2 | $\begin{aligned} & 10: 40 \\ & \mathrm{am} \end{aligned}$ | 0607089_E/5242153_N | 345 | Same as TS1T2 |
| WP3T2 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 11: 17 \\ & \text { am } \end{aligned}$ | 0606980_E/5242161_N | 345 | Changing direction to South-East |
| TS3T2 | $\begin{aligned} & 11: 45 \\ & \text { am } \end{aligned}$ | 0606999_E/5242150_N | 346 | Took till sample near claim line; still a bit mucky |
| WP4T2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 12:07 } \\ & \mathrm{pm} \end{aligned}$ | 0607131_E/5242080_N | 345 | Wandered down to firmer ground and more open forest. Ate lunch; changing to NE heading |
| TS4T2 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 12: 37 \\ & \mathrm{pm} \end{aligned}$ | 0607190_E/5242167_N | 342 | Took a good screened -4 mesh sample at the north end of a hillock of gravel/sand under a downed tree root |
| WP5T2 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { 1:04 } \\ \mathrm{pm} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 0607267_E/5242284_N | 344 | Still heading NE - switching to North heading |
| TS5T2 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { 2:30 } \\ \mathrm{pm} \end{array}$ | 0607263_E/5242528_N | 350 | Took screened -4 mesh till sample a bit south of the logging road |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 2:50 } \\ & \mathrm{pm} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Back on logging road. Headed back to truck (WP1T2). Organized samples and notes and headed for home $3: 25 \mathrm{pm}$ |

14273040
Traverse 1: field notes SEPTEMBER 8, 2015
Brian A. (Tony) Bishop, Graeme Bishop

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sample } \\ & \text { \#1 } \\ & \text { feature } \end{aligned}$ | Time/hrs worked | Coordinates 17T UTM | Elevation m | Activity / Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WP1 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 7: 30 \\ & \mathrm{am} \end{aligned}$ | 0607135_E/5242601_N | 352 | Parked truck beside logging road, then followed flagged trail to lake |
| MB | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 8:04 } \\ & \mathrm{am} \end{aligned}$ | 0607041_E/5242456_N | 347 | Found a large mineralized/rusty boulder ${ }^{\sim} 6^{\prime}$ west of trail; took chip sample mineralized with sulphides for assay |
| TS1 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 8: 49 \\ \mathrm{am} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 0607023_E/5242394_N | 344 | Took $\sim 6 \mathrm{lb}$ till sample under fallen tree root. Medium brown sandy/rocky till |
| PGO | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 8: 59- \\ & 10: 00 \\ & \text { am } \end{aligned}$ | 0607016_E/5242391_N | 344 | Pink granite outcrop, North/South orientation ${ }^{\sim} 2 \mathrm{~m} w \times 1 \mathrm{mh} \times 5 \mathrm{ml}$. Boulders of same extending ~3m into lake. Checked shoreline E \& W - cedar \& spruce forest. Headed back towards MB |
| WP2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 10:51 } \\ & \mathrm{am} \end{aligned}$ | 0606919_E/5242483_N | 348 | Heading ~NW to edge of logged area; sandy/rocky glacial till exposed; boulders ~90\% pink granite, $10 \%$ diabase |
| DB | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 11:45 } \\ & \mathrm{am} \end{aligned}$ | 0606864_E/5242328_N | 345 | After WP2 headed ~SW to the water flow outlet of the lake; noted diabase boulder; opposite on the west is what more or less appears as a roundish semi-dry lake of nearly the same size as main lake. Ate lunch; continued SW |
| TS2 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 1:00 } \\ & \mathrm{pm} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 0606771_E/5242164_N | 345 | Dug through 0.3 m humus then $\sim 1 / 2 \mathrm{~m}$ through sandy/rocky till, took $\sim 2.5 \mathrm{~kg}$ sample. Mixed spruce etc. |
| WP3 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 1: 32 \\ \mathrm{pm} \end{array}$ | 0606656_E/5242247_N | 339 | Changing direction to due N to stream. |
| SS1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1:48 } \\ & \mathrm{pm} \end{aligned}$ | 0606615_E/5242329_N | 337 | Deep valley ${ }^{\sim} 25 \mathrm{~m}$ wide and 15 m deep; pretty little brook; sampled a $\sim 2 \mathrm{~m} \times 1 \mathrm{~m}$ gravel bar; screened to -4 mesh $\sim 2.5 \mathrm{~kg}$ |
| SS2 | $\downarrow$ | 0606638_E/5242336_N | 338 | Dug under boulder downstream side. SS1 \& SS2 collected by Tony |
| SS3 | $\downarrow$ | 0606671_E/5242352_N | 340 | Dug under boulder downstream side |
| SS4 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { 2:48 } \\ \mathrm{pm} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 0606696_E/5242351_N | 342 | Stream is slow \& a bit mucky. So-so sample. SS3 \& SS4 collected by Graeme |
| TS3 | $\begin{aligned} & 3: 20 \\ & \mathrm{pm} \end{aligned}$ | 0606646_E/5242480_N | 343 | Met \& headed north. Took till sample at large exposed tree root, dug down $\sim 1 / 2 \mathrm{~m}$; similar till of glacial sand/gravel boulders |
| WP4 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3: 45 \\ & \mathrm{pm} \end{aligned}$ | 0606605_E/5242621_N | 350 | Back on logging road just north of Claim post 4. Headed back to truck (WP1). Organized samples and notes and headed for home $4: 35 \mathrm{pm}$ |

L4z8218/ - aown-ice ana or-ice or Leaar pona


L428218/ - down-ice and ort-ice or Cedar Pond
Traverse 2: map May 26, 2016 Brian A. (Tony) Bishop, Graeme Bishop






Treverse 3 : mep
Brana A. (Tony) Eishop, Graeme Bishop







## стиени, езаи





tase


tan




158



## Coordinates

 $17 T$ UTM 0606542_E 5244477_N 0606710_E $5244249^{-}$N 0605774 0606774_E Fairly dry. ©n/inside the claim line. $41 / 4 \mathrm{fb}$; dark 5244179_N brown. Loamy/sandy0606553_E Approximately at claim post. ry. 3Ib Goz; medium 5244171_N brown. Loamy/sandy
0606635_E 5244052_N 5244080_N
0606789 E 5243800_N
0606679_E 5243618_N

## Activity/Description

Fairly dry
4lb 50z; medium brown
-ry. 2lb 4oz; medium brown
brown. Loamy/sandy

Fairly dry. 31lb 8oz; dark brown/black. Loamy/sandy

Dry. 41/2lb; brown. Loamy/sandy
41/2Ib; dark brown. Loamy/sandy

31 b 50 ; medium brown. Loamy/sandy

Location \#
Coordinates 17 UTM
4281431
Corner Post \#1
0606925_E / 5244600_N
4281431
Corner Post \#2
0606936_E/5244178_N
4281431
4281431
4282409
4282409
4282409
4282409

Corner Post \#3
Corner Post \#4
Corner post \#1
Corner Post \#2
Corner Post \#3
0606158_E/ 5243340_N
Corner Post \#4 0606130_E/5244170 N

Traverse 2: map October 29, $2016 \quad$ Brian A. (Tony) Bishop, Graeme Bishop, Patrick Harrington



| Sample \# | Coordinates <br> 17T UTM | Weight (kg) | Elevation (m) | Activity/Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T151 | -610254_E 5238668 N | 4.1 | 347 | Sand, gravelly rocks |
| T152 | 0610113_E 5239049_N | 3.6 (wet) | 324 | Not screened, small, low-flow creek, sample taken downflow of large rock. |
| T153 | $\begin{aligned} & 0610022 \_ \text {E } \\ & 5239002^{2} N \end{aligned}$ | 2.5 | 336 | At edge of boulder field, sand/grave/boulders |
| T154 | - 609958 _ E 5238888_N | 3.2 | 348 | Large boulder field, rising elevation to the west |
| T15s | 0610080 E 5238856_N | 3.4 | 341 | Similar to T154 |
| T156 | 0610046 E 5238648_N | 2.7 | 356 | Till, gravel-sand |


| Location \# | Coordinates 17T UTM | Claim \# | Grid Cell ID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Truck Park | 0610130 Ex 5238573 N | 337054 | 31 mesal 93 |
| WP1 | 0610273_Ex 5239053_N | 241583 | 31M95A194 |
| CP1 | 0610270_Ex5239760_N |  |  |
| CP2 | 061027e_Ex 5238560_N |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CP}_{3}$ | 0609470_Ex 5238560_N |  |  |
| CP4 | 0609470_Ex 5239760_N |  |  |

14282412
Traverse 2: field notes June 7, 2017 Brian A. (Tony) Bishop, Graeme Bishop

| Sample \# | Coordinates | Weight (kg) | Elevation (m) | Activit//Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TiS1 | -610259_E 5238570 N | 1.1 | 352 | Sandy/rocky till |
| T152 | 0610210 E 5238647 N | 2.9 | 352 | Under a blown down tree root |
| T153 | 0610196 E 5238848_N | 3.6 | 332 | Somewhat boulder-covered, dug on south end of large boulder |
| T154 | 0610125_E <br> 5238558_N | 4.1 | 360 | Sandy, gravel till |
| T155 | -609488_E 5239397_N | 2.7 | 332 | Sandy, gravel till |
| T156 | -60952 7E <br> 5239717_N | 2.9 | 342 | Sandy gravel till |
| T157 | 0610171_E <br> 523846 5N | 3.6 | 363 | Sandy, gravel till |
| T158 | 061•355_E 5238313_ | 3.2 | 353 | Lower trougheast-west, sand, gravel |
| T159 | -610486_E 5238125_N | 4.1 | 352 | Dug out area, sandy, gravel til |


| Location \# | Coordinates 17T UTM | Claim \# | Grid Cell ID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Truck Park | 0610130_Ex 5238573_N | 241583 | 31M05A194 |
| CP1 | 061027e_Ex 5239760_N | 194992 | 31M05A214 |
| CP2 | 0610270_Ex 5238560 N | 230056 | 31M05A172 |
| CP3 | 0609470 Ex 5238560_N | 241582 | 31M05A152 |
| CP4 | 0609470_Ex5239760_N |  |  |

## Traverse 1: map June 15, 2016 Brian A. (Tony) Bishop, Graeme Bishop



## 4282187 (below Paradis Pond L 4273040)

Traverse 2: map June 23, 2016 Brian A. (Tony) Bishop, Graeme Bishop


L 4282142 (below Paradis Pond L 4273040)
Traverse 1: field notes June 15, 2016 Brian A. (Tony) Bishop, Graeme Bishop

| Sample\# | Coordinates | Elevation | Activity/Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 17T UTM |  |  |
| S1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 0607001_E } \\ & \text { 5242085_N } \end{aligned}$ | 1144 ${ }^{\prime}$ | Dug ${ }^{\sim} 2^{\prime}$ deep hole from raised area $50 \times 15 \times \sigma^{\prime}$ high (good results obtained) in a "NS direction |
| S2 | 0606993_E | 1206 ${ }^{\prime}$ | Sample taken on a hillock |
|  | 5242024_N |  |  |
| 53 | 0607024_E | 1219' | Shallow sample ${ }^{\text {2 } 20}{ }^{\prime}$ east of S2 |
|  | 5242021 N |  |  |
| 54 | 0607126_E | 1132' | Dug 2-3' deep between boulders |
|  | 5242036 N |  |  |


| Location \# | Coordinates 1TT UTM | Claim \# | Cell ID |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Truck Park | 0607135_E/5242601_N | 126017 | 31MOSAO47 |
| Corner post \#1 | 0607401_E/5242148_N | 155684 | 31 MOSAO46 |
| Corner post \#2 | 0607416_E/5241790_N | 239443 | 31 MOSA066 |
| Corner post \#3 | 0606609_E/5241756_N | 105615 | 31MOSA067 |
| Corner post \#4 | 0606606_E/5242150_N | 151798 | 31MOSA048 |
|  |  | 293947 | 31MOSA068 |

4282142

L 4282142 (below Paradis Pond L 4273040)

| Traverse 2: fie | field notes | June 23, 2016 | Brian A. (Tony) Bishop, Graeme Bishop |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample\# | Coordinates 17T UTM | Elevation | Activity/Description |  |
| S1 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 0607 \bullet 40 \_\varepsilon \\ & 5242083 \_N \end{aligned}$ | 1166' | Upended tree root |  |
| R1 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 0607100 \_E \\ & 5241986 \_N \end{aligned}$ | 1153' | Removed moss from boulder, fine-grained diabase magnetic, ${ }^{\sim}{ }^{150}{ }^{\prime}$ S/SE from S1 |  |
| R2 5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 0607104_E } \\ & 5241977 \_N \end{aligned}$ | 1164' | Bouider with irregular magnetic points ${ }^{\sim} 1-3^{\prime \prime}$ apart, too dirty to Identify rock |  |
| R3 5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O607106_E } \\ & 5241979 \_N \end{aligned}$ | 1177' | Rock breaking apart |  |
| S2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 0607137_E } \\ & 5241931 \_N \end{aligned}$ | $1173^{\prime}$ | Dug under diabase boulder |  |
| 53 | $\begin{aligned} & 0607166 \_E \\ & 5241891 \mathrm{~N} \end{aligned}$ | 1152' | - ${ }^{\text {a }}$ under boulder $11 / 2$ deep, mostly clay south side |  |
| 54 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O607198_E } \\ & \text { 5241891_N } \end{aligned}$ | $1147^{\prime}$ | - ${ }^{\text {a }}$ under boulder, south side |  |
| Location \# | Coordinates 17T UTM |  | Claim \# | Cell id |
| Truck Park | 0607135 E/5242601_N |  | 126017 | 31 MOSA047 |
| Corner post \#1 | 0607401_E/5242148_N |  | 155684 | 31MOSA046 |
| Corner post \#2 | 0607416_E/5241790_N |  | 239443 | 31MOSA066 |
| Corner post \#3 | 0606609_E/5241756_N |  | 105615 | 31 MOSA067 |
| Corner post \#4 | 0606606_E/5242150_N |  | 151798 | $31 \mathrm{MOSA048}$ |
|  |  |  | 293947 | $31 \mathrm{MOSA068}$ |

# OVERBURDEN DRILLING MANAGEMENT LIMITED 

107-15 CAPELLA COURT, NEPEAN, ONTARIO, K2E 7X1
TELEPHONE: (613) 226-1771
FAX NO.: (613) 226-8753
EMAIL: odm@storm.ca

DATA TRANSMITTAL REPORT

$$
\text { DATE: } 41292.66159
$$

## ATTENTION: Mr. Peter Hubacheck

| CLIENT: | W.A. Hubacheck Consultants Ltd <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> Mississaugable Rd Ontario <br> L5J 1 W8 |
| :--- | :--- |

E-Mail: cetera7@yahoo.com cyber.bob@cogeco.ca

NO. OF PAGES:

## PROJECT: Silver Buffalo

FILE NAME: 20136064 - Hubacheck Consulting - SB - January
SAMPLE NUMBERS: $\mathbf{3 0 9 8 0}$ to 30992 and 49351 to 49365
BATCH NUMBER: $\mathbf{6 0 6 4}$
NO. OF SAMPLES: 28
THESE SAMPLES WERE PROCESSED FOR: KIMBERLITE INDICATORS
MMSIMs
GOLD

## SPECIFICATIONS:

1. Submitted by client: $\pm 15$ to 20 kg till and alluvial sand/gravel samples.
2. All samples micropanned for gold and metallic mineral grains.
3. Heavy liquid separation specific gravity: 3.20
4. $0.25-2.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ nonferromagnetic heavy mineral fraction picked for indicator minerals.

REMARKS: $\qquad$

Remy Huneault, P.Geo.
Laboratory Manager

* Calculated PPB Au based on assumed nonmagnetic HMC weight equivalent to $1 / 250$ th of the table feed.

Hubacheck

## OVERBURDEN DRILLING MANAGEMENT LIMITED GOLD GRAIN SUMMARY

Project: Silver Buffalo
Filename: 20136064 - Hubacheck Consulting - SB - January
Total Number of Samples in this Report $=28$
Batch Number: 6064

| Sample Number | Number of Visible Gold Grains |  |  | Nonmag HMC Weight (g) | Calculated PPB Visible Gold in HMC |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Reshaped Modified | Pristine |  | Total | Reshaped | Modified | Pristine |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30980 | 0 | 00 | 0 | 56.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 30981 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 46.4 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| 30982 | 3 | 21 | 0 | 53.6 | 13 | 13 | $<1$ | 0 |
| 30983 | 18 | 15 2 | 1 | 67.6 | 129 | 117 | 8 | 3 |
| 30984 | 2 | 20 | 0 | 51.2 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0 |
| 30985 | 3 | 02 | 1 | 52.0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | <1 |
| 30986 | 23 | 18 4 | 1 | 42.0 | 141 | 125 | 16 | <1 |
| 30987 | 19 | 162 | 1 | 71.2 | 156 | 152 | 3 | 1 |
| 30988 | 4 | 31 | 0 | 46.0 | 425 | 417 | 8 | 0 |
| 30989 | 0 | 00 | 0 | 54.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 30990 | 6 | 50 | 1 | 42.8 | 106 | 56 | 0 | 50 |
| 30991 | 2 | 20 | 0 | 54.8 | 13 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| 30992 | 15 | $13-2$ | 0 | 50.4 | 193 | 149 | 44 | 0 |
| 49351 | 8 | 61 | 1 | 41.2 | 84 | 79 | 5 | $<1$ |
| 49352 | 3 | 30 | 0 | 52.4 | 16 | 16 | 0 | 0 |
| 49353 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 46.4 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| 49354 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 56.8 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 49355 | 10 | $7 \quad 2$ | 1 | 59.6 | 34 | 12 | 18 | 3 |
| 49356 | 4 | 31 | 0 | 55.6 | 22 | 15 | 7 | 0 |
| 49357 | 2 | 20 | 0 | 66.8 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| 49358 | 6 | $5 \quad 1$ | 0 | 75.2 | 15 | 10 | 5 | 0 |
| 49359 | 2 | 20 | 0 | 84.0 | 61 | 61 | 0 | 0 |
| 49360 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 84.4 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| 49361 | 9 | 90 | 0 | 45.6 | 25 | 25 | 0 | 0 |
| 49362 | 4 | 31 | 0 | 38.8 | 8 | 7 | 1 | 0 |
| 49363 | 3 | 12 | 0 | 63.6 | <1 | <1 | <1 | 0 |
| 49364 | 4 | 31 | 0 | 54.0 | 117 | 110 | 7 | 0 |
| 49365 | 2 | 20 | 0 | 70.4 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 |

[^1]OVERBURDEN DRILLING MANAGEMENT LIMITED
DETAILED GOLD GRAIN DATA
${ }^{\text {roject: Silver Buffalo }}$
Filename: 20136064 - Hubacheck Consulting - SB - January
Total Number of Samples in this Report $=28$
Batch Number: 6064



| 49364 | Yes | 5 C | 25 | 25 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | No sulphides. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 8 C | 25 | 50 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 13 C | 50 | 75 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 50 M | 100 | 150 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 54.0 | 117 |  |
| 49365 | Yes | 8 C | 25 | 50 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | $\sim 2000$ grains marcasite ( $15-50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ). |
|  |  | 10 C | 50 | 50 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | $\sim 20$ grains pyrite ( $25-75 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ). |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 70.4 | 4 |  |
| 0 | Yes | 0 C |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | No sulphides. |
|  |  | 0 C |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0 C |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0 C |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0 C |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0 C |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0 C |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0 C |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0 C |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0 C |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 | \#VALUE! |  |
| 0 |  | 0 C |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0 C |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 | \#VALUE! |  |
| 0 | ** Appen | 0 C |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0 C |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 | \#VALUE! |  |

## OVERBURDEN DRILLING MANAGEMENT LIMITED RAW SAMPLE DESCRIPTIONS AND PROCESSING WEIGHTS

Project: Silver Buffalo
Filename: 20136064 - Hubacheck Consulting - SB - January
Total Number of Samples in this Report $=28$
Batch Number: 6064

| Sample Number | Weight (kg) |  |  |  | Clasts >2.0 mm |  |  |  |  | Matrix <2.0 mm |  |  |  |  |  |  | Class |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bulk <br> Rec'd | Table Split | $\begin{aligned} & +2 \mathrm{~mm} \\ & \text { Clasts } \end{aligned}$ | Table Feed | Size | Percentage |  |  |  | Distribution |  |  |  | Org | Colour |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | V/S | GR | LS | OT | S/U | SD | ST | CY |  | Sand | Clay |  |  |
| 30980 | 18.1 | 17.6 | 3.4 | 14.2 | P | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC | OC | TILL |  |
| 30981 | 15.2 | 14.7 | 3.1 | 11.6 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC | OC | TILL |  |
| 30982 | 16.4 | 15.9 | 2.5 | 13.4 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC | OC | TILL |  |
| 30983 | 21.0 | 20.5 | 3.6 | 16.9 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC | OC | TILL |  |
| 30984 | 16.4 | 15.9 | 3.1 | 12.8 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC | OC | TILL |  |
| 30985 | 16.1 | 15.6 | 2.6 | 13.0 | P | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC | OC | TILL |  |
| 30986 | 15.0 | 14.5 | 4.0 | 10.5 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | N | OC | OC | TILL |  |
| 30987 | 22.0 | 21.5 | 3.7 | 17.8 | P | 80 | 20 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC | OC | TILL |  |
| 30988 | 15.8 | 15.3 | 3.8 | 11.5 | P | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC | OC | TILL |  |
| 30989 | 16.3 | 15.8 | 2.1 | 13.7 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC | OC | TILL |  |
| 30990 | 16.6 | 16.1 | 5.4 | 10.7 | P | 80 | 20 | 0 | 0 | S | FMC | Y | N | N | OC | NA | SAND + GRAVEL | ALLUVIUM |
| 30991 | 16.7 | 16.2 | 2.5 | 13.7 | P | 95 | 5 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC | OC | TILL |  |
| 30992 | 15.1 | 14.6 | 2.0 | 12.6 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC | OC | TILL |  |
| 49351 | 15.8 | 15.3 | 5.0 | 10.3 | P | 70 | 30 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC | OC | TILL |  |
| 49352 | 17.7 | 17.2 | 4.1 | 13.1 | P | 80 | 20 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC | OC | TILL |  |
| 49353 | 18.6 | 18.1 | 6.5 | 11.6 | P | 80 | 20 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | N | OC | OC | TILL |  |
| 49354 | 17.7 | 17.2 | 3.0 | 14.2 | P | 80 | 20 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC | OC | TILL |  |
| 49355 | 17.8 | 17.3 | 2.4 | 14.9 | P | 95 | 5 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC | OC | TILL |  |
| 49356 | 18.4 | 17.9 | 4.0 | 13.9 | P | 80 | 20 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | DOC | DOC | TILL |  |
| 49357 | 19.5 | 19.0 | 2.3 | 16.7 | P | 80 | 20 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | BE | BE | TILL |  |
| 49358 | 25.7 | 25.2 | 6.4 | 18.8 | P | 80 | 20 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | BE | BE | TILL |  |
| 49359 | 21.5 | 21.0 | 0.0 | 21.0 |  |  | Cla | ts |  | S | FM | - | N | + | BK | NA | SAND + SOIL | ALLUVIUM |
| 49360 | 21.6 | 21.1 | 0.0 | 21.1 |  |  | Cla | ts |  | S | FM | - | N | + | BK | NA | SAND + SOIL | ALLUVIUM |
| 49361 | 13.0 | 12.5 | 1.1 | 11.4 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC | OC | TILL |  |
| 49362 | 13.2 | 12.7 | 3.0 | 9.7 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC | OC | TILL |  |
| 49363 | 16.4 | 15.9 | 0.0 | 15.9 |  |  | Cla |  |  | S | FM | - | N | + | BK | NA | SAND + SOIL | ALLUVIUM |
| 49364 | 17.3 | 16.8 | 3.3 | 13.5 | P | 70 | 30 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC | OC | TILL |  |
| 49365 | 22.4 | 21.9 | 4.3 | 17.6 | P | 80 | 20 | 0 | 0 | S | FMC | - | N | N | GY | NA | SAND + GRAVEL | ALLUVIUM |

Project: Silver Buffalo
Filename: 20136064 - Hubacheck Consulting - SB - January
Total Number of Samples in this Report $=28$

** Numbers in brackets are estimated total indicator grains present in samples where not all of the grains were picked

Hubacheck

## OVERBURDEN DRILLING MANAGEMENT LIMITED KIMBERLITE INDICATOR MINERAL PICKING FOOTNOTES

Project: Silver Buffalo
Filename: 20136064 - Hubacheck Consulting - SB - January
Total Number of Samples in this Report $=28$
Batch Number: 6064
Sample No.
REMARKS:

30980 Also picked 1 enstatite (KIM) from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction. Both IM from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction and 2 GP and 8 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles.
$30981 \quad 3$ IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles.
$30982 \quad 2$ IM from each of $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles.
30983 SEM checks from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 3 IM candidates $=3 \mathrm{IM}$. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction:
2 GO versus almandine candidates $=2 \mathrm{GO}$ ( 1 Cr -poor pyrope and 1 pyrope-almandine); and 5 IM candidates $=1 \mathrm{IM}, 3 \mathrm{CR}$ and 1 ilmenite. 2 IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction and all 6 GP from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles.
$30984 \quad 2 \mathrm{IM}$ from each of $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles.
309851 GP and 1 IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction and 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles.
30986 SEM checks from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 4 IM candidates $=3 \mathrm{IM}$ and 1 CR. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 IM candidates $=2 \mathrm{IM}$. All 3 IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction and 3 GP and 3 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles.
$30987 \quad 1$ IM from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction and 3 from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles.
30988 Both IM from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction and 5 from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles.
$30989 \quad 1$ IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction has a partial alteration mantle.
$30990 \quad 2 \mathrm{IM}$ from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles.
309911 IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction and sole GO and 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles.
30992 SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 7 IM versus CR candidates $=4 \mathrm{IM}$ and 3 CR . Sole IM from 1.02.0 mm fraction, sole GP and sole IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction and 2 GP and 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles.
49351 Sole IM from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction and $2 \mathrm{GP}, 1 \mathrm{GO}$ and 4 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles.
49352
1 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction has a partial alteration mantle.
49353 SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 6 IM versus CR candidates $=5 \mathrm{IM}$ and 1 CR . Sole IM from 1.02.0 mm fraction, sole GP and sole IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction and 2 GP and 4 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles.
49354 SEM check from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 1 GO versus almandine candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope). SEM check from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 DC versus Cr -garnet candidate $=1 \mathrm{DC} .1 \mathrm{IM}$ from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction and 3 from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles.
49355
2 IM from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction and 1 from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles.
$49356 \quad 4 \mathrm{IM}$ from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles.
49357 Sole IM from 1.0-2.0 mm fraction, 1 GP and all 3 IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction and 3 GP and 6 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles.
$49358 \quad 2 \mathrm{IM}$ from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction and 5 from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles.
49359 No KIM remarks.
49360 No KIM remarks.
$49361 \quad 4$ IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles.
49362
49363
49364
49365

$$
2 \text { GP and } 4 \text { IM from 0.25-0.25 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles. }
$$

No KIM remarks.
1 IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction has a partial alteration mantle.
2 IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction and $5 \mathrm{GP}, 1 \mathrm{GO}$ and 12 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles.


| 30987 | 0 | 0 | $\underset{(1 \mathrm{gr})}{\mathrm{Tr}}$ | Tr | 1 blue-grey | $\begin{gathered} \text { Tr } \\ \text { Mn-epidote } \\ (2 \text { gr) } \\ \text { Tr low-Cr } \\ \text { diopside } \\ (25 \mathrm{gr}) \end{gathered}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | Tr | 5 | Tr | 0 | Tr | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Tr} \\ (8 \mathrm{r} ; \\ \text { (8) } \\ \text { see } \\ \text { KlM } \\ \text { data) } \end{gathered}$ | 0 | 0 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote assemblage. | $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: <br> 1 chalcopyrite <br> 3 forsterite (see KIM <br> data; picked as KIMs) <br> $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: <br> 1 spinel <br> 2 Mn -epidote <br> 25 low-Cr diopside <br> 8 chromite (picked as KIMs) <br> 6 forsterite (see KIM <br> data; picked as KIMs) | Almandine-hornblende/epidote |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30988 | 0 | 0 | $\stackrel{\left.T_{r}{ }_{(\sim 15}^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{gr}\right)}{ }$ | Tr | 0 | Tr low-Cr diopside (9 gr) | $\begin{gathered} \left.\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{gr}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Tr | 0 | Tr | 15 | Tr | 0 | Tr | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Tr} \\ \left(\begin{array}{c} 24 \mathrm{gr}, \\ \text { see } \\ \mathrm{Kem} \\ \text { Kata) } \end{array}\right. \end{gathered}$ | 0 | 0 | Almandine-hornblende-hematite/epidotestaurolite assemblage. | $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: <br> 9 low-Cr diopside <br> 1 red rutile <br> 24 chromite (picked as <br> KIMs) | Almandine-hornblende-hematite/epidote staurolite |
| 30989 | ${ }^{0}$ | 0 | $\begin{gathered} { }_{(5 \mathrm{gr}}^{\mathrm{gr}} \end{gathered}$ | Tr | ${ }_{0}$ | Tr low-Cr diopside ( 6 gr ) | 0 | 0 | 0 | Tr | $\begin{array}{r}3 \\ \\ \\ \\ \hline\end{array}$ | Tr | 0 | Tr | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Tr} \\ (7 \mathrm{gr;} \\ \text { see } \\ \mathrm{KIM} \\ \text { data) } \end{gathered}$ | Tr | 0 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote assemblage. | $1.0-2.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 forsterite (see KIM data; picked as KIM) $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 forsterite (see KIM data; picked as KIM) $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 6 low-Cr diopside 7 chromite (picked as KIMs) | Almandine-hormbende/epidote |
| 30990 | 0 | 0 | $\stackrel{T_{r}}{(\sim 15 \mathrm{gr})}$ | Tr | 0 | Tr low-Cr diopside ( 8 gr ) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{T}_{(1 \mathrm{gr})} \end{gathered}$ | Tr | Tr | 0 | 12 | Tr | Tr | Tr | $\begin{gathered} \text { Tr } \\ (16 \mathrm{gr}, \\ \text { see } \\ \mathrm{Kem} \\ \text { Kata) } \end{gathered}$ | Tr | 0 | Almandine-augite-hornblende/epidote assemblage. | $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: <br> 1 low-Cr diopside <br> $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: <br> 8 low-Cr diopside <br> 1 red rutile <br> 16 chromite (picked as <br> KIMs) <br> 7 forsterite (see KIM <br> data; picked as KIMs) | Almandine-augit-hornblende/epidote |
| 30991 | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | 0 | Tr | 0 | Tr low-Cr diopside (16 gr) | $\begin{gathered} T_{(2 \mathrm{gr})}^{\top} \end{gathered}$ | Tr | 0 | Tr | 7 | Tr | ${ }_{0}$ | 3 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Tr } \\ (17 \mathrm{gr}, \\ \text { see } \\ \text { skim } \\ \text { data) } \end{gathered}$ | Tr | 0 | Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidotediopside assemblage. | $1.0-2.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 forsterite (see KIM data; picked as KIM) $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 low-Cr diopside 1 chromite (see KIM data; picked as KIM) 1 forsterite (see KIM data; picked as KIM) $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: <br> 16 low-Cr diopside <br> 2 red rutile <br> 17 chromite (picked as KIMs ) <br> 3 forsterite (see KIM data; picked as KIMs) | Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidotediopside |
| 30992 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Tr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Tr | 5 | 1 | 0 | Tr | $\begin{gathered} \text { Tr } \\ (11 \text { gr, } \\ \text { see } \\ \text { KM } \\ \text { data) } \end{gathered}$ | Tr | 0 | Almandine-augite-hornblende/epidote assemblage. | $1.0-2.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 forsterite (see KIM data; picked as KIMs) $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 3 forsterite (see KIM data; picked as KIMs) $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 11 chromite (picked as KIMs) <br> 4 forsterite (see KIM data; picked as KIMs) | Almandine-augit-hormblende/epidote |
| 49351 | $\underset{(1 \mathrm{gr})}{\mathrm{Tr}}$ | 0 | $\stackrel{\mathrm{Tr}}{(\sim 50 \mathrm{gr})}$ | 1 | 0 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Tr} \\ \text { Mn-epidote } \\ \text { (1 gr) } \\ \text { Tr low-Cr } \\ \text { diopside } \\ (14 \mathrm{gr}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{T}_{(5 \mathrm{gr}} \end{gathered}$ | Tr | 0 | Tr | 5 | Tr | Tr | 5 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Tr} \\ (8 \mathrm{gr}, \\ \text { see, } \\ \mathrm{KIM} \\ \text { data) } \end{gathered}$ | Tr | Tr | Augite-almandine-hormblende/epidote assemblage. | $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: <br> 1 low-Cr diopside <br> 4 forsterite (see KIM <br> data; picked as KIMs) <br> $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: <br> 1 chalcopyrite <br> 1 Mn-epidote <br> 14 low-Cr diopside <br> 5 red rutile <br> 18 chromite (picked as <br> KIMs) <br> 20 representative <br> forsterite (see KIM data; <br> picked as KIMs) | Augite-almandine-hornblende/epidote |

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 49352 \& ${ }_{0}$ \& ${ }_{0}$ \& $$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{Tr}_{(5 \mathrm{gr})}
\end{gathered}
$$ \& Tr \& 0 \& Tr low-Cr diopside (5 gr) \& $$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{Tr} \\
(3 \mathrm{gr})
\end{gathered}
$$ \& 0

0 \& Tr \& 0 \& ${ }^{3}$ \& Tr \& ${ }^{0}$ \& Tr \& | 0 |
| :---: |
|  |
|  |
|  | \& Tr \& 0 \& Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote assemblage. \& $1.0-2.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 forsterite (see KIM data; picked as KIM) $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 forsterite (see KIM data; picked as KIMs) $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 5 low-Cr diopside 3 red rutile 1 forsterite (see KIM data; picked as KIM) \& Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote <br>

\hline 49353 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& Tr \& 0 \& Tr low-Cr diopside (3 gr) \& $$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{Tr} \\
(2 \mathrm{gr})
\end{gathered}
$$ \& 0 \& 0 \& Tr \& 6 \& Tr \& 0 \& Tr \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Tr } \\
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\text { nre } \\
\text { see } \\
\text { sem } \\
\text { data) }
\end{array}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \& Tr \& 0 \& Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote assemblage. \& | $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: |
| :--- |
| 2 forsterite (see KIM |
| data; picked as KIMs) |
| $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: |
| 3 low-Cr diopside |
| 2 red rutile |
| 12 chromite (picked as KIMs ) |
| 6 forsterite (see KIM |
| data; picked as KIMs) | \& Almandine-hormbende-augite/epidote <br>

\hline 49354 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 5 \& 0 \& Tr low-Cr diopside (1 gr) \& $$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{r}} \\
(3 \mathrm{gr})
\end{gathered}
$$ \& 0 \& 0 \& Tr \& 10 \& Tr \& 0 \& Tr \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{Tr} \\
(3 \mathrm{r} ; \\
\text { see } \\
\mathrm{see} \\
\mathrm{KMM} \\
\text { data) }
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \& Tr \& 0 \& Hornblende-almandine/epidote assemblage. \& | $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: |
| :--- |
| 1 low-Cr diopside 3 red rutile 3 chromite (picked as KIMs) | \& Hormblende-almandine/epidote <br>

\hline 49355 \& ${ }^{0}$ \& ${ }^{0}$ \& ${ }^{0}$ \& 1 \& ${ }_{0}$ \& Tr low-Cr diopside (4 gr) \& \[
$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{Tr} \\
(3 \mathrm{gr})
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \& ${ }^{0}$ \& ${ }^{0}$ \& ${ }_{0}$ \& 15 \& Tr \& Tr \& 0 \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{Tr} \\
(3 \mathrm{gr;} \\
\text { see } \\
\mathrm{K} \\
\mathrm{KIM} \\
\text { data) }
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \& Tr \& 0 \& Almandine-hornblende-ilmenite/epidotestaurolite assemblage. \& | $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 forsterite (see KIM data; picked as KIMs) $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: |
| :--- |
| 4 low-Cr diopside |
| 3 red rutile |
| 3 chromite (picked as KIMs) |
| 1 forsterite (see KIM data; picked as KIM) | \& Almandine-hornblende-ilmenite/epidote staurolite <br>

\hline 49356 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 1 \& 0 \& Tr low-Cr diopside (2 gr) \& \[
$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{f} \mathrm{gr}
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \& ${ }^{0}$ \& 0 \& | 0 |
| :--- |
|  |
|  |
|  | \& | 5 |
| :---: |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  | \& Tr \& 0 \& Tr \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Tr } \\
(0, \mathrm{gr}, \\
\text { see } \\
\mathrm{KMM} \\
\text { data })
\end{gathered}
$$
\] \& Tr \& 0 \& Almandine-hornblende/epidote assemblage. \& $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 low-Cr diopside 1 chromite (see KIM data; picked as KIM) 1 forsterite (see KIM data; picked as KIM) $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction 2 low-Cr diopside 7 red rutile 10 chromite (picked as KIMs ) \& Almandine-hormblende/epidote <br>

\hline 49357 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& Tr \& 0 \& ${ }^{0}$ \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& Tr \& 5 \& Tr \& 0 \& Tr \& \[
$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{Tr} \\
(1 \mathrm{gr}, \\
\text { see } \\
\mathrm{KiM} \\
\text { Kata) }
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \& Tr \& 0 \& Almandine-hornblende/epidote assemblage. \& | $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 chromite (picked as KIM) |
| :--- |
| 2 forsterite (see KIM data; picked as KIMs) | \& Almandine-hormblende/epidote <br>

\hline 49358 \& 0 \& ${ }^{0}$ \& ${ }^{0}$ \& Tr \& 0 \& Tr low-Cr diopside (1 gr) \& 0 \& 0 \& Tr \& 0 \& 4 \& Tr \& 0 \& Tr \& $$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{Tr} \\
(2 g r ; \\
\text { see } \\
\mathrm{Ke} \\
\text { Kata } \\
\text { data) }
\end{gathered}
$$ \& Tr \& 0 \& Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidotediopside assemblage. \& $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 chromite (see KIM data; picked as KIM) $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 low-Cr diopside 2 chromite (picked as KIMs) \& Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidotediopside <br>

\hline 49359 \& \[
$$
\begin{gathered}
\left.\mathrm{T}_{1}^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{gr}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \& 0 \& 0 \& Tr \& 0 \& Tr low-Cr diopside (3 gr) \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 1 \& Tr \& 0 \& Tr \& 0 \& Tr \& 0 \& Augite-hornblende/diopside assemblage. \& | $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: |
| :--- |
| 1 chalcopyrite |
| 3 low-Cr diopside |
| 2 forsterite (see KIM |
| data; picked as KIMs) | \& Augit-hormblende/diopside <br>

\hline 49360 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& Tr \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 2 \& 0 \& 0 \& Tr \& $$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Tr } \\
(3 \text { gri, } \\
\text { see } \\
\text { KIM } \\
\text { data) }
\end{gathered}
$$ \& Tr \& 0 \& Augite-hornblende/diopside-titanite assemblage. \& $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction 3 chromite (picked as KIMs) \& Augit-hornblende/diopside-titanite <br>

\hline 49361 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& Tr \& 0 \& 0 \& $$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{Tr}_{(1 \mathrm{gr})}
\end{gathered}
$$ \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 2 \& Tr \& 0 \& Tr \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{Tr} \\
(2 \mathrm{gr}, \\
\text { sei } \\
\text { see } \\
\text { Kata) } \\
\text { data) }
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \& Tr \& 0 \& Almandine-augite-hematite/epidote assemblage. \& | $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction |
| :--- |
| 1 red rutile |
| 2 chromite (picked as |
| KIMs ) |
| 2 forsterite (see KIM |
| data; picked as KIMs) | \& Almandine-augite-hematite/epidote <br>

\hline 49362 \& 0 \& 0 \& $$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{Tr} \\
(10 \mathrm{gr})
\end{gathered}
$$ \& Tr \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& Tr \& 3 \& Tr \& 0 \& Tr \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{Tr} \\
(4 \mathrm{gr}, \\
\text { see } \\
\mathrm{KiM} \\
\text { Kata) } \\
\text { data }
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \& Tr \& 0 \& Almandine-augite-hornblende/epidote assemblage. \& | $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: |
| :--- |
| 1 chalcopyrite |
| $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: |
| 4 chromite (picked as |
| KIMs) |
| 5 forsterite (see KIM |
| data; picked as KIMs) | \& Almandine-augite-hormblende/epidote <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}

| 49363 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Tr} \\ (1 \mathrm{gr}) \end{gathered}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | Tr | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Tr} \\ (1 \mathrm{gr} ; \\ \text { see } \\ \mathrm{sel} \\ \mathrm{KlM} \\ \text { data) } \end{gathered}$ | Tr | 0 | Augite-hornblende/diopside-epidote assemblage. | $\begin{aligned} & 0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm} \text { fraction: } \\ & 1 \text { chalcopyrite } \\ & 1 \text { cromite (picked as } \\ & \text { KIM) } \end{aligned}$ | Augite-hornblende/diopside-epidote |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 49364 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Tr | 0 | Tr low-Cr diopside (1 gr) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Tr} \\ (1 \mathrm{gr}) \end{gathered}$ | 0 | Tr | 0 | 5 | Tr | 0 | Tr | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Tr} \\ (4 \mathrm{gr} ; \\ \text { see } \\ \mathrm{siMM} \\ \text { Kata) } \end{gathered}$ | Tr | 0 | Almandine-hornblende-augite/diopsideepidote assemblage. | $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction 2 forsterite (see KIM data; picked as KIMs) $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: <br> 1 low-Cr diopside 1 red rutile 4 chromite (picked as KIMs ) <br> 2 forsterite (see KIM data; picked as KIMs) | Almandine-hornblende-augite/diopsideepidote |
| 49365 | $\begin{gathered} { }_{(5 \mathrm{gr})}^{\mathrm{r}} \end{gathered}$ | 0 | $\underset{(1 \mathrm{gr})}{(\mathrm{gr}}$ | Tr | 0 | Tr low-Cr diopside (11 gr) | $\begin{gathered} \underset{(1 \mathrm{gr})}{\mathrm{T}} \end{gathered}$ | 0 | Tr | 0 | 8 | Tr | 0 | Tr | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Tr} \\ (19 \mathrm{gr}, \\ \text { see } \\ \mathrm{KMM} \\ \text { data) } \end{gathered}$ | Tr | 0 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. | $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: <br> 5 chalcopyrite <br> 11 low-Cr diopside <br> 1 red rutile <br> 19 chromite (picked as KIMs) <br> 1 forsterite (see KIM <br> data; picked as KIM) | Almandine-hormblende/epidote-diopside |

## OVERBURDEN DRILLING MANAGEMENT LIMITED LABORATORY ABBREVIATIONS

## SEDIMENT LOG

| Largest Clasts Present: | Matrix Organics: |
| :--- | :--- |
| G: Granules | ORG: Y: Organics present in matrix |
| P: Pebbles | N: Organics absent or negligible |
| C: Cobbles | in matrix |
|  | $+:$ Matrix is mainly organic |
| Clast Composition: | Matrix Colour: |
| V/S: Volcanics and/or sediments | Primary: |
| GR: Granitics | BE: Beige |
| LS: Limestone, carbonates | GY: Grey |
| OT: Other Lithologies (refer to footnotes) | GB: Grey-beige |
| TR: Only trace present | GN: Green |
| NA: Not applicable | GG: Grey-green |
| OX: Very oxidized, undifferentiated | PP: Purple |
|  | PK: Pink |
| Matrix Grain Size Distribution: | PB: Pink-Beige |
| S/U: Sorted or Unsorted | Secondary (soil): |
| SD: Sand (F: Fine; M: Medium; C: Coarse) | OC: Ochre |
| ST: Silt | BN: Brown |
| CY: Clay | BK: Black |
| Y: Fraction present | Secondary Colour Modifier: |
| +: Fraction more abundant than normal | L: Light |
| -: Fraction less abundant than normal | M: Medium |
| N: Fraction not present | D: Dark |

## GOLD GRAIN LOG

## Thickness:

VG: Visible gold grains
M: Actual measured thickness of grain (microns)
C: Thickness of grain (microns) calculated from measured width and length

## KIM (kimberlite indicator mineral) LOG

GP: Purple to red peridotitic garnet (G9/10 Cr-pyrope)
GO: Orange mantle garnet; includes both eclogitic pyrope-almandine (G3) and Cr-poor megacrystic pyrope (G1/G2) varieties; may include unchecked (by SEM) grains of common crustal garnet (G5) lacking diagnostic inclusions or crystal faces
DC: Cr-diopside; distinctly emerald green (paler emerald green low-Cr diopside picked separately)
IM: Mg-ilmenite; may include unchecked (by SEM) grains of common crustal ilmenite lacking diagnostic inclusions or crystal faces
CR: Chromite
FO: Forsterite

MMSIM (metamorphosed or magmatic massive sulphide indicator mineral)
and PCIM (porphyry Cu indicator mineral) LOGS

| Adr: Andradite | Cr: Chromite | Ky: Kyanite | Sil: Sillimanite | Ttn: Titanite |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Ap: Apatite | Fay: Fayalite | Mz: Monazite | Spi: Spinel |  |
| Ase: Anatase | Gh: Gahnite | Ol: Olivine | Sps: Spessartine |  |
| Ax: Axinite | Gr: Grossular | Opx: Orthopyroxene | St: Staurolite |  |
| Cpy: Chalcopyrite | Gth: Goethite | Py: Pyrite | Tm: Tourmaline |  |

## Hubacheck

## OVERBURDEN DRILLING MANAGEMENT LIMITED SAMPLE RECEPTION LOG

Project: Silver Buffalo
Filename: 20136064 - Hubacheck Consulting - SB - January
Total Number of Samples in this Report $=28$

| Sample Number | Number of bags per Sample | Security Seal No. | Date Received | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30980 | 1 | NA | October 23, 2012 |  |
| 30981 | 1 | NA | October 23, 2012 |  |
| 30982 | 1 | NA | October 23, 2012 |  |
| 30983 | 1 | NA | October 23, 2012 |  |
| 30984 | 1 | NA | October 23, 2012 |  |
| 30985 | 1 | NA | October 23, 2012 |  |
| 30986 | 1 | NA | October 23, 2012 |  |
| 30987 | 1 | NA | October 23, 2012 |  |
| 30988 | 1 | NA | October 23, 2012 |  |
| 30989 | 1 | NA | October 23, 2012 |  |
| 30990 | 1 | NA | October 23, 2012 |  |
| 30991 | 1 | NA | October 23, 2012 |  |
| 30992 | 1 | NA | October 23, 2012 |  |
| 49351 | 1 | NA | October 23, 2012 |  |
| 49352 | 1 | NA | October 23, 2012 |  |
| 49353 | 1 | NA | October 23, 2012 |  |
| 49354 | 1 | NA | October 23, 2012 |  |
| 49355 | 1 | NA | October 23, 2012 |  |
| 49356 | 1 | NA | October 23, 2012 |  |
| 49357 | 1 | NA | October 23, 2012 |  |
| 49358 | 1 | NA | October 23, 2012 |  |
| 49359 | 1 | NA | October 23, 2012 |  |
| 49360 | 1 | NA | October 23, 2012 |  |
| 49361 | 1 | NA | October 23, 2012 |  |
| 49362 | 1 | NA | October 23, 2012 |  |
| 49363 | 1 | NA | October 23, 2012 |  |
| 49364 | 1 | NA | October 23, 2012 |  |
| 49365 | 1 | NA | October 23, 2012 |  |

Overburden Drilling Management Limited Unit 107, 15 Capella Court
Nepean, Ontario, Canada, K2E 7X1
Tel: (613) 226-1771 Fax: (613) 226-8753 odm@storm.ca www.odm.ca

## Laboratory Data Report

## Client Information

RJK Exploration Ltd.
4 AI Wende Avenue
Kirkland Lake, ON
P2N 3J5
gkasner2001@yahoo.com
Attention: Glenn Kasner

## Data-File Information

Date:
Project name:
ODM batch number:
Sample numbers:
Data file:

Number of samples in this report: 20
Number of samples processed to date: 20
Total number of samples in project: 95

Preliminary data:
Final data:
Revised data:

## Samples Processed For:



December 11, 2019
Lorrain Chain

8213
Unit 13 to Unit 25, Unit 27 to Unit 33
20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, RIMs) - December 2019

Gold, RIMs

## Processing Specifications:

1. Submitted by client: Till samples prescreened to -6.0 mm in the field
2. One $\pm 300 \mathrm{~g}$ archival split taken from each sample.
3. All samples panned for gold, PGMs and fine-grained metallic indicator minerals.
4. Shaking table concentrates refined by heavy liquid separation at S.G. 3.2 to obtain heavy mineral concentrates
5. $0.25-2.0 \mathrm{~mm}$, nonferromagnetic HMC fractions picked for kimberlite indicator minerals.

## Notes

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
mole hus
Mike Crawford
Laboratory Manager

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
Primary Sample Processing Weights and Descriptions
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 20
ODM Batch Number(s): 8213

| Sample Number | Screening and Shaking Table Sample Descriptions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Weight (kg wet) |  |  |  |  | Clasts (+2.0 mm) |  |  |  |  | Matrix (-2.0 mm) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Class |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Size | Percentage |  |  |  | Distribution |  |  |  |  | Colour |  |  |
|  | Bulk Rec'd $\begin{gathered}\text { Archived } \\ \text { Split }\end{gathered}$ |  | Table Split | $\begin{gathered} \hline+2.0 \mathrm{~mm} \\ \text { Clasts } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -2.0 \mathrm{~mm} \\ \text { Table Feed } \end{gathered}$ |  | V/S | GR | LS | OT | S/U | SD | ST | CY | ORG | SD | CY |  |
| Unit 13 | 8.8 | 0.3 | 8.5 | 1.3 | 7.2 | P | 80 | 20 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | LOC | LOC | TILL |
| Unit 14 | 12.5 | 0.3 | 12.2 | 1.1 | 11.1 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | DOC | DOC | TILL |
| Unit 15 | 7.8 | 0.3 | 7.5 | 0.8 | 6.7 | G | 95 | 5 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 16 | 7.9 | 0.3 | 7.6 | 0.5 | 7.1 | G | 95 | 5 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 17 | 4.7 | 0.3 | 4.4 | 0.5 | 3.9 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 18 | 8.9 | 0.3 | 8.6 | 0.8 | 7.8 | G | 95 | 5 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | DOC | DOC | TILL |
| Unit 19 | 5.2 | 0.3 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 4.6 | G | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 20 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 4.7 | 0.4 | 4.3 | G | 95 | 5 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 21 | 9.8 | 0.3 | 9.5 | 0.6 | 8.9 | G | 100 | TR | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 22 | 9.8 | 0.3 | 9.5 | 0.5 | 9.0 | G | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 23 | 5.3 | 0.3 | 5.0 | 0.4 | 4.6 | P | 95 | 5 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 24 | 8.7 | 0.3 | 8.4 | 0.5 | 7.9 | G | 100 | TR | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 25 | 8.5 | 0.3 | 8.2 | 0.6 | 7.6 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 27 | 9.7 | 0.3 | 9.4 | 0.8 | 8.6 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 28 | 5.9 | 0.3 | 5.6 | 0.5 | 5.1 | G | 100 | TR | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 29 | 5.9 | 0.3 | 5.6 | 1.0 | 4.6 | C | 80 | 20 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 30 | 5.3 | 0.3 | 5.0 | 0.6 | 4.4 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 31 | 8.8 | 0.3 | 8.5 | 0.8 | 7.7 | P | 80 | 20 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 32 | 8.1 | 0.3 | 7.8 | 0.8 | 7.0 | P | 70 | 30 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | DOC | DOC | TILL |
| Unit 33 | 8.1 | 0.3 | 7.8 | 0.9 | 6.9 | P | 70 | 30 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | DOC | DOC | TILL |

## Gold Grain Summary

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 20
ODM Batch Number(s): 8213

| Sample Number | Number of Visible Gold Grains |  |  |  | Nonmag HMC Weight* | Calculated PPB Visible Gold in HMC |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Reshaped | Modified | Pristine |  | Total | Reshaped | Modified | Pristine |
| Unit 13 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 28.8 | 9 | 7 | 3 | 0 |
| Unit 14 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 44.4 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 16 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 28.4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Unit 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 19 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 18.4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Unit 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 25 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 30.4 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 27 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 34.4 | 72 | 72 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 28 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 20.4 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 29 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 18.4 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 31 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 30.8 | 125 | 125 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 32 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 28.0 | 50 | 50 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 33 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 27.6 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 |

[^2]

Detailed Gold Grain Data
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 20
ODM Batch Number(s): 8213

| Sample Number | Dimensions ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) |  |  | Number of Visible Gold Grains |  |  |  | Nonmag HMC Weight* (g) | Calculated <br> V.G. Assay in HMC (ppb) | Metallic Minerals in Pan Concentrate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Thickness | Width | Length | Reshaped | Modified | Pristine | Total |  |  |  |



[^3]
## Detailed Gold Grain Data

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 20
ODM Batch Number(s): 8213

| Sample Number | Dimensions ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) |  |  | Number of Visible Gold Grains |  |  |  | Nonmag HMC Weight* (g) | Calculated <br> V.G. Assay in HMC (ppb) | Metallic Minerals in Pan Concentrate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Thickness | Width | Length | Reshaped | Modified | Pristine | Total |  |  |  |


| Unit 31 | 20 | C | 75 | 125 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\begin{array}{r} 46 \\ 79 \\ \hline \hline \end{array}$ | No sulphides. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 25 | C | 75 | 175 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 30.8 | 125 |  |
| Unit 32 | 20 | C | 75 | 125 | 1 | 1 |  | 50 | No sulphides. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 28.0 | 50 |  |
| Unit 33 | 5 | C | 25 | 25 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | No sulphides. |
|  | 10 | C | 50 | 50 | 1 | 1 |  | 7 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 27.6 | 8 |  |

* Calculated PPB Au based on assumed nonmagnetic HMC weight equivalent to $0.4 \%$ of the table feed.


## Heavy Mineral Concentrate Processing Weights

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 20
ODM Batch Number(s): 8213

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{5}{*}{Sample Number} \& \multicolumn{11}{|c|}{Weight of -2.0 mm Table Concentrate (g)} <br>
\hline \& \multirow[b]{4}{*}{Total} \& \multirow[b]{4}{*}{-0.25 mm} \& \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{0.25 to 2.0 mm Heavy Liquid Separation at S.G. 3.20} <br>
\hline \& \& \& \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Total} \& \multirow[b]{3}{*}{$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Lights } \\
& \text { S.G. }<3.2
\end{aligned}
$$} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Total} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{-0.25 mm
(wash)} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Liquid

Mag} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{S.G.>3.

Total} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Nonferromagnetic HMC}} <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>

\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& $$
\begin{gathered}
0.25 \text { to } 0.5 \\
\mathrm{~mm} \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
$$ \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
0.5 \text { to } 1.0 \\
\mathrm{~mm}
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
1.0 \text { to } 2.0 \\
\mathrm{~mm} \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
$$
\] <br>

\hline Unit 13 \& 1298.6 \& 773.4 \& 525.2 \& 510.8 \& 14.4 \& 3.1 \& 2.0 \& 9.3 \& 5.8 \& 2.6 \& 0.9 <br>
\hline Unit 14 \& 1433.1 \& 924.7 \& 508.4 \& 498.4 \& 10.0 \& 2.3 \& 1.0 \& 6.7 \& 4.0 \& 1.9 \& 0.8 <br>
\hline Unit 15 \& 1274.7 \& 670.7 \& 604.0 \& 599.1 \& 4.9 \& 1.1 \& 0.5 \& 3.3 \& 1.8 \& 1.1 \& 0.4 <br>
\hline Unit 16 \& 1488.7 \& 977.5 \& 511.2 \& 507.2 \& 4.0 \& 1.3 \& 0.6 \& 2.1 \& 1.3 \& 0.6 \& 0.2 <br>
\hline Unit 17 \& 806.5 \& 518.3 \& 288.2 \& 262.3 \& 25.9 \& 10.9 \& 8.0 \& 7.0 \& 6.4 \& 0.6 \& 0.03 <br>
\hline Unit 18 \& 1525.8 \& 998.6 \& 527.2 \& 522.3 \& 4.9 \& 1.5 \& 0.4 \& 3.0 \& 1.9 \& 0.8 \& 0.3 <br>
\hline Unit 19 \& 1051.7 \& 515.9 \& 535.8 \& 535.1 \& 0.7 \& 0.3 \& 0.1 \& 0.3 \& 0.2 \& 0.1 \& 0.03 <br>
\hline Unit 20 \& 886.4 \& 493.5 \& 392.9 \& 390.9 \& 2.0 \& 0.7 \& 0.3 \& 1.0 \& 0.6 \& 0.3 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 21 \& 1339.8 \& 637.8 \& 702.0 \& 696.2 \& 5.8 \& 1.2 \& 0.5 \& 4.1 \& 2.4 \& 1.1 \& 0.6 <br>
\hline Unit 22 \& 1001.6 \& 714.7 \& 286.9 \& 280.2 \& 6.7 \& 1.6 \& 0.5 \& 4.6 \& 3.0 \& 1.3 \& 0.3 <br>
\hline Unit 23 \& 769.6 \& 535.8 \& 233.8 \& 230.7 \& 3.1 \& 0.9 \& 0.3 \& 1.9 \& 1.4 \& 0.4 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 24 \& 1271.7 \& 856.0 \& 415.7 \& 412.4 \& 3.3 \& 0.9 \& 0.3 \& 2.1 \& 1.4 \& 0.6 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 25 \& 980.9 \& 616.3 \& 364.6 \& 356.7 \& 7.9 \& 1.9 \& 1.2 \& 4.8 \& 3.0 \& 1.4 \& 0.4 <br>
\hline Unit 27 \& 1211.0 \& 799.6 \& 411.4 \& 406.0 \& 5.4 \& 1.3 \& 0.6 \& 3.5 \& 2.3 \& 1.0 \& 0.2 <br>
\hline Unit 28 \& 1219.9 \& 588.9 \& 631.0 \& 629.3 \& 1.7 \& 0.5 \& 0.2 \& 1.0 \& 0.7 \& 0.2 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 29 \& 817.5 \& 635.4 \& 182.1 \& 176.1 \& 6.0 \& 1.3 \& 0.5 \& 4.2 \& 2.9 \& 1.1 \& 0.2 <br>
\hline Unit 30 \& 879.0 \& 581.0 \& 298.0 \& 292.9 \& 5.1 \& 1.1 \& 0.6 \& 3.4 \& 2.2 \& 0.9 \& 0.3 <br>
\hline Unit 31 \& 1110.8 \& 778.9 \& 331.9 \& 325.1 \& 6.8 \& 1.1 \& 0.5 \& 5.2 \& 3.6 \& 1.3 \& 0.3 <br>
\hline Unit 32 \& 1404.1 \& 968.8 \& 435.3 \& 425.5 \& 9.8 \& 2.3 \& 0.3 \& 7.2 \& 6.4 \& 0.7 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 33 \& 1095.8 \& 806.8 \& 289.0 \& 287.1 \& 1.9 \& 0.6 \& 0.2 \& 1.1 \& 0.9 \& 0.2 \& 0.01 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

| Sample Number |  | Number of Grains |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1.0 to 2.0 mm |  |  |  |  |  | Selected MMSIMs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 0 to 2.0 mm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.5 to 1.0 mm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.25 to |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total(KIMs) |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 to 1 | 1.0 m |  |  |  |  | 25 to | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Low-Cr diopside T\|P |  | ${ }_{\text {cpy }}^{\text {T }}$ P |  | $\mathrm{T}_{\text {Gh }}^{\text {T }}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { Low-CC } \\ \text { dioposide } \end{array}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {cpy }}^{\text {cp }}$ |  | $\stackrel{\text { Gh }}{\text { T/P }}$ |  | $$ |  | ${ }_{\text {TPp }}^{\text {cp }}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Gh} \\ & \hline T \end{aligned}$ |  | $$ |  | $$ |  | $\frac{\mathrm{DC}}{\mathrm{DC}}$ |  | $$ |  | $$ |  | $$ |  | $\begin{array}{l\|l\|} \mathrm{GP} \\ \hline \mathrm{~T} & \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $$ |  | $$ |  | $\frac{\mid M}{\|c\| p}$ |  | $$ |  | T ${ }_{\text {F }}^{\text {FO }}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GP } \\ & \hline \mathrm{T} \mid \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | IM |  | CR |  | FO |  |  |
|  |  |  | DC | T/P |  | T\| P |  | T\| ${ }^{\text {P }}$ |  | T T P P |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 13 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 66 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 212 | 4 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 2929 |
| Unit 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 5 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 11 | 111 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 36 | 3636 |
| Unit 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 11 | 11 | 111 | - |  |  |  |
| Unit 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | , | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 10 | 010 | 1 |  | 25 | 25 |
| Unit 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Unit 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 2 |  | 0 |  | 10 | 1010 |
| Unit 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | , | , | , | ${ }^{0}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 |  | 0 |  | 5 | 5 5 |
| Unit 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |  | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 7 | 7 | 0 |  | 10 | 10 |
| Unit 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 1 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | , |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 11 | 111 | 1 |  | 19 | 1919 |
| Unit 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 4 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |
| Unit 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | $3{ }^{3}$ | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 15 | 515 | 12 | 212 | 4 |  | 42 | 4242 |
| Unit 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | $4{ }^{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 |  | 1 | 11 | 3 | 3 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 10 | 010 | 12 | 212 | 2 |  | 35 | 35 |
| Unit 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | - |  | 0 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 1 |  |  | 21.21 |
| Unit 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 2 | 2 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 6 | 6 | 16 | 616 | 0 |  | 27 | 27 |
| Unit 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 5 | $5{ }^{5}$ | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | - |  |  | 0 |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | , | - | - |  | \| 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  | , |  | 1 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | ${ }^{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Kimberlite Indicator Mineral Remarks

File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 20
ODM Batch Number(s): 8213

| Sample Number | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: |
| Unit 13 | Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 2 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1 \mathrm{IM}$ and 1 CR ; and 2 FO versus diopside candidates $=2$ FO. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 GO versus grossular candidates $=2 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope). 1 IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 5 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 14 | Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 1 FO versus diopside candidate $=1$ FO. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); 6 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2 \mathrm{IM}$ and 4 CR ; and 1 FO versus diopside candidate $=1 \mathrm{FO}$. |
| Unit 15 | Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1$ grossular. SEM check from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1 \mathrm{IM}$. |
| Unit 16 | Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 5 GO versus grossular candidates $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope) and 4 grossular; and 5 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2 \mathrm{IM}, 1$ crustal ilmenite and 2 CR . 3 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 17 | Orthopyroxene-fayalite-ilmenite/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1 \mathrm{IM}$.; 5 fayalite (major paramagnetic assemblange mineral) candidates $=5$ fayalite; and 5 orthopyroxene (major paramagnetic assemblage mineral) versus augite candidates $=5$ orthopyroxene. |
| Unit 18 | Almandine-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); and 2 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1$ IM and 1 crustal ilmenite. |
| Unit 19 | Almandine/epidote-diopside assemblage. |

## Kimberlite Indicator Mineral Remarks

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 20
ODM Batch Number(s): 8213

| Sample Number | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: |
| Unit 20 | Almandine/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 GO versus staurolite candidate $=1$ GO (Cr-poor pyrope). |
| Unit 21 | Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 1 FO versus diopside candidate $=1$ FO. SEM check from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 FO versus diopside candidates $=2$ FO. |
| Unit 22 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 5 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1 \mathrm{IM}, 3$ crustal ilmenite and 1 CR . Sole IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction has partial alteration mantle. |
| Unit 23 | Amandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. 1 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction has partial alteration mantle. |
| Unit 24 | Almandine/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus staurolite candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); and 2 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1 \mathrm{IM}$ and 1 crustal ilmenite. 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 25 | Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 1 GO versus almandine candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope). SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 GP verus almandine candidates $=2 \mathrm{GP}$; and 6 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1 \mathrm{IM}, 2$ crustal ilmenite and 3 CR. |

## Kimberlite Indicator Mineral Remarks

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 20
ODM Batch Number(s): 8213

| Sample Number | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: |
| Unit 27 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); 3 FO versus epidote candidates $=2$ FO and 1 epidote. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); 1 IM versus CR candidate $=1 \mathrm{CR}$; and 1 FO versus diopside candidate $=1 \mathrm{FO}$. Sole IM from 1.0-2.0 mm, both IM from 0.5-1.0 mm, and 1 GP and 5 IM from 0.25-0.5 fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 28 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 GO versus staurolite candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope). Sole IM from 0.5-1.0 mm and 1 IM from 0.250.5 mm fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 29 | Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. Sole IM from 1.0-2.0 mm; 2 IM from 0.5 1.0 mm ; and 5 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 30 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 13 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=4 \mathrm{IM}, 4$ crustal ilmenite and 5 CR ; and 3 FO versus epidote candidates $=1$ FO and 2 epidote. 1 IM from 0.5-1.0 mm ; 1 GP and 5 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 31 | Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 3 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates = 1 IM and 2 CR . Sole IM from 1.0-2.0 mm; 1 IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 2 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 32 | Hornblende-almandine/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. SEM check from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 1 GP versus almandine candidate $=1 \mathrm{GP}$. SEM check from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 IM versus CR candidate $=1 \mathrm{CR} .1 \mathrm{GP}$ from $0.5-1.0$; and 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |

## Kimberlite Indicator Mineral Remarks

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 20
ODM Batch Number(s): 8213

| Sample Number |
| :--- |
| Unit 33 |

Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 2 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2 \mathrm{IM}$. 1 IM from 0.5-1.0 mm and 1 IM from 0.25 0.5 mm fractions have partial alteration mantles.

Exploring Heavy Minerals

## Laboratory Data Report

## Client Information

RJK Exploration Ltd.
4 Al Wend Avenue
Kirkland Lake, ON
P2N 3J5
gkasner2001@yahoo.com
Attention: Glenn Kasner
Data-File Information

Date:
Project name:
ODM batch number:
Sample numbers:
Data file:

Number of samples in this report:
Number of samples processed to date:
Total number of samples in project:
95
December 11, 2019
Lorrain Chain
8213
Unit 13 to Unit 25, Unit 27 to Unit 33
20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, RIMs) - December 2019
20
20

Preliminary data:
Final data:
Revised data:


## Samples Processed For:

Gold, RIMs

## Processing Specifications:

1. Submitted by client: Till samples prescreened to -6.0 mm in the field
2. One $\pm 300 \mathrm{~g}$ archival split taken from each sample.
3. All samples panned for gold, PGMs and fine-grained metallic indicator minerals.
4. Shaking table concentrates refined by heavy liquid separation at S.G. 3.2 to obtain heavy mineral concentrates
5. $0.25-2.0 \mathrm{~mm}$, nonferromagnetic HMC fractions picked for kimberlite indicator minerals.

## Notes

$\qquad$
$\qquad$


Mike Crawford
Laboratory Manager

## Overburden Drilling Management Limited - Abbreviations Table

## Raw Sample Weights and Descriptions Log

## Largest Clast Size Present:

## Matrix Organics:

G: Granules
P: Pebbles
C: Cobbles

## Clast Composition:

V/S: Volcanics and/or sediments
GR: Granitics
LS: Limestone, carbonates
OT: Other lithologies (refer to footnotes)
TR: Only trace present
NA: Not applicable
OX: Very oxidized, undifferentiated
MB: Marble

## Matrix Grain Size Distribution:

S/U: Sorted or unsorted
SD: Sand (F: Fine; M: Medium; C: Coarse)
ST: Silt
CY: Clay
Y: Fraction present
+: Fraction more abundant than normal
-: Fraction less abundant than normal
N: Fraction not present
ORG: Y: Organics present in matrix
N: Organics absent or negligible
in matrix

+ +: Matrix is mainly organic

Matrix Colour:
Primary:

| BE: Beige | GG: Grey-green |
| :--- | :--- |
| BR: Brick Red | PP: Purple |
| GY: Grey | PK: Pink |
| GB: Grey-beige | PB: Pink-beige |
| GN: Green | MN: Maroon |

Secondary (soil):
OC: Ochre
BN: Brown
BK: Black
Secondary Colour Modifier:
L: Light
M: Medium
D: Dark

## Detailed Gold Grain Log

VG: Visible gold grains
Thickness:
M: Actual measured thickness of grain ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ )
C: Thickness of grain ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) calculated from measured width and length

## Kimberlite Indicator Mineral (KIM) Log

GP: Purple to red peridotitic garnet (G9/10 Cr-pyrope)
GO: Orange mantle garnet; includes both eclogitic pyrope-almandine (G3) and Cr-poor megacrystic pyrope (G1/G2) varieties; may include unchecked (by SEM) grains of common crustal garnet (G5) lacking diagnostic inclusions or crystal faces
DC: Cr-diopside; distinctly emerald green (paler emerald green low-Cr diopside picked separately)
IM: Mg-ilmenite; may include unchecked (by SEM) grains of common crustal ilmenite lacking diagnostic inclusions or crystal faces
CR: Chromite
FO: Forsterite

## Metamorphosed/Magmatic Massive Sulphide Indicator Mineral (MMSIM)

 and Porphyry Cu Indicator Mineral (PCIM) Logs| Adr: Andradite | Cpx: Clinopyroxene | Gth: Goethite | PGM: Platinum group- | Sil: Sillimanite |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Ap: Apatite | Cpy: Chalcopyrite | Ilm: Ilmenite | bearing mineral | Spi: Spinel |
| Ase: Anatase | Cr: Chromite | Ky: Kyanite | Py: Pyrite | Sps: Spessartine |
| Aspy: Arsenopyrite | Fay: Fayalite | Mrc: Marcasite | REM: Rare earth- | St: Staurolite |
| Ax: Axinite | Gh: Gahnite | Mz: Monazite | bearing mineral | Tm: Tourmaline |
| Ba: Barite | Grs: Grossular | OI: Olivine | Rt: Red rutile | Ttn: Titanite |
|  |  | Opx: Orthopyroxene |  | Zir: Zircon |

## Other

HMC: Heavy mineral concentrate
EPD: Electric-pulse disaggregation
UV: Ultra-violet
PGE: Platinum group element

## Primary Sample Processing Weights and Descriptions

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 20
ODM Batch Number(s): 8213

| Sample Number | Weight (kg wet) |  |  |  |  | Screening and Shaking Table Sample Descriptions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Clasts (+2.0 mm) |  |  |  |  | Matrix (-2.0 mm) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Class |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Size | Percentage |  |  |  | Distribution |  |  |  |  | Colour |  |  |
|  | Bulk Rec'd $\begin{gathered}\text { Archived } \\ \text { Split }\end{gathered}$ |  | Table Split | $\begin{gathered} +2.0 \mathrm{~mm} \\ \text { Clasts } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -2.0 \mathrm{~mm} \\ \text { Table Feed } \end{gathered}$ |  | V/S | GR | LS | OT | S/U | SD | ST | CY | ORG | SD | CY |  |
| Unit 13 | 8.8 | 0.3 | 8.5 | 1.3 | 7.2 | P | 80 | 20 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | LOC | LOC | TILL |
| Unit 14 | 12.5 | 0.3 | 12.2 | 1.1 | 11.1 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | DOC | DOC | TILL |
| Unit 15 | 7.8 | 0.3 | 7.5 | 0.8 | 6.7 | G | 95 | 5 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 16 | 7.9 | 0.3 | 7.6 | 0.5 | 7.1 | G | 95 | 5 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 17 | 4.7 | 0.3 | 4.4 | 0.5 | 3.9 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 18 | 8.9 | 0.3 | 8.6 | 0.8 | 7.8 | G | 95 | 5 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | DOC | DOC | TILL |
| Unit 19 | 5.2 | 0.3 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 4.6 | G | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 20 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 4.7 | 0.4 | 4.3 | G | 95 | 5 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 21 | 9.8 | 0.3 | 9.5 | 0.6 | 8.9 | G | 100 | TR | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 22 | 9.8 | 0.3 | 9.5 | 0.5 | 9.0 | G | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 23 | 5.3 | 0.3 | 5.0 | 0.4 | 4.6 | P | 95 | 5 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 24 | 8.7 | 0.3 | 8.4 | 0.5 | 7.9 | G | 100 | TR | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 25 | 8.5 | 0.3 | 8.2 | 0.6 | 7.6 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 27 | 9.7 | 0.3 | 9.4 | 0.8 | 8.6 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 28 | 5.9 | 0.3 | 5.6 | 0.5 | 5.1 | G | 100 | TR | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 29 | 5.9 | 0.3 | 5.6 | 1.0 | 4.6 | C | 80 | 20 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 30 | 5.3 | 0.3 | 5.0 | 0.6 | 4.4 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 31 | 8.8 | 0.3 | 8.5 | 0.8 | 7.7 | P | 80 | 20 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 32 | 8.1 | 0.3 | 7.8 | 0.8 | 7.0 | P | 70 | 30 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | DOC | DOC | TILL |
| Unit 33 | 8.1 | 0.3 | 7.8 | 0.9 | 6.9 | P | 70 | 30 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | DOC | DOC | TILL |

## Gold Grain Summary

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213-RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 20 ODM Batch Number(s): 8213

| Sample Number | Number of Visible Gold Grains |  |  |  | Nonmag HMC Weight* | Calculated PPB Visible Gold in HMC |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Reshaped | Modified | Pristine |  | Total | Reshaped | Modified | Pristine |
| Unit 13 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 28.8 | 9 | 7 | 3 | 0 |
| Unit 14 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 44.4 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 16 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 28.4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Unit 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 19 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 18.4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Unit 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 25 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 30.4 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 27 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 34.4 | 72 | 72 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 28 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 20.4 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 29 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 18.4 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 31 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 30.8 | 125 | 125 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 32 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 28.0 | 50 | 50 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 33 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 27.6 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 |

[^4]
## Detailed Gold Grain Data

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 20



| Unit 15 | No Visible Gold |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Unit 16 | 5 | C |
|  | 25 | 25 |


| Unit 17 | No Visible Gold |
| :--- | :--- |
| Unit 18 | No Visible Gold |


| Unit 19 | 8 | C | 25 | 50 |  | 1 |  | 4 | No sulphides. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 18.4 | 4 |  |
| Unit 20 | No Visible Gold |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | No sulphides. |
| Unit 21 | No Visible Gold |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | No sulphides. |
| Unit 22 | No Visible Gold |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | No sulphides. |
| Unit 23 | No Visible Gold |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | No sulphides. |
| Unit 24 | No Visible Gold |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | No sulphides. |
| Unit 25 | 13 | C | 50 | 75 | 1 | 1 |  | 12 | No sulphides. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 30.4 | 12 |  |
| Unit 27 |  |  | 50 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 10 | No sulphides. |
|  | 20 | C | 50 | 150 | 1 | 1 |  | 33 |  |
|  | 18 | C | 75 | 100 | 1 | 1 |  | 29 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 34.4 | 72 |  |
| Unit 28 | 10 | C | 50 | 50 | 1 | 1 |  | 9 | No sulphides. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 20.4 | 9 |  |
| Unit 29 | 10 | C | 50 | 50 | 1 | 1 |  | 10 | No sulphides. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 18.4 | 10 |  |
| Unit 30 | No Visible Gold |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | No sulphides. |

## * Calculated PPB Au based on assumed nonmagnetic HMC weight equivalent to $0.4 \%$ of the table feed.

## Detailed Gold Grain Data

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 20

| Sample Number | Dimensions ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) |  |  | Number of Visible Gold Grains |  |  |  | Nonmag HMC Weight* (g) | Calculated V.G. Assay in HMC (ppb) | Metallic Minerals in Pan Concentrate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Thickness | Width | Length | Reshaped | Modified | Pristine | Total |  |  |  |


| Unit 31 | 20 | C | 75 | 125 | 11 | 1 |  | 46 | No sulphides. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 25 | C | 75 | 175 |  | 1 |  | 79 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 30.8 | 125 |  |
| Unit 32 | 20 | C | 75 | 125 | 1 | 1 |  | 50 | No sulphides. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 28.0 | 50 |  |
| Unit 33 | 5 | C | 25 | 25 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | No sulphides. |
|  | 10 | C | 50 | 50 | 1 | 1 |  | 7 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 27.6 | 8 |  |

[^5]
## Heavy Mineral Concentrate Processing Weights

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 20
ODM Batch Number(s): 8213

| Sample Number | Weight of -2.0 mm Table Concentrate (g) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | -0.25 mm | 0.25 to 2.0 mm Heavy Liquid Separation at S.G. 3.20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Lights } \\ \text { S.G. }<3.2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Total | $\begin{gathered} -0.25 \mathrm{~mm} \\ \text { (wash) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | HMC S.G.>3.20 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Mag | Nonferromagnetic HMC |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | $\begin{gathered} 0.25 \text { to } 0.5 \\ \mathrm{~mm} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.5 \text { to } 1.0 \\ \mathrm{~mm} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.0 \text { to } 2.0 \\ \mathrm{~mm} \end{gathered}$ |
| Unit 13 | 1298.6 | 773.4 | 525.2 | 510.8 | 14.4 | 3.1 | 2.0 | 9.3 | 5.8 | 2.6 | 0.9 |
| Unit 14 | 1433.1 | 924.7 | 508.4 | 498.4 | 10.0 | 2.3 | 1.0 | 6.7 | 4.0 | 1.9 | 0.8 |
| Unit 15 | 1274.7 | 670.7 | 604.0 | 599.1 | 4.9 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 3.3 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 0.4 |
| Unit 16 | 1488.7 | 977.5 | 511.2 | 507.2 | 4.0 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0.2 |
| Unit 17 | 806.5 | 518.3 | 288.2 | 262.3 | 25.9 | 10.9 | 8.0 | 7.0 | 6.4 | 0.6 | 0.03 |
| Unit 18 | 1525.8 | 998.6 | 527.2 | 522.3 | 4.9 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 3.0 | 1.9 | 0.8 | 0.3 |
| Unit 19 | 1051.7 | 515.9 | 535.8 | 535.1 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.03 |
| Unit 20 | 886.4 | 493.5 | 392.9 | 390.9 | 2.0 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| Unit 21 | 1339.8 | 637.8 | 702.0 | 696.2 | 5.8 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 4.1 | 2.4 | 1.1 | 0.6 |
| Unit 22 | 1001.6 | 714.7 | 286.9 | 280.2 | 6.7 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 4.6 | 3.0 | 1.3 | 0.3 |
| Unit 23 | 769.6 | 535.8 | 233.8 | 230.7 | 3.1 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| Unit 24 | 1271.7 | 856.0 | 415.7 | 412.4 | 3.3 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 0.1 |
| Unit 25 | 980.9 | 616.3 | 364.6 | 356.7 | 7.9 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 4.8 | 3.0 | 1.4 | 0.4 |
| Unit 27 | 1211.0 | 799.6 | 411.4 | 406.0 | 5.4 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 3.5 | 2.3 | 1.0 | 0.2 |
| Unit 28 | 1219.9 | 588.9 | 631.0 | 629.3 | 1.7 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Unit 29 | 817.5 | 635.4 | 182.1 | 176.1 | 6.0 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 4.2 | 2.9 | 1.1 | 0.2 |
| Unit 30 | 879.0 | 581.0 | 298.0 | 292.9 | 5.1 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 3.4 | 2.2 | 0.9 | 0.3 |
| Unit 31 | 1110.8 | 778.9 | 331.9 | 325.1 | 6.8 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 5.2 | 3.6 | 1.3 | 0.3 |
| Unit 32 | 1404.1 | 968.8 | 435.3 | 425.5 | 9.8 | 2.3 | 0.3 | 7.2 | 6.4 | 0.7 | 0.1 |
| Unit 33 | 1095.8 | 806.8 | 289.0 | 287.1 | 1.9 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 0.01 |


| Sample Number |  | Number of Grains |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Selected MMSIMs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | KIMs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | to | 2.0 m | Gh |  |  |  | 5 to | 1.0 m |  |  |  |  | 5 to | 0.5 m |  |  | 1.0 to 2.0 mm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.5 to 1.0 mm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.25 to 0.5 mm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Low-Cr diopside |  | Cpy |  |  |  | Low-Cr diopside |  | Cpy |  | Gh |  | Low-Cr |  | Cpy |  | Gh |  | GP |  | GO |  | DC |  | 1 M |  | CR |  | FO |  | GP |  | GO |  | DC |  | 1 M |  | CR |  | FO |  | GP |  | TiP |  | ${ }_{\text {TC }}^{\text {D }}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {T1 }}^{\text {I }}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | T | P | T | P |  | P | T 1 | P | T | P |  | P | T 1 | P | T | P | T | P | T | P | T | P | T | P | T | P | T | P | T | P | T | P | T | P | T | P | T | P | T | P | T | P | T | P |  |  | T ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | T |  |  |
| Unit 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 2 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 12 | 12 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| Unit 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 1 |
| Unit 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 11 | 0 |
| Unit 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 10 | 10 | 1 |
| Unit 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| Unit 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Unit 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| Unit 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Unit 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| Unit 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 |
| Unit 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 1 |
| Unit 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | - | - | 1 | 1 | , | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 11 | 11 | 1 |
| Unit 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Unit 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 15 | 12 | 12 | 4 |
| Unit 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | , | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 12 | 12 | 2 |
| Unit 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 1 |
| Unit 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 6 | 6 | 16 | 16 | 0 |
| Unit 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , |  | 0 | 0 | , | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| Unit 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 3 |  |  |

$P=$ Number of picked grains in sample.

| Kimberlite Indicator Mineral Remarks |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Client: RJK Exploration Ltd. |  |  |  |
| File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019 Total Number of Samples in this Report: 20 ODM Batch Number(s): 8213 |  |  |  |
| Sample Number | Remarks | INPUT ASSEMBLAGE | INPUT REMARKS |
| Unit 13 | Almandine-hormblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 IM versus crustal imenite candidates $=1 \mathrm{IM}$ and 1 CB ; and 2 FO versus diopside candidates $=2 \mathrm{FO}$ SEM checks 5 rom $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 GO versus grossular candidates $=2 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope). 11 M from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 5 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. | Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 21 M versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1 \mathrm{IM}$ mm fraction: 2 GO versus grossular candidates $=2 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cl-poor pyrope). 11 M from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 5 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 14 | Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 FO versus diopside candidate $=1 \mathrm{FO}$. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); 6 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2 \mathrm{IM}$ and 4 CR; and 1 FO versus diopside candidate $=1 \mathrm{FO}$. | Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside | SEM check from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 FO versus diopside candidate $=1 \mathrm{FO}$. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); 6 M versus crustal dimenite diopside candidate $=1 \mathrm{FO}$. |
| Unit 15 | Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1$ grossular. SEM check from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1 \mathrm{IM}$. | Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside | SEM check from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1$ grossular. SEM check from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 lM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1 \mathrm{lM}$. |
| Unit 16 | Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 5 GO versus grossular candidates $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope) and 4 grossular; and 5 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2 \mathrm{IM}, 1$ crustal ilmenite and 2 CR .3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. | Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 5 GO versus grossular candidates $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr poor pyrope) and 4 grossular; and 5 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2 \mathrm{IM}, 1$ crustal imenite and 2 CR .3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 17 | Orthopyroxene-fayalite-ilmenite/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1 \mathrm{IM}$.; 5 fayalite (major paramagnetic assemblange mineral) candidates $=5$ fayalite; and 5 orthopyroxene (major paramagnetic assemblage mineral) versus augite candidates $=5$ orthopyroxene. | Orthopyroxene-fayalite-ilmenite/epidote-diopsidestaurolite | SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1$ IM.; 5 fayalite (major paramagnetic assemblange mineral) candidates $=5$ fayalite; and 5 orthopyroxene (major paramagnetic assemblage mineral) versus augite candidates $=5$ orthopyroxene. |
| Unit 18 | Almandine-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); and 2 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1$ IM and 1 crustal ilmenite. | Almandine-augite/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Crpoor pyrope); and 2 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1 \mathrm{IM}$ and 1 crustal ilmenite. |
| Unit 19 | Almandine/pidote-diopside assemblage. | Almandine/epidote-diopside |  |
| Unit 20 | Almandine/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus staurolite candidate $=1$ GO (Cr-poor pyrope). | Almandine/epidote-diopside | SEM check from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus staurolite candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Crpoor pyrope). |
| Unit 21 | Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 FO versus diopside candidate $=1$ FO. SEM check from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 FO versus diopside candidates $=2 \mathrm{FO}$. | Almandine-hornblende-augit/epidote-diopside | SEM check from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 FO versus diopside candidate $=1 \mathrm{FO}$. SEM check from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 2 FO versus diopside candidates $=2 \mathrm{FO}$. |
| Unit 22 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 5 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1 \mathrm{IM}, 3$ crustal ilmenite and 1 CR . Sole IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction has partial alteration mantle. | Almandine-hornblende/epidot-diopside | SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 5 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1$ IM, 3 crustal ilmenite and 1 CR . Sole IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction has partial alteration mantle. |
| Unit 23 | Amandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction has partial alteration mantle. | Amandine-homblende/epidote-diopside | 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction has partial alteration mantle. |
| Unit 24 | Almandine/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus staurolite candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); and 2 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1 \mathrm{IM}$ and 1 crustal ilmenite. 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. | $\overline{\text { Almandine/epidote-diopside }}$ | SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus staurolite candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Crpoor pyrope); and 2 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1 \mathrm{IM}$ and 1 crustal ilmenite. 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 25 | Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: GO versus almandine candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope). SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 GP verus almandine candidates $=2 \mathrm{GP}$; and 6 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1 \mathrm{IM}, 2$ crustal ilmenite and 3 CR . | Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside | SEM check from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus almandine candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Crpoor pyrope). SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 GP verus almandine candidates $=2 \mathrm{GP}$; and 6 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1 \mathrm{lM}, 2$ crustal imenite and 3 CR. |
| Unit 27 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); 3 FO versus epidote candidates $=2 \mathrm{FO}$ and 1 epidote. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); 1 IM versus CR candidate $=1 \mathrm{CR}$; and 1 FO versus diopside candidate $=1 \mathrm{FO}$. Sole IM from $1.0-2.0 \mathrm{~mm}$, both IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$, and 1 GP and 5 IM from 0.25-0.5 fractions have partial alteration mantles. | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM check from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Crpoor pyrope); 3 FO versus epidote candidates $=2 \mathrm{FO}$ and 1 epidote. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); 1 IM versus CR candidate $=1 \mathrm{CR}$; and 1 FO versus diopside candidate $=1 \mathrm{FO}$. Sole IM from $1.0-2.0 \mathrm{~mm}$, both IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$, and 1 GP and 5 IM from 0.25-0.5 fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 28 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus staurolite candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope). Sole IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 1 IM from 0.25 0.5 mm fractions have partial alteration mantles. | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM check from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus staurolite candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}(\mathrm{Cr}-$ poor pyrope). Sole IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 29 | Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. Sole IM from $1.0-2.0 \mathrm{~mm} ; 2 \mathrm{IM}$ from 0.5 1.0 mm ; and 5 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial atteration mantles. | Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside | Sole $\operatorname{IM}$ from $1.0-2.0 \mathrm{~mm} ; 2 \mathrm{IM}$ from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$; and 5 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 30 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 13 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=4 \mathrm{M}, 4$ crustal ilmenite and 5 CR ; and 3 FO versus epidote candidates $=1 \mathrm{FO}$ and 2 epidote. 1 IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm} ; 1 \mathrm{GP}$ and 5 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. | Almandine-hornblende/epidot-diopside | SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 13 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=4$ $\mathrm{IM}, 4$ crustal ilmenite and 5 CR ; and 3 FO versus epidote candidates $=1 \mathrm{FO}$ and 2 epidote. 11 M from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm} ; 1 \mathrm{GP}$ and 5 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 31 | Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 3 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1 \mathrm{IM}$ and 2 CR . Sole IM from $1.0-2.0 \mathrm{~mm} ; 1 \mathrm{IM}$ from $0.5-1.0$ mm and 2 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. | $\overline{\text { Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside }}$ | SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 3 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1$ IM and 2 CR . Sole $I \mathrm{M}$ from $1.0-2.0 \mathrm{~mm} ; 1 \mathrm{IM}$ from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 2 IM from $0.25-0.5$ mm fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 32 | Hornblende-almandine/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. SEM check from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GP versus almandine candidate $=1 \mathrm{GP}$. SEM check from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 IM versus CR candidate $=1 \mathrm{CR} .1 \mathrm{GP}$ from $0.5-1.0$; and 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. | Hornblende-almandine/epidote-diopside-staurolite | SEM check from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 1 GP versus almandine candidate $=1 \mathrm{GP}$. SEM check from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 IM versus CR candidate $=1 \mathrm{CR} .1 \mathrm{GP}$ from 0.51.0; and 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 33 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2 \mathrm{IM} .1 \mathrm{IM}$ from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 1 IM from $0.25-$ 0.5 mm fractions have partial alteration mantles. | Almandine-hormblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite | SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 2 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2$ IM .1 IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |

## Laboratory Data Report

Client Information<br>RJK Exploration Ltd.<br>4 AI Wendi Avenue<br>Kirkland Lake, ON<br>P2N 3J5

## gkasner2001@yahoo.com

Attention: Glenn Kasner

## Data-File Information

Date:
Project name:
ODM batch number:
Sample numbers:
Data file:

Number of samples in this report: 20
Number of samples processed to date: 40
Total number of samples in project: 95
Preliminary data:
Final data:
Revised data:

## Samples Processed For:



January 06, 2020
Lorrain Chain
8214
Unit 34 to Unit 53
20198213-RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, RIMs) - December 2019

Gold, RIMs

## Processing Specifications:

1. Submitted by client: Till samples prescreened to -6.0 mm in the field
2. One $\pm 300 \mathrm{~g}$ archival split taken from each sample.
3. All samples panned for gold, PGMs and fine-grained metallic indicator minerals.
4. Shaking table concentrates refined by heavy liquid separation at S.G. 3.2 to obtain heavy mineral concentrates
5. $0.25-2.0 \mathrm{~mm}$, nonferromagnetic HMC fractions picked for kimberlite indicator minerals.

## Notes

$\qquad$


Primary Sample Processing Weights and Descriptions
Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 20
ODM Batch Number(s): 8214

| Sample Number | Weight (kg wet) |  |  |  |  | Screening and Shaking Table Sample Descriptions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Clasts (+2.0 mm) |  |  |  |  | Matrix (-2.0 mm) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Class |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Size | Percentage |  |  |  | Distribution |  |  |  |  | Colour |  |  |
|  | (1) $\begin{aligned} & \text { Archived } \\ & \text { Sulk Rec'd } \\ & \text { Split }\end{aligned}$ |  | Table Split | $\begin{gathered} \hline+2.0 \mathrm{~mm} \\ \text { Clasts } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -2.0 \mathrm{~mm} \\ \text { Table Feed } \end{gathered}$ |  | V/S | GR | LS | OT | S/U | SD | ST | CY | ORG | SD | CY |  |
| Unit 34 | 7.3 | 0.3 | 7.0 | 0.5 | 6.5 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | LOC | LOC | TILL |
| Unit 35 | 4.6 | 0.3 | 4.3 | 0.3 | 4.0 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 36 | 8.8 | 0.3 | 8.5 | 0.6 | 7.9 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | LOC | LOC | TILL |
| Unit 37 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 4.7 | 0.4 | 4.3 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 38 | 5.3 | 0.3 | 5.0 | 0.5 | 4.5 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 39 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 4.7 | 0.4 | 4.3 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | N | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 40 | 8.0 | 0.3 | 7.7 | 0.9 | 6.8 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 41 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 4.7 | 0.3 | 4.4 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | DOC | DOC | TILL |
| Unit 42 | 4.8 | 0.3 | 4.5 | 0.3 | 4.2 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 43 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 4.7 | 0.3 | 4.4 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 44 | 5.2 | 0.3 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 4.6 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | DOC | DOC | TILL |
| Unit 45 | 5.1 | 0.3 | 4.8 | 0.4 | 4.4 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | DOC | DOC | TILL |
| Unit 46 | 4.8 | 0.3 | 4.5 | 0.4 | 4.1 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | DOC | DOC | TILL |
| Unit 47 | 5.3 | 0.3 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 4.7 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | DOC | DOC | TILL |
| Unit 48 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 4.6 | 0.3 | 4.3 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 49 | 4.6 | 0.3 | 4.3 | 0.4 | 3.9 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | DOC | DOC | TILL |
| Unit 50 | 8.2 | 0.3 | 7.9 | 0.8 | 7.1 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | N | DOC | DOC | TILL |
| Unit 51 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 4.6 | 0.4 | 4.2 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 52 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 4.7 | 0.5 | 4.2 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 53 | 5.1 | 0.3 | 4.8 | 0.5 | 4.3 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC | OC | TILL |

## Gold Grain Summary

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 20
ODM Batch Number(s): 8214

| Sample Number | Number of Visible Gold Grains |  |  |  | Nonmag HMC Weight* | Calculated PPB Visible Gold in HMC |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Reshaped | Modified | Pristine |  | Total | Reshaped | Modified | Pristine |
| Unit 34 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 26.0 | 129 | 129 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 36 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 31.6 | 597 | 597 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 37 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 17.2 | 36 | 36 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 39 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 17.2 | 87 | 87 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 40 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 27.2 | 24 | 24 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 41 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 17.6 | 32 | 32 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 42 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 16.8 | 192 | 67 | 125 | 0 |
| Unit 43 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 17.6 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 44 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 18.4 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 45 | 9 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 17.6 | 179 | 172 | 4 | 3 |
| Unit 46 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 16.4 | 24 | 24 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 47 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 18.8 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 48 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 17.2 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 49 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 15.6 | 90 | 90 | 0 | <1 |
| Unit 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 53 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

[^6]
## Detailed Gold Grain Data

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 20
ODM Batch Number(s): 8214


Unit 35
No Visible Gold

| Unit 36 | 5 | $C$ | 25 | 25 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15 | $C$ | 50 | 100 | 1 |
|  | 44 | $C$ | 200 | 275 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 37 | 5 | $C$ | 25 | 25 | 1 |
|  | 13 | $C$ | 25 | 100 | 1 |
|  | 13 | $C$ | 50 | 75 | 1 |

Unit 38

| Unit 39 | 20 | C | 100 | 100 | 1 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 40 | 8 | C | 25 | 50 | 1 |
|  | 15 | C | 50 | 100 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 41 | 15 | C | 50 | 100 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 42 | 3 | C | 15 | 15 | 2 |
|  | 5 | C | 25 | 25 | 2 |
|  | 8 | C | 25 | 50 | 1 |
|  | 18 | C | 75 | 100 | 1 |
|  | 22 | C | 100 | 125 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 43 | 8 | C | 25 | 50 | 1 |

Unit 44

Unit 45

No Visible Gold

| 3 | $C$ | 15 | 15 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13 | $C$ | 50 | 75 | 1 |


| 3 | $C$ | 15 | 15 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | $C$ | 25 | 25 | 1 |
| 8 | $C$ | 25 | 50 | 1 |
| 10 | $C$ | 25 | 75 | 1 |
| 13 | $C$ | 50 | 75 | 1 |
| 25 | $C$ | 75 | 175 | 1 |



No sulphides.

|  |  | 87 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | 17.2 | 87 |  |



1

| 2 | 1 | No sulphides. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 3 |  |



## Detailed Gold Grain Data

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 20
ODM Batch Number(s): 8214

| Sample Number | Dimensions ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) |  |  | Number of Visible Gold Grains |  |  |  | Nonmag HMC Weight* (g) | Calculated V.G. Assay in HMC (ppb) | Metallic Minerals in Pan Concentrate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Thickness | Width | Length | Reshaped | Modified | Pristine | Total |  |  |  |


| Unit 46 | 3 | C |  | 15 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | $\begin{gathered} <1 \\ 1 \\ 22 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | No sulphides. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 | C | 25 | 25 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 13 | C | 50 | 75 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 16.4 | 24 |  |
| Unit 47 | 5 | C | 25 | 25 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | No sulphides. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 18.8 | 1 |  |
| Unit 48 | 10 | C | 25 | 75 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 8 | No sulphides. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 17.2 | 8 |  |
| Unit 49 | 3 | C | 15 | 15 |  | 1 | 1 |  | <1 | No sulphides. |
|  | 20 | C | 75 | 125 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 90 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 15.6 | 90 |  |
| Unit 50 | No Visible Gold |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | No sulphides. |
| Unit 51 | No Visible Gold |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | No sulphides. |
| Unit 52 | No Visible Gold |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | No sulphides. |
| Unit 53 | No V | ble |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | No sulphides. |

[^7]
## Heavy Mineral Concentrate Processing Weights

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 20
ODM Batch Number(s): 8214

| Sample Number | Weight of -2.0 mm Table Concentrate (g) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | -0.25 mm | 0.25 to 2.0 mm Heavy Liquid Separation at S.G. 3.20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Lights } \\ \text { S.G. }<3.2 \end{gathered}$ | Total | $\begin{gathered} -0.25 \mathrm{~mm} \\ \text { (wash) } \end{gathered}$ | HMC S.G.>3.20 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Mag | Nonferromagnetic HMC |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | $\begin{gathered} 0.25 \text { to } 0.5 \\ \mathrm{~mm} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.5 \text { to } 1.0 \\ \mathrm{~mm} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.0 \text { to } 2.0 \\ \mathrm{~mm} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Unit 34 | 728.8 | 627.0 | 101.8 | 93.1 | 8.7 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 7.0 | 5.3 | 1.4 | 0.3 |
| Unit 35 | 832.8 | 596.6 | 236.2 | 232.2 | 4.0 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 0.8 | 0.2 |
| Unit 36 | 960.4 | 699.8 | 260.6 | 250.1 | 10.5 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 7.2 | 5.0 | 1.7 | 0.5 |
| Unit 37 | 605.7 | 405.6 | 200.1 | 194.6 | 5.5 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 1.0 | 0.5 |
| Unit 38 | 966.6 | 654.5 | 312.1 | 309.7 | 2.4 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0.02 |
| Unit 39 | 716.0 | 489.1 | 226.9 | 225.0 | 1.9 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| Unit 40 | 1044.0 | 663.9 | 380.1 | 373.6 | 6.5 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 3.7 | 2.4 | 0.9 | 0.4 |
| Unit 41 | 832.5 | 507.1 | 325.4 | 322.9 | 2.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| Unit 42 | 1004.5 | 641.3 | 363.2 | 359.5 | 3.7 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 2.8 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 0.3 |
| Unit 43 | 922.3 | 555.1 | 367.2 | 364.0 | 3.2 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| Unit 44 | 952.2 | 657.2 | 295.0 | 290.5 | 4.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 3.4 | 2.5 | 0.8 | 0.1 |
| Unit 45 | 887.5 | 627.9 | 259.6 | 256.8 | 2.8 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 2.3 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 0.2 |
| Unit 46 | 846.8 | 619.1 | 227.7 | 225.7 | 2.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| Unit 47 | 859.5 | 594.2 | 265.3 | 262.2 | 3.1 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 2.3 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0.3 |
| Unit 48 | 734.9 | 508.9 | 226.0 | 223.5 | 2.5 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 0.1 |
| Unit 49 | 941.8 | 653.0 | 288.8 | 284.7 | 4.1 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 0.7 | 0.3 |
| Unit 50 | 912.5 | 619.4 | 293.1 | 288.5 | 4.6 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 3.3 | 2.5 | 0.7 | 0.1 |
| Unit 51 | 989.2 | 670.1 | 319.1 | 317.2 | 1.9 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| Unit 52 | 1046.1 | 761.7 | 284.4 | 281.7 | 2.7 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 2.0 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 |
| Unit 53 | 767.2 | 518.8 | 248.4 | 245.6 | 2.8 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.1 |

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
Kimberlite Indicator Mineral Counts
File Name: 20198213-RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 20


## Kimberlite Indicator Mineral Remarks

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 20
ODM Batch Number(s): 8214

| Sample Number | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: |
| Unit 34 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 GP versus almandine candidate $=1 \mathrm{GP}$; and 3 GO versus grossular candidates $=3$ grossular. 1 GP from 0.51.0 mm and 2 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 35 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. |
| Unit 36 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 GP versus almandine candidate $=1 \mathrm{GP} ; 1 \mathrm{GO}$ versus grossular candidate $=1$ grossular. Sole GP and 1 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 37 | Almandine-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 6 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=5 \mathrm{IM}$ and 1 crustal ilmenite. Both IM from 0.5-1.0 mm fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 38 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 FO versus epidote candidates $=1 \mathrm{FO}$ and 1 epidote. 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction has a partial alteration mantle. |
| Unit 39 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 FO versus epidote candidates $=2$ epidote. 2 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 40 | Almandine-augite-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from $1.0-2.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1 \mathrm{IM}$. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 3 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2$ crustal ilmenite and 1 CR ; and 5 CR candidates $=4 \mathrm{CR}$ and 1 crustal ilmenite. |
| Unit 41 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. |
| Unit 42 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); 3 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2 \mathrm{IM}$ and 1 CR ; and 4 CR candidates $=4 \mathrm{CR} .1 \mathrm{GP}$ from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction lost in transfer to vial. |

Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{Mn}$-almandine. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); 1 IM versus CR candidates $=1$ crustal ilmenite; and 1 FO versus epidote candidates $=1$ epidote. 1 GP and 2 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm have partial alteration mantles.

## Kimberlite Indicator Mineral Remarks

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 20
ODM Batch Number(s): 8214

| Sample Number | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: |
| Unit 46 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 7 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=4 \mathrm{IM}$ and 3 crustal ilmenite. 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 47 | Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 4 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1 \mathrm{IM}$, 1 crustal ilmenite, 1 tourmaline and 1 andradite. 3 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 48 | Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 GO versus staurolite candidate $=1$ staurolite. 1 IM from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction has a partial alteration mantle. |
| Unit 49 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from $1.0-2.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1$ crustal ilmenite. SEM check from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1$ almandine. 2 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 50 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 4 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=4$ crustal ilmenite. |
| Unit 51 | Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 2 GO versus grossular candidates $=2$ almandine. 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 52 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. |
| Unit 53 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. Sole IM from 0.5-1.0 mm and 3 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fractions have partial alteration mantles. |

Exploring Heavy Minerals

## Laboratory Data Report

## Client Information

RJK Exploration Ltd.
4 Al Wend Avenue
Kirkland Lake, ON
P2N 3J5
gkasner2001@yahoo.com
Attention: Glenn Kasner
Data-File Information

## Date:

Project name:
ODM batch number:
Sample numbers:
Data file:
Number of samples in this report:
Number of samples processed to date:
Total no
Total number of samples in project:
95
Preliminary data:
Final data:
Revised data:

## Samples Processed For:

20
40

January 06, 2020
Lorrain Chain
8214
Unit 34 to Unit 53
20198213-RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, RIMs) - December 2019


Gold, RIMs

## Processing Specifications:

1. Submitted by client: Till samples prescreened to -6.0 mm in the field
2. One $\pm 300 \mathrm{~g}$ archival split taken from each sample.
3. All samples panned for gold, PGMs and fine-grained metallic indicator minerals.
4. Shaking table concentrates refined by heavy liquid separation at S.G. 3.2 to obtain heavy mineral concentrates
5. $0.25-2.0 \mathrm{~mm}$, nonferromagnetic HMC fractions picked for kimberlite indicator minerals.

## Notes

$\qquad$
$\qquad$


Mike Crawford
Laboratory Manager

## Overburden Drilling Management Limited - Abbreviations Table

## Raw Sample Weights and Descriptions Log

## Largest Clast Size Present:

## Matrix Organics:

G: Granules
P: Pebbles
C: Cobbles

## Clast Composition:

V/S: Volcanics and/or sediments
GR: Granitics
LS: Limestone, carbonates
OT: Other lithologies (refer to footnotes)
TR: Only trace present
NA: Not applicable
OX: Very oxidized, undifferentiated
MB: Marble
ORG: Y: Organics present in matrix
N : Organics absent or negligible in matrix

+ : Matrix is mainly organic
Matrix Colour:
Primary:

| BE: Beige | GG: Grey-green |
| :--- | :--- |
| BR: Brick Red | PP: Purple |
| GY: Grey | PK: Pink |
| GB: Grey-beige | PB: Pink-beige |
| GN: Green | MN: Maroon |

## Matrix Grain Size Distribution:

S/U: Sorted or unsorted
SD: Sand (F: Fine; M: Medium; C: Coarse)
ST: Silt
CY: Clay
Y: Fraction present
+: Fraction more abundant than normal
-: Fraction less abundant than normal
N: Fraction not present

Secondary (soil):
SD: Sand (F: Fine; M: Medium; C: Coarse)
OC: Ochre
BN: Brown
BK: Black
Secondary Colour Modifier:
-: Fraction less abundant than normal
L: Light
M: Medium
D: Dark

## Detailed Gold Grain Log

VG: Visible gold grains
Thickness:
M: Actual measured thickness of grain ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ )
C: Thickness of grain $(\mu \mathrm{m})$ calculated from measured width and length

## Kimberlite Indicator Mineral (KIM) Log

GP: Purple to red peridotitic garnet (G9/10 Cr-pyrope)
GO: Orange mantle garnet; includes both eclogitic pyrope-almandine (G3) and Cr-poor megacrystic pyrope (G1/G2) varieties; may include unchecked (by SEM) grains of common crustal garnet (G5) lacking diagnostic inclusions or crystal faces
DC: Cr-diopside; distinctly emerald green (paler emerald green low-Cr diopside picked separately)
IM: Mg-ilmenite; may include unchecked (by SEM) grains of common crustal ilmenite lacking diagnostic inclusions or crystal faces
CR: Chromite
FO: Forsterite

## Metamorphosed/Magmatic Massive Sulphide Indicator Mineral (MMSIM)

 and Porphyry Cu Indicator Mineral (PCIM) Logs| Adr: Andradite | Cpx: Clinopyroxene | Gth: Goethite | PGM: Platinum group- | Sil: Sillimanite |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Ap: Apatite | Cpy: Chalcopyrite | IIm: Ilmenite | bearing mineral | Spi: Spinel |
| Ase: Anatase | Cr: Chromite | Ky: Kyanite | Py: Pyrite | Sps: Spessartine |
| Aspy: Arsenopyrite | Fay: Fayalite | Mrc: Marcasite | REM: Rare earth- | St: Staurolite |
| Ax: Axinite | Gh: Gahnite | Mz: Monazite | bearing mineral | Tm: Tourmaline |
| Ba: Barite | Grs: Grossular | OI: Olivine | Rt: Red rutile | Ttn: Titanite |
|  |  | Opx: Orthopyroxene |  | Zir: Zircon |

## Other

HMC: Heavy mineral concentrate
EPD: Electric-pulse disaggregation
UV: Ultra-violet
PGE: Platinum group element

Page 1 of 1
Overburden Drilling Management Limited
2021-02-10
Primary Sample Processing Weights and Descriptions
Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 20

| Sample Number | Weight (kg wet) |  |  |  |  | Screening and Shaking Table Sample Descriptions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Clasts (+2.0 mm) |  |  |  |  | Matrix (-2.0 mm) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Class |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Size | Percentage |  |  |  | Distribution |  |  |  |  | Colour |  |  |
|  | Bulk <br> Rec'd | Archived Split | Table Split | $\begin{gathered} \hline+2.0 \mathrm{~mm} \\ \text { Clasts } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -2.0 \mathrm{~mm} \\ \text { Table Feed } \end{gathered}$ |  | V/S | GR | LS | OT | S/U | SD | ST | CY | ORG | SD | CY |  |
| Unit 13 | 8.8 | 0.3 | 8.5 | 1.3 | 7.2 | P | 80 | 20 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | LOC | LOC | TILL |
| Unit 14 | 12.5 | 0.3 | 12.2 | 1.1 | 11.1 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | DOC | DOC | TILL |
| Unit 15 | 7.8 | 0.3 | 7.5 | 0.8 | 6.7 | G | 95 | 5 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 16 | 7.9 | 0.3 | 7.6 | 0.5 | 7.1 | G | 95 | 5 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 17 | 4.7 | 0.3 | 4.4 | 0.5 | 3.9 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 18 | 8.9 | 0.3 | 8.6 | 0.8 | 7.8 | G | 95 | 5 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | DOC | DOC | TILL |
| Unit 19 | 5.2 | 0.3 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 4.6 | G | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 20 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 4.7 | 0.4 | 4.3 | G | 95 | 5 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 21 | 9.8 | 0.3 | 9.5 | 0.6 | 8.9 | G | 100 | TR | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 22 | 9.8 | 0.3 | 9.5 | 0.5 | 9.0 | G | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 23 | 5.3 | 0.3 | 5.0 | 0.4 | 4.6 | P | 95 | 5 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 24 | 8.7 | 0.3 | 8.4 | 0.5 | 7.9 | G | 100 | TR | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 25 | 8.5 | 0.3 | 8.2 | 0.6 | 7.6 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 27 | 9.7 | 0.3 | 9.4 | 0.8 | 8.6 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 28 | 5.9 | 0.3 | 5.6 | 0.5 | 5.1 | G | 100 | TR | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 29 | 5.9 | 0.3 | 5.6 | 1.0 | 4.6 | C | 80 | 20 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 30 | 5.3 | 0.3 | 5.0 | 0.6 | 4.4 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 31 | 8.8 | 0.3 | 8.5 | 0.8 | 7.7 | P | 80 | 20 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 32 | 8.1 | 0.3 | 7.8 | 0.8 | 7.0 | P | 70 | 30 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | DOC | DOC | TILL |
| Unit 33 | 8.1 | 0.3 | 7.8 | 0.9 | 6.9 | P | 70 | 30 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | DOC | DOC | TILL |
| Unit 34 | 7.3 | 0.3 | 7.0 | 0.5 | 6.5 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | LOC | LOC | TILL |
| Unit 35 | 4.6 | 0.3 | 4.3 | 0.3 | 4.0 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 36 | 8.8 | 0.3 | 8.5 | 0.6 | 7.9 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | LOC | LOC | TILL |
| Unit 37 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 4.7 | 0.4 | 4.3 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 38 | 5.3 | 0.3 | 5.0 | 0.5 | 4.5 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 39 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 4.7 | 0.4 | 4.3 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | N | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 40 | 8.0 | 0.3 | 7.7 | 0.9 | 6.8 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 41 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 4.7 | 0.3 | 4.4 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | DOC | DOC | TILL |
| Unit 42 | 4.8 | 0.3 | 4.5 | 0.3 | 4.2 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 43 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 4.7 | 0.3 | 4.4 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 44 | 5.2 | 0.3 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 4.6 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | DOC | DOC | TILL |
| Unit 45 | 5.1 | 0.3 | 4.8 | 0.4 | 4.4 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | DOC | DOC | TILL |
| Unit 46 | 4.8 | 0.3 | 4.5 | 0.4 | 4.1 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | DOC | DOC | TILL |
| Unit 47 | 5.3 | 0.3 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 4.7 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | DOC | DOC | TILL |
| Unit 48 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 4.6 | 0.3 | 4.3 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 49 | 4.6 | 0.3 | 4.3 | 0.4 | 3.9 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | DOC | DOC | TILL |
| Unit 50 | 8.2 | 0.3 | 7.9 | 0.8 | 7.1 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | N | DOC | DOC | TILL |
| Unit 51 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 4.6 | 0.4 | 4.2 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 52 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 4.7 | 0.5 | 4.2 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 53 | 5.1 | 0.3 | 4.8 | 0.5 | 4.3 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC | OC | TILL |

## Gold Grain Summary

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 20
ODM Batch Number(s): 8214

|  | Number of Visible Gold Grains |  |  |  | Nonmag HMC Weight* | Calculated PPB Visible Gold in HMC |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample Number | Total | Reshaped | Modified | Pristine |  | Total | Reshaped | Modified | Pristine |


| Unit 13 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 28.8 | 9 | 7 | 3 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit 14 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 44.4 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 16 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 28.4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Unit 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 19 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 18.4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Unit 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 25 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 30.4 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 27 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 34.4 | 72 | 72 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 28 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 20.4 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 29 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 18.4 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 31 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 30.8 | 125 | 125 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 32 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 28.0 | 50 | 50 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 33 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 27.6 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 34 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 26.0 | 129 | 129 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 36 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 31.6 | 597 | 597 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 37 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 17.2 | 36 | 36 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 39 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 17.2 | 87 | 87 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 40 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 27.2 | 24 | 24 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 41 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 17.6 | 32 | 32 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 42 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 16.8 | 192 | 67 | 125 | 0 |
| Unit 43 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 17.6 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 44 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 18.4 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 45 | 9 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 17.6 | 179 | 172 | 4 | 3 |
| Unit 46 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 16.4 | 24 | 24 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 47 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 18.8 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 48 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 17.2 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 49 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 15.6 | 90 | 90 | 0 | <1 |
| Unit 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 53 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Detailed Gold Grain Data

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 20
ODM Batch Number(s): 8214

| Sample Number | Dimensions ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) |  |  | Number of Visible Gold Grains |  |  |  | Nonmag HMC Weight* (g) | Calculated V.G. Assay in HMC (ppb) | Metallic Minerals in Pan Concentrate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Thickness | Width | Length | Reshaped | Modified | Pristine | Total |  |  |  |



## Detailed Gold Grain Data

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 20
ODM Batch Number(s): 8214

| Sample Number | Dimensions ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) |  |  | Number of Visible Gold Grains |  |  |  | Nonmag HMC Weight* (g) | Calculated <br> V.G. Assay in HMC (ppb) | Metallic Minerals in Pan Concentrate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Thickness | Width | Length | Reshaped | Modified | Pristine | Total |  |  |  |


| Unit 31 | 20 | C | 75 | 125 | 1 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 25 | C | 75 | 175 | 1 |
| Unit 32 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20 | C | 75 | 125 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 33 | 5 | C | 25 | 25 | 1 |
|  | 10 | C | 50 | 50 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 34 | 15 | $C$ | 50 | 100 | 1 |
|  | 25 | $C$ | 100 | 150 | 1 |


| Unit 35 | No Visible Gold |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 36 | 5 | $C$ | 25 | 25 | 2 |
|  | 15 | C | 50 | 100 | 1 |
|  | 44 | C | 200 | 275 | 1 |
| Unit 37 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5 | $C$ | 25 | 25 | 1 |
|  | 13 | $C$ | 25 | 100 | 1 |
|  | 13 | $C$ | 50 | 75 | 1 |

Unit 38

| Unit 39 | 20 | $C$ | 100 | 100 | 1 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 40 | 8 | $C$ | 25 | 50 | 1 |
|  | 15 | C | 50 | 100 | 1 |
| Unit 41 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 15 | $C$ | 50 | 100 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | $C$ | 15 | 15 | 2 |
|  | 5 | $C$ | 25 | 25 | 2 |
|  | 8 | $C$ | 25 | 50 | 1 |
|  | 18 | $C$ | 75 | 100 | 1 |
|  | 22 | $C$ | 100 | 125 |  |


| Unit 43 | 8 | $C$ | 25 | 50 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Unit 44 | 3 | $C$ | 15 | 15 | 1 |



| 1 | 22 | No sulphides. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 107 |  |
| 2 | 26.0 | 129 |

No sulphides.

| 2 |  | 2 | $\operatorname{Tr}$ (1 grain) arsenopyrite (75 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | 18 |  |
| 1 |  | 577 |  |
| 4 | 31.6 | 597 |  |
| 1 |  | 1 | No sulphides. |
| 1 |  | 14 |  |
| 1 |  | 21 |  |
| 3 | 17.2 | 36 |  |


No sulphides.

No sulphides.

| 1 | 87 | $\operatorname{Tr}(1$ grain $)$ arsenopyrite $(25 \mu \mathrm{~m})$. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 1 | 3 | No sulphides. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 21 |  |



| 2 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 3 |
| 1 | 4 |
| 1 | 59 |
| 1 | 125 |
| 7 | 16.8 |


| 1 | 4 | No sulphides. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 17.6 | 4 |  |
| 1 | $<1$ | No sulphides. |  |

[^8]Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 20
ODM Batch Number(s): 8214

| Sample Number | Dimensions ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) |  |  | Number of Visible Gold Grains |  |  |  | Nonmag HMC Weight* (g) | Calculated V.G. Assay in HMC (ppb) | Metallic Minerals in Pan Concentrate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Thickness | Width | Length | Reshaped | Modified | Pristine | Total |  |  |  |
|  | 13 C | 50 | 75 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 19 |  |

Unit 45

| 3 | $C$ | 15 | 15 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | $C$ | 25 | 25 | 1 |
| 8 | $C$ | 25 | 50 | 1 |
| 10 | $C$ | 25 | 75 | 1 |
| 13 | $C$ | 50 | 75 | 1 |
| 25 | $C$ | 75 | 175 | 1 |

2 |  | 1 | $<1$ | No sulphides. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 4 |  |  |
| 2 | 8 |  |  |
| 1 | 8 |  |  |
|  | 1 | 20 |  |
|  | 1 | 138 |  |
|  |  | 17.6 | 179 |

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 20
ODM Batch Number(s): 8214

| Sample Number | Dimensions ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) |  |  | Number of Visible Gold Grains |  |  |  | Nonmag HMC Weight* (g) | Calculated <br> V.G. Assay in HMC (ppb) | Metallic Minerals in Pan Concentrate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Thickness | Width | Length | Reshaped | Modified | Pristine | Total |  |  |  |


| Unit 46 | 3 | C | 15 | 15 | 1 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 | C | 25 | 25 | 1 |
|  | 13 | C | 50 | 75 | 1 |
| Unit 47 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5 | C | 25 | 25 | 1 |
| Unit 48 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 10 | C | 25 | 75 | 1 |
| Unit 49 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | $C$ | 15 | 15 |  |
|  | 20 | $C$ | 75 | 125 | 1 |


| 1 | $<1$ | No sulphides. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 |  |
| 1 | 22 |  |
| 3 | 16.4 | 24 |



Unit 50
No Visible Gold

Unit 51

Unit 52
No Visible Gold
No sulphides.

Unit 53
No Visible Gold
No sulphides.

Heavy Mineral Concentrate Processing Weights
Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 20
ODM Batch Number(s): 8214

| Sample Number | Weight of -2.0 mm Table Concentrate (g) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | -0.25 mm | 0.25 to 2.0 mm Heavy Liquid Separation at S.G. 3.20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Lights } \\ \text { S.G. }<3.2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Total |  <br> -0.25 mm <br> (wash) | C\| ${ }^{\text {HM }}$ | S.G.>3.2 <br>  <br>  <br> Total | Nonferromagnetic HMC |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.25 \text { to } 0.5 \\ \mathrm{~mm} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.5 \text { to } 1.0 \\ \mathrm{~mm} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.0 \text { to } 2.0 \\ \mathrm{~mm} \end{gathered}$ |
| Unit 13 | 1298.6 | 773.4 | 525.2 | 510.8 | 14.4 | 3.1 | 2.0 | 9.3 | 5.8 | 2.6 | 0.9 |
| Unit 14 | 1433.1 | 924.7 | 508.4 | 498.4 | 10.0 | 2.3 | 1.0 | 6.7 | 4.0 | 1.9 | 0.8 |
| Unit 15 | 1274.7 | 670.7 | 604.0 | 599.1 | 4.9 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 3.3 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 0.4 |
| Unit 16 | 1488.7 | 977.5 | 511.2 | 507.2 | 4.0 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0.2 |
| Unit 17 | 806.5 | 518.3 | 288.2 | 262.3 | 25.9 | 10.9 | 8.0 | 7.0 | 6.4 | 0.6 | 0.03 |
| Unit 18 | 1525.8 | 998.6 | 527.2 | 522.3 | 4.9 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 3.0 | 1.9 | 0.8 | 0.3 |
| Unit 19 | 1051.7 | 515.9 | 535.8 | 535.1 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.03 |
| Unit 20 | 886.4 | 493.5 | 392.9 | 390.9 | 2.0 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| Unit 21 | 1339.8 | 637.8 | 702.0 | 696.2 | 5.8 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 4.1 | 2.4 | 1.1 | 0.6 |
| Unit 22 | 1001.6 | 714.7 | 286.9 | 280.2 | 6.7 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 4.6 | 3.0 | 1.3 | 0.3 |
| Unit 23 | 769.6 | 535.8 | 233.8 | 230.7 | 3.1 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| Unit 24 | 1271.7 | 856.0 | 415.7 | 412.4 | 3.3 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 0.1 |
| Unit 25 | 980.9 | 616.3 | 364.6 | 356.7 | 7.9 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 4.8 | 3.0 | 1.4 | 0.4 |
| Unit 27 | 1211.0 | 799.6 | 411.4 | 406.0 | 5.4 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 3.5 | 2.3 | 1.0 | 0.2 |
| Unit 28 | 1219.9 | 588.9 | 631.0 | 629.3 | 1.7 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Unit 29 | 817.5 | 635.4 | 182.1 | 176.1 | 6.0 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 4.2 | 2.9 | 1.1 | 0.2 |
| Unit 30 | 879.0 | 581.0 | 298.0 | 292.9 | 5.1 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 3.4 | 2.2 | 0.9 | 0.3 |
| Unit 31 | 1110.8 | 778.9 | 331.9 | 325.1 | 6.8 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 5.2 | 3.6 | 1.3 | 0.3 |
| Unit 32 | 1404.1 | 968.8 | 435.3 | 425.5 | 9.8 | 2.3 | 0.3 | 7.2 | 6.4 | 0.7 | 0.1 |
| Unit 33 | 1095.8 | 806.8 | 289.0 | 287.1 | 1.9 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 0.01 |
| Unit 34 | 728.8 | 627.0 | 101.8 | 93.1 | 8.7 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 7.0 | 5.3 | 1.4 | 0.3 |
| Unit 35 | 832.8 | 596.6 | 236.2 | 232.2 | 4.0 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 0.8 | 0.2 |
| Unit 36 | 960.4 | 699.8 | 260.6 | 250.1 | 10.5 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 7.2 | 5.0 | 1.7 | 0.5 |
| Unit 37 | 605.7 | 405.6 | 200.1 | 194.6 | 5.5 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 1.0 | 0.5 |
| Unit 38 | 966.6 | 654.5 | 312.1 | 309.7 | 2.4 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0.02 |
| Unit 39 | 716.0 | 489.1 | 226.9 | 225.0 | 1.9 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| Unit 40 | 1044.0 | 663.9 | 380.1 | 373.6 | 6.5 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 3.7 | 2.4 | 0.9 | 0.4 |
| Unit 41 | 832.5 | 507.1 | 325.4 | 322.9 | 2.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| Unit 42 | 1004.5 | 641.3 | 363.2 | 359.5 | 3.7 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 2.8 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 0.3 |
| Unit 43 | 922.3 | 555.1 | 367.2 | 364.0 | 3.2 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| Unit 44 | 952.2 | 657.2 | 295.0 | 290.5 | 4.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 3.4 | 2.5 | 0.8 | 0.1 |
| Unit 45 | 887.5 | 627.9 | 259.6 | 256.8 | 2.8 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 2.3 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 0.2 |
| Unit 46 | 846.8 | 619.1 | 227.7 | 225.7 | 2.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| Unit 47 | 859.5 | 594.2 | 265.3 | 262.2 | 3.1 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 2.3 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0.3 |
| Unit 48 | 734.9 | 508.9 | 226.0 | 223.5 | 2.5 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 0.1 |
| Unit 49 | 941.8 | 653.0 | 288.8 | 284.7 | 4.1 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 0.7 | 0.3 |
| Unit 50 | 912.5 | 619.4 | 293.1 | 288.5 | 4.6 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 3.3 | 2.5 | 0.7 | 0.1 |
| Unit 51 | 989.2 | 670.1 | 319.1 | 317.2 | 1.9 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| Unit 52 | 1046.1 | 761.7 | 284.4 | 281.7 | 2.7 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 2.0 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 |
| Unit 53 | 767.2 | 518.8 | 248.4 | 245.6 | 2.8 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.1 |



## Kimberlite Indicator Mineral Remarks

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213-RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 20
ODM Batch Number(s): 8214
Sample Number

| Sample Number | Remarks |
| :--- | :--- |
| Unit 13 | Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 2 IM <br> versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1 \mathrm{IM}$ and 1 CR ; and 2 FO versus diopside candidates $=2 \mathrm{FO}$. SEM <br> checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 2 GO versus grossular candidates $=2 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope). 1 IM from 0.5- <br> 1.0 mm and 5 IM from 0.25- 0.5 mm fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 14 | Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 FO <br> versus diopside candidate $=1 \mathrm{FO}$ FO. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate <br> $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); 6 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2 \mathrm{IM}$ and 4 CR ; and 1 FO versus diopside <br> candidate $=1 \mathrm{FO}$. |

Orthopyroxene-fayalite-ilmenite/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1 \mathrm{IM}$.; 5 fayalite (major paramagnetic assemblange mineral) candidates $=5$ fayalite; and 5 orthopyroxene (major paramagnetic assemblage mineral) versus augite
candidates $=5$ orthopyroxene candidates $=5$ orthopyroxene.

Almandine-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); and 2 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1 \mathrm{IM}$ and 1 crustal Imenite.

Almandine/epidote-diopside assemblage
Almandine/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus staurolite candidate $=1$ GO (Cr-poor pyrope) .

Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 1 FO versus diopside candidate $=1 \mathrm{FO}$. SEM check from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 FO versus diopside candidates $=$ 2 FO.

Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 5 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1 \mathrm{IM}, 3$ crustal ilmenite and 1 CR . Sole IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction has partial alteration mantle.

Amandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction has partial alteration mantle.

| INPUT ASSEMBLAGE | INPUT REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: |
| Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 2 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1 \mathrm{IM}$ and 1 CR ; and 2 FO versus diopside candidates $=2$ FO. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 2 GO versus grossular candidates $=2 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope). 1 IM from 0.5 1.0 mm and 5 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside | SEM check from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 1 FO versus diopside candidate $=1$ FO. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); 6 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2 \mathrm{IM}$ and 4 CR ; and 1 FO versus diopside candidate $=1 \mathrm{FO}$. |
| Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside | SEM check from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1$ grossular. SEM check from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1 \mathrm{IM}$. |
| Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 5 GO versus grossular candidates $=1 \mathrm{GO}(\mathrm{Cr}$ poor pyrope) and 4 grossular; and 5 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2 \mathrm{IM}, 1$ crustal ilmenite and 2 CR . 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Orthopyroxene-fayalite-ilmenite/epidote-diopsidestaurolite | SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1 \mathrm{IM}$.; 5 fayalite (major paramagnetic assemblange mineral) candidates $=5$ fayalite; and 5 orthopyroxene (major paramagnetic assemblage mineral) versus augite candidates $=5$ orthopyroxene. |
| Almandine-augite/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1$ GO (Crpoor pyrope); and 2 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1 \mathrm{IM}$ and 1 crustal ilmenite. |
| Almandine/epidote-diopside |  |
| Almandine/epidote-diopside | SEM check from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 GO versus staurolite candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Crpoor pyrope). |
| Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside | SEM check from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 1 FO versus diopside candidate $=1$ FO. SEM check from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 2 FO versus diopside candidates $=2 \mathrm{FO}$. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 5 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1$ IM, 3 crustal ilmenite and 1 CR. Sole IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction has partial alteration mantle. |
| Amandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction has partial alteration mantle. |

## Kimberlite Indicator Mineral Remarks

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 20
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 20
ODM Batch Number(s): 8214

| Sample Number |
| :--- |


| Sample Number | Remarks |
| :--- | :--- |
| Unit 24 | Almandine/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus staurolite <br> candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); and 2 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1 \mathrm{IM}$ and 1 crustal ilmenite. <br> 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 25 | Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO <br> versus almandine candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope). SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 GP verus <br> almandine candidates $=2 \mathrm{GP} ;$ and 6 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1 \mathrm{IM}, 2$ crustal ilmenite and 3 CR. |


| INPUTASSEMBLAGE | INPUT REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: |
| Almandine/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 GO versus staurolite candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Crpoor pyrope); and 2 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1 \mathrm{IM}$ and 1 crustal ilmenite. 3 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside | SEM check from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 1 GO versus almandine candidate $=1$ GO (Crpoor pyrope). SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 GP verus almandine candidates $=2 \mathrm{GP}$; and 6 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1 \mathrm{IM}, 2$ crustal ilmenite and 3 CR. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM check from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Crpoor pyrope); 3 FO versus epidote candidates $=2$ FO and 1 epidote. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); 1 IM versus CR candidate $=1 \mathrm{CR}$; and 1 FO versus diopside candidate $=1 \mathrm{FO}$. Sole IM from $1.0-2.0 \mathrm{~mm}$, both IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$, and 1 GP and 5 IM from $0.25-0.5$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM check from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus staurolite candidate $=1$ GO (Crpoor pyrope). Sole IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside | Sole IM from $1.0-2.0 \mathrm{~mm} ; 2 \mathrm{IM}$ from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$; and 5 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 13 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=4$ IM, 4 crustal ilmenite and 5 CR ; and 3 FO versus epidote candidates $=1 \mathrm{FO}$ and 2 epidote. 1 IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm} ; 1 \mathrm{GP}$ and 5 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 3 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1 \mathrm{IM}$ and 2 CR. Sole IM from $1.0-2.0 \mathrm{~mm} ; 1 \mathrm{IM}$ from 0.5-1.0 mm and 2 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Hornblende-almandine/epidote-diopside-staurolite | SEM check from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 1 GP versus almandine candidate $=1 \mathrm{GP}$. SEM check from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 IM versus CR candidate $=1 \mathrm{CR} .1 \mathrm{GP}$ from $0.5-$ 1.0; and 1 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite | SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2$ IM . 1 IM from 0.5-1.0 mm and 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite | SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 GP versus almandine candidate $=1 \mathrm{GP}$; and 3 GO versus grossular candidates $=3$ grossular. 1 GP from 0.5-1.0 mm and 2 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside |  |

## Kimberlite Indicator Mineral Remarks

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 20
ODM Batch Number(s): 821
Sample Number

| Sample Number | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: |
| Unit 36 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GP versus almandine candidate $=1 \mathrm{GP} ; 1 \mathrm{GO}$ versus grossular candidate $=1$ grossular. Sole GP and 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 37 | Almandine-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 6 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=5 \mathrm{IM}$ and 1 crustal ilmenite. Both IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 38 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 2 FO versus epidote candidates $=1 \mathrm{FO}$ and 1 epidote. 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction has a partial alteration mantle. |
| Unit 39 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 FO versus epidote candidates $=2$ epidote. 2 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 40 | Almandine-augite-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from 1.0-2.0 mm fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1 \mathrm{IM}$. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 3 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2$ crustal ilmenite and 1 CR ; and 5 CR candidates $=4 \mathrm{CR}$ and 1 crustal ilmenite. |
| Unit 41 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. |
| Unit 42 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); 3 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2 \mathrm{IM}$ and 1 CR ; and 4 CR candidates $=4$ CR. 1 GP from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction lost in transfer to vial. |
| Unit 43 | Almandine-fayalite-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 6 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1 \mathrm{IM}, 4$ crustal ilmenite and 1 CR . |
| Unit 44 | Hornblende-almandine/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 2 GO versus spessartine candidates $=2$ almandine; 2 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2 \mathrm{IM}$; and 1 FO versus epidote candidate $=1$ epidote. Sole IM from 0.5-1.0 fraction has a partial alteration mantle. |
| Unit 45 | Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{Mn}$-almandine. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); 1 IM versus CR candidates $=1$ crustal ilmenite; and 1 FO versus epidote candidates $=1$ epidote. 1 GP and 2 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 46 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 7 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=4 \mathrm{IM}$ and 3 crustal ilmenite. 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration | crustal ims.

mantles.

Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 4 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1 \mathrm{IM}, 1$ crustal ilmenite, 1 tourmaline and 1 andradite. 3 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles.

| INPUT ASSEMBLAGE | INPUT REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite | SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GP versus almandine candidate $=1 \mathrm{GP} ; 1$ GO versus grossular candidate $=1$ grossular. Sole GP and 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-augite/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 6 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=5 \mathrm{IM}$ and 1 crustal ilmenite. Both IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 FO versus epidote candidates $=1 \mathrm{FO}$ and 1 epidote. 1 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction has a partial alteration mantle. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 2 FO versus epidote candidates $=2$ epidote. 2 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-augite-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM check from $1.0-2.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1 \mathrm{IM}$. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 3 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2$ crustal ilmenite and 1 CR ; and 5 CR candidates $=4 \mathrm{CR}$ and 1 crustal ilmenite. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside |  |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Crpoor pyrope); 3 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates = 2 IM and 1 CR ; and 4 CR candidates $=4 \mathrm{CR}$. 1 GP from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction lost in transfer to vial. |
| Almandine-fayalite-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 6 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1$ IM, 4 crustal ilmenite and 1 CR. |
| Hornblende-almandine/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 2 GO versus spessartine candidates $=2$ almandine; 2 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2 \mathrm{IM}$; and 1 FO versus epidote candidate $=1$ epidote. Sole IM from 0.5-1.0 fraction has a partial alteration mantle. |
| Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside | SEM check from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{Mn}$ almandine. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); 1 IM versus CR candidates $=1$ crustal ilmenite; and 1 FO versus epidote candidates $=1$ epidote. 1 GP and 2 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 7 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=4 \mathrm{IM}$ and 3 crustal ilmenite. 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 4 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1$ IM, 1 crustal ilmenite, 1 tourmaline and 1 andradite. 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |

## Kimberlite Indicator Mineral Remarks

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 20
ODM Batch Number(s): 8214

| Sample Number | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: |
| Unit 48 | Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 GO versus staurolite candidate $=1$ staurolite. 1 IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction has a partial alteration mantle. |
| Unit 49 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from $1.0-2.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1$ crustal ilmenite. SEM check from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1$ almandine. 2 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 50 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 4 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=4$ crustal ilmenite. |
| Unit 51 | Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 2 GO versus grossular candidates $=2$ almandine. 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 52 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. |
| Unit 53 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. Sole IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 54 | assemblage. |
| Unit 55 | assemblage. |
| Unit 56 | assemblage. |
| Unit 57 | assemblage. |
| Unit 58 | assemblage. |
| Unit 59 | assemblage. |
| Unit 60 | assemblage. |
| Unit 61 | assemblage. |
| Unit 62 | assemblage. |
| Unit 63 | assemblage. |
| Unit 64 | assemblage. |
| Unit 65 | assemblage. |
| Unit 66 Unit 67 | assemblage. assemblage. |
| Unit 68 | assemblage. |
| Unit 69 | assemblage. |
| Unit 70 | assemblage. assemblage. |
| Unit 72 | assemblage. |
| Unit 73 | assemblage. |
| Unit 74 | assemblage. assemblage. |
| Unit 75 Unit 76 | assemblage. |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Unit } 76 \\ \text { Unit } 77 \end{array}$ | assemblage. |
| Unit 78 | assemblage. assemblage. |
| Unit 79 | assemblage. |
| Unit 80 | assemblage. |
| Unit 81 | assemblage. |
| Unit 82 | assemblage. |
| Unit 83 | assemblage. |
| Unit 84 | assemblage. |
| Unit 85 | assemblage. |


| INPUT ASSEMBLAGE | INPUT REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: |
| Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside | SEM check from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus staurolite candidate $=1$ staurolite. 1 IM from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction has a partial alteration mantle. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM check from 1.0-2.0 mm fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1$ crustal ilmenite. SEM check from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1$ almandine. 2 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 4 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=4$ crustal ilmenite. |
| Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 2 GO versus grossular candidates $=2$ almandine. 3 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside |  |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | Sole IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd. Kimberlite Indicator Mineral Remarks
File Name: 20198213-RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kas
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 20

| ODM Batch Number(s): 8214 |
| :--- |


| Sample Number |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Unit 87 | assemblage. |

Remarks

## Laboratory Data Report

Client Information<br>RJK Exploration Ltd.<br>4 Al Wendi Avenue<br>Kirkland Lake, ON<br>P2N 3J5

## gkasner2001@yahoo.com

Attention: Glenn Kasner

## Data-File Information

Date:
Project name:
ODM batch number:
Sample numbers:
Data file:

Number of samples in this report:
Number of samples processed to date:
,
Total number of samples in project: 95
Preliminary data:
Final data:
Revised data:

## Samples Processed For:

20
60


January 23, 2020
Lorrain Chain
8215
Unit 54 to Unit 73
20198213-RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, RIMs) - December 2019

Gold, RIMs

## Processing Specifications:

1. Submitted by client: Till samples prescreened to -6.0 mm in the field
2. One $\pm 300 \mathrm{~g}$ archival split taken from each sample.
3. All samples panned for gold, PGMs and fine-grained metallic indicator minerals.
4. Shaking table concentrates refined by heavy liquid separation at S.G. 3.2 to obtain heavy mineral concentrates
5. $0.25-2.0 \mathrm{~mm}$, nonferromagnetic HMC fractions picked for kimberlite indicator minerals.

## Notes

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
pow rial
Mike Crawford
Laboratory Manager

Primary Sample Processing Weights and Descriptions
Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 20
ODM Batch Number(s): 8215

| Sample Number | Screening and Shaking Table Sample Descriptions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Weight (kg wet) |  |  |  |  | Clasts (+2.0 mm) |  |  |  |  | Matrix (-2.0 mm) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Class |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Size | Percentage |  |  |  | Distribution |  |  |  |  | Colour |  |  |
|  | Bulk Rec'd $\begin{gathered}\text { Archived } \\ \text { Split }\end{gathered}$ |  | Table Split | $\begin{aligned} & +2.0 \mathrm{~mm} \\ & \text { Clasts } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -2.0 \mathrm{~mm} \\ \text { Table Feed } \end{gathered}$ |  | V/S | GR | LS | OT | S/U | SD | ST | CY | ORG | SD | CY |  |
| Unit 54 | 5.9 | 0.3 | 5.6 | 0.4 | 5.2 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | LOC | LOC | TILL |
| Unit 55 | 5.6 | 0.3 | 5.3 | 0.8 | 4.5 | G | 85 | 15 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | LOC | LOC | TILL |
| Unit 56 | 4.5 | 0.3 | 4.2 | 0.7 | 3.5 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 57 | 4.3 | 0.3 | 4.0 | 0.7 | 3.3 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 58 | 5.4 | 0.3 | 5.1 | 0.8 | 4.3 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 59 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 4.6 | 0.7 | 3.9 | G | 85 | 15 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 60 | 7.4 | 0.3 | 7.1 | 1.4 | 5.7 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 61 | 2.3 | 0.3 | 2.0 | 0.6 | 1.4 | G | 95 | 5 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | DOC | TILL |
| Unit 62 | 4.0 | 0.3 | 3.7 | 0.3 | 3.4 | G | 95 | 5 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 63 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 4.7 | 0.5 | 4.2 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 64 | 2.8 | 0.3 | 2.5 | 0.2 | 2.3 | G | 95 | 5 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | + | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 65 | 5.2 | 0.3 | 4.9 | 0.6 | 4.3 | G | 85 | 15 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 66 | 4.1 | 0.3 | 3.8 | 0.3 | 3.5 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 67 | 5.2 | 0.3 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 4.6 | G | 95 | 5 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 68 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 4.6 | 0.2 | 4.4 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 69 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 4.7 | 0.2 | 4.5 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 70 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 4.6 | 0.3 | 4.3 | G | 95 | 5 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 71 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 4.6 | 0.4 | 4.2 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | + | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 72 | 8.2 | 0.3 | 7.9 | 0.6 | 7.3 | G | 95 | 5 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | + | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 73 | 5.2 | 0.3 | 4.9 | 0.2 | 4.7 | G | 95 | 5 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | + | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |

## Gold Grain Summary

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 20
ODM Batch Number(s): 8215

| Sample Number | Number of Visible Gold Grains |  |  |  | Nonmag HMC Weight* | Calculated PPB Visible Gold in HMC |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Reshaped | Modified | Pristine |  | Total | Reshaped | Modified | Pristine |
| Unit 54 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 20.8 | 70 | 70 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 55 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 18.0 | 21 | 21 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 56 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 14.0 | <1 | 0 | 0 | <1 |
| Unit 57 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 13.2 | 2443 | 2443 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 58 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 59 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 15.6 | 23 | 23 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 60 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 22.8 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 61 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 62 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 13.6 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 63 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 16.8 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 64 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 65 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 17.2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 66 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 14.0 | 21 | 21 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 68 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 17.6 | 14 | 14 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 69 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 18.0 | 219 | 219 | <1 | 0 |
| Unit 70 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 17.2 | 14 | 14 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 71 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 72 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 29.2 | 28 | 28 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 73 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 18.8 | 41 | 41 | 0 | 0 |

[^9]
## Detailed Gold Grain Data

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 20
ODM Batch Number(s): 8215


[^10]
## Detailed Gold Grain Data

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 20
ODM Batch Number(s): 8215

| Sample Number | Dimensions ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) |  |  | Number of Visible Gold Grains |  |  |  | Nonmag HMC Weight* (g) | Calculated <br> V.G. Assay in HMC (ppb) | Metallic Minerals in Pan Concentrate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Thickness | Width | Length | Reshaped | Modified | Pristine | Total |  |  |  |



[^11]
## Heavy Mineral Concentrate Processing Weights

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 20
ODM Batch Number(s): 8215

| Sample Number | Weight of -2.0 mm Table Concentrate (g) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.25 to 2.0 mm Heavy Liquid Separation at S.G. 3.20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | -0.25 mm | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Lights } \\ \text { S.G. }<3.2 \end{gathered}$ | Total | $\begin{gathered} -0.25 \mathrm{~mm} \\ \text { (wash) } \end{gathered}$ | Mag | S.G.>3.20 ${ }^{\text {Nonferromagnetic HMC }}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | $\begin{gathered} 0.25 \text { to } 0.5 \\ \mathrm{~mm} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.5 \text { to } 1.0 \\ \mathrm{~mm} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.0 \text { to } 2.0 \\ \mathrm{~mm} \end{gathered}$ |
| Unit 54 | 833.6 | 539.7 | 293.9 | 285.6 | 8.3 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 6.2 | 3.9 | 1.6 | 0.7 |
| Unit 55 | 622.1 | 443.2 | 178.9 | 174.2 | 4.7 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 3.1 | 1.9 | 0.9 | 0.3 |
| Unit 56 | 387.4 | 271.4 | 116.0 | 113.1 | 2.9 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 2.0 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 |
| Unit 57 | 542.0 | 369.5 | 172.5 | 169.7 | 2.8 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| Unit 58 | 688.6 | 470.3 | 218.3 | 217.0 | 1.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| Unit 59 | 492.4 | 384.4 | 108.0 | 104.5 | 3.5 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 2.8 | 2.0 | 0.6 | 0.2 |
| Unit 60 | 776.0 | 528.2 | 247.8 | 244.4 | 3.4 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 2.4 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 0.2 |
| Unit 61 | 340.4 | 189.5 | 150.9 | 150.0 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.04 |
| Unit 62 | 446.7 | 340.4 | 106.3 | 104.4 | 1.9 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.03 |
| Unit 63 | 772.7 | 535.1 | 237.6 | 234.9 | 2.7 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 0.1 |
| Unit 64 | 572.5 | 367.0 | 205.5 | 203.0 | 2.5 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 1.9 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.2 |
| Unit 65 | 797.4 | 527.8 | 269.6 | 263.7 | 5.9 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 4.6 | 2.8 | 1.3 | 0.5 |
| Unit 66 | 451.0 | 306.8 | 144.2 | 141.4 | 2.8 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 2.3 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.3 |
| Unit 67 | 485.3 | 291.2 | 194.1 | 191.2 | 2.9 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.9 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.2 |
| Unit 68 | 683.6 | 482.1 | 201.5 | 197.9 | 3.6 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 2.7 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 0.4 |
| Unit 69 | 806.8 | 541.1 | 265.7 | 261.4 | 4.3 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 3.4 | 2.1 | 0.9 | 0.4 |
| Unit 70 | 613.1 | 378.3 | 234.8 | 232.8 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Unit 71 | 504.0 | 366.7 | 137.3 | 134.5 | 2.8 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| Unit 72 | 570.6 | 337.5 | 233.1 | 225.2 | 7.9 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 6.3 | 3.5 | 2.5 | 0.3 |
| Unit 73 | 238.8 | 175.1 | 63.7 | 61.5 | 2.2 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 |

## Client: RJK Exploration Ltd. <br> File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019 Total Number of Samples in this Report: 20





= Number of picked grains in sample.

## Kimberilte Indicator Mineral Counts

## Kimberlite Indicator Mineral Remarks

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 20
ODM Batch Number(s): 8215

| Sample Number | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: |
| Unit 54 | Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 3 FO versus diopside candidates $=3$ FO. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus almandine $=1$ GO (Cr-poor pyrope); and 4 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=4 \mathrm{IM} .1 \mathrm{GO}$ and 3 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 55 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 2 GO versus grossular candidates $=2 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); and 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1 \mathrm{IM}$. One GP from 0.5-1.0 mm and both GP, 1 GO , and 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 56 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GP versus ruby corundum candidate $=1$ ruby corundum; and 1 FO versus zoisite candidate $=1 \mathrm{FO}$. Sole IM from 0.5-1.0 mm and 1 GP , and 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 57 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. All 3 GP and 1 IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 1 GP and 6 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |

Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside-titanite assemblage.

Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage.

Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 GO versus almandine candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); and 2 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2 \mathrm{IM}$.

Hornblende-hematite/epidote-zircon assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 5 titanite versus zircon candidates $=5$ zircons.

Hornblende-almandine/epidote-diopside assemblage. Sole IM from 0.5-1.0 mm and sole GP and 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles.

Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1 \mathrm{IM}$.

Hornblende-almandine/epidote-diopside assemblage.

Almandine-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 GO versus grossular candidates $=2 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); and 3 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1 \mathrm{IM}, 1$ crustal ilmenite and 1 CR.

## Kimberlite Indicator Mineral Remarks

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 20
ODM Batch Number(s): 8215

| Sample Number | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: |
| Unit 66 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1 \mathrm{CR}$. |
| Unit 67 | Almandine/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 FO versus diopside candidate $=1$ FO. 1 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction has a partial alteration mantle. |
| Unit 68 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-staurolite-diopside assemblage. Sole IM from 0.5-1.0 mm and 2 IM from 0.250.5 mm fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 69 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. 2 IM from 0.5-1.0 mm and 5 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 70 | Almandine/epidote-diopside assemblage. 2 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 71 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-staurolite assemblage. |
| Unit 72 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-staurolite-diopside assemblage. 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction has a partial alteration mantle. |
| Unit 73 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. |

## Laboratory Data Report

## Client Information

RJK Exploration Ltd.
4 Al Wend Avenue
Kirkland Lake, ON
P2N 3J5
gkasner2001@yahoo.com

Attention: Glenn Kasner

## Data-File Information

Date:
Project name:
ODM batch number:
Sample numbers:
Data file:

Number of samples in this report:
Number of samples processed to date:
Total number of samples in project: 95
60

Preliminary data:
Final data:
Revised data:

## Samples Processed For:

20

95

January 23, 2020
Lorrain Chain

## 8215

Unit 54 to Unit 73
20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, RIMs) - December 2019


Gold, RIMs

## Processing Specifications:

1. Submitted by client: Till samples prescreened to -6.0 mm in the field
2. One $\pm 300 \mathrm{~g}$ archival split taken from each sample.
3. All samples panned for gold, PGMs and fine-grained metallic indicator minerals.
4. Shaking table concentrates refined by heavy liquid separation at S.G. 3.2 to obtain heavy mineral concentrates
5. $0.25-2.0 \mathrm{~mm}$, nonferromagnetic HMC fractions picked for kimberlite indicator minerals.

## Notes

## mar

Mike Crawford
Laboratory Manager

## Overburden Drilling Management Limited - Abbreviations Table

## Raw Sample Weights and Descriptions Log

## Largest Clast Size Present:

## Matrix Organics:

G: Granules
P: Pebbles
C: Cobbles

## Clast Composition:

V/S: Volcanics and/or sediments
GR: Granitics
LS: Limestone, carbonates
OT: Other lithologies (refer to footnotes)
TR: Only trace present
NA: Not applicable
OX: Very oxidized, undifferentiated
MB: Marble
ORG: Y: Organics present in matrix
N : Organics absent or negligible in matrix

+ : Matrix is mainly organic
Matrix Colour:
Primary:

| BE: Beige | GG: Grey-green |
| :--- | :--- |
| BR: Brick Red | PP: Purple |
| GY: Grey | PK: Pink |
| GB: Grey-beige | PB: Pink-beige |
| GN: Green | MN: Maroon |

## Matrix Grain Size Distribution:

S/U: Sorted or unsorted
SD: Sand (F: Fine; M: Medium; C: Coarse)
ST: Silt
CY: Clay
Y: Fraction present
+: Fraction more abundant than normal
-: Fraction less abundant than normal
N: Fraction not present

Secondary (soil):
SD: Sand (F: Fine; M: Medium; C: Coarse)
OC: Ochre
BN: Brown
BK: Black
Secondary Colour Modifier:
-: Fraction less abundant than normal
L: Light
M: Medium
D: Dark

## Detailed Gold Grain Log

VG: Visible gold grains
Thickness:
M: Actual measured thickness of grain ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ )
C: Thickness of grain $(\mu \mathrm{m})$ calculated from measured width and length

## Kimberlite Indicator Mineral (KIM) Log

GP: Purple to red peridotitic garnet (G9/10 Cr-pyrope)
GO: Orange mantle garnet; includes both eclogitic pyrope-almandine (G3) and Cr-poor megacrystic pyrope (G1/G2) varieties; may include unchecked (by SEM) grains of common crustal garnet (G5) lacking diagnostic inclusions or crystal faces
DC: Cr-diopside; distinctly emerald green (paler emerald green low-Cr diopside picked separately)
IM: Mg-ilmenite; may include unchecked (by SEM) grains of common crustal ilmenite lacking diagnostic inclusions or crystal faces
CR: Chromite
FO: Forsterite

## Metamorphosed/Magmatic Massive Sulphide Indicator Mineral (MMSIM)

 and Porphyry Cu Indicator Mineral (PCIM) Logs| Adr: Andradite | Cpx: Clinopyroxene | Gth: Goethite | PGM: Platinum group- | Sil: Sillimanite |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Ap: Apatite | Cpy: Chalcopyrite | IIm: Ilmenite | bearing mineral | Spi: Spinel |
| Ase: Anatase | Cr: Chromite | Ky: Kyanite | Py: Pyrite | Sps: Spessartine |
| Aspy: Arsenopyrite | Fay: Fayalite | Mrc: Marcasite | REM: Rare earth- | St: Staurolite |
| Ax: Axinite | Gh: Gahnite | Mz: Monazite | bearing mineral | Tm: Tourmaline |
| Ba: Barite | Grs: Grossular | OI: Olivine | Rt: Red rutile | Ttn: Titanite |
|  |  | Opx: Orthopyroxene |  | Zir: Zircon |

## Other

HMC: Heavy mineral concentrate
EPD: Electric-pulse disaggregation
UV: Ultra-violet
PGE: Platinum group element

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 20

| Sample Number | Weight (kg wet) |  |  |  |  | Screening and Shaking Table Sample Descriptions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Clasts (+2.0 mm) |  |  |  |  | Matrix (-2.0 mm) |  |  |  |  |  | Class |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Size | Percentage |  |  |  | Distribution |  |  |  |  | Colour |  |
|  | Bulk Rec'd | Archived Split | Table Split | $\begin{gathered} \hline+2.0 \mathrm{~mm} \\ \text { Clasts } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -2.0 \mathrm{~mm} \\ \text { Table Feed } \end{gathered}$ |  | V/S | GR | LS | OT | S/U | SD | ST | CY | ORG | SD CY |  |
| Unit 13 | 8.8 | 0.3 | 8.5 | 1.3 | 7.2 | P | 80 | 20 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | LOC LOC | TILL |
| Unit 14 | 12.5 | 0.3 | 12.2 | 1.1 | 11.1 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | DOC DOC | TILL |
| Unit 15 | 7.8 | 0.3 | 7.5 | 0.8 | 6.7 | G | 95 | 5 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC OC | TILL |
| Unit 16 | 7.9 | 0.3 | 7.6 | 0.5 | 7.1 | G | 95 | 5 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC OC | TILL |
| Unit 17 | 4.7 | 0.3 | 4.4 | 0.5 | 3.9 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC OC | TILL |
| Unit 18 | 8.9 | 0.3 | 8.6 | 0.8 | 7.8 | G | 95 | 5 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | DOC DOC | TILL |
| Unit 19 | 5.2 | 0.3 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 4.6 | G | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC OC | TILL |
| Unit 20 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 4.7 | 0.4 | 4.3 | G | 95 | 5 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC OC | TILL |
| Unit 21 | 9.8 | 0.3 | 9.5 | 0.6 | 8.9 | G | 100 | TR | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC OC | TILL |
| Unit 22 | 9.8 | 0.3 | 9.5 | 0.5 | 9.0 | G | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC OC | TILL |
| Unit 23 | 5.3 | 0.3 | 5.0 | 0.4 | 4.6 | P | 95 | 5 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC OC | TILL |
| Unit 24 | 8.7 | 0.3 | 8.4 | 0.5 | 7.9 | G | 100 | TR | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC OC | TILL |
| Unit 25 | 8.5 | 0.3 | 8.2 | 0.6 | 7.6 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC OC | TILL |
| Unit 27 | 9.7 | 0.3 | 9.4 | 0.8 | 8.6 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC OC | TILL |
| Unit 28 | 5.9 | 0.3 | 5.6 | 0.5 | 5.1 | G | 100 | TR | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC OC | TILL |
| Unit 29 | 5.9 | 0.3 | 5.6 | 1.0 | 4.6 | C | 80 | 20 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC OC | TILL |
| Unit 30 | 5.3 | 0.3 | 5.0 | 0.6 | 4.4 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC OC | TILL |
| Unit 31 | 8.8 | 0.3 | 8.5 | 0.8 | 7.7 | P | 80 | 20 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC OC | TILL |
| Unit 32 | 8.1 | 0.3 | 7.8 | 0.8 | 7.0 | P | 70 | 30 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | DOC DOC | TILL |
| Unit 33 | 8.1 | 0.3 | 7.8 | 0.9 | 6.9 | P | 70 | 30 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | DOC DOC | TILL |
| Unit 34 | 7.3 | 0.3 | 7.0 | 0.5 | 6.5 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | LOC LOC | TILL |
| Unit 35 | 4.6 | 0.3 | 4.3 | 0.3 | 4.0 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC OC | TILL |
| Unit 36 | 8.8 | 0.3 | 8.5 | 0.6 | 7.9 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | LOC LOC | TILL |
| Unit 37 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 4.7 | 0.4 | 4.3 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC OC | TILL |
| Unit 38 | 5.3 | 0.3 | 5.0 | 0.5 | 4.5 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC OC | TILL |
| Unit 39 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 4.7 | 0.4 | 4.3 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | N | OC OC | TILL |
| Unit 40 | 8.0 | 0.3 | 7.7 | 0.9 | 6.8 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC OC | TILL |
| Unit 41 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 4.7 | 0.3 | 4.4 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | DOC DOC | TILL |
| Unit 42 | 4.8 | 0.3 | 4.5 | 0.3 | 4.2 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC OC | TILL |
| Unit 43 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 4.7 | 0.3 | 4.4 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC OC | TILL |
| Unit 44 | 5.2 | 0.3 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 4.6 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | DOC DOC | TILL |
| Unit 45 | 5.1 | 0.3 | 4.8 | 0.4 | 4.4 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | DOC DOC | TILL |
| Unit 46 | 4.8 | 0.3 | 4.5 | 0.4 | 4.1 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | DOC DOC | TILL |
| Unit 47 | 5.3 | 0.3 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 4.7 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | DOC DOC | TILL |
| Unit 48 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 4.6 | 0.3 | 4.3 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC OC | TILL |
| Unit 49 | 4.6 | 0.3 | 4.3 | 0.4 | 3.9 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | DOC DOC | TILL |
| Unit 50 | 8.2 | 0.3 | 7.9 | 0.8 | 7.1 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | N | DOC DOC | TILL |
| Unit 51 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 4.6 | 0.4 | 4.2 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC OC | TILL |
| Unit 52 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 4.7 | 0.5 | 4.2 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC OC | TILL |
| Unit 53 | 5.1 | 0.3 | 4.8 | 0.5 | 4.3 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC OC | TILL |
| Unit 54 | 5.9 | 0.3 | 5.6 | 0.4 | 5.2 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | LOC LOC | TILL |
| Unit 55 | 5.6 | 0.3 | 5.3 | 0.8 | 4.5 | G | 85 | 15 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | LOC LOC | TILL |
| Unit 56 | 4.5 | 0.3 | 4.2 | 0.7 | 3.5 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC OC | TILL |
| Unit 57 | 4.3 | 0.3 | 4.0 | 0.7 | 3.3 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC OC | TILL |
| Unit 58 | 5.4 | 0.3 | 5.1 | 0.8 | 4.3 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC OC | TILL |
| Unit 59 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 4.6 | 0.7 | 3.9 | G | 85 | 15 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC OC | TILL |
| Unit 60 | 7.4 | 0.3 | 7.1 | 1.4 | 5.7 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC OC | TILL |
| Unit 61 | 2.3 | 0.3 | 2.0 | 0.6 | 1.4 | G | 95 | 5 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC DOC | TILL |
| Unit 62 | 4.0 | 0.3 | 3.7 | 0.3 | 3.4 | G | 95 | 5 | 0 | 0 | U | $+$ | Y | - | Y | OC OC | TILL |
| Unit 63 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 4.7 | 0.5 | 4.2 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC OC | TILL |
| Unit 64 | 2.8 | 0.3 | 2.5 | 0.2 | 2.3 | G | 95 | 5 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | + | - | Y | OC OC | TILL |
| Unit 65 | 5.2 | 0.3 | 4.9 | 0.6 | 4.3 | G | 85 | 15 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC OC | TILL |
| Unit 66 | 4.1 | 0.3 | 3.8 | 0.3 | 3.5 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC OC | TILL |
| Unit 67 | 5.2 | 0.3 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 4.6 | G | 95 | 5 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - |  | OC OC | TILL |
| Unit 68 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 4.6 | 0.2 | 4.4 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC OC | TILL |
| Unit 69 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 4.7 | 0.2 | 4.5 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - |  | OC OC | TILL |
| Unit 70 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 4.6 | 0.3 | 4.3 | G | 95 | 5 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC OC | TILL |
| Unit 71 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 4.6 | 0.4 | 4.2 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | + | - |  | OC OC | TILL |
| Unit 72 | 8.2 | 0.3 | 7.9 | 0.6 | 7.3 | G | 95 | 5 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | + | - | Y | OC OC | TILL |
| Unit 73 | 5.2 | 0.3 | 4.9 | 0.2 | 4.7 | G | 95 | 5 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | + | - | Y | OC OC | TILL |

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
Gold Grain Summary
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 20
ODM Batch Number(s): 8215

| Sample Number | Number of Visible Gold Grains |  |  |  | Nonmag HMC Weight* | Calculated PPB Visible Gold in HMC |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Reshaped | Modified | Pristine |  | Total | Reshaped | Modified | Pristine |
| Unit 13 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 28.8 | 9 | 7 | 3 | 0 |
| Unit 14 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 44.4 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 16 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 28.4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Unit 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 19 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 18.4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Unit 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 25 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 30.4 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 27 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 34.4 | 72 | 72 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 28 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 20.4 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 29 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 18.4 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 31 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 30.8 | 125 | 125 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 32 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 28.0 | 50 | 50 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 33 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 27.6 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 34 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 26.0 | 129 | 129 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 36 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 31.6 | 597 | 597 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 37 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 17.2 | 36 | 36 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 39 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 17.2 | 87 | 87 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 40 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 27.2 | 24 | 24 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 41 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 17.6 | 32 | 32 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 42 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 16.8 | 192 | 67 | 125 | 0 |
| Unit 43 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 17.6 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 44 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 18.4 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 45 | 9 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 17.6 | 179 | 172 | 4 | 3 |
| Unit 46 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 16.4 | 24 | 24 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 47 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 18.8 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 48 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 17.2 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 49 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 15.6 | 90 | 90 | 0 | <1 |
| Unit 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 53 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 54 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 20.8 | 70 | 70 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 55 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 18.0 | 21 | 21 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 56 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 14.0 | <1 | 0 | 0 | <1 |
| Unit 57 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 13.2 | 2443 | 2443 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 58 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 59 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 15.6 | 23 | 23 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 60 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 22.8 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 61 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 62 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 13.6 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 63 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 16.8 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 64 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 65 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 17.2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 66 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 14.0 | 21 | 21 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 68 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 17.6 | 14 | 14 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 69 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 18.0 | 219 | 219 | <1 | 0 |
| Unit 70 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 17.2 | 14 | 14 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 71 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 72 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 29.2 | 28 | 28 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 73 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 18.8 | 41 | 41 | 0 | 0 |

## Detailed Gold Grain Data

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 20
ODM Batch Number(s): 8215

| Sample Number | Dimensions ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) |  |  | Number of Visible Gold Grains |  |  |  | Nonmag HMC Weight* (g) | Calculated V.G. Assay in HMC (ppb) | Metallic Minerals in Pan Concentrate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Thickness | Width | Length | Reshaped | Modified | Pristine | Total |  |  |  |



## Detailed Gold Grain Data

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213-RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 20
ODM Batch Number(s): 8215

| Sample Number | Dimensions ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) |  |  | Number of Visible Gold Grains |  |  |  | Nonmag HMC Weight* (g) | Calculated <br> V.G. Assay in HMC (ppb) | Metallic Minerals in Pan Concentrate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Thickness | Width | Length | Reshaped | Modified | Pristine | Total |  |  |  |


| Unit 31 | 20 | C | 75 | 125 | 1 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 25 | C | 75 | 175 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 32 | 20 | C | 75 | 125 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 33 | 5 | C | 25 | 25 | 1 |
|  | 10 | C | 50 | 50 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 34 | 15 | $C$ | 50 | 100 | 1 |
|  | 25 | $C$ | 100 | 150 | 1 |


| 1 |  | 46 | No sulphides. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | 79 |  |
| 2 | 30.8 | 125 |  |
| 1 |  | 50 | No sulphides. |
| 1 | 28.0 | 50 |  |
| 1 |  | 1 | No sulphides. |
| 1 |  | 7 |  |
| 2 | 27.6 | 8 |  |
| 1 |  | 22 | No sulphides. |
| 1 |  | 107 |  |
| 2 | 26.0 | 129 |  |

Unit 35

| Unit 36 | 5 | C | 25 | 25 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15 | C | 50 | 100 | 1 |
|  | 44 | C | 200 | 275 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 37 | 5 | C | 25 | 25 | 1 |
|  | 13 | C | 25 | 100 | 1 |
|  | 13 | C | 50 | 75 | 1 |


| 2 2 <br> 1 18 | $\operatorname{Tr}(1$ grain $)$ arsenopyrite $(75 \mu \mathrm{~m})$. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 577 |  |
| 4 | 31.6 | 597 |


| 1 | 1 | No sulphides. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 14 |  |
| 1 | 21 |  |
| 3 | 17.2 | 36 |

Unit 38

| Unit 39 | 20 | C | 100 | 100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit 40 | 8 | C | 25 | 50 |
|  | 15 | C | 50 | 100 |
| Unit 41 | 15 | C | 50 | 100 |
| Unit 42 | 3 | C | 15 | 15 |
|  | 5 | C | 25 | 25 |
|  | 8 | C | 25 | 50 |
|  | 18 | C | 75 | 100 |
|  | 22 | C | 100 | 125 |
| Unit 43 | 8 | C | 25 | 50 |
| Unit 44 | 3 | C | 15 | 15 |


| 1 |  | 87 | No sulphides. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\operatorname{Tr}$ (1 grain) arsenopyrite (25 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ). |
| 1 | 17.2 | 87 |  |
| 1 |  | 3 | No sulphides. |
| 1 |  | 21 |  |
| 2 | 27.2 | 24 |  |
| 1 |  | 32 | No sulphides. |
| 1 | 17.6 | 32 |  |
| 2 |  | 1 | No sulphides. |
| 2 |  | 3 |  |
| 1 |  | 4 |  |
| 1 |  | 59 |  |
| 1 |  | 125 |  |
| 7 | 16.8 | 192 |  |
| 1 |  | 4 | No sulphides. |
| 1 | 17.6 | 4 |  |
| 1 |  | $<1$ | No sulphides. |

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 20
ODM Batch Number(s): 8215


Unit 45

| 3 | $C$ | 15 | 15 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | $C$ | 25 | 25 | 1 |
| 8 | $C$ | 25 | 50 | 1 |
| 10 | $C$ | 25 | 75 | 1 |
| 13 | $C$ | 50 | 75 | 1 |
| 25 | $C$ | 75 | 175 | 1 |

2 |  | 1 | $<1$ | No sulphides. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 4 |  |  |
|  | 2 | 8 |  |
| 1 | 8 |  |  |
|  | 1 | 20 |  |
|  |  | 138 |  |
|  |  | 17.6 | 179 |

## Detailed Gold Grain Data

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213-RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 20
ODM Batch Number(s): 8215

| Sample Number | Dimensions ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) |  |  | Number of Visible Gold Grains |  |  |  | Nonmag HMC Weight* (g) | Calculated <br> V.G. Assay <br> in HMC <br> (ppb) | Metallic Minerals in Pan Concentrate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Thickness | Width | Length | Reshaped | Modified | Pristine | Total |  |  |  |


| Unit 46 | 3 | C | 15 | 15 | 1 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 | C | 25 | 25 | 1 |
|  | 13 | C | 50 | 75 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 47 | 5 | C | 25 | 25 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 48 | 10 | C | 25 | 75 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 49 | 3 | C | 15 | 15 |  |
|  | 20 | C | 75 | 125 | 1 |

Unit 50
Unit 51
Unit 52

Unit 53

Unit 54

Unit 55

Unit 56

Unit 57

Unit 58

| 3 | $C$ | 15 | 15 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | $C$ | 25 | 50 | 1 |
| 10 | $C$ | 25 | 75 | 2 |

$\begin{array}{llll}3 & C & 15 & 15\end{array}$
$52 \quad$ C $275 \quad 300 \quad 1$

No Visible Gold

Unit 59

Unit 60

| 13 | C | 50 | 75 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 3 | $C$ | 15 | 15 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5 | $C$ | 25 | 25 | 1 |


$1 \begin{array}{lll}1 & <1\end{array} \quad$ No sulphides.


No sulphides.

$\begin{array}{ccc}1 & <1 & \text { No sulphides. } \\ 1 & 1 & \end{array}$

## Detailed Gold Grain Data

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 20
ODM Batch Number(s): 8215


## Unit 61

Unit 62

Unit 63

Unit 64

Unit 65

Unit 66

Unit 67

Unit 68

No Visible Gold

8 C $25 \quad 50$

8 C $25 \quad 50$

No Visible Gold
$\begin{array}{lllll}5 & \mathrm{C} & 25 & 25 & 1\end{array}$

| 5 | $C$ | 25 | 25 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | $C$ | 25 | 50 | 1 |
| 10 | $C$ | 50 | 50 | 1 |

No Visible Gold

| 3 | $C$ | 15 | 15 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | $C$ | 25 | 25 | 2 |
| 10 | $C$ | 50 | 50 | 1 |

No sulphides.
 No sulphides.


No sulphides.

| 1 | $<1$ | No sulphides. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 3 |  |
| 1 | 11 |  |
| 4 | 17.6 | 14 |

## Detailed Gold Grain Data

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 20
ODM Batch Number(s): 8215

| Sample Number | Dimensions ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) |  |  | Number of Visible Gold Grains |  |  |  | Nonmag HMC Weight* (g) | Calculated V.G. Assay in HMC (ppb) | Metallic Minerals in Pan Concentrate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Thickness | Width | Length | Reshaped | Modified | Pristine | Total |  |  |  |


| Unit 69 | 3 | C | 15 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | No sulphides. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 | C | 25 | 25 | 2 |  | 2 |  | 3 |  |
|  | 13 | C | 50 | 75 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 20 |  |
|  | 15 | C | 75 | 75 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 36 |  |
|  | 25 | C | 125 | 125 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 161 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 18.0 | 220 |  |
| Unit 70 | 5 | C | 25 | 25 | 2 |  | 2 |  | 3 | No sulphides. |
|  | 10 | C | 50 | 50 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 11 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 17.2 | 14 |  |
| Unit 71 | No Visible Gold |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | No sulphides. |
| Unit 72 | 5 | C | 25 | 25 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 | $\operatorname{Tr}$ (4 grains) pyrite (25-100 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) |
|  | 8 | C | 25 | 50 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 2 |  |
|  | 13 | C | 50 | 75 | 2 |  | 2 |  | 25 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 29.2 | 28 |  |
| Unit 73 | 5 | C | 25 | 25 | 2 |  | 2 |  | 3 | No sulphides. |
|  | 8 | C | 25 | 50 | 2 |  | 2 |  | 8 |  |
|  | 15 | C | 50 | 100 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 30 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 18.8 | 41 |  |

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 20
ODM Batch Number(s): 8215

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{5}{*}{Sample Number} \& \multicolumn{11}{|c|}{Weight of -2.0 mm Table Concentrate (g)} <br>
\hline \& \multirow[b]{4}{*}{Total} \& \multirow[b]{4}{*}{-0.25 mm} \& \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{0.25 to 2.0 mm Heavy Liquid Separation at S.G. 3.20} <br>
\hline \& \& \& \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Total} \& \multirow[b]{3}{*}{$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Lights } \\
\text { S.G. }<3.2
\end{gathered}
$$} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Total} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{-0.25 mm
(wash)} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Mag} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{S.G.>3.2

Total} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Nonferromagnetic HMC}} <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>

\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& $$
\begin{gathered}
0.25 \text { to } 0.5 \\
\mathrm{~mm} \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
$$ \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
0.5 \text { to } 1.0 \\
\mathrm{~mm} \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
1.0 \text { to } 2.0 \\
\mathrm{~mm} \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
$$
\] <br>

\hline Unit 13 \& 1298.6 \& 773.4 \& 525.2 \& 510.8 \& 14.4 \& 3.1 \& 2.0 \& 9.3 \& 5.8 \& 2.6 \& 0.9 <br>
\hline Unit 14 \& 1433.1 \& 924.7 \& 508.4 \& 498.4 \& 10.0 \& 2.3 \& 1.0 \& 6.7 \& 4.0 \& 1.9 \& 0.8 <br>
\hline Unit 15 \& 1274.7 \& 670.7 \& 604.0 \& 599.1 \& 4.9 \& 1.1 \& 0.5 \& 3.3 \& 1.8 \& 1.1 \& 0.4 <br>
\hline Unit 16 \& 1488.7 \& 977.5 \& 511.2 \& 507.2 \& 4.0 \& 1.3 \& 0.6 \& 2.1 \& 1.3 \& 0.6 \& 0.2 <br>
\hline Unit 17 \& 806.5 \& 518.3 \& 288.2 \& 262.3 \& 25.9 \& 10.9 \& 8.0 \& 7.0 \& 6.4 \& 0.6 \& 0.03 <br>
\hline Unit 18 \& 1525.8 \& 998.6 \& 527.2 \& 522.3 \& 4.9 \& 1.5 \& 0.4 \& 3.0 \& 1.9 \& 0.8 \& 0.3 <br>
\hline Unit 19 \& 1051.7 \& 515.9 \& 535.8 \& 535.1 \& 0.7 \& 0.3 \& 0.1 \& 0.3 \& 0.2 \& 0.1 \& 0.03 <br>
\hline Unit 20 \& 886.4 \& 493.5 \& 392.9 \& 390.9 \& 2.0 \& 0.7 \& 0.3 \& 1.0 \& 0.6 \& 0.3 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 21 \& 1339.8 \& 637.8 \& 702.0 \& 696.2 \& 5.8 \& 1.2 \& 0.5 \& 4.1 \& 2.4 \& 1.1 \& 0.6 <br>
\hline Unit 22 \& 1001.6 \& 714.7 \& 286.9 \& 280.2 \& 6.7 \& 1.6 \& 0.5 \& 4.6 \& 3.0 \& 1.3 \& 0.3 <br>
\hline Unit 23 \& 769.6 \& 535.8 \& 233.8 \& 230.7 \& 3.1 \& 0.9 \& 0.3 \& 1.9 \& 1.4 \& 0.4 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 24 \& 1271.7 \& 856.0 \& 415.7 \& 412.4 \& 3.3 \& 0.9 \& 0.3 \& 2.1 \& 1.4 \& 0.6 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 25 \& 980.9 \& 616.3 \& 364.6 \& 356.7 \& 7.9 \& 1.9 \& 1.2 \& 4.8 \& 3.0 \& 1.4 \& 0.4 <br>
\hline Unit 27 \& 1211.0 \& 799.6 \& 411.4 \& 406.0 \& 5.4 \& 1.3 \& 0.6 \& 3.5 \& 2.3 \& 1.0 \& 0.2 <br>
\hline Unit 28 \& 1219.9 \& 588.9 \& 631.0 \& 629.3 \& 1.7 \& 0.5 \& 0.2 \& 1.0 \& 0.7 \& 0.2 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 29 \& 817.5 \& 635.4 \& 182.1 \& 176.1 \& 6.0 \& 1.3 \& 0.5 \& 4.2 \& 2.9 \& 1.1 \& 0.2 <br>
\hline Unit 30 \& 879.0 \& 581.0 \& 298.0 \& 292.9 \& 5.1 \& 1.1 \& 0.6 \& 3.4 \& 2.2 \& 0.9 \& 0.3 <br>
\hline Unit 31 \& 1110.8 \& 778.9 \& 331.9 \& 325.1 \& 6.8 \& 1.1 \& 0.5 \& 5.2 \& 3.6 \& 1.3 \& 0.3 <br>
\hline Unit 32 \& 1404.1 \& 968.8 \& 435.3 \& 425.5 \& 9.8 \& 2.3 \& 0.3 \& 7.2 \& 6.4 \& 0.7 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 33 \& 1095.8 \& 806.8 \& 289.0 \& 287.1 \& 1.9 \& 0.6 \& 0.2 \& 1.1 \& 0.9 \& 0.2 \& 0.01 <br>
\hline Unit 34 \& 728.8 \& 627.0 \& 101.8 \& 93.1 \& 8.7 \& 0.9 \& 0.8 \& 7.0 \& 5.3 \& 1.4 \& 0.3 <br>
\hline Unit 35 \& 832.8 \& 596.6 \& 236.2 \& 232.2 \& 4.0 \& 0.6 \& 0.4 \& 3.0 \& 2.0 \& 0.8 \& 0.2 <br>
\hline Unit 36 \& 960.4 \& 699.8 \& 260.6 \& 250.1 \& 10.5 \& 1.5 \& 1.8 \& 7.2 \& 5.0 \& 1.7 \& 0.5 <br>
\hline Unit 37 \& 605.7 \& 405.6 \& 200.1 \& 194.6 \& 5.5 \& 0.8 \& 0.6 \& 4.1 \& 2.6 \& 1.0 \& 0.5 <br>
\hline Unit 38 \& 966.6 \& 654.5 \& 312.1 \& 309.7 \& 2.4 \& 0.7 \& 0.4 \& 1.3 \& 1.1 \& 0.2 \& 0.02 <br>
\hline Unit 39 \& 716.0 \& 489.1 \& 226.9 \& 225.0 \& 1.9 \& 0.5 \& 0.2 \& 1.2 \& 0.8 \& 0.3 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 40 \& 1044.0 \& 663.9 \& 380.1 \& 373.6 \& 6.5 \& 1.5 \& 1.3 \& 3.7 \& 2.4 \& 0.9 \& 0.4 <br>
\hline Unit 41 \& 832.5 \& 507.1 \& 325.4 \& 322.9 \& 2.5 \& 0.4 \& 0.3 \& 1.8 \& 1.2 \& 0.4 \& 0.2 <br>
\hline Unit 42 \& 1004.5 \& 641.3 \& 363.2 \& 359.5 \& 3.7 \& 0.4 \& 0.5 \& 2.8 \& 1.7 \& 0.8 \& 0.3 <br>
\hline Unit 43 \& 922.3 \& 555.1 \& 367.2 \& 364.0 \& 3.2 \& 0.4 \& 0.5 \& 2.3 \& 1.8 \& 0.4 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 44 \& 952.2 \& 657.2 \& 295.0 \& 290.5 \& 4.5 \& 0.6 \& 0.5 \& 3.4 \& 2.5 \& 0.8 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 45 \& 887.5 \& 627.9 \& 259.6 \& 256.8 \& 2.8 \& 0.4 \& 0.1 \& 2.3 \& 1.5 \& 0.6 \& 0.2 <br>
\hline Unit 46 \& 846.8 \& 619.1 \& 227.7 \& 225.7 \& 2.0 \& 0.2 \& 0.3 \& 1.5 \& 1.0 \& 0.4 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 47 \& 859.5 \& 594.2 \& 265.3 \& 262.2 \& 3.1 \& 0.4 \& 0.4 \& 2.3 \& 1.3 \& 0.7 \& 0.3 <br>
\hline Unit 48 \& 734.9 \& 508.9 \& 226.0 \& 223.5 \& 2.5 \& 0.2 \& 0.3 \& 2.0 \& 1.4 \& 0.5 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 49 \& 941.8 \& 653.0 \& 288.8 \& 284.7 \& 4.1 \& 0.5 \& 0.6 \& 3.0 \& 2.0 \& 0.7 \& 0.3 <br>
\hline Unit 50 \& 912.5 \& 619.4 \& 293.1 \& 288.5 \& 4.6 \& 0.4 \& 0.9 \& 3.3 \& 2.5 \& 0.7 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 51 \& 989.2 \& 670.1 \& 319.1 \& 317.2 \& 1.9 \& 0.3 \& 0.2 \& 1.4 \& 1.0 \& 0.3 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 52 \& 1046.1 \& 761.7 \& 284.4 \& 281.7 \& 2.7 \& 0.1 \& 0.6 \& 2.0 \& 1.3 \& 0.5 \& 0.2 <br>
\hline Unit 53 \& 767.2 \& 518.8 \& 248.4 \& 245.6 \& 2.8 \& 1.2 \& 0.4 \& 1.2 \& 0.8 \& 0.3 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 54 \& 833.6 \& 539.7 \& 293.9 \& 285.6 \& 8.3 \& 1.0 \& 1.1 \& 6.2 \& 3.9 \& 1.6 \& 0.7 <br>
\hline Unit 55 \& 622.1 \& 443.2 \& 178.9 \& 174.2 \& 4.7 \& 1.0 \& 0.6 \& 3.1 \& 1.9 \& 0.9 \& 0.3 <br>
\hline Unit 56 \& 387.4 \& 271.4 \& 116.0 \& 113.1 \& 2.9 \& 0.6 \& 0.3 \& 2.0 \& 1.3 \& 0.5 \& 0.2 <br>
\hline Unit 57 \& 542.0 \& 369.5 \& 172.5 \& 169.7 \& 2.8 \& 0.8 \& 0.2 \& 1.8 \& 1.1 \& 0.4 \& 0.3 <br>
\hline Unit 58 \& 688.6 \& 470.3 \& 218.3 \& 217.0 \& 1.3 \& 0.2 \& 0.1 \& 1.0 \& 0.6 \& 0.3 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 59 \& 492.4 \& 384.4 \& 108.0 \& 104.5 \& 3.5 \& 0.5 \& 0.2 \& 2.8 \& 2.0 \& 0.6 \& 0.2 <br>
\hline Unit 60 \& 776.0 \& 528.2 \& 247.8 \& 244.4 \& 3.4 \& 0.6 \& 0.4 \& 2.4 \& 1.5 \& 0.7 \& 0.2 <br>
\hline Unit 61 \& 340.4 \& 189.5 \& 150.9 \& 150.0 \& 0.9 \& 0.2 \& 0.4 \& 0.3 \& 0.2 \& 0.1 \& 0.04 <br>
\hline Unit 62 \& 446.7 \& 340.4 \& 106.3 \& 104.4 \& 1.9 \& 0.6 \& 0.1 \& 1.2 \& 0.9 \& 0.3 \& 0.03 <br>
\hline Unit 63 \& 772.7 \& 535.1 \& 237.6 \& 234.9 \& 2.7 \& 0.5 \& 0.1 \& 2.1 \& 1.4 \& 0.6 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 64 \& 572.5 \& 367.0 \& 205.5 \& 203.0 \& 2.5 \& 0.4 \& 0.2 \& 1.9 \& 1.1 \& 0.6 \& 0.2 <br>
\hline Unit 65 \& 797.4 \& 527.8 \& 269.6 \& 263.7 \& 5.9 \& 0.9 \& 0.4 \& 4.6 \& 2.8 \& 1.3 \& 0.5 <br>
\hline Unit 66 \& 451.0 \& 306.8 \& 144.2 \& 141.4 \& 2.8 \& 0.4 \& 0.1 \& 2.3 \& 1.2 \& 0.8 \& 0.3 <br>
\hline Unit 67 \& 485.3 \& 291.2 \& 194.1 \& 191.2 \& 2.9 \& 0.5 \& 0.5 \& 1.9 \& 1.1 \& 0.6 \& 0.2 <br>
\hline Unit 68 \& 683.6 \& 482.1 \& 201.5 \& 197.9 \& 3.6 \& 0.4 \& 0.5 \& 2.7 \& 1.5 \& 0.8 \& 0.4 <br>
\hline Unit 69 \& 806.8 \& 541.1 \& 265.7 \& 261.4 \& 4.3 \& 0.5 \& 0.4 \& 3.4 \& 2.1 \& 0.9 \& 0.4 <br>
\hline Unit 70 \& 613.1 \& 378.3 \& 234.8 \& 232.8 \& 2.0 \& 0.5 \& 0.3 \& 1.2 \& 0.9 \& 0.2 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 71 \& 504.0 \& 366.7 \& 137.3 \& 134.5 \& 2.8 \& 0.7 \& 0.5 \& 1.6 \& 1.1 \& 0.4 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 72 \& 570.6 \& 337.5 \& 233.1 \& 225.2 \& 7.9 \& 1.0 \& 0.6 \& 6.3 \& 3.5 \& 2.5 \& 0.3 <br>
\hline Unit 73 \& 238.8 \& 175.1 \& 63.7 \& 61.5 \& 2.2 \& 0.4 \& 0.2 \& 1.6 \& 1.2 \& 0.3 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

Kimberlite Indicator Mineral Counts
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 20

|  |
| :---: |
| ODM Batch Numbe |
|  |
| Sample Number |
| Unit 13 |


| Sample Number |
| :---: |
| Unit 13 |
| Unit 14 |
| Unit 15 |
| Unit 16 |

Unit 16
Unit 17
Unit 18
Unit 18
Unit 19
Unit 20
Unit 21
Unit 22
Unit 22
Unit 23
Unit 24
Unit 25
Unit
Unit 28
Un
Unit 29
Unit 30
Un
Unit 32
Unit
Unit
Un
Unit 35
Unit 36
Unit 37

| Unit 38 |
| :--- |
| Unit |
| Unit 40 |

Unit 42
Unit 43
Unit 44

| Unit 46 |
| :--- |
| Unit 47 |

Unit 48
Unit 49
Unit 50

| Unit 53 |
| :--- |
| Unit 54 |
| Unit 55 |

Unit 56
Unit 57
Unit 58

| Unit 59 |
| :--- |
| Unit 60 |

Unit 62
Unit 63
Unit 64
Unit 55
Uni 66
Unit 67
Unit 68
Unit 69
Unit 71
Unit 72
Unit 73
$\mathrm{P}=$ Number of picked grains in sample.

## Kimberlite Indicator Mineral Remarks

File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 20

| $\mid$ Sample Number | Remarks |
| :--- | :--- |
| Unit 13 | 8215 |


| INPUT ASSEMBLAGE | INPUT REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: |
| Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 2 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1 \mathrm{IM}$ and 1 CR ; and 2 FO versus diopside candidates $=2 \mathrm{FO}$. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 2 GO versus grossular candidates $=2 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope). 1 IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 5 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside | SEM check from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 1 FO versus diopside candidate $=1$ FO. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); 6 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2 \mathrm{IM}$ and 4 CR ; and 1 FO versus diopside candidate $=1$ FO. |
| Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside | SEM check from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1$ grossular. SEM check from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1 \mathrm{IM}$. |
| Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 5 GO versus grossular candidates $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope) and 4 grossular; and 5 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2 \mathrm{IM}$, 1 crustal ilmenite and 2 CR. 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Orthopyroxene-fayalite-ilmenite/epidote-diopsidestaurolite | SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1$ IM.; 5 fayalite (major paramagnetic assemblange mineral) candidates $=5$ fayalite; and 5 orthopyroxene (major paramagnetic assemblage mineral) versus augite candidates $=5$ orthopyroxene. |
| Almandine-augite/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}(\mathrm{Cr}$ poor pyrope); and 2 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1 \mathrm{IM}$ and 1 crustal ilmenite. |
| Almandine/epidote-diopside |  |
| Almandine/epidote-diopside | SEM check from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus staurolite candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Crpoor pyrope). |
| Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside | SEM check from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 1 FO versus diopside candidate $=1$ FO. SEM check from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 FO versus diopside candidates $=2 \mathrm{FO}$. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 5 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1$ IM, 3 crustal ilmenite and 1 CR . Sole IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction has partial alteration mantle. |
| Amandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction has partial alteration mantle. |
| Almandine/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 GO versus staurolite candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ ( Cr poor pyrope); and 2 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1 \mathrm{IM}$ and 1 crustal ilmenite. 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside | SEM check from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 1 GO versus almandine candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Crpoor pyrope). SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 GP verus almandine candidates $=2 \mathrm{GP}$; and 6 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1 \mathrm{IM}, 2$ crustal ilmenite and 3 CR. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM check from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Crpoor pyrope); 3 FO versus epidote candidates $=2 \mathrm{FO}$ and 1 epidote. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); 1 IM versus CR candidate $=1 \mathrm{CR}$; and 1 FO versus diopside candidate $=1 \mathrm{FO}$. Sole IM from 1.0-2.0 mm, both IM from 0.5-1.0 mm, and 1 GP and 5 IM from 0.25-0.5 fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM check from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus staurolite candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Crpoor pyrope). Sole IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside | Sole IM from 1.0-2.0 mm; 2 IM from 0.5-1.0 mm; and 5 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 13 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=4$ IM, 4 crustal ilmenite and 5 CR ; and 3 FO versus epidote candidates $=1 \mathrm{FO}$ and 2 epidote. 1 IM from 0.5-1.0 mm ; 1 GP and 5 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 3 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1$ IM and 2 CR . Sole IM from $1.0-2.0 \mathrm{~mm}$; 1 IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 2 IM from $0.25-$ 0.5 mm fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Hornblende-almandine/epidote-diopsidestaurolite | SEM check from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 1 GP versus almandine candidate $=1 \mathrm{GP}$. SEM check from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 IM versus CR candidate $=1 \mathrm{CR} .1 \mathrm{GP}$ from $0.5-1.0$; and 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite | SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2$ IM. 1 IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite | SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 GP versus almandine candidate $=1 \mathrm{GP}$; and 3 GO versus grossular candidates $=3$ grossular. 1 GP from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 2 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |

## Kimberlite Indicator Mineral Remarks

| Client: RJK Explo File Name: 20198 Total Number of S ODM Batch Numb | ```ration Ltd. 2 1 3 \text { - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019} Samples in this Report: }2 er(s): }821``` |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample Number | , Remarks | INPUT ASSEMBLAGE | INPUT REMARKS |
| Unit 35 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside |  |
| Unit 36 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GP versus almandine candidate $=1 \mathrm{GP} ; 1$ GO versus grossular candidate $=1$ grossular. Sole GP and 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite | SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GP versus almandine candidate $=1 \mathrm{GP} ; 1$ GO versus grossular candidate $=1$ grossular. Sole GP and 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 37 | Almandine-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 6 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=5 \mathrm{IM}$ and 1 crustal ilmenite. Both IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. | Almandine-augite/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 6 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=5$ IM and 1 crustal ilmenite. Both IM from 0.5-1.0 mm fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 38 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 FO versus epidote candidates $=1 \mathrm{FO}$ and 1 epidote. 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction has a partial alteration mantle. | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 FO versus epidote candidates $=1 \mathrm{FO}$ and 1 epidote. 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction has a partial alteration mantle. |
| Unit 39 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 FO versus epidote candidates $=2$ epidote. 2 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 FO versus epidote candidates $=2$ epidote. 2 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 40 | Almandine-augite-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from $1.0-2.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1 \mathrm{IM}$. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 3 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2$ crustal ilmenite and 1 CR ; and 5 CR candidates $=4 \mathrm{CR}$ and 1 crustal ilmenite. | Almandine-augite-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM check from $1.0-2.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1 \mathrm{IM}$. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 3 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2$ crustal ilmenite and 1 CR ; and 5 CR candidates $=4 \mathrm{CR}$ and 1 crustal ilmenite. |
| Unit 41 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside |  |
| Unit 42 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); 3 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2 \mathrm{IM}$ and 1 CR ; and 4 CR candidates $=4$ CR. 1 GP from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction lost in transfer to vial. | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Crpoor pyrope); 3 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2 \mathrm{IM}$ and 1 CR ; and 4 CR candidates $=4 \mathrm{CR}$. 1 GP from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction lost in transfer to vial. |
| Unit 43 | Almandine-fayalite-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 6 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1 \mathrm{IM}, 4$ crustal ilmenite and 1 CR . | Almandine-fayalite-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 6 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1$ IM, 4 crustal ilmenite and 1 CR . |
| Unit 44 | Hornblende-almandine/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 GO versus spessartine candidates $=2$ almandine; 2 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2 \mathrm{IM}$; and 1 FO versus epidote candidate $=1$ epidote. Sole IM from 0.5-1.0 fraction has a partial alteration mantle. | Hornblende-almandine/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 GO versus spessartine candidates $=2$ almandine; 2 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2 \mathrm{IM}$; and 1 FO versus epidote candidate $=1$ epidote. Sole IM from 0.5-1.0 fraction has a partial alteration mantle. |
| Unit 45 | Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{Mn}$-almandine. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); 1 IM versus CR candidates $=1$ crustal ilmenite; and 1 FO versus epidote candidates $=1$ epidote. 1 GP and 2 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ have partial alteration mantles. | Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside | SEM check from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{Mn}-$ almandine. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); 1 IM versus CR candidates $=1$ crustal ilmenite; and 1 FO versus epidote candidates $=1$ epidote. 1 GP and 2 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 46 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 7 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=4 \mathrm{IM}$ and 3 crustal ilmenite. 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 7 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=4$ IM and 3 crustal ilmenite. 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 47 | Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 4 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1 \mathrm{lM}, 1$ crustal ilmenite, 1 tourmaline and 1 andradite. 3 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles. | Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 4 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1$ $\mathrm{IM}, 1$ crustal ilmenite, 1 tourmaline and 1 andradite. 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 48 | Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus staurolite candidate $=1$ staurolite. 1 IM from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction has a partial alteration mantle. | Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside | SEM check from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 GO versus staurolite candidate $=1$ staurolite. 1 IM from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction has a partial alteration mantle. |
| Unit 49 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from 1.0-2.0 mm fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1$ crustal ilmenite. SEM check from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1$ almandine. 2 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM check from 1.0-2.0 mm fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1$ crustal ilmenite. SEM check from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1$ almandine. 2 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 50 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 4 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=4$ crustal ilmenite. | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 4 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=4$ crustal ilmenite. |
| Unit 51 | Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 GO versus grossular candidates $=2$ almandine. 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. | Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 GO versus grossular candidates $=2$ almandine. 3 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 52 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside |  |
| Unit 53 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. Sole IM from 0.5-1.0 mm and 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | Sole IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 54 | Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 3 FO versus diopside candidates $=3$ FO. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus almandine $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); and 4 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=4 \mathrm{IM} .1 \mathrm{GO}$ and 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. | Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 3 FO versus diopside candidates $=3$ FO. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus almandine $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); and 4 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=4 \mathrm{IM} .1 \mathrm{GO}$ and 3 lM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 55 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 GO versus grossular candidates $=2 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); and 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1 \mathrm{IM}$. One GP from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ and both GP, 1 GO , and 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 2 GO versus grossular candidates $=2 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); and 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1 \mathrm{IM}$. One GP from 0.5 1.0 mm and both GP, 1 GO , and 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 56 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GP versus ruby corundum candidate $=1$ ruby corundum; and 1 FO versus zoisite candidate $=1 \mathrm{FO}$. Sole IM from $0.5-$ 1.0 mm and 1 GP , and 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GP versus ruby corundum candidate $=1$ ruby corundum; and 1 FO versus zoisite candidate $=1$ FO. Sole IM from 0.5-1.0 mm and 1 GP , and 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 57 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. All 3 GP and 1 IM from 0.5-1.0 mm and 1 GP and 6 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | All 3 GP and 1 IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 1 GP and 6 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 58 | Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside-titanite assemblage. | Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopsidetitanite |  |

Kimberlite Indicator Mineral Remarks

| Client: RJK Explo File Name: 20198 Total Number of S ODM Batch Numb | ation Ltd. <br> 213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019 amples in this Report: 20 <br> er(s): 8215 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample Number | Remarks | INPUT ASSEMBLAGE | INPUT REMARKS |
| Unit 59 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside |  |
| Unit 60 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus almandine candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); and 2 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2 \mathrm{IM}$. | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 GO versus almandine candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); and 2 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2 \mathrm{IM}$. |
| Unit 61 | Hornblende-hematite/epidote-zircon assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 5 titanite versus zircon candidates $=5$ zircons. | Hornblende-hematite/epidote-zircon | SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 5 titanite versus zircon candidates $=5$ zircons. |
| Unit 62 | Hornblende-almandine/epidote-diopside assemblage. Sole IM from 0.5-1.0 mm and sole GP and 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. | Hornblende-almandine/epidote-diopside | Sole IM from 0.5-1.0 mm and sole GP and 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 63 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1 \mathrm{IM}$. | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM check from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1 \mathrm{IM}$. |
| Unit 64 | Hornblende-almandine/epidote-diopside assemblage. | Hornblende-almandine/epidote-diopside |  |
| Unit 65 | Almandine-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 2 GO versus grossular candidates $=2 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); and 3 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1 \mathrm{IM}, 1$ crustal ilmenite and 1 CR . | Almandine-augite/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 GO versus grossular candidates $=2 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); and 3 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1 \mathrm{IM}, 1$ crustal ilmenite and 1 CR . |
| Unit 66 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1 \mathrm{CR}$. | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM check from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1$ CR. |
| Unit 67 | Almandine/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 FO versus diopside candidate $=1 \mathrm{FO} .1 \mathrm{IM}$ from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction has a partial alteration mantle. | Almandine/epidote-diopside | SEM check from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 FO versus diopside candidate $=1 \mathrm{FO} .1 \mathrm{IM}$ from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction has a partial alteration mantle. |
| Unit 68 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-staurolite-diopside assemblage. Sole IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 2 IM from 0.25 0.5 mm fractions have partial alteration mantles. | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-staurolite-diopside | Sole IM from 0.5-1.0 mm and 2 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 69 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. 2 IM from 0.5-1.0 mm and 5 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fractions have partial alteration mantles. | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | 2 IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 5 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 70 | Almandine/epidote-diopside assemblage. $2 \mathrm{IM} \mathrm{from} 0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. | Almandine/epidote-diopside | 2 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 71 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-staurolite assemblage. | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-staurolite |  |
| Unit 72 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-staurolite-diopside assemblage. 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction has a partial alteration mantle. | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-staurolite-diopside | 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction has a partial alteration mantle. |
| Unit 73 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside |  |

## Laboratory Data Report

## Client Information

> RJK Exploration Ltd.

4 Al Wend Avenue
Kirkland Lake, ON
P2N 3J5
gkasner2001@yahoo.com
Attention: Glenn Kasner
Data-File Information

Date:
Project name:
ODM batch number:
Sample numbers:
Data file:
Number of samples in this report:
Number of samples processed to date: 95
Total number of samples in project: 95
Preliminary data:
Final data:
Revised data:

## Samples Processed For:

35

February 06, 2020
Lorrain Chain

## 8216

Unit 74 to Unit 108
20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019


Gold, RIMs

## Processing Specifications:

1. Submitted by client: Till samples prescreened to -6.0 mm in the field
2. One $\pm 300 \mathrm{~g}$ archival split taken from each sample.
3. All samples panned for gold, PGMs and fine-grained metallic indicator minerals.
4. Shaking table concentrates refined by heavy liquid separation at S.G. 3.2 to obtain heavy mineral concentrates (HMCs).
5. $0.25-2.0 \mathrm{~mm}$, nonferromagnetic HMC fractions picked for kimberlite indicator minerals.

## Notes



Mike Crawford
Laboratory Manager

## Primary Sample Processing Weights and Descriptions

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 35
ODM Batch Number(s): 8216

| Sample Number | Weight (kg wet) |  |  |  |  | Screening and Shaking Table Sample Descriptions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Clasts (+2.0 mm) |  |  |  |  | Matrix (-2.0 mm) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Class |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Size | Percentage |  |  |  | Distribution |  |  |  |  | Colour |  |  |
|  | Bulk Rec'd $\begin{gathered}\text { Archived } \\ \text { Split }\end{gathered}$ |  | Table Split | $\begin{gathered} +2.0 \mathrm{~mm} \\ \text { Clasts } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -2.0 \mathrm{~mm} \\ \text { Table Feed } \end{gathered}$ |  | V/S | GR | LS | OT | S/U | SD | ST | CY | ORG | SD | CY |  |
| Unit 74 | 4.6 | 0.3 | 4.3 | 0.3 | 4.0 | G | 100 | TR | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 75 | 5.2 | 0.3 | 4.9 | 0.2 | 4.7 | G | 100 | TR | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 76 | 7.2 | 0.3 | 6.9 | 0.4 | 6.5 | G | 30 | 70 | 0 | TR | U | Y | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 77 | 4.8 | 0.3 | 4.5 | 0.3 | 4.2 | G | TR | 100 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 78 | 4.6 | 0.3 | 4.3 | 0.4 | 3.9 | G | TR | 100 | 0 | TR | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 79 | 5.1 | 0.3 | 4.8 | 0.5 | 4.3 | G | TR | 100 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 80 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 4.6 | 0.4 | 4.2 | G | 70 | 30 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 81 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 4.6 | 0.3 | 4.3 | G | 80 | 20 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 82 | 4.4 | 0.3 | 4.1 | 0.3 | 3.8 | G | 80 | 20 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 83 | 5.2 | 0.3 | 4.9 | 0.4 | 4.5 | G | 80 | 20 | 0 | TR | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 84 | 8.3 | 0.3 | 8.0 | 0.5 | 7.5 | G | 40 | 60 | 0 | TR | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 85 | 2.5 | 0.3 | 2.2 | 0.2 | 2.0 | G | 30 | 70 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 86 | 7.9 | 0.3 | 7.6 | 0.6 | 7.0 | G | 70 | 30 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 87 | 5.6 | 0.3 | 5.3 | 0.2 | 5.1 | G | 100 | TR | 0 | TR | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 88 | 5.9 | 0.3 | 5.6 | 0.4 | 5.2 | G | 30 | 70 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 89 | 4.7 | 0.3 | 4.4 | 0.3 | 4.1 | G | 70 | 30 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 90 | 5.6 | 0.3 | 5.3 | 0.4 | 4.9 | G | 80 | 20 | 0 | TR | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 91 | 10.6 | 0.3 | 10.3 | 0.4 | 9.9 | G | 80 | 20 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 92 | 6.5 | 0.3 | 6.2 | 1.1 | 5.1 | P | 80 | 20 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 93 | 6.2 | 0.3 | 5.9 | 1.3 | 4.6 | P | 80 | 20 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 94 | 5.7 | 0.3 | 5.4 | 1.0 | 4.4 | P | 90 | 10 | TR | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 95 | 6.0 | 0.3 | 5.7 | 0.2 | 5.5 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | + | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 96 | 8.6 | 0.3 | 8.3 | 0.1 | 8.2 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 97 | 12.7 | 0.3 | 12.4 | 0.4 | 12.0 | P | 80 | 20 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | LOC | LOC | TILL |
| Unit 98 | 4.8 | 0.3 | 4.5 | 0.2 | 4.3 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 99 | 5.3 | 0.3 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 4.7 | G | 80 | 20 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 100 | 8.4 | 0.3 | 8.1 | 0.6 | 7.5 | P | 80 | 20 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | + | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 101 | 8.1 | 0.3 | 7.8 | 0.5 | 7.3 | G | 80 | 20 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | + | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 102 | 7.9 | 0.3 | 7.6 | 0.6 | 7.0 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | + | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 103 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 4.6 | 0.2 | 4.4 | P | 70 | 30 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | + | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 104 | 7.5 | 0.3 | 7.2 | 0.4 | 6.8 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | + | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 105 | 7.6 | 0.3 | 7.3 | 0.7 | 6.6 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | + | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 106 | 7.6 | 0.3 | 7.3 | 1.0 | 6.3 | G | 80 | 20 | 0 | 0 | U | $+$ | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 107 | 7.3 | 0.3 | 7.0 | 1.1 | 5.9 | P | 70 | 30 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 108 | 13.7 | 0.3 | 13.4 | 0.6 | 12.8 | G | 80 | 20 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | + | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |

## Gold Grain Summary

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 35
ODM Batch Number(s): 8216

| Sample Number | Number of Visible Gold Grains |  |  |  | Nonmag HMC Weight* | Calculated PPB Visible Gold in HMC |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Reshaped | Modified | Pristine |  | Total | Reshaped | Modified | Pristine |
| Unit 74 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 76 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 26.0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 77 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 16.8 | 21 | 21 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 78 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 15.6 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 79 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 17.2 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 80 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 16.8 | 38 | 38 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 81 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 17.2 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 82 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 83 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 18.0 | 15 | 15 | 0 | <1 |
| Unit 84 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 30.0 | 14 | 13 | 0 | 1 |
| Unit 85 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8.0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 86 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 28.0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Unit 87 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 88 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 89 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 16.4 | <1 | 0 | 0 | <1 |
| Unit 90 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 19.6 | 34 | 34 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 91 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 92 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 93 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 18.4 | 48 | 48 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 94 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 95 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 96 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 32.8 | 17 | 11 | 0 | 6 |
| Unit 97 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 48.0 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 1 |
| Unit 98 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 99 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 100 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 30.0 | 14 | 1 | 12 | 1 |
| Unit 101 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 102 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 103 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 104 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 27.2 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 105 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 106 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 25.2 | 141 | 141 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 107 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 108 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 51.2 | 36 | 36 | 0 | 0 |
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## Detailed Gold Grain Data

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 35
ODM Batch Number(s): 8216

| Sample Number | Dimensions ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) |  |  |  | Numb |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Thick |  | Width | Length | Reshape |
| Unit 74 | No Visible Gold |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 75 | No Visible Gold |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 76 | 8 | C | 25 | 50 | 1 |
| Unit 77 | 13 | C | 50 | 75 | 1 |
| Unit 78 | 10 | C | 25 | 75 | 1 |
| Unit 79 | 3 | C | 15 | 15 | 1 |
|  | 10 | C | 50 | 50 | 1 |
| Unit 80 | 15 | C | 75 | 75 | 1 |
| Unit 81 | 10 | C | 50 | 50 | 1 |


| Unit 82 | No Visible Gold |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 83 | 3 | $C$ | 15 | 15 |  |
|  | 8 | C | 25 | 50 | 1 |
|  | 10 | C | 50 | 50 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 84 | 3 | $C$ | 15 | 15 | 1 |
|  | 5 | C | 25 | 25 | 1 |
|  | 13 | C | 50 | 75 | 1 |
| Unit 85 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5 | $C$ | 25 | 25 | 1 |
| Unit 86 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5 | $C$ | 25 | 25 | 1 |

Unit 8
No Visible Gold


Unit 91
No Visible Gold

| 1 |  | 3 | No sulphides. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 26.0 | 3 |  |
| 1 |  | 21 | No sulphides. |
| 1 | 16.8 | 21 |  |
| 1 |  | 9 | No sulphides. |
| 1 | 15.6 | 9 |  |
| 1 |  | <1 | No sulphides |
| 1 |  | 11 |  |
| 2 | 17.2 | 11 |  |
| 1 |  | 38 | No sulphides. |
| 1 | 16.8 | 38 |  |
| 1 |  | 11 | No sulphides. |
| 1 | 17.2 | 11 |  |

## Detailed Gold Grain Data

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 35
ODM Batch Number(s): 8216

| Sample Number | Dimensions ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) |  |  | Number of Visible Gold Grains |  |  |  | Nonmag HMC Weight* (g) | Calculated <br> V.G. Assay in HMC (ppb) | Metallic Minerals in Pan Concentrate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Thickness | Width | Length | Reshaped | Modified | Pristine | Total |  |  |  |


| Unit 92 | No Visible Gold |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | No sulphides. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit 93 | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ 10 \\ 15 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 50 \\ & 75 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 50 \\ & 75 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 2 1 1 |  | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ 10 \\ 35 \\ \hline \hline \end{gathered}$ | No sulphides. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 18.4 | 48 |  |
| Unit 94 | No V | ible |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | No sulphides. |
| Unit 95 | No V | ible |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | No sulphides. |
| Unit 96 | 10 | C |  | 50 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 6 | No sulphides. |
|  | 13 | C | 50 | 75 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 11 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 32.8 | 17 |  |
| Unit 97 |  |  |  | 25 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | No sulphides. |
|  | 13 | C | 50 | 75 | 2 |  |  | 2 |  | 15 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 48.0 | 15 |  |
| Unit 98 | No V | ible |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | No sulphides. |
| Unit 99 | No V | ible |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | No sulphides. |
| Unit 100 | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ 13 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 75 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 1 |  | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ 12 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | No sulphides. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 30.0 | 14 |  |
| Unit 101 | No V | ible |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | No sulphides. |
| Unit 102 | No V | ible |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | No sulphides. |
| Unit 103 | No V | ible |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | No sulphides. |
| Unit 104 | 8 | C | 25 | 50 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 3 | No sulphides. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 27.2 | 3 |  |
| Unit 105 | No V | ible |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | No sulphides. |
| Unit 106 | 8 | C | 25 | 50 | 2 |  |  | 2 |  | 6 | No sulphides. |
|  | 15 |  | 75 | 75 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 25 |  |
|  | 25 | C | 100 | 150 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 110 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 25.2 | 141 |  |
| Unit 107 | No V | ible | old |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | No sulphides. |
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## Detailed Gold Grain Data

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 35
ODM Batch Number(s): 8216

| Sample Number | Dimensions ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) |  |  | Number of Visible Gold Grains |  |  |  | Nonmag HMC Weight* (g) | Calculated <br> V.G. Assay in HMC (ppb) | Metallic Minerals in Pan Concentrate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Thickness | Width | Length | Reshaped | Modified | Pristine | Total |  |  |  |

Unit 108

| 5 | C | 25 | 25 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | C | 25 | 75 | 1 |
| 10 | C | 50 | 50 | 3 |
| 13 | C | 50 | 75 | 3 |


|  | 2 <br> 1 | 1 | No sulphides. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3 |  |  |
| 3 | 11 |  |  |
| 3 |  | 21 |  |
| 9 | 51.2 | 36 |  |

* Calculated PPB Au based on assumed nonmagnetic HMC weight equivalent to $0.4 \%$ of the table feed.


## Heavy Mineral Concentrate Processing Weights

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 35
ODM Batch Number(s): 8216



## Kimberlite Indicator Mineral Remarks

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 35
ODM Batch Number(s): 8216

| Sample Number | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: |
| Unit 74 | Almandine-hematite-hornblende/epidote-staurolite assemblage. Sole IM from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction has a partial alteration mantle. |
| Unit 75 | Almandine-hematite/epidote-staurolite assemblage. Sole GP from 0.5-1.0 mm; and 2 GP and sole IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 76 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-staurolite-diopside assemblage. 1 IM from 0.5-1.0 mm and 1 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 77 | Almandine/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. Sole IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction has a partial alteration mantle. |
| Unit 78 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. |
| Unit 79 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. SEM check from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 1 FO versus diopside candidate $=1 \mathrm{FO}$. Sole IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |

Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1 \mathrm{IM}$. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 6 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=6$ crustal ilmenite and 1 FO candidate $=1 \mathrm{FO} .1 \mathrm{IM}$ from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction has a partial alteration mantle.
Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. 2 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles.

Almandine-augite/diopside assemblage. 1 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction has a partial alteration mantle.

Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 2 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2 \mathrm{IM}$.

Almandine/epidote-staurolite assemblage. Both IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles.

Almandine-hornblende/epidote-staurolite assemblage. Sole IM from 1.0-2.0 mm fraction has a partial alteration mantle.

Almandine-hornblende/epidote-staurolite assemblage.

Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 2 FO versus zoisite candidates $=2$ FO. Sole IM from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction has a partial alteration mantle.

Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. 3 GP from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles.

Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. SEM check from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1 \mathrm{IM} .1 \mathrm{IM}$ from 0.5-1.0 mm and 3 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles.

Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage.

## Kimberlite Indicator Mineral Remarks

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 35
ODM Batch Number(s): 8216

| Sample Number | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: |
| Unit 91 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-staurolite-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 GO versus staurolite candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); 1 FO versus zoisite candidate $=1 \mathrm{FO} .4 \mathrm{GP}$ and 4 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 92 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-staurolite assemblage. 1 IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$; and sole GP and 4IM from 0.250.5 mm fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 93 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-staurolite-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 2 forsterite versus epidote candidates $=2$ FO. 2 GP and 2 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 94 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-staurolite assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1 \mathrm{IM} ; 2 \mathrm{FO}$ versus epidote candidates $=1 \mathrm{FO}$ and 1 epidote. Sole IM from 0.250.5 mm fraction has a partial alteration mantle. |
| Unit 95 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote assemblage. SEM check from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus staurolite candidate $=1$ grossular. 1 IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 96 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. 3 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 97 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. SEM check from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus almandine candidate $=1$ grossular. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus staurolite candidate $=1$ grossular; and 2 FO versus enstatite candidates $=2$ epidote. 1 IM from 0.5-1.0 mm; and 2 GP and 5 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 98 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-staurolite assemblage. |
| Unit 99 | Almandine-hornblende/epdiote assemblage. SEM check from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus staurolite candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope). |
| Unit 100 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. 1 IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction has a partial alteration mantle. |
| Unit 101 | Hornblende-almandine/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 FO versus diopside candidates $=2$ FO. Sole GP from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction has a partial alteration mantle. |
| Unit 102 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. SEM check from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 1 FO versus diopside candidate $=1 \mathrm{FO}$. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates = 2 IM ; 1 FO versus diopside candidate $=1 \mathrm{FO}$; and 1 enstatite versus FO candidate $=1$ enstatite. 2 GP and 4 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 103 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. SEM check from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 GO versus almandine candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope). 3 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 104 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. 4 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |

## Kimberlite Indicator Mineral Remarks

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 35
ODM Batch Number(s): 8216

| Sample Number | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: |
| Unit 105 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. |
| Unit 106 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. 1 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction has a partial alteration mantle. |
| Unit 107 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 2 GO versus grossular candidates = 1 GO (Cr-poor pyrope) and 1 spessartine; and 1 FO versus epidote candidate $=1$ FO (lost in transfer to vial). One CR has attached gangue material. 4 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 108 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. Sole GP and both IM from 0.5-1.0 mm; and 2 GP and 3 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fractions have partial alteration mantles. |



Exploring Heavy Minerals

## Laboratory Data Report

## Client Information

RJK Exploration Ltd.
4 Al Wende Avenue
Kirkland Lake, ON
P2N 3J5
gkasner2001@yahoo.com
Attention: Glenn Kasner

## Data-File Information

Date:
Project name:

ODM batch number:
Sample numbers:
Data file:
Number of samples in this report:
Number of samples processed to date:
Total number of samples in project: 95
Preliminary data:
Final data:
Revised data:
February 06, 2020
Lorrain Chain

8216
Unit 74 to Unit 108
20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
35
95
95


## Samples Processed For:

Gold, RIMs

## Processing Specifications:

1. Submitted by client: Till samples prescreened to -6.0 mm in the field
2. One $\pm 300 \mathrm{~g}$ archival split taken from each sample.
3. All samples panned for gold, PGMs and fine-grained metallic indicator minerals.
4. Shaking table concentrates refined by heavy liquid separation at S.G. 3.2 to obtain heavy mineral concentrates (HMCs).
5. $0.25-2.0 \mathrm{~mm}$, nonferromagnetic HMC fractions picked for kimberlite indicator minerals.

## Notes



Mike Crawford
Laboratory Manager

## Overburden Drilling Management Limited - Abbreviations Table

## Raw Sample Weights and Descriptions Log

## Largest Clast Size Present:

## Matrix Organics:

G: Granules
P: Pebbles
C: Cobbles

## Clast Composition:

V/S: Volcanics and/or sediments
GR: Granitics
LS: Limestone, carbonates
OT: Other lithologies (refer to footnotes)
TR: Only trace present
NA: Not applicable
OX: Very oxidized, undifferentiated
MB: Marble
ORG: Y: Organics present in matrix
N : Organics absent or negligible in matrix

+ : Matrix is mainly organic
Matrix Colour:
Primary:

| BE: Beige | GG: Grey-green |
| :--- | :--- |
| BR: Brick Red | PP: Purple |
| GY: Grey | PK: Pink |
| GB: Grey-beige | PB: Pink-beige |
| GN: Green | MN: Maroon |

## Matrix Grain Size Distribution:

S/U: Sorted or unsorted
SD: Sand (F: Fine; M: Medium; C: Coarse)
ST: Silt
CY: Clay
Y: Fraction present
+: Fraction more abundant than normal
-: Fraction less abundant than normal
N: Fraction not present

Secondary (soil):
SD: Sand (F: Fine; M: Medium; C: Coarse)
OC: Ochre
BN: Brown
BK: Black
Secondary Colour Modifier:
-: Fraction less abundant than normal
L: Light
M: Medium
D: Dark

## Detailed Gold Grain Log

VG: Visible gold grains
Thickness:
M: Actual measured thickness of grain ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ )
C: Thickness of grain ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) calculated from measured width and length

## Kimberlite Indicator Mineral (KIM) Log

GP: Purple to red peridotitic garnet (G9/10 Cr-pyrope)
GO: Orange mantle garnet; includes both eclogitic pyrope-almandine (G3) and Cr-poor megacrystic pyrope (G1/G2) varieties; may include unchecked (by SEM) grains of common crustal garnet (G5) lacking diagnostic inclusions or crystal faces
DC: Cr-diopside; distinctly emerald green (paler emerald green low-Cr diopside picked separately)
IM: Mg-ilmenite; may include unchecked (by SEM) grains of common crustal ilmenite lacking diagnostic inclusions or crystal faces
CR: Chromite
FO: Forsterite

## Metamorphosed/Magmatic Massive Sulphide Indicator Mineral (MMSIM)

 and Porphyry Cu Indicator Mineral (PCIM) Logs| Adr: Andradite | Cpx: Clinopyroxene | Gth: Goethite | PGM: Platinum group- | Sil: Sillimanite |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Ap: Apatite | Cpy: Chalcopyrite | Ilm: Ilmenite | bearing mineral | Spi: Spinel |
| Ase: Anatase | Cr: Chromite | Ky: Kyanite | Py: Pyrite | Sps: Spessartine |
| Aspy: Arsenopyrite | Fay: Fayalite | Mrc: Marcasite | REM: Rare earth- | St: Staurolite |
| Ax: Axinite | Gh: Gahnite | Mz: Monazite | bearing mineral | Tm: Tourmaline |
| Ba: Barite | Grs: Grossular | OI: Olivine | Rt: Red rutile | Ttn: Titanite |
|  |  | Opx: Orthopyroxene |  | Zir: Zircon |

## Other

HMC: Heavy mineral concentrate
EPD: Electric-pulse disaggregation
UV: Ultra-violet
PGE: Platinum group element

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 35
ODM Batch Number(s): 8216

| Sample Number | Weight (kg wet) |  |  |  |  | Screening and Shaking Table Sample Descriptions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Clasts (+2.0 mm) |  |  |  |  | Matrix (-2.0 mm) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Class |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Size | Percentage |  |  |  | Distribution |  |  |  |  | Colour |  |  |
|  | Bulk Rec'd | Archived Split | Table Split | $\begin{gathered} +2.0 \mathrm{~mm} \\ \text { Clasts } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -2.0 \mathrm{~mm} \\ \text { Table Feed } \end{gathered}$ |  | V/S | GR | LS | OT | S/U | SD | ST | CY | ORG | SD | CY |  |
| Unit 13 | 8.8 | 0.3 | 8.5 | 1.3 | 7.2 | P | 80 | 20 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | LOC | LOC | TILL |
| Unit 14 | 12.5 | 0.3 | 12.2 | 1.1 | 11.1 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | DOC | DOC | TILL |
| Unit 15 | 7.8 | 0.3 | 7.5 | 0.8 | 6.7 | G | 95 | 5 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 16 | 7.9 | 0.3 | 7.6 | 0.5 | 7.1 | G | 95 | 5 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 17 | 4.7 | 0.3 | 4.4 | 0.5 | 3.9 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 18 | 8.9 | 0.3 | 8.6 | 0.8 | 7.8 | G | 95 | 5 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | DOC | DOC | TILL |
| Unit 19 | 5.2 | 0.3 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 4.6 | G | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 20 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 4.7 | 0.4 | 4.3 | G | 95 | 5 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 21 | 9.8 | 0.3 | 9.5 | 0.6 | 8.9 | G | 100 | TR | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 22 | 9.8 | 0.3 | 9.5 | 0.5 | 9.0 | G | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 23 | 5.3 | 0.3 | 5.0 | 0.4 | 4.6 | P | 95 | 5 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 24 | 8.7 | 0.3 | 8.4 | 0.5 | 7.9 | G | 100 | TR | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 25 | 8.5 | 0.3 | 8.2 | 0.6 | 7.6 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 27 | 9.7 | 0.3 | 9.4 | 0.8 | 8.6 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 28 | 5.9 | 0.3 | 5.6 | 0.5 | 5.1 | G | 100 | TR | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 29 | 5.9 | 0.3 | 5.6 | 1.0 | 4.6 | C | 80 | 20 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 30 | 5.3 | 0.3 | 5.0 | 0.6 | 4.4 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 31 | 8.8 | 0.3 | 8.5 | 0.8 | 7.7 | P | 80 | 20 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 32 | 8.1 | 0.3 | 7.8 | 0.8 | 7.0 | P | 70 | 30 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | DOC | DOC | TILL |
| Unit 33 | 8.1 | 0.3 | 7.8 | 0.9 | 6.9 | P | 70 | 30 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | DOC | DOC | TILL |
| Unit 34 | 7.3 | 0.3 | 7.0 | 0.5 | 6.5 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | LOC | LOC | TILL |
| Unit 35 | 4.6 | 0.3 | 4.3 | 0.3 | 4.0 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 36 | 8.8 | 0.3 | 8.5 | 0.6 | 7.9 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | LOC | LOC | TILL |
| Unit 37 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 4.7 | 0.4 | 4.3 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 38 | 5.3 | 0.3 | 5.0 | 0.5 | 4.5 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 39 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 4.7 | 0.4 | 4.3 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | N | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 40 | 8.0 | 0.3 | 7.7 | 0.9 | 6.8 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 41 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 4.7 | 0.3 | 4.4 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | DOC | DOC | TILL |
| Unit 42 | 4.8 | 0.3 | 4.5 | 0.3 | 4.2 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 43 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 4.7 | 0.3 | 4.4 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 44 | 5.2 | 0.3 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 4.6 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | DOC | DOC | TILL |
| Unit 45 | 5.1 | 0.3 | 4.8 | 0.4 | 4.4 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | DOC | DOC | TILL |
| Unit 46 | 4.8 | 0.3 | 4.5 | 0.4 | 4.1 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | DOC | DOC | TILL |
| Unit 47 | 5.3 | 0.3 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 4.7 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | DOC | DOC | TILL |
| Unit 48 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 4.6 | 0.3 | 4.3 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 49 | 4.6 | 0.3 | 4.3 | 0.4 | 3.9 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | DOC | DOC | TILL |
| Unit 50 | 8.2 | 0.3 | 7.9 | 0.8 | 7.1 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | N | DOC | DOC | TILL |
| Unit 51 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 4.6 | 0.4 | 4.2 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 52 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 4.7 | 0.5 | 4.2 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 53 | 5.1 | 0.3 | 4.8 | 0.5 | 4.3 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 54 | 5.9 | 0.3 | 5.6 | 0.4 | 5.2 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | LOC | LOC | TILL |
| Unit 55 | 5.6 | 0.3 | 5.3 | 0.8 | 4.5 | G | 85 | 15 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | LOC | LOC | TILL |
| Unit 56 | 4.5 | 0.3 | 4.2 | 0.7 | 3.5 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 57 | 4.3 | 0.3 | 4.0 | 0.7 | 3.3 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 58 | 5.4 | 0.3 | 5.1 | 0.8 | 4.3 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 59 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 4.6 | 0.7 | 3.9 | G | 85 | 15 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 60 | 7.4 | 0.3 | 7.1 | 1.4 | 5.7 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 61 | 2.3 | 0.3 | 2.0 | 0.6 | 1.4 | G | 95 | 5 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | DOC | TILL |
| Unit 62 | 4.0 | 0.3 | 3.7 | 0.3 | 3.4 | G | 95 | 5 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 63 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 4.7 | 0.5 | 4.2 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 64 | 2.8 | 0.3 | 2.5 | 0.2 | 2.3 | G | 95 | 5 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | + | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 65 | 5.2 | 0.3 | 4.9 | 0.6 | 4.3 | G | 85 | 15 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 66 | 4.1 | 0.3 | 3.8 | 0.3 | 3.5 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 67 | 5.2 | 0.3 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 4.6 | G | 95 | 5 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 68 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 4.6 | 0.2 | 4.4 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 69 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 4.7 | 0.2 | 4.5 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 70 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 4.6 | 0.3 | 4.3 | G | 95 | 5 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 71 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 4.6 | 0.4 | 4.2 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | + | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 72 | 8.2 | 0.3 | 7.9 | 0.6 | 7.3 | G | 95 | 5 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | + | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 73 | 5.2 | 0.3 | 4.9 | 0.2 | 4.7 | G | 95 | 5 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | + | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 74 | 4.6 | 0.3 | 4.3 | 0.3 | 4.0 | G | 100 | TR | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 75 | 5.2 | 0.3 | 4.9 | 0.2 | 4.7 | G | 100 | TR | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 76 | 7.2 | 0.3 | 6.9 | 0.4 | 6.5 | G | 30 | 70 | 0 | TR | U | Y | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 77 | 4.8 | 0.3 | 4.5 | 0.3 | 4.2 | G | TR | 100 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 78 | 4.6 | 0.3 | 4.3 | 0.4 | 3.9 | G | TR | 100 | 0 | TR | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 79 | 5.1 | 0.3 | 4.8 | 0.5 | 4.3 | G | TR | 100 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |

Primary Sample Processing Weights and Descriptions

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 35
ODM Batch Number(s): 8216

| Sample Number | Weight (kg wet) |  |  |  |  | Screening and Shaking Table Sample Descriptions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Clasts (+2.0 mm) |  |  |  |  | Matrix (-2.0 mm) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Class |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Size | Percentage |  |  |  | Distribution |  |  |  |  | Colour |  |  |
|  | Bulk Rec'd $\begin{gathered}\text { Archived } \\ \text { Split }\end{gathered}$ |  | Table Split | $\begin{gathered} +2.0 \mathrm{~mm} \\ \text { Clasts } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline-2.0 \mathrm{~mm} \\ \text { Table Feed } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | V/S | GR | LS | OT | S/U | SD | ST | CY | ORG | SD | CY |  |
| Unit 80 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 4.6 | 0.4 | 4.2 | G | 70 | 30 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 81 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 4.6 | 0.3 | 4.3 | G | 80 | 20 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 82 | 4.4 | 0.3 | 4.1 | 0.3 | 3.8 | G | 80 | 20 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 83 | 5.2 | 0.3 | 4.9 | 0.4 | 4.5 | G | 80 | 20 | 0 | TR | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 84 | 8.3 | 0.3 | 8.0 | 0.5 | 7.5 | G | 40 | 60 | 0 | TR | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 85 | 2.5 | 0.3 | 2.2 | 0.2 | 2.0 | G | 30 | 70 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 86 | 7.9 | 0.3 | 7.6 | 0.6 | 7.0 | G | 70 | 30 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 87 | 5.6 | 0.3 | 5.3 | 0.2 | 5.1 | G | 100 | TR | 0 | TR | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 88 | 5.9 | 0.3 | 5.6 | 0.4 | 5.2 | G | 30 | 70 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 89 | 4.7 | 0.3 | 4.4 | 0.3 | 4.1 | G | 70 | 30 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 90 | 5.6 | 0.3 | 5.3 | 0.4 | 4.9 | G | 80 | 20 | 0 | TR | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 91 | 10.6 | 0.3 | 10.3 | 0.4 | 9.9 | G | 80 | 20 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 92 | 6.5 | 0.3 | 6.2 | 1.1 | 5.1 | P | 80 | 20 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 93 | 6.2 | 0.3 | 5.9 | 1.3 | 4.6 | P | 80 | 20 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 94 | 5.7 | 0.3 | 5.4 | 1.0 | 4.4 | P | 90 | 10 | TR | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 95 | 6.0 | 0.3 | 5.7 | 0.2 | 5.5 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | + | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 96 | 8.6 | 0.3 | 8.3 | 0.1 | 8.2 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 97 | 12.7 | 0.3 | 12.4 | 0.4 | 12.0 | P | 80 | 20 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | LOC | LOC | TILL |
| Unit 98 | 4.8 | 0.3 | 4.5 | 0.2 | 4.3 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 99 | 5.3 | 0.3 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 4.7 | G | 80 | 20 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 100 | 8.4 | 0.3 | 8.1 | 0.6 | 7.5 | P | 80 | 20 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | + | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 101 | 8.1 | 0.3 | 7.8 | 0.5 | 7.3 | G | 80 | 20 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | $+$ | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 102 | 7.9 | 0.3 | 7.6 | 0.6 | 7.0 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | + | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 103 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 4.6 | 0.2 | 4.4 | P | 70 | 30 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | $+$ | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 104 | 7.5 | 0.3 | 7.2 | 0.4 | 6.8 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | $+$ | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 105 | 7.6 | 0.3 | 7.3 | 0.7 | 6.6 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | + | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 106 | 7.6 | 0.3 | 7.3 | 1.0 | 6.3 | G | 80 | 20 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 107 | 7.3 | 0.3 | 7.0 | 1.1 | 5.9 | P | 70 | 30 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 108 | 13.7 | 0.3 | 13.4 | 0.6 | 12.8 | G | 80 | 20 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | + | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |

## Gold Grain Summary

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 35
ODM Batch Number(s): 8216

| Sample Number | Number of Visible Gold Grains |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Nonmag } \\ & \text { HMC } \\ & \text { Weight }^{*} \end{aligned}$ | Calculated PPB Visible Gold in HMC |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Reshaped | Modified | Pristine |  | Total | Reshaped | Modified | Pristine |
| Unit 13 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 28.8 | 9 | 7 | 3 | 0 |
| Unit 14 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 44.4 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 16 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 28.4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Unit 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 19 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 18.4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Unit 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 25 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 30.4 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 27 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 34.4 | 72 | 72 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 28 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 20.4 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 29 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 18.4 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 31 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 30.8 | 125 | 125 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 32 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 28.0 | 50 | 50 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 33 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 27.6 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 34 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 26.0 | 129 | 129 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 36 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 31.6 | 597 | 597 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 37 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 17.2 | 36 | 36 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 39 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 17.2 | 87 | 87 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 40 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 27.2 | 24 | 24 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 41 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 17.6 | 32 | 32 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 42 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 16.8 | 192 | 67 | 125 | 0 |
| Unit 43 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 17.6 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 44 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 18.4 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 45 | 9 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 17.6 | 179 | 172 | 4 | 3 |
| Unit 46 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 16.4 | 24 | 24 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 47 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 18.8 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 48 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 17.2 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 49 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 15.6 | 90 | 90 | 0 | <1 |
| Unit 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 53 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 54 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 20.8 | 70 | 70 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 55 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 18.0 | 21 | 21 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 56 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 14.0 | <1 | 0 | 0 | <1 |
| Unit 57 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 13.2 | 2443 | 2443 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 58 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 59 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 15.6 | 23 | 23 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 60 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 22.8 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 |

* Calculated PPB Au based on assumed nonmagnetic HMC weight equivalent to $0.4 \%$ of the table feed.


## Gold Grain Summary

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 35
ODM Batch Number(s): 8216

| Sample Number | Number of Visible Gold Grains |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Nonmag } \\ \text { HMC } \\ \text { Weight }{ }^{*} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Calculated PPB Visible Gold in HMC |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Reshaped | Modified | Pristine |  | Total | Reshaped | Modified | Pristine |
| Unit 61 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 62 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 13.6 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 63 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 16.8 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 64 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 65 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 17.2 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 66 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 14.0 | 21 | 21 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 67 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 18.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 68 | 4 | 4 |  | 0 | 17.6 | 14 | 14 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 69 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 18.0 | 219 | 219 | <1 | 0 |
| Unit 70 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 17.2 | 14 | 14 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 71 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 72 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 29.2 | 28 | 28 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 73 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 18.8 | 41 | 41 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 74 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 76 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 26.0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 77 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 16.8 | 21 | 21 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 78 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 15.6 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 79 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 17.2 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 80 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 16.8 | 38 | 38 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 81 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 17.2 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 82 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 83 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 18.0 | 15 | 15 | 0 | <1 |
| Unit 84 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 30.0 | 14 | 13 | 0 | 1 |
| Unit 85 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8.0 | 3 |  | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 86 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 28.0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Unit 87 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 88 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 89 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 16.4 | <1 | 0 | 0 | <1 |
| Unit 90 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 19.6 | 34 | 34 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 91 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 39.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 92 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 93 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 18.4 | 48 | 48 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 94 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 17.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 95 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 96 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 32.8 | 17 | 11 | 0 | 6 |
| Unit 97 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 48.0 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 1 |
| Unit 98 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 99 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 18.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 100 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 30.0 | 14 |  | 12 | 1 |
| Unit 101 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29.2 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Unit 102 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 103 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 104 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 27.2 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 105 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 106 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 25.2 | 141 | 141 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 107 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

[^14]
## Gold Grain Summary

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 35
ODM Batch Number(s): 8216

| Sample Number | Number of Visible Gold Grains |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Nonmag } \\ \text { HMC } \\ \text { Weight }^{*} \end{gathered}$ | Calculated PPB Visible Gold in HMC |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Reshaped | Modified | Pristine |  | Total | Reshaped | Modified | Pristine |
| Unit 108 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 51.2 | 36 | 36 | 0 | 0 |

## Detailed Gold Grain Data

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213-RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 35
ODM Batch Number(s): 8216

| Sample Number | Dimensions ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) |  |  | Number of Visible Gold Grains |  |  |  | Nonmag HMC Weight* (g) | Calculated V.G. Assay in HMC (ppb) | Metallic Minerals in Pan Concentrate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Thickness | Width | Length | Reshaped | Modified | Pristine | Total |  |  |  |



## Detailed Gold Grain Data

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 35
ODM Batch Number(s): 8216

| Sample Number | Dimensions ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) |  |  | Number of Visible Gold Grains |  |  |  | Nonmag HMC Weight* (g) | Calculated <br> V.G. Assay in HMC (ppb) | Metallic Minerals in Pan Concentrate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Thickness | Width | Length | Reshaped | Modified | Pristine | Total |  |  |  |


| Unit 31 | 20 | C | 75 | 125 | 1 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 25 | C | 75 | 175 | 1 |
| Unit 32 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20 | C | 75 | 125 | 1 |
| Unit 33 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5 | C | 25 | 25 | 1 |
|  | 10 | C | 50 | 50 | 1 |
| Unit 34 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 15 | C | 50 | 100 | 1 |
|  | 25 | C | 100 | 150 | 1 |


| 1 |  | 46 | No sulphides. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | 79 |  |
| 2 | 30.8 | 125 |  |
| 1 |  | 50 | No sulphides. |
| 1 | 28.0 | 50 |  |
| 1 |  | 1 | No sulphides. |
| 1 |  | 7 |  |
| 2 | 27.6 | 8 |  |
| 1 |  | 22 | No sulphides. |
| 1 |  | 107 |  |
| 2 | 26.0 | 129 |  |

Unit 35
No Visible Gold

| Unit 36 | 5 | $C$ | 25 | 25 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15 | C | 50 | 100 | 1 |
|  | 44 | $C$ | 200 | 275 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 37 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 13 | $C$ | 25 | 25 | 1 |
|  | 13 | $C$ | 25 | 100 | 1 |
|  |  | $C$ | 50 | 75 | 1 |


| Unit 38 | No Visible Gold |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit 39 | 20 | C | 100 | 100 | 1 |
| Unit 40 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8 | C | 25 | 50 | 1 |
|  | 15 | C | 50 | 100 | 1 |
| Unit 41 | 15 | C | 50 | 100 | 1 |


| Unit 42 | 3 | C | 15 | 15 | 2 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 | C | 25 | 25 | 2 |
|  | 8 | $C$ | 25 | 50 | 1 |
|  | 18 | $C$ | 75 | 100 | 1 |
|  | 22 | C | 100 | 125 |  |
| Unit 43 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8 | $C$ | 25 | 50 | 1 |
| Unit 44 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | $C$ | 15 | 15 | 1 |


|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No sulphides. |
|  |  |  |
| 2 | 2 | Tr (1 grain) arsenopyrite $(75 \mu \mathrm{~m})$. |
| 1 | 18 |  |
| 1 | 577 |  |


| 1 | 1 | No sulphides. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | 14 |
| 1 | 21 |  |
| 3 | 17.2 | 36 |

No sulphides.


| 1 | 32 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | | No sulphides. |
| :--- | :--- |


| 2 | 1 | No sulphides. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 3 |  |
| 1 | 4 |  |
| 1 | 59 |  |
| 1 | 125 |  |
| 7 | 16.8 | 192 |


| 1 | 4 | No sulphides. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 17.6 | 4 |  |
| 1 |  | No sulphides. |  |

[^15]
## Detailed Gold Grain Data

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 35
ODM Batch Number(s): 8216

| Sample Number | Dimensions ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) |  |  | Number of Visible Gold Grains |  |  |  | Nonmag HMC Weight* (g) | Calculated <br> V.G. Assay in HMC (ppb) | Metallic Minerals in Pan Concentrate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Thickness | Width | Length | Reshaped | Modified | Pristine | Total |  |  |  |
|  | 13 C | 50 | 75 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 19 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 18.4 | 20 |  |

Unit 45

| 3 | C | 15 | 15 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | C | 25 | 25 | 1 |
| 8 | C | 25 | 50 | 1 |
| 10 | C | 25 | 75 | 1 |
| 13 | C | 50 | 75 | 1 |
| 25 | C | 75 | 175 | 1 |


| 1 | $<1$ | No sulphides. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 4 |  |
| 2 | 8 |  |
| 1 | 8 |  |
| 1 | 20 |  |
| 1 | 138 |  |
| 9 | 17.6 | 179 |

## Detailed Gold Grain Data

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 35
ODM Batch Number(s): 8216

| Sample Number | Dimensions ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) |  |  | Number of Visible Gold Grains |  |  |  | Nonmag HMC Weight* (g) | Calculated <br> V.G. Assay <br> in HMC <br> (ppb) | Metallic Minerals in Pan Concentrate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Thickness | Width | Length | Reshaped | Modified | Pristine | Total |  |  |  |


| Unit 46 | 3 | C | 15 | 15 | 1 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 | C | 25 | 25 | 1 |
|  | 13 | C | 50 | 75 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 47 | 5 | C | 25 | 25 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 48 | 10 | $C$ | 25 | 75 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 49 | 3 | $C$ | 15 | 15 |  |
|  | 20 | $C$ | 75 | 125 | 1 |


| 1 |  | $<1$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 |  | No sulphides. |

Unit 50
No Visible Gold

Unit 51

Unit 52

Unit 53

| Unit 54 | 3 | C | 15 | 15 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 | C | 25 | 25 | 1 |
| 18 | C | 25 | 150 | 1 |  |
|  | 13 | $C$ | 50 | 75 | 1 |
|  | 15 | C | 50 | 100 | 1 |


| 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |
| 1 |  |
| 1 |  |
| 1 |  |
| 6 | 20.8 |

No sulphides.

Unit 55

Unit 56

Unit 57

Unit 58
No Visible Gold

| 2 |  | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | No sulphides. |
| 2 |  |  |
| 5 | 18 |  |
|  | 18.0 | 21 |

$1 \begin{array}{lll}1 & <1\end{array} \quad$ No sulphides.

$\begin{array}{lll}1 & & 2443\end{array}$| No sulphides. |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | 13.2 |

No sulphides.

Unit 59

Unit 60

| 13 | C | 50 | 75 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | C | 15 | 15 | 1 |
| 5 | C | 25 | 25 | 1 |


| 1 |  | 23 | No sulphides. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 15.6 | 23 |  |
| 1 |  | $<1$ | No sulphides. |
| 1 |  | 1 |  |

[^16]
## Detailed Gold Grain Data

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 35
ODM Batch Number(s): 8216

| Sample Number | Dimensions ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) |  |  | Number of Visible Gold Grains |  |  |  | Nonmag HMC Weight* (g) | Calculated <br> V.G. Assay <br> in HMC <br> (ppb) | Metallic Minerals in Pan Concentrate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Thickness | Width | Length | Reshaped | Modified | Pristine | Total |  |  |  |
|  | 8 C | 25 | 50 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 3 |  |

Unit 61

Unit 62

Unit 63

Unit 64

Unit 65

Unit 66

Unit 67

Unit 68

No Visible Gold

## 8 C <br> C 25

8 C
25
50

No Visible Gold
$\begin{array}{lllll}5 & \text { C } & 25 & 25 & 1\end{array}$

| 5 | C | 25 | 25 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | C | 25 | 50 | 1 |
| 10 | C | 50 | 50 | 1 |

No Visible Gold

| 3 | C | 15 | 15 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | C | 25 | 25 | 2 |
| 10 | C | 50 | 50 | 1 |

No sulphides.

| 1 |  | 5 | No sulphides. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 13.6 | 5 |  |


| 2 |  | 9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | | No sulphides. |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 16.8 | No sulphides.


| 1 |  | 1 | No sulphides. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 17.2 | 1 |  |
|  |  | 2 | No sulphides. |
| 1 |  | 5 |  |
| 1 |  | 14 |  |
| 1 |  | 21 |  |

No sulphides.

| 1 |  | $<1$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 |  | No sulphides. |
| 1 |  | 3 |
|  | 11 |  |
| 4 | 17.6 | 14 |

## Detailed Gold Grain Data

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 35
ODM Batch Number(s): 8216

| Sample Number | Dimensions ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) |  |  | Number of Visible Gold Grains |  |  |  | Nonmag HMC Weight* (g) | Calculated V.G. Assay in HMC (ppb) | Metallic Minerals in Pan Concentrate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Thickness | Width | Length | Reshaped | Modified | Pristine | Total |  |  |  |


| Unit 69 | 3 | C | 15 | 15 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 | $C$ | 25 | 25 | 2 |
|  | 13 | C | 50 | 75 | 1 |
|  | 15 | C | 75 | 75 | 1 |
|  | 25 | C | 125 | 125 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unit 70 | 5 | C | 25 | 25 |
|  | 10 | C | 50 | 50 | 1 |


| 2 |  | 1 | No sulphides. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 |  |  |  |
| 1 |  | 20 |  |
| 1 |  | 36 |  |
| 1 | 161 |  |  |
| 7 | 18.0 | 220 |  |
|  |  | 3 |  |
| 2 |  | No sulphides. |  |
| 1 |  | 11 |  |
| 3 | 17.2 | 14 |  |

Unit 71

Unit 72

Unit 73

Unit 74

Unit 75

| Unit 76 | 8 | C | 25 | 50 | 1 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit 77 | 13 | C | 50 | 75 | 1 |
| Unit 78 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 10 | C | 25 | 75 | 1 |
| Unit 79 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 10 | $C$ | 15 | 15 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  | 50 | 1 |
| Unit 80 | 15 | $C$ | 75 | 75 | 1 |
| Unit 81 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 10 | $C$ | 50 | 50 | 1 |

No Visible Gold

3 C 15

15

No sulphides.
$1 \quad 1 \quad$ No sulphides.

## Detailed Gold Grain Data

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 35
ODM Batch Number(s): 8216

| Sample Number | Dimensions ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) |  |  | Number of Visible Gold Grains |  |  |  | Nonmag HMC Weight* (g) | Calculated <br> V.G. Assay in HMC (ppb) | Metallic Minerals in Pan Concentrate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Thickness | Width | Length | Reshaped | Modified | Pristine | Total |  |  |  |
| Unit 84 | 8 C | 25 | 50 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 4 | No sulphides. |
|  | 10 C | 50 | 50 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 11 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 18.0 | 15 |  |
|  | 3 C | 15 | 15 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | $<1$ |  |
|  | 5 C | 25 | 25 | 1 |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |  |
|  | 13 C | 50 | 75 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 12 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 30.0 | 14 |  |
| Unit 85 | 5 C | 25 | 25 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 3 | No sulphides. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 8.0 | 3 |  |
| Unit 86 | 5 C | 25 | 25 | 1 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 2 | No sulphides. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 28.0 | 2 |  |
| Unit 87 | No Visible Gold |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | No sulphides. |



Unit 94

Unit 95

Unit 96

Unit 97

Unit 98

No Visible Gold

No Visible Gold

| 10 | $C$ | 50 | 50 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 13 | $C$ | 50 | 75 | 1 |


| 5 | $C$ | 25 | 25 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13 | $C$ | 50 | 75 | 2 |

No sulphides.

No sulphides.


No sulphides.

## Detailed Gold Grain Data

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 35
ODM Batch Number(s): 8216

| Sample Number | Dimensions ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) |  |  | Number of Visible Gold Grains |  |  |  | Nonmag HMC Weight* (g) | Calculated V.G. Assay in HMC (ppb) | Metallic Minerals in Pan Concentrate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Thickness | Width | Length | Reshaped | Modified | Pristine | Total |  |  |  |



## Detailed Gold Grain Data

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 35
ODM Batch Number(s): 8216

| Sample Number | Dimensions ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) |  |  | Number of Visible Gold Grains |  |  |  | Nonmag HMC Weight* (g) | Calculated <br> V.G. Assay <br> in HMC <br> (ppb) | Metallic Minerals in Pan Concentrate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Thickness | Width | Length | Reshaped | Modified | Pristine | Total |  |  |  |

Unit 108

| 5 | $C$ | 25 | 25 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | $C$ | 25 | 75 | 1 |
| 10 | $C$ | 50 | 50 | 3 |
| 13 | $C$ | 50 | 75 | 3 |


| 2 | 1 | No sulphides. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3 |  |
| 3 |  | 11 |
| 3 | 21 |  |
| 9 | 51.2 | 36 |

## Heavy Mineral Concentrate Processing Weights

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 35
ODM Batch Number(s): 8216

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{5}{*}{Sample Number} \& \multicolumn{11}{|c|}{Weight of -2.0 mm Table Concentrate (g)} <br>
\hline \& \multirow[b]{4}{*}{Total} \& \multirow[b]{4}{*}{-0.25 mm} \& \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{0.25 to 2.0 mm Heavy Liquid Separation at S.G. 3.20} <br>
\hline \& \& \& \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Total} \& \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Lights S.G. $<3.2$} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Total} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{$$
\begin{array}{|c|} 
\\
\\
-0.25 \mathrm{~mm} \\
\text { (wash) } \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Mag} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{S.G.>3.

Total} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Nonferromagnetic HMC}} <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>

\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& $$
\begin{gathered}
0.25 \text { to } 0.5 \\
\mathrm{~mm}
\end{gathered}
$$ \& \[

{\underset{m}{0.5} to 1.0}_{\mathrm{mm}}

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
1.0 \text { to } 2.0 \\
\mathrm{~mm}
\end{gathered}
$$
\] <br>

\hline Unit 13 \& 1298.6 \& 773.4 \& 525.2 \& 510.8 \& 14.4 \& 3.1 \& 2.0 \& 9.3 \& 5.8 \& 2.6 \& 0.9 <br>
\hline Unit 14 \& 1433.1 \& 924.7 \& 508.4 \& 498.4 \& 10.0 \& 2.3 \& 1.0 \& 6.7 \& 4.0 \& 1.9 \& 0.8 <br>
\hline Unit 15 \& 1274.7 \& 670.7 \& 604.0 \& 599.1 \& 4.9 \& 1.1 \& 0.5 \& 3.3 \& 1.8 \& 1.1 \& 0.4 <br>
\hline Unit 16 \& 1488.7 \& 977.5 \& 511.2 \& 507.2 \& 4.0 \& 1.3 \& 0.6 \& 2.1 \& 1.3 \& 0.6 \& 0.2 <br>
\hline Unit 17 \& 806.5 \& 518.3 \& 288.2 \& 262.3 \& 25.9 \& 10.9 \& 8.0 \& 7.0 \& 6.4 \& 0.6 \& 0.03 <br>
\hline Unit 18 \& 1525.8 \& 998.6 \& 527.2 \& 522.3 \& 4.9 \& 1.5 \& 0.4 \& 3.0 \& 1.9 \& 0.8 \& 0.3 <br>
\hline Unit 19 \& 1051.7 \& 515.9 \& 535.8 \& 535.1 \& 0.7 \& 0.3 \& 0.1 \& 0.3 \& 0.2 \& 0.1 \& 0.03 <br>
\hline Unit 20 \& 886.4 \& 493.5 \& 392.9 \& 390.9 \& 2.0 \& 0.7 \& 0.3 \& 1.0 \& 0.6 \& 0.3 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 21 \& 1339.8 \& 637.8 \& 702.0 \& 696.2 \& 5.8 \& 1.2 \& 0.5 \& 4.1 \& 2.4 \& 1.1 \& 0.6 <br>
\hline Unit 22 \& 1001.6 \& 714.7 \& 286.9 \& 280.2 \& 6.7 \& 1.6 \& 0.5 \& 4.6 \& 3.0 \& 1.3 \& 0.3 <br>
\hline Unit 23 \& 769.6 \& 535.8 \& 233.8 \& 230.7 \& 3.1 \& 0.9 \& 0.3 \& 1.9 \& 1.4 \& 0.4 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 24 \& 1271.7 \& 856.0 \& 415.7 \& 412.4 \& 3.3 \& 0.9 \& 0.3 \& 2.1 \& 1.4 \& 0.6 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 25 \& 980.9 \& 616.3 \& 364.6 \& 356.7 \& 7.9 \& 1.9 \& 1.2 \& 4.8 \& 3.0 \& 1.4 \& 0.4 <br>
\hline Unit 27 \& 1211.0 \& 799.6 \& 411.4 \& 406.0 \& 5.4 \& 1.3 \& 0.6 \& 3.5 \& 2.3 \& 1.0 \& 0.2 <br>
\hline Unit 28 \& 1219.9 \& 588.9 \& 631.0 \& 629.3 \& 1.7 \& 0.5 \& 0.2 \& 1.0 \& 0.7 \& 0.2 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 29 \& 817.5 \& 635.4 \& 182.1 \& 176.1 \& 6.0 \& 1.3 \& 0.5 \& 4.2 \& 2.9 \& 1.1 \& 0.2 <br>
\hline Unit 30 \& 879.0 \& 581.0 \& 298.0 \& 292.9 \& 5.1 \& 1.1 \& 0.6 \& 3.4 \& 2.2 \& 0.9 \& 0.3 <br>
\hline Unit 31 \& 1110.8 \& 778.9 \& 331.9 \& 325.1 \& 6.8 \& 1.1 \& 0.5 \& 5.2 \& 3.6 \& 1.3 \& 0.3 <br>
\hline Unit 32 \& 1404.1 \& 968.8 \& 435.3 \& 425.5 \& 9.8 \& 2.3 \& 0.3 \& 7.2 \& 6.4 \& 0.7 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 33 \& 1095.8 \& 806.8 \& 289.0 \& 287.1 \& 1.9 \& 0.6 \& 0.2 \& 1.1 \& 0.9 \& 0.2 \& 0.01 <br>
\hline Unit 34 \& 728.8 \& 627.0 \& 101.8 \& 93.1 \& 8.7 \& 0.9 \& 0.8 \& 7.0 \& 5.3 \& 1.4 \& 0.3 <br>
\hline Unit 35 \& 832.8 \& 596.6 \& 236.2 \& 232.2 \& 4.0 \& 0.6 \& 0.4 \& 3.0 \& 2.0 \& 0.8 \& 0.2 <br>
\hline Unit 36 \& 960.4 \& 699.8 \& 260.6 \& 250.1 \& 10.5 \& 1.5 \& 1.8 \& 7.2 \& 5.0 \& 1.7 \& 0.5 <br>
\hline Unit 37 \& 605.7 \& 405.6 \& 200.1 \& 194.6 \& 5.5 \& 0.8 \& 0.6 \& 4.1 \& 2.6 \& 1.0 \& 0.5 <br>
\hline Unit 38 \& 966.6 \& 654.5 \& 312.1 \& 309.7 \& 2.4 \& 0.7 \& 0.4 \& 1.3 \& 1.1 \& 0.2 \& 0.02 <br>
\hline Unit 39 \& 716.0 \& 489.1 \& 226.9 \& 225.0 \& 1.9 \& 0.5 \& 0.2 \& 1.2 \& 0.8 \& 0.3 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 40 \& 1044.0 \& 663.9 \& 380.1 \& 373.6 \& 6.5 \& 1.5 \& 1.3 \& 3.7 \& 2.4 \& 0.9 \& 0.4 <br>
\hline Unit 41 \& 832.5 \& 507.1 \& 325.4 \& 322.9 \& 2.5 \& 0.4 \& 0.3 \& 1.8 \& 1.2 \& 0.4 \& 0.2 <br>
\hline Unit 42 \& 1004.5 \& 641.3 \& 363.2 \& 359.5 \& 3.7 \& 0.4 \& 0.5 \& 2.8 \& 1.7 \& 0.8 \& 0.3 <br>
\hline Unit 43 \& 922.3 \& 555.1 \& 367.2 \& 364.0 \& 3.2 \& 0.4 \& 0.5 \& 2.3 \& 1.8 \& 0.4 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 44 \& 952.2 \& 657.2 \& 295.0 \& 290.5 \& 4.5 \& 0.6 \& 0.5 \& 3.4 \& 2.5 \& 0.8 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 45 \& 887.5 \& 627.9 \& 259.6 \& 256.8 \& 2.8 \& 0.4 \& 0.1 \& 2.3 \& 1.5 \& 0.6 \& 0.2 <br>
\hline Unit 46 \& 846.8 \& 619.1 \& 227.7 \& 225.7 \& 2.0 \& 0.2 \& 0.3 \& 1.5 \& 1.0 \& 0.4 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 47 \& 859.5 \& 594.2 \& 265.3 \& 262.2 \& 3.1 \& 0.4 \& 0.4 \& 2.3 \& 1.3 \& 0.7 \& 0.3 <br>
\hline Unit 48 \& 734.9 \& 508.9 \& 226.0 \& 223.5 \& 2.5 \& 0.2 \& 0.3 \& 2.0 \& 1.4 \& 0.5 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 49 \& 941.8 \& 653.0 \& 288.8 \& 284.7 \& 4.1 \& 0.5 \& 0.6 \& 3.0 \& 2.0 \& 0.7 \& 0.3 <br>
\hline Unit 50 \& 912.5 \& 619.4 \& 293.1 \& 288.5 \& 4.6 \& 0.4 \& 0.9 \& 3.3 \& 2.5 \& 0.7 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 51 \& 989.2 \& 670.1 \& 319.1 \& 317.2 \& 1.9 \& 0.3 \& 0.2 \& 1.4 \& 1.0 \& 0.3 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 52 \& 1046.1 \& 761.7 \& 284.4 \& 281.7 \& 2.7 \& 0.1 \& 0.6 \& 2.0 \& 1.3 \& 0.5 \& 0.2 <br>
\hline Unit 53 \& 767.2 \& 518.8 \& 248.4 \& 245.6 \& 2.8 \& 1.2 \& 0.4 \& 1.2 \& 0.8 \& 0.3 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 54 \& 833.6 \& 539.7 \& 293.9 \& 285.6 \& 8.3 \& 1.0 \& 1.1 \& 6.2 \& 3.9 \& 1.6 \& 0.7 <br>
\hline Unit 55 \& 622.1 \& 443.2 \& 178.9 \& 174.2 \& 4.7 \& 1.0 \& 0.6 \& 3.1 \& 1.9 \& 0.9 \& 0.3 <br>
\hline Unit 56 \& 387.4 \& 271.4 \& 116.0 \& 113.1 \& 2.9 \& 0.6 \& 0.3 \& 2.0 \& 1.3 \& 0.5 \& 0.2 <br>
\hline Unit 57 \& 542.0 \& 369.5 \& 172.5 \& 169.7 \& 2.8 \& 0.8 \& 0.2 \& 1.8 \& 1.1 \& 0.4 \& 0.3 <br>
\hline Unit 58 \& 688.6 \& 470.3 \& 218.3 \& 217.0 \& 1.3 \& 0.2 \& 0.1 \& 1.0 \& 0.6 \& 0.3 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 59 \& 492.4 \& 384.4 \& 108.0 \& 104.5 \& 3.5 \& 0.5 \& 0.2 \& 2.8 \& 2.0 \& 0.6 \& 0.2 <br>
\hline Unit 60 \& 776.0 \& 528.2 \& 247.8 \& 244.4 \& 3.4 \& 0.6 \& 0.4 \& 2.4 \& 1.5 \& 0.7 \& 0.2 <br>
\hline Unit 61 \& 340.4 \& 189.5 \& 150.9 \& 150.0 \& 0.9 \& 0.2 \& 0.4 \& 0.3 \& 0.2 \& 0.1 \& 0.04 <br>
\hline Unit 62 \& 446.7 \& 340.4 \& 106.3 \& 104.4 \& 1.9 \& 0.6 \& 0.1 \& 1.2 \& 0.9 \& 0.3 \& 0.03 <br>
\hline Unit 63 \& 772.7 \& 535.1 \& 237.6 \& 234.9 \& 2.7 \& 0.5 \& 0.1 \& 2.1 \& 1.4 \& 0.6 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 64 \& 572.5 \& 367.0 \& 205.5 \& 203.0 \& 2.5 \& 0.4 \& 0.2 \& 1.9 \& 1.1 \& 0.6 \& 0.2 <br>
\hline Unit 65 \& 797.4 \& 527.8 \& 269.6 \& 263.7 \& 5.9 \& 0.9 \& 0.4 \& 4.6 \& 2.8 \& 1.3 \& 0.5 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

## Heavy Mineral Concentrate Processing Weights

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 35
ODM Batch Number(s): 8216

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{5}{*}{Sample Number} \& \multicolumn{11}{|c|}{Weight of -2.0 mm Table Concentrate (g)} \\
\hline \& \multirow[b]{4}{*}{Total} \& \multirow[b]{4}{*}{-0.25 mm} \& \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{0.25 to 2.0 mm Heavy Liquid Separation at S.G. 3.20} \\
\hline \& \& \& \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Total} \& \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{|c|}
\hline \text { Lights } \\
\text { S.G. }<3.2 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Total} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{|c|}
\hline-0.25 mm \\
(wash)
\end{tabular}} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Mag} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{S.G.>3.

Total} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Nonferromagnetic HMC}} <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>

\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& $$
\begin{gathered}
0.25 \text { to } 0.5 \\
\mathrm{~mm} \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
$$ \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
0.5 \text { to } 1.0 \\
\mathrm{~mm}
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
1.0 \text { to } 2.0 \\
\mathrm{~mm}
\end{gathered}
$$
\] <br>

\hline Unit 66 \& 451.0 \& 306.8 \& 144.2 \& 141.4 \& 2.8 \& 0.4 \& 0.1 \& 2.3 \& 1.2 \& 0.8 \& 0.3 <br>
\hline Unit 67 \& 485.3 \& 291.2 \& 194.1 \& 191.2 \& 2.9 \& 0.5 \& 0.5 \& 1.9 \& 1.1 \& 0.6 \& 0.2 <br>
\hline Unit 68 \& 683.6 \& 482.1 \& 201.5 \& 197.9 \& 3.6 \& 0.4 \& 0.5 \& 2.7 \& 1.5 \& 0.8 \& 0.4 <br>
\hline Unit 69 \& 806.8 \& 541.1 \& 265.7 \& 261.4 \& 4.3 \& 0.5 \& 0.4 \& 3.4 \& 2.1 \& 0.9 \& 0.4 <br>
\hline Unit 70 \& 613.1 \& 378.3 \& 234.8 \& 232.8 \& 2.0 \& 0.5 \& 0.3 \& 1.2 \& 0.9 \& 0.2 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 71 \& 504.0 \& 366.7 \& 137.3 \& 134.5 \& 2.8 \& 0.7 \& 0.5 \& 1.6 \& 1.1 \& 0.4 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 72 \& 570.6 \& 337.5 \& 233.1 \& 225.2 \& 7.9 \& 1.0 \& 0.6 \& 6.3 \& 3.5 \& 2.5 \& 0.3 <br>
\hline Unit 73 \& 238.8 \& 175.1 \& 63.7 \& 61.5 \& 2.2 \& 0.4 \& 0.2 \& 1.6 \& 1.2 \& 0.3 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 74 \& 906.4 \& 531.1 \& 375.3 \& 373.5 \& 1.8 \& 0.6 \& 0.1 \& 1.1 \& 0.7 \& 0.3 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 75 \& 840.2 \& 607.0 \& 233.2 \& 231.8 \& 1.4 \& 0.3 \& 0.1 \& 1.0 \& 0.7 \& 0.2 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 76 \& 1042.0 \& 566.8 \& 475.2 \& 470.0 \& 5.2 \& 1.5 \& 0.7 \& 3.0 \& 1.7 \& 0.9 \& 0.4 <br>
\hline Unit 77 \& 894.6 \& 446.9 \& 447.7 \& 446.0 \& 1.7 \& 0.6 \& 0.2 \& 0.9 \& 0.6 \& 0.2 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 78 \& 533.4 \& 308.1 \& 225.3 \& 223.3 \& 2.0 \& 0.6 \& 0.3 \& 1.1 \& 0.7 \& 0.3 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 79 \& 660.4 \& 333.6 \& 326.8 \& 322.8 \& 4.0 \& 1.1 \& 0.4 \& 2.5 \& 1.4 \& 0.8 \& 0.3 <br>
\hline Unit 80 \& 843.5 \& 361.6 \& 481.9 \& 477.2 \& 4.7 \& 1.1 \& 0.6 \& 3.0 \& 1.6 \& 1.0 \& 0.4 <br>
\hline Unit 81 \& 792.9 \& 419.3 \& 373.6 \& 371.2 \& 2.4 \& 0.7 \& 0.2 \& 1.5 \& 0.9 \& 0.4 \& 0.2 <br>
\hline Unit 82 \& 824.9 \& 376.8 \& 448.1 \& 441.4 \& 6.7 \& 3.3 \& 0.7 \& 2.7 \& 2.3 \& 0.3 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 83 \& 712.5 \& 375.2 \& 337.3 \& 335.2 \& 2.1 \& 0.6 \& 0.2 \& 1.3 \& 0.9 \& 0.3 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 84 \& 945.7 \& 464.3 \& 481.4 \& 470.9 \& 10.5 \& 2.3 \& 1.1 \& 7.1 \& 4.3 \& 2.2 \& 0.6 <br>
\hline Unit 85 \& 517.4 \& 257.2 \& 260.2 \& 259.1 \& 1.1 \& 0.4 \& 0.1 \& 0.6 \& 0.4 \& 0.1 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 86 \& 1087.2 \& 411.4 \& 675.8 \& 671.8 \& 4.0 \& 1.4 \& 0.6 \& 2.0 \& 1.3 \& 0.5 \& 0.2 <br>
\hline Unit 87 \& 816.1 \& 417.1 \& 399.0 \& 397.3 \& 1.7 \& 0.6 \& 0.1 \& 1.0 \& 0.7 \& 0.2 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 88 \& 833.7 \& 344.7 \& 489.0 \& 486.8 \& 2.2 \& 0.7 \& 0.3 \& 1.2 \& 0.8 \& 0.3 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 89 \& 737.8 \& 332.9 \& 404.9 \& 403.4 \& 1.5 \& 0.4 \& 0.2 \& 0.9 \& 0.6 \& 0.2 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 90 \& 681.8 \& 343.5 \& 338.3 \& 334.9 \& 3.4 \& 1.3 \& 0.3 \& 1.8 \& 1.2 \& 0.5 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 91 \& 1114.7 \& 394.9 \& 719.8 \& 713.1 \& 6.7 \& 2.4 \& 0.8 \& 3.5 \& 2.1 \& 0.9 \& 0.5 <br>
\hline Unit 92 \& 777.3 \& 351.1 \& 426.2 \& 422.1 \& 4.1 \& 1.4 \& 0.5 \& 2.2 \& 1.4 \& 0.6 \& 0.2 <br>
\hline Unit 93 \& 1084.3 \& 511.6 \& 572.7 \& 568.4 \& 4.3 \& 1.4 \& 0.6 \& 2.3 \& 1.5 \& 0.6 \& 0.2 <br>
\hline Unit 94 \& 743.3 \& 493.9 \& 249.4 \& 247.4 \& 2.0 \& 0.5 \& 0.1 \& 1.4 \& 0.9 \& 0.4 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 95 \& 1053.7 \& 778.0 \& 275.7 \& 274.1 \& 1.6 \& 0.4 \& 0.1 \& 1.1 \& 0.8 \& 0.3 \& 0.03 <br>
\hline Unit 96 \& 577.0 \& 412.3 \& 164.7 \& 163.1 \& 1.6 \& 0.5 \& 0.1 \& 1.0 \& 0.8 \& 0.2 \& 0.01 <br>
\hline Unit 97 \& 1252.1 \& 1069.9 \& 182.2 \& 172.8 \& 9.4 \& 2.2 \& 0.2 \& 7.0 \& 5.5 \& 1.3 \& 0.2 <br>
\hline Unit 98 \& 641.9 \& 433.3 \& 208.6 \& 207.2 \& 1.4 \& 0.4 \& 0.1 \& 0.9 \& 0.7 \& 0.2 \& 0.03 <br>
\hline Unit 99 \& 607.9 \& 445.3 \& 162.6 \& 159.7 \& 2.9 \& 0.9 \& 0.2 \& 1.8 \& 1.3 \& 0.4 \& 0.08 <br>
\hline Unit 100 \& 836.5 \& 676.1 \& 160.4 \& 156.4 \& 4.0 \& 1.3 \& 0.2 \& 2.5 \& 1.8 \& 0.6 \& 0.06 <br>
\hline Unit 101 \& 540.1 \& 293.6 \& 246.5 \& 243.8 \& 2.7 \& 0.8 \& 0.4 \& 1.5 \& 1.1 \& 0.3 \& 0.07 <br>
\hline Unit 102 \& 635.3 \& 483.7 \& 151.6 \& 146.4 \& 5.2 \& 1.1 \& 0.4 \& 3.7 \& 2.6 \& 1.0 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 103 \& 511.4 \& 348.8 \& 162.6 \& 160.5 \& 2.1 \& 0.7 \& 0.1 \& 1.3 \& 1.0 \& 0.3 \& 0.03 <br>
\hline Unit 104 \& 984.9 \& 749.9 \& 235.0 \& 231.6 \& 3.4 \& 1.0 \& 0.3 \& 2.1 \& 1.4 \& 0.6 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 105 \& 854.4 \& 621.4 \& 233.0 \& 229.8 \& 3.2 \& 0.8 \& 0.3 \& 2.1 \& 1.4 \& 0.6 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 106 \& 1457.3 \& 822.2 \& 635.1 \& 630.1 \& 5.0 \& 1.3 \& 0.4 \& 3.3 \& 2.3 \& 0.9 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 107 \& 1037.1 \& 591.5 \& 445.6 \& 441.8 \& 3.8 \& 0.9 \& 0.3 \& 2.6 \& 1.7 \& 0.7 \& 0.2 <br>
\hline Unit 108 \& 1155.0 \& 925.0 \& 230.0 \& 220.9 \& 9.1 \& 1.7 \& 0.5 \& 6.9 \& 4.6 \& 1.7 \& 0.6 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
Name. 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
DM amber of Samples in this Report: 3

| ODM Batch Number(s). 8 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Sample Number |  |
|  | Low |
|  | diop |
| Unit 13 | 0 |
| Unit 14 | 0 |
| Unit 15 | 0 |
| Unit 16 | 0 |
| Unit 17 | 0 |
| Unit 18 | 0 |
| Unit 19 | 0 |
| Unit 20 | 0 |
| Unit 21 | 0 |
| Unit 22 | 0 |
| Unit 23 | 0 |
| Unit 24 | 0 |
| Unit 25 | 0 |
| Unit 27 | 0 |
| Unit 28 | 0 |
| Unit 29 | 0 |
| Unit 30 | 0 |
| Unit 31 | 0 |
| Unit 32 | 0 |
| Unit 33 | 0 |
| Unit 34 | 0 |
| Unit 35 | 0 |
| Unit 36 | 0 |
| Unit 37 | 0 |
| Unit 38 | 0 |
| Unit 39 | 0 |
| Unit 40 | 0 |
| Unit 41 | 0 |
| Unit 42 | 0 |
| Unit 43 | 0 |
| Unit 44 | 0 |
| Unit 45 | 0 |
| Unit 46 | 0 |
| Unit 47 | 0 |
| Unit 48 | 0 |
| Unit 49 | 0 |
| Unit 50 | 0 |
| Unit 51 | 0 |
| Unit 52 | 0 |
| Unit 53 | 0 |
| Unit 54 | 0 |
| Unit 55 | 0 |
| Unit 56 | 0 |
| Unit 57 Unit 58 | 0 |


| Number of Grains |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Selected MN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | KIMs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.0 to 2.0 mm |  |  |  |  |  | 0.5 to 1.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.25 to 0.5 mm |  |  |  |  |  | 1.0 to 2.0 mm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.5 to 1.0 mm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | GP |  | GO |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{w}-\mathrm{Cr} \\ & \text { oside } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | Cpy |  | Gh |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { w-Cr } \\ & \text { poside } \end{aligned}$ |  | Cpy |  | Gh |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{w}-\mathrm{Cr} \\ & \mathrm{pside} \end{aligned}$ |  | cy |  | Gh |  | GP |  | GO |  | D |  | IM |  |  |  | O |  | GP |  | GO |  | D |  | M |  | CR | FO | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  |
| T | P | T | P |  | P | T | P | T | P | T | P | T | P | T | P | T | P | T | P | T | P | T | P | T | P |  | P | T | P | T | P | T | P | T | P | T | P | T | P | T | P | T | P | T |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 2 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 1 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | , | 1 | 1 | 5 | 5 |  | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | - | 0 | 0 |  | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | , | , | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 |  | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | , | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | , | - | , | , |  |  | , | 2 | 2 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | , | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |

Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 35


## Kimberlite Indicator Mineral Counts

File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019 Total Number of Samples in this Report: 35

| Sample Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Selected MMSIMs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Number of Grains KIMs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.0 to 2.0 mm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.0 to 2.0 mm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.5 to 1.0 mm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.25 to 0.5 mm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total <br> (KIMs) |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Low-Cr } \\ & \text { diopside } \end{aligned}$ |  | Cpy |  | Gh |  | Low-Cr diopside |  | Cpy |  | Gh |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Low-Cr } \\ \text { diopside } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | Cpy |  | Gh |  | GP |  | GO |  | DC |  | IM |  | CR |  | FO |  | GP |  | GO |  | DC |  | IM |  | CR |  | TIP |  | GP |  | GO |  | T/P |  |  |  | C |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | T | P | T | P |  | P | T | P | T | P | T | P | T | P | T | P | T | P | T | P | T | P | T | P | T | P | T | P | T | P | T | P | T | P | T | P | T | P | T | P |  |  | T | P | T | P |  |  | IM ${ }_{\text {T/ }}^{\text {T }}$ |  | T ${ }^{\text {P }}$ P |  | T\| $\mathrm{T}^{\text {P }}$ |  | T T / P |  |
| Unit 104 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 9 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 19 |
| Unit 105 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 8 |
| Unit 106 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 13 |  |
| Unit 107 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 20 | 20 |
| Unit 108 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 33 | 33 |

$\mathrm{T}=$ Total number of grains in sample. Total is estimated if number is greater than number of picked grains.
$=$ Number of picked grains in sample.

## Kimberlite Indicator Mineral Remarks

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd. Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019 Total Number of Samples in this Report: 35
ODM Batch Number(s): 8216

| Sample Number | Remarks |
| :--- | :--- | ,


| Number | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: |
| Unit 13 | Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 2 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1 \mathrm{IM}$ and 1 CR ; and 2 FO versus diopside candidates $=2$ FO. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 2 GO versus grossular candidates $=2 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope). 1 IM from 0.5 1.0 mm and 5 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 14 | Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 FO versus diopside candidate $=1$ FO. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); 6 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2 \mathrm{IM}$ and 4 CR ; and 1 FO versus diopside candidate $=1$ FO. |
| Unit 15 | Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1$ grossular. SEM check from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1 \mathrm{IM}$. |
| Unit 16 | Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 5 GO versus grossular candidates $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope) and 4 grossular; and 5 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2 \mathrm{IM}, 1$ crustal ilmenite and 2 CR .3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 17 | Orthopyroxene-fayalite-ilmenite/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1 \mathrm{IM}$.; 5 fayalite (major paramagnetic assemblange mineral) candidates $=5$ fayalite; and 5 orthopyroxene (major paramagnetic assemblage mineral) versus augite candidates $=5$ orthopyroxene. |
| Unit 18 | Almandine-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); and 2 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1 \mathrm{IM}$ and 1 crustal ilmenite. |
| Unit 19 | Almandine/epidote-diopside assemblage. |
|  |  | candidate $=1$ GO (Cr-poor pyrope).

Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 1 FO versus diopside candidate $=1$ FO. SEM check from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 FO versus diopside candidates $=2 \mathrm{FO}$.

Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 5 IM versus
crustal ilmenite candidates $=1 \mathrm{IM}, 3$ crustal l imenite and 1 CR . Sole IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction has crustal ilmenite candidates $=1 \mathrm{IM}, 3$ crustal ilmenite and 1 CR . Sole IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction has
partial alteration mantle. partial alteration mantle.

Amandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction has partial alteration mantle.

Almandine/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus staurolite and 1 GO (Cr-poor pyrope); and 2 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1 \mathrm{IM}$ and 1 crustal ilmenite 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles.

Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 1 GO versus almandine candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope). SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 GP verus almandine candidates $=2 \mathrm{GP}$; and 6 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1 \mathrm{IM}, 2$ crustal ilmenite and 3 CR.

Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus rossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); 3 FO versus epidote candidates $=2$ FO and 1 epidote. SEM CR candidate $=1 \mathrm{CR}$; and 1 FO versus diopside candidate $=1 \mathrm{FO}$. Sole IM from $1.0-2.0 \mathrm{~mm}$, both IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$, and 1 GP and 5 IM from $0.25-0.5$ fractions have partial alteration mantles.

Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus staurolite candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope). Sole IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles.

Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. Sole IM from
mm ; and 5 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles.

Imandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 13 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=4 \mathrm{IM}, 4$ crustal ilmenite and 5 CR ; and 3 FO versus epidote candidates $=1 \mathrm{FO}$ and 2 epidote. 1 IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm} ; 1 \mathrm{GP}$ and 5 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles.

Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 3 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1 \mathrm{IM}$ and 2 CR . Sole IM from $1.0-2.0 \mathrm{~mm} ; 1 \mathrm{IM}$ from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 2 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles.

Hornblende-almandine/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. SEM check from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 1 GP ersus almandine candidate $=1$ GP. SEM check from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction. 1 IM versus CR candidate $=1$ CR. 1 GP from $0.5-1.0$; and 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles

Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2 \mathrm{IM} .1 \mathrm{IM}$ from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles.

Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GP versus almandine candidate $=1 \mathrm{GP}$; and 3 GO versus grossular candidates $=3$ grossular. 1 GP from 0.5 1.0 mm and 2 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fractions have partial alteration mantles.

## Kimberlite Indicator Mineral Remarks

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 35

| Sample Number | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: |
| Unit 35 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. |
| Unit 36 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GP versus almandine candidate $=1 \mathrm{GP} ; 1 \mathrm{GO}$ versus grossular candidate $=1$ grossular. Sole GP and 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 37 | Almandine-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 6 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=5 \mathrm{IM}$ and 1 crustal ilmenite. Both IM from 0.5-1.0 mm fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 38 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 2 FO versus epidote candidates $=1 \mathrm{FO}$ and 1 epidote. 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction has a partial alteration mantle. |
| Unit 39 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 FO versus epidote candidates $=2$ epidote. 2 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 40 | Almandine-augite-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from 1.0-2.0 mm fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1 \mathrm{IM}$. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 3 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2$ crustal ilmenite and 1 CR ; and 5 CR candidates $=4 \mathrm{CR}$ and 1 crustal ilmenite. |
| Unit 41 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. |
| Unit 42 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); 3 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2 \mathrm{IM}$ and 1 CR ; and 4 CR candidates $=4$ CR. 1 GP from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction lost in transfer to vial. |
| Unit 43 | Almandine-fayalite-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 6 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1 \mathrm{IM}, 4$ crustal ilmenite and 1 CR . |
| Unit 44 | Hornblende-almandine/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 GO versus spessartine candidates $=2$ almandine; 2 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2 \mathrm{IM}$; and 1 FO versus epidote candidate $=1$ epidote. Sole IM from 0.5-1.0 fraction has a partial alteration mantle. |
| Unit 45 | Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{Mn}$-almandine. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); 1 IM versus CR candidates $=1$ crustal ilmenite; and 1 FO versus epidote candidates $=1$ epidote. 1 GP and 2 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 46 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 7 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=4 \mathrm{IM}$ and 3 crustal ilmenite. 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial |


| INPUT ASSEMBLAGE | INPUT REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside |  |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite | SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 GP versus almandine candidate $=1$ GP; 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1$ grossular. Sole GP and 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-augite/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 6 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=5$ IM and 1 crustal ilmenite. Both IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 FO versus epidote candidates $=1$ FO and 1 epidote. 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction has a partial alteration mantle. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 FO versus epidote candidates $=2$ epidote. 2 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-augite-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM check from $1.0-2.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1 \mathrm{IM}$. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 3 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2$ crustal ilmenite and 1 CR ; and 5 CR candidates $=4 \mathrm{CR}$ and 1 crustal ilmenite. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside |  |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Crpoor pyrope); 3 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2 \mathrm{IM}$ and 1 CR ; and 4 CR candidates $=4 \mathrm{CR} .1 \mathrm{GP}$ from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction lost in transfer to vial. |
| Almandine-fayalite-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 6 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1$ IM, 4 crustal ilmenite and 1 CR . |
| Hornblende-almandine/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 2 GO versus spessartine candidates $=2$ almandine; 2 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2 \mathrm{IM}$; and 1 FO versus epidote candidate $=1$ epidote. Sole IM from 0.5-1.0 fraction has a partial alteration mantle. |
| Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside | SEM check from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{Mn}$ almandine. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); 1 IM versus CR candidates $=1$ crustal ilmenite; and 1 FO versus epidote candidates $=1$ epidote. 1 GP and 2 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ have partial alteration mantles. |
| $\overline{\text { Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside }}$ | SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 7 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=4$ IM and 3 crustal ilmenite. 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 4 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1$ IM, 1 crustal ilmenite, 1 tourmaline and 1 andradite. 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside | SEM check from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus staurolite candidate $=1$ staurolite. 1 IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction has a partial alteration mantle. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM check from 1.0-2.0 mm fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1$ crustal ilmenite. SEM check from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1$ almandine. 2 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 4 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=4$ crustal ilmenite. |
| Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 GO versus grossular candidates $=2$ almandine. 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside |  |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | Sole IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 3 FO versus diopside candidates $=3$ FO. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus almandine $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); and 4 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=4 \mathrm{IM} .1 \mathrm{GO}$ and 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 2 GO versus grossular candidates $=2 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); and 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1 \mathrm{IM}$. One GP from 0.5 1.0 mm and both GP, 1 GO , and 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GP versus ruby corundum candidate $=1$ ruby corundum; and 1 FO versus zoisite candidate $=1$ FO. Sole IM from 0.5-1.0 mm and 1 GP , and 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | All 3 GP and 1 IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 1 GP and 6 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopsidetitanite |  |


Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside

| INPUT ASSEMBLAGE | INPUT REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside |  |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite | SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GP versus almandine candidate $=1 \mathrm{GP} ; 1$ GO versus grossular candidate $=1$ grossular. Sole GP and 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-augite/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 6 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=5$ IM and 1 crustal ilmenite. Both IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 FO versus epidote candidates $=1 \mathrm{FO}$ and 1 epidote. 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction has a partial alteration mantle. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 FO versus epidote candidates $=2$ epidote. 2 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-augite-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM check from $1.0-2.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1 \mathrm{IM}$. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 3 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2$ crustal ilmenite and 1 CR ; and 5 CR candidates $=4 \mathrm{CR}$ and 1 crustal ilmenite. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside |  |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Crpoor pyrope); 3 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2 \mathrm{IM}$ and 1 CR ; and 4 CR candidates $=4 \mathrm{CR}$. 1 GP from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction lost in transfer to vial. |
| Almandine-fayalite-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 6 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1$ IM, 4 crustal ilmenite and 1 CR. |
| Hornblende-almandine/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 GO versus spessartine candidates $=2$ almandine; 2 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2 \mathrm{IM}$; and 1 FO versus epidote candidate $=1$ epidote. Sole IM from 0.5-1.0 fraction has a partial alteration mantle. |
| Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside | SEM check from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{Mn}$ almandine. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); 1 IM versus CR candidates $=1$ crustal ilmenite; and 1 FO versus epidote candidates $=1$ epidote. 1 GP and 2 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 7 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=4$ IM and 3 crustal ilmenite. 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 4 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1$ IM, 1 crustal ilmenite, 1 tourmaline and 1 andradite. 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside | SEM check from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus staurolite candidate $=1$ staurolite. 1 IM from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction has a partial alteration mantle. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM check from 1.0-2.0 mm fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1$ crustal ilmenite. SEM check from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1$ almandine. 2 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 4 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=4$ crustal ilmenite. |
| Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 GO versus grossular candidates $=2$ almandine. 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside |  |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | Sole IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende-augit/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 3 FO versus diopside candidates $=3 \mathrm{FO}$. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus almandine $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); and 4 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=4 \mathrm{IM} .1 \mathrm{GO}$ and 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 2 GO versus grossular candidates $=2 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); and 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1 \mathrm{IM}$. One GP from 0.5 1.0 mm and both GP, 1 GO , and 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GP versus ruby corundum candidate $=1$ ruby corundum; and 1 FO versus zoisite candidate $=1$ FO. Sole IM from 0.5-1.0 mm and 1 GP , and 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | All 3 GP and 1 IM from 0.5-1.0 mm and 1 GP and 6 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopsidetitanite |  |

SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Crpoor pyrope); 3 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates = 2 IM and 1 CR ; and 4 CR candidates $=4$ CR. 1 GP from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction lost in transfer to vial.

| INPUT ASSEMBLAGE | INPUT REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside |  |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite | SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GP versus almandine candidate $=1 \mathrm{GP} ; 1$ GO versus grossular candidate $=1$ grossular. Sole GP and 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-augite/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 6 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=5$ IM and 1 crustal ilmenite. Both IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 FO versus epidote candidates $=1 \mathrm{FO}$ and 1 epidote. 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction has a partial alteration mantle. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 FO versus epidote candidates $=2$ epidote. 2 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-augite-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM check from $1.0-2.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1 \mathrm{IM}$. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 3 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2$ crustal ilmenite and 1 CR ; and 5 CR candidates $=4 \mathrm{CR}$ and 1 crustal ilmenite. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside |  |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Crpoor pyrope); 3 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2 \mathrm{IM}$ and 1 CR ; and 4 CR candidates $=4 \mathrm{CR}$. 1 GP from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction lost in transfer to vial. |
| Almandine-fayalite-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 6 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1$ IM, 4 crustal ilmenite and 1 CR. |
| Hornblende-almandine/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 GO versus spessartine candidates $=2$ almandine; 2 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2 \mathrm{IM}$; and 1 FO versus epidote candidate $=1$ epidote. Sole IM from 0.5-1.0 fraction has a partial alteration mantle. |
| Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside | SEM check from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{Mn}$ almandine. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); 1 IM versus CR candidates $=1$ crustal ilmenite; and 1 FO versus epidote candidates $=1$ epidote. 1 GP and 2 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 7 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=4$ IM and 3 crustal ilmenite. 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 4 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1$ IM, 1 crustal ilmenite, 1 tourmaline and 1 andradite. 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside | SEM check from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus staurolite candidate $=1$ staurolite. 1 IM from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction has a partial alteration mantle. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM check from 1.0-2.0 mm fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1$ crustal ilmenite. SEM check from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1$ almandine. 2 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 4 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=4$ crustal ilmenite. |
| Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 GO versus grossular candidates $=2$ almandine. 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside |  |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | Sole IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende-augit/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 3 FO versus diopside candidates $=3 \mathrm{FO}$. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus almandine $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); and 4 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=4 \mathrm{IM} .1 \mathrm{GO}$ and 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 2 GO versus grossular candidates $=2 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); and 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1 \mathrm{IM}$. One GP from 0.5 1.0 mm and both GP, 1 GO , and 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GP versus ruby corundum candidate $=1$ ruby corundum; and 1 FO versus zoisite candidate $=1$ FO. Sole IM from 0.5-1.0 mm and 1 GP , and 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | All 3 GP and 1 IM from 0.5-1.0 mm and 1 GP and 6 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopsidetitanite |  |

M, 4 acks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$

| INPUT ASSEMBLAGE | INPUT REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside |  |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite | SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GP versus almandine candidate $=1 \mathrm{GP} ; 1$ GO versus grossular candidate $=1$ grossular. Sole GP and 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-augite/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 6 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=5$ IM and 1 crustal ilmenite. Both IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 FO versus epidote candidates $=1 \mathrm{FO}$ and 1 epidote. 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction has a partial alteration mantle. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 FO versus epidote candidates $=2$ epidote. 2 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-augite-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM check from $1.0-2.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1 \mathrm{IM}$. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 3 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2$ crustal ilmenite and 1 CR ; and 5 CR candidates $=4 \mathrm{CR}$ and 1 crustal ilmenite. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside |  |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Crpoor pyrope); 3 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2 \mathrm{IM}$ and 1 CR ; and 4 CR candidates $=4 \mathrm{CR}$. 1 GP from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction lost in transfer to vial. |
| Almandine-fayalite-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 6 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1$ IM, 4 crustal ilmenite and 1 CR. |
| Hornblende-almandine/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 GO versus spessartine candidates $=2$ almandine; 2 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2 \mathrm{IM}$; and 1 FO versus epidote candidate $=1$ epidote. Sole IM from 0.5-1.0 fraction has a partial alteration mantle. |
| Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside | SEM check from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{Mn}$ almandine. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); 1 IM versus CR candidates $=1$ crustal ilmenite; and 1 FO versus epidote candidates $=1$ epidote. 1 GP and 2 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 7 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=4$ IM and 3 crustal ilmenite. 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 4 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1$ IM, 1 crustal ilmenite, 1 tourmaline and 1 andradite. 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside | SEM check from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus staurolite candidate $=1$ staurolite. 1 IM from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction has a partial alteration mantle. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM check from 1.0-2.0 mm fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1$ crustal ilmenite. SEM check from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1$ almandine. 2 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 4 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=4$ crustal ilmenite. |
| Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 GO versus grossular candidates $=2$ almandine. 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside |  |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | Sole IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende-augit/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 3 FO versus diopside candidates $=3 \mathrm{FO}$. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus almandine $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); and 4 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=4 \mathrm{IM} .1 \mathrm{GO}$ and 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 2 GO versus grossular candidates $=2 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); and 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1 \mathrm{IM}$. One GP from 0.5 1.0 mm and both GP, 1 GO , and 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GP versus ruby corundum candidate $=1$ ruby corundum; and 1 FO versus zoisite candidate $=1$ FO. Sole IM from 0.5-1.0 mm and 1 GP , and 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | All 3 GP and 1 IM from 0.5-1.0 mm and 1 GP and 6 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopsidetitanite |  |

SEM check from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{Mn}$ almandine. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); 1 IM versus CR candidates $=1$ crustal ilmenite; and 1 FO versus epidote candidates $=1$ epidote. 1 GP and 2 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ have partial alteration mantles.
crustal ilmenite candidates $=4 \mathrm{IM}$ and 3 crustal ilmenite. 3 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles.

Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 4 IM ersus and 1 andradite. 3 IM from 0.25-0. mm fraction have partial alteration mantles.

Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 GO versus staurolite candidate $=1$ staurolite. 1 IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction has a partial alteration mantle.

IImandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from $1.0-2.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1$ crustal ilmenite. SEM check from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus
grossular candidate $=1$ almandine. 2 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles.

IImandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 4 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=4$ crustal ilmenite.

Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 GO versus grossular candidates $=2$ almandine. 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles.

Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage.

Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. Sole IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles.

Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 3 FO versus diopside candidates $=3$ FO. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus almandine $=1 \mathrm{G}$ fr-poortion have partial alteration mantles.
Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 GO versus grossular candidates $=2 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); and 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1 \mathrm{IM}$. One GP from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ and both GP, 1 GO , and 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles.

Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GP versus ruby corundum candidate $=1$ ruby corundum; and 1 FO versus zoisite candidate $=1 \mathrm{FO}$. Sole IM from 0.51.0 mm and 1 GP , and 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles.

Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. All 3 GP and 1 IM from 0.5-1.0 mm and 1 GP and 6 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles.

Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside-titanite assemblage.

Client: RJK Exploration Kimberlite Indicator Mineral Remark
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 35
ODM Batch Number(s): 8216 Remarks

| Sample Number |
| :--- | :--- |


| Sample Number | Remarks |
| :--- | :--- |
| Unit 59 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. <br> Unit 60 <br> Unit 61 <br> Unit 62 |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus <br> almandine candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); and 2 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2 \mathrm{IM}$. |  |
| Unit 63 | Hornblende-hematite/epidote-zircon assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 5 titanite versus <br> zircon candidates $=5$ zircons. |
| Unit 64 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 11 IM versus <br> crustal ilmenite candidate $=1 \mathrm{IM}$. |
| Hornblende-almandine/epidote-diopside assemblage. |  |

candidate $=1$ FO. 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction has a partial alteration mantle.
Almandine-hornblende/epidote-staurolite-diopside assemblage. Sole IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 2 IM from 0.25 0.5 mm fractions have partial alteration mantles.

Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. 2 IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 5 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles.

Almandine/epidote-diopside assemblage. 2 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles.

Almandine-hornblende/epidote-staurolite assemblage.

Almandine-hornblende/epidote-staurolite-diopside assemblage. 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction has a partial alteration mantle.

Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage.
Almandine-hematite-hornblende/epidote-staurolite assemblage. Sole IM from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction has a partial alteration mantle.

Almandine-hematite/epidote-staurolite assemblage. Sole GP from 0.5-1.0 mm; and 2 GP and sole IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles.

Almandine-hornblende/epidote-staurolite-diopside assemblage. 1 IM from 0.5-1.0 mm and 1 IM from 0.25 0.5 mm fractions have partial alteration mantles.

Almandine/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. Sole IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction has a partial alteration mantle.

Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage
Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. SEM check from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 1 FO versus diopside candidate $=1$ FO. Sole IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles.

Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1 \mathrm{IM}$. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 6 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=6$ crustal ilmenite and 1 FO candidate $=1 \mathrm{FO} .1 \mathrm{IM}$ from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction has a partial alteration mantle.
Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. 2 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles.

Almandine-augite/diopside assemblage. 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction has a partial alteration mantle.
Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2 \mathrm{IM}$.

Almandine/epidote-staurolite assemblage. Both IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles
Almandine-hornblende/epidote-staurolite assemblage. Sole IM from 1.0-2.0 mm fraction has a partial alteration mantle.

Almandine-hornblende/epidote-staurolite assemblage.
Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 FO versus zoisite candidates $=2$ FO. Sole IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction has a partial alteration mantle.

Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. 3 GP from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles.

| INPUT ASSEMBLAGE | INPUT REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside |  |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 GO versus almandine candidate $=1$ GO (Cr-poor pyrope); and 2 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2 \mathrm{IM}$. |
| Hornblende-hematite/epidote-zircon | SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 5 titanite versus zircon candidates $=5$ zircons. |
| Hornblende-almandine/epidote-diopside | Sole IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ and sole GP and 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM check from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1 \mathrm{IM}$. |
| Hornblende-almandine/epidote-diopside |  |
| Almandine-augite/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 2 GO versus grossular candidates $=2$ GO (Cr-poor pyrope); and 3 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1 \mathrm{IM}, 1$ crustal ilmenite and 1 CR. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM check from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1$ CR. |
| Almandine/epidote-diopside | SEM check from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 FO versus diopside candidate $=1 \mathrm{FO} .1 \mathrm{IM}$ from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction has a partial alteration mantle. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-staurolite-diopside | Sole IM from 0.5-1.0 mm and 2 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | 2 IM from 0.5-1.0 mm and 5 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine/epidote-diopside | 2 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-staurolite |  |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-staurolite-diopside | 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction has a partial alteration mantle. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside |  |
| Almandine-hematite-hornblende/epidotestaurolite | Sole IM from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction has a partial alteration mantle. |
| Almandine-hematite/epidote-staurolite | Sole GP from 0.5-1.0 mm; and 2 GP and sole IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-staurolite-diopside | 1 IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine/epidote-diopside-staurolite | Sole IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction has a partial alteration mantle. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite |  |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite | SEM check from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 1 FO versus diopside candidate $=1$ FO. Sole IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | SEM check from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1 \mathrm{IM}$. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 6 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=6$ crustal ilmenite and 1 FO candidate $=1 \mathrm{FO} .1 \mathrm{IM}$ from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction has a partial alteration mantle. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite | 2 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-augite/diopside | 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction has a partial alteration mantle. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite | SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 2 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates =2 IM. |
| Almandine/epidote-staurolite | Both IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-staurolite | Sole IM from $1.0-2.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction has a partial alteration mantle. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-staurolite |  |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite | SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 FO versus zoisite candidates $=2$ FO. Sole IM from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction has a partial alteration mantle. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite | 3 GP from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |

Kimberlite Indicator Mineral Remarks

File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 35
ODM Batch Number(s): 8216

| Sample Number | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: |
| Unit 89 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. SEM check from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1 \mathrm{IM} .1 \mathrm{IM}$ from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 3 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 90 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. |
| Unit 91 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-staurolite-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus staurolite candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); 1 FO versus zoisite candidate $=1 \mathrm{FO} .4 \mathrm{GP}$ and 4 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 92 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-staurolite assemblage. 1 IM from 0.5-1.0 mm ; and sole GP and 4 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 93 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-staurolite-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 forsterite versus epidote candidates $=2 \mathrm{FO} .2 \mathrm{GP}$ and 2 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 94 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-staurolite assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1 \mathrm{IM} ; 2 \mathrm{FO}$ versus epidote candidates $=1 \mathrm{FO}$ and 1 epidote. Sole IM from $0.25-$ 0.5 mm fraction has a partial alteration mantle. |
| Unit 95 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote assemblage. SEM check from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 GO versus staurolite candidate $=1$ grossular. 1 IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 96 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. 3 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 97 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. SEM check from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus almandine candidate $=1$ grossular. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus staurolite candidate $=1$ grossular; and 2 FO versus enstatite candidates $=2$ epidote. 1 IM from 0.5-1.0 mm ; and 2 GP and 5 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 98 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-staurolite assemblage. |
| Unit 99 | Almandine-hornblende/epdiote assemblage. SEM check from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus staurolite candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope). |
| Unit 100 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. 1 IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction has a partial alteration mantle. |
| Unit 101 | Hornblende-almandine/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 FO versus diopside candidates $=2$ FO. Sole GP from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction has a partial alteration mantle. |
| Unit 102 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. SEM check from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 1 FO versus diopside candidate $=1 \mathrm{FO}$. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2 \mathrm{IM} ; 1$ FO versus diopside candidate $=1 \mathrm{FO}$; and 1 enstatite versus FO candidate $=1$ enstatite. 2 GP and 4 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 103 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. SEM check from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus almandine candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope). 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 104 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. 4 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 105 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. |
| Unit 106 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction has a partial alteration mantle. |
| Unit 107 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 2 GO versus grossular candidates $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope) and 1 spessartine; and 1 FO versus epidote candidate $=1 \mathrm{FO}$ (lost in transfer to vial). One CR has attached gangue material. 4 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 108 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. Sole GP and both IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$; and 2 GP and 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |


| INPUT ASSEMBLAGE | INPUT REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite | SEM check from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1 \mathrm{IM}$. 1 IM from 0.5-1.0 mm and 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite |  |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-staurolite-diopside | SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 GO versus staurolite candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Crpoor pyrope); 1 FO versus zoisite candidate $=1 \mathrm{FO} .4 \mathrm{GP}$ and 4 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-staurolite | 1 IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$; and sole GP and 4 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-staurolite-diopside | SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 forsterite versus epidote candidates $=2$ FO. 2 GP and 2 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-staurolite | SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1$ IM; 2 FO versus epidote candidates $=1$ FO and 1 epidote. Sole IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction has a partial alteration mantle. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote | SEM check from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus staurolite candidate $=1$ grossular. 1 IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside | 3 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite | SEM check from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 1 GO versus almandine candidate $=1$ grossular. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus staurolite candidate $=1$ grossular; and 2 FO versus enstatite candidates $=2$ epidote. 1 IM from 0.5-1.0 mm ; and 2 GP and 5 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-staurolite |  |
| Almandine-hornblende/epdiote | SEM check from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus staurolite candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Crpoor pyrope). |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite | 1 IM from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction has a partial alteration mantle. |
| Hornblende-almandine/epidote-diopside | SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 FO versus diopside candidates $=2$ FO. Sole GP from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction has a partial alteration mantle. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite | SEM check from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 1 FO versus diopside candidate $=1$ FO. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2 \mathrm{IM} ; 1$ FO versus diopside candidate $=1 \mathrm{FO}$; and 1 enstatite versus FO candidate $=1$ enstatite. 2 GP and 4 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite | SEM check from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus almandine candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Crpoor pyrope). 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite | 4 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite |  |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite | 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction has a partial alteration mantle. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite | SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 GO versus grossular candidates $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope) and 1 spessartine; and 1 FO versus epidote candidate $=1 \mathrm{FO}$ (lost in transfer to vial). One CR has attached gangue material. 4 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite | Sole GP and both IM from 0.5-1.0 mm; and 2 GP and 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |

## Laboratory Data Report

```
Client Information
    RJK Exploration Ltd.
    4 ~ A l ~ W e n d e ~ A v e n u e
    Kirkland Lake, ON
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    Date:
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    Project name:
    ODM batch number:
    8314
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    Data file:
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    Final data:
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Samples Processed For:
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Lorrain Chain

8314
20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, RIMs) - December 2019
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117
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Gold, KIM

## Processing Specifications:

1. Submitted by client: Till samples preconcentrated by client prior to submission.
2. Submitted sample fractions homogenized prior to processing.
3. One $\pm 100 \mathrm{~g}$ archival split taken from each sample.

4 All samples panned for gold, PGMs and fine-grained metallic indicator minerals.
5. Shaking table concentrates refined by heavy liquid separation at S.G. 3.2 to obtain heavy mineral concentrates (HMCs).
6. $0.25-2.0 \mathrm{~mm}$, nonferromagnetic HMC fractions picked for kimberlite indicator minerals.

## Notes



Mike Crawford
Laboratory Manager

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
Primary Sample Processing Weights and Descriptions
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 12
ODM Batch Number(s): 8314


## Gold Grain Summary

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 12
ODM Batch Number(s): 8314

| Sample Number | Number of Visible Gold Grains |  |  |  | Nonmag HMC Weight* | Calculated PPB Visible Gold in HMC |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Reshaped | Modified | Pristine |  | Total | Reshaped | Modified | Pristine |
| Unit 01 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 10.0 | 150 | 150 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 02 | 10 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 12.8 | 518 | 490 | 0 | 28 |
| Unit 03 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 13.2 | 115 | 115 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 04 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7.6 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| Unit 05 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7.2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Unit 06 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 07 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 9.2 | 409 | 409 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 08 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 13.2 | 159 | 159 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 09 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6.0 | 92 | 92 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 10 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 12.0 | 16 | 16 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 12 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5.6 | 13 | 13 | 0 | 0 |

[^17]
## Detailed Gold Grain Data

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 12
ODM Batch Number(s): 8314


[^18]
## Heavy Mineral Concentrate Processing Weights

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 12
ODM Batch Number(s): 8314

| Sample Number | Weight of -2.0 mm Table Concentrate (g) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.25 to 2.0 mm Heavy Liquid Separation at S.G. 3.20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | -0.25 mm | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Lights } \\ \text { S.G. }<3.2 \end{gathered}$ | Total | $\begin{gathered} -0.25 \mathrm{~mm} \\ \text { (wash) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Mag | S.G.>3.20Nonferromagnetic HMC |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | $\begin{gathered} 0.25 \text { to } 0.5 \\ \mathrm{~mm} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.5 \text { to } 1.0 \\ \mathrm{~mm} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.0 \text { to } 2.0 \\ \mathrm{~mm} \end{gathered}$ |
| Unit 01 | 823.2 | 431.6 | 391.6 | 387.6 | 4.0 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 2.8 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 0.5 |
| Unit 02 | 995.2 | 611.0 | 384.2 | 372.8 | 11.4 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 7.8 | 4.5 | 2.2 | 1.1 |
| Unit 03 | 1153.8 | 731.1 | 422.7 | 415.5 | 7.2 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 5. | 3.0 | 1.6 | 0.8 |
| Unit 04 | 678.7 | 418.4 | 260.3 | 255.9 | 4.4 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 2. | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0.3 |
| Unit 05 | 691.5 | 393.0 | 298.5 | 294.5 | 4.0 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 2. | 1.7 | 0.8 | 0.3 |
| Unit 06 | 341.3 | 174.3 | 167.0 | 165.0 | 2.0 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0. | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Unit 07 | 914.4 | 495.7 | 418.7 | 414.5 | 4.2 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 3. | 1.8 | 0.8 | 0.4 |
| Unit 08 | 1167.2 | 668.1 | 499.1 | 487.5 | 11.6 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 8. | 4.3 | 2.9 | 1.5 |
| Unit 09 | 589.6 | 385.1 | 204.5 | 202.7 | 1.8 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1. | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| Unit 10 | 862.6 | 634.8 | 227.8 | 222.5 | 5.3 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 4. | 2.5 | 1.1 | 0.5 |
| Unit 11 | 828.8 | 564.6 | 264.2 | 260.7 | 3.5 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 2. | 1.6 | 0.6 | 0.3 |
| Unit 12 | 623.9 | 387.0 | 236.9 | 234.0 | 2.9 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.2 |


$\mathrm{P}=$ Number of picked grains in sample.

## Kimberlite Indicator Mineral Remarks

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 12
ODM Batch Number(s): 8314

| Sample Number | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: |
| Unit 01 | Almandine-augite-hornblende/epidote-staurolite-diopside assemblage. |
| Unit 02 | Almandine-augite-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 bronz sulfide candidate = 1 niccolite (NiAs); and 1 arsenopyrite versus loellingite candidate $=1$ loellingite. 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 03 | Almandine-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 5 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2 \mathrm{IM}, 1$ crustal ilmenite and 2 CR . 2 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 04 | Augite-almandine/epidote-diopside assemblage. 1 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction has a partial alteration mantle. |
| Unit 05 | Augite-almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. |
| Unit 06 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1$ grossular; and 1 IM versus crustal ilminite candidate $=1 \mathrm{IM}$. |
| Unit 07 | Almandine-augie-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. |
| Unit 08 | Almandine-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. $20 \% \mathrm{IM}$ from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $20 \% \mathrm{IM}$ from 0.25-0.5 mm fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 09 | Almandine-augite-hematite/epidote-diopside assemblage. 1 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction has a partial alteration mantle. |
| Unit 10 | Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. 2 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 11 | Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. 1 IM from 0.5-1.0 mm and 4 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 12 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. Sole IM from 1.0-2.0 mm and sole IM from 0.5-1.0 mm fractions have partial alteration mantles. |

## Laboratory Data Report

## Client Information

RJK Exploration Ltd.
4 Al Wende Avenue
Kirkland Lake, ON
P2N 3J5

## gkasner2001@yahoo.com

Attention: Glenn Kasner

## Data-File Information

Date:
Project name:
ODM batch number:
Sample numbers:
Data file:
Number of samples in this report:
Number of samples processed to date:
117
Total number of samples in project: 117
Preliminary data:
Final data:
Revised data:

## Samples Processed For:

8314

12


March 16, 2020
Lorrain Chain

Unit 01 to Unit 12
20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, RIMs) - December 2019

Gold, RIMs

## Processing Specifications:

1. Submitted by client: Till samples preconcentrated by client prior to submission.
2. Submitted sample fractions homogenized prior to processing.
3. One $\pm 100 \mathrm{~g}$ archival split taken from each sample.

4 All samples panned for gold, PGMs and fine-grained metallic indicator minerals.
5. Shaking table concentrates refined by heavy liquid separation at S.G. 3.2 to obtain heavy mineral concentrates (HMCs).
6. $0.25-2.0 \mathrm{~mm}$, nonferromagnetic HMC fractions picked for kimberlite indicator minerals.

## Notes



## Overburden Drilling Management Limited - Abbreviations Table

## Raw Sample Weights and Descriptions Log

## Largest Clast Size Present:

## Matrix Organics:

G: Granules
P: Pebbles
C: Cobbles

## Clast Composition:

V/S: Volcanics and/or sediments
GR: Granitics
LS: Limestone, carbonates
OT: Other lithologies (refer to footnotes)
TR: Only trace present
NA: Not applicable
OX: Very oxidized, undifferentiated
MB: Marble
ORG: Y: Organics present in matrix
N : Organics absent or negligible in matrix

+ : Matrix is mainly organic
Matrix Colour:
Primary:

| BE: Beige | GG: Grey-green |
| :--- | :--- |
| BR: Brick Red | PP: Purple |
| GY: Grey | PK: Pink |
| GB: Grey-beige | PB: Pink-beige |
| GN: Green | MN: Maroon |

## Matrix Grain Size Distribution:

S/U: Sorted or unsorted
SD: Sand (F: Fine; M: Medium; C: Coarse)
ST: Silt
CY: Clay
Y: Fraction present
+: Fraction more abundant than normal
-: Fraction less abundant than normal
N: Fraction not present

Secondary (soil):
SD: Sand (F: Fine; M: Medium; C: Coarse)
OC: Ochre
BN: Brown
BK: Black
Secondary Colour Modifier:
-: Fraction less abundant than normal
L: Light
M: Medium
D: Dark

## Detailed Gold Grain Log

VG: Visible gold grains
Thickness:
M: Actual measured thickness of grain ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ )
C: Thickness of grain ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) calculated from measured width and length

## Kimberlite Indicator Mineral (KIM) Log

GP: Purple to red peridotitic garnet (G9/10 Cr-pyrope)
GO: Orange mantle garnet; includes both eclogitic pyrope-almandine (G3) and Cr-poor megacrystic pyrope (G1/G2) varieties; may include unchecked (by SEM) grains of common crustal garnet (G5) lacking diagnostic inclusions or crystal faces
DC: Cr-diopside; distinctly emerald green (paler emerald green low-Cr diopside picked separately)
IM: Mg-ilmenite; may include unchecked (by SEM) grains of common crustal ilmenite lacking diagnostic inclusions or crystal faces
CR: Chromite
FO: Forsterite

## Metamorphosed/Magmatic Massive Sulphide Indicator Mineral (MMSIM)

 and Porphyry Cu Indicator Mineral (PCIM) Logs| Adr: Andradite | Cpx: Clinopyroxene | Gth: Goethite | PGM: Platinum group- | Sil: Sillimanite |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Ap: Apatite | Cpy: Chalcopyrite | Ilm: Ilmenite | bearing mineral | Spi: Spinel |
| Ase: Anatase | Cr: Chromite | Ky: Kyanite | Py: Pyrite | Sps: Spessartine |
| Aspy: Arsenopyrite | Fay: Fayalite | Mrc: Marcasite | REM: Rare earth- | St: Staurolite |
| Ax: Axinite | Gh: Gahnite | Mz: Monazite | bearing mineral | Tm: Tourmaline |
| Ba: Barite | Grs: Grossular | OI: Olivine | Rt: Red rutile | Ttn: Titanite |
|  |  | Opx: Orthopyroxene |  | Zir: Zircon |

## Other

HMC: Heavy mineral concentrate
EPD: Electric-pulse disaggregation
UV: Ultra-violet
PGE: Platinum group element

Primary Sample Processing Weights and Descriptions
Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 12
ODM Batch Number(s): 8314

| Sample Number | Weight (kg wet) |  |  |  |  | Screening and Shaking Table Sample Descriptions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Clasts (+2.0 mm) |  |  |  |  | Matrix (-2.0 mm) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Class |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Size | Percentage |  |  |  | Distribution |  |  |  |  | Colour |  |  |
|  | Bulk Rec'd | Archived Split | Table Split | $\begin{gathered} +2.0 \mathrm{~mm} \\ \text { Clasts } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -2.0 \mathrm{~mm} \\ \text { Table Feed } \end{gathered}$ |  | V/S | GR | LS | OT | S/U | SD | ST | CY | ORG | SD | CY |  |
| Unit 13 | 8.8 | 0.3 | 8.5 | 1.3 | 7.2 | P | 80 | 20 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | LOC | LOC | TILL |
| Unit 14 | 12.5 | 0.3 | 12.2 | 1.1 | 11.1 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | DOC | DOC | TILL |
| Unit 15 | 7.8 | 0.3 | 7.5 | 0.8 | 6.7 | G | 95 | 5 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 16 | 7.9 | 0.3 | 7.6 | 0.5 | 7.1 | G | 95 | 5 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 17 | 4.7 | 0.3 | 4.4 | 0.5 | 3.9 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 18 | 8.9 | 0.3 | 8.6 | 0.8 | 7.8 | G | 95 | 5 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | DOC | DOC | TILL |
| Unit 19 | 5.2 | 0.3 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 4.6 | G | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 20 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 4.7 | 0.4 | 4.3 | G | 95 | 5 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 21 | 9.8 | 0.3 | 9.5 | 0.6 | 8.9 | G | 100 | TR | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 22 | 9.8 | 0.3 | 9.5 | 0.5 | 9.0 | G | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 23 | 5.3 | 0.3 | 5.0 | 0.4 | 4.6 | P | 95 | 5 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 24 | 8.7 | 0.3 | 8.4 | 0.5 | 7.9 | G | 100 | TR | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 25 | 8.5 | 0.3 | 8.2 | 0.6 | 7.6 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 27 | 9.7 | 0.3 | 9.4 | 0.8 | 8.6 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 28 | 5.9 | 0.3 | 5.6 | 0.5 | 5.1 | G | 100 | TR | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 29 | 5.9 | 0.3 | 5.6 | 1.0 | 4.6 | C | 80 | 20 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 30 | 5.3 | 0.3 | 5.0 | 0.6 | 4.4 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 31 | 8.8 | 0.3 | 8.5 | 0.8 | 7.7 | P | 80 | 20 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 32 | 8.1 | 0.3 | 7.8 | 0.8 | 7.0 | P | 70 | 30 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | DOC | DOC | TILL |
| Unit 33 | 8.1 | 0.3 | 7.8 | 0.9 | 6.9 | P | 70 | 30 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | DOC | DOC | TILL |
| Unit 34 | 7.3 | 0.3 | 7.0 | 0.5 | 6.5 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | LOC | LOC | TILL |
| Unit 35 | 4.6 | 0.3 | 4.3 | 0.3 | 4.0 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 36 | 8.8 | 0.3 | 8.5 | 0.6 | 7.9 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | LOC | LOC | TILL |
| Unit 37 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 4.7 | 0.4 | 4.3 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 38 | 5.3 | 0.3 | 5.0 | 0.5 | 4.5 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 39 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 4.7 | 0.4 | 4.3 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | N | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 40 | 8.0 | 0.3 | 7.7 | 0.9 | 6.8 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 41 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 4.7 | 0.3 | 4.4 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | DOC | DOC | TILL |
| Unit 42 | 4.8 | 0.3 | 4.5 | 0.3 | 4.2 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 43 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 4.7 | 0.3 | 4.4 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 44 | 5.2 | 0.3 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 4.6 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | DOC | DOC | TILL |
| Unit 45 | 5.1 | 0.3 | 4.8 | 0.4 | 4.4 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | DOC | DOC | TILL |
| Unit 46 | 4.8 | 0.3 | 4.5 | 0.4 | 4.1 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | DOC | DOC | TILL |
| Unit 47 | 5.3 | 0.3 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 4.7 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | DOC | DOC | TILL |
| Unit 48 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 4.6 | 0.3 | 4.3 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 49 | 4.6 | 0.3 | 4.3 | 0.4 | 3.9 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | DOC | DOC | TILL |
| Unit 50 | 8.2 | 0.3 | 7.9 | 0.8 | 7.1 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | N | DOC | DOC | TILL |
| Unit 51 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 4.6 | 0.4 | 4.2 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 52 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 4.7 | 0.5 | 4.2 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 53 | 5.1 | 0.3 | 4.8 | 0.5 | 4.3 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | N | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 54 | 5.9 | 0.3 | 5.6 | 0.4 | 5.2 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | LOC | LOC | TILL |
| Unit 55 | 5.6 | 0.3 | 5.3 | 0.8 | 4.5 | G | 85 | 15 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | LOC | LOC | TILL |
| Unit 56 | 4.5 | 0.3 | 4.2 | 0.7 | 3.5 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 57 | 4.3 | 0.3 | 4.0 | 0.7 | 3.3 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 58 | 5.4 | 0.3 | 5.1 | 0.8 | 4.3 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 59 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 4.6 | 0.7 | 3.9 | G | 85 | 15 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 60 | 7.4 | 0.3 | 7.1 | 1.4 | 5.7 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 61 | 2.3 | 0.3 | 2.0 | 0.6 | 1.4 | G | 95 | 5 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | DOC | TILL |
| Unit 62 | 4.0 | 0.3 | 3.7 | 0.3 | 3.4 | G | 95 | 5 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 63 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 4.7 | 0.5 | 4.2 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 64 | 2.8 | 0.3 | 2.5 | 0.2 | 2.3 | G | 95 | 5 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | + | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 65 | 5.2 | 0.3 | 4.9 | 0.6 | 4.3 | G | 85 | 15 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 66 | 4.1 | 0.3 | 3.8 | 0.3 | 3.5 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 67 | 5.2 | 0.3 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 4.6 | G | 95 | 5 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 68 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 4.6 | 0.2 | 4.4 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 69 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 4.7 | 0.2 | 4.5 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 70 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 4.6 | 0.3 | 4.3 | G | 95 | 5 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 71 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 4.6 | 0.4 | 4.2 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | + | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 72 | 8.2 | 0.3 | 7.9 | 0.6 | 7.3 | G | 95 | 5 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | + | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 73 | 5.2 | 0.3 | 4.9 | 0.2 | 4.7 | G | 95 | 5 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | + | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 74 | 4.6 | 0.3 | 4.3 | 0.3 | 4.0 | G | 100 | TR | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 75 | 5.2 | 0.3 | 4.9 | 0.2 | 4.7 | G | 100 | TR | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 76 | 7.2 | 0.3 | 6.9 | 0.4 | 6.5 | G | 30 | 70 | 0 | TR | U | Y | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 77 | 4.8 | 0.3 | 4.5 | 0.3 | 4.2 | G | TR | 100 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 78 | 4.6 | 0.3 | 4.3 | 0.4 | 3.9 | G | TR | 100 | 0 | TR | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 79 | 5.1 | 0.3 | 4.8 | 0.5 | 4.3 | G | TR | 100 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |

## Primary Sample Processing Weights and Descriptions

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 12
ODM Batch Number(s): 8314

| Sample <br> Number | Weight (kg wet) |  |  |  |  | Screening and Shaking Table Sample Descriptions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Clasts (+2.0 mm) |  |  |  |  | Matrix (-2.0 mm) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Class |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Size | Percentage |  |  |  | Distribution |  |  |  |  | Colour |  |  |
|  | (1)Bulk Rec'd <br> $\begin{array}{c}\text { Archived } \\ \text { Split }\end{array}$ |  | Table Split | $\begin{aligned} & \text { +2.0 mm } \\ & \text { Clasts } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -2.0 \mathrm{~mm} \\ \text { Table Feed } \end{gathered}$ |  | V/S | GR | LS | OT | S/U | SD | ST | CY | ORG | SD | CY |  |
| Unit 80 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 4.6 | 0.4 | 4.2 | G | 70 | 30 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 81 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 4.6 | 0.3 | 4.3 | G | 80 | 20 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 82 | 4.4 | 0.3 | 4.1 | 0.3 | 3.8 | G | 80 | 20 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 83 | 5.2 | 0.3 | 4.9 | 0.4 | 4.5 | G | 80 | 20 | 0 | TR | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 84 | 8.3 | 0.3 | 8.0 | 0.5 | 7.5 | G | 40 | 60 | 0 | TR | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 85 | 2.5 | 0.3 | 2.2 | 0.2 | 2.0 | G | 30 | 70 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 86 | 7.9 | 0.3 | 7.6 | 0.6 | 7.0 | G | 70 | 30 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 87 | 5.6 | 0.3 | 5.3 | 0.2 | 5.1 | G | 100 | TR | 0 | TR | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 88 | 5.9 | 0.3 | 5.6 | 0.4 | 5.2 | G | 30 | 70 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 89 | 4.7 | 0.3 | 4.4 | 0.3 | 4.1 | G | 70 | 30 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 90 | 5.6 | 0.3 | 5.3 | 0.4 | 4.9 | G | 80 | 20 | 0 | TR | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 91 | 10.6 | 0.3 | 10.3 | 0.4 | 9.9 | G | 80 | 20 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 92 | 6.5 | 0.3 | 6.2 | 1.1 | 5.1 | P | 80 | 20 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 93 | 6.2 | 0.3 | 5.9 | 1.3 | 4.6 | P | 80 | 20 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 94 | 5.7 | 0.3 | 5.4 | 1.0 | 4.4 | P | 90 | 10 | TR | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 95 | 6.0 | 0.3 | 5.7 | 0.2 | 5.5 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | + | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 96 | 8.6 | 0.3 | 8.3 | 0.1 | 8.2 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 97 | 12.7 | 0.3 | 12.4 | 0.4 | 12.0 | P | 80 | 20 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | LOC | LOC | TILL |
| Unit 98 | 4.8 | 0.3 | 4.5 | 0.2 | 4.3 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 99 | 5.3 | 0.3 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 4.7 | G | 80 | 20 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 100 | 8.4 | 0.3 | 8.1 | 0.6 | 7.5 | P | 80 | 20 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | + | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 101 | 8.1 | 0.3 | 7.8 | 0.5 | 7.3 | G | 80 | 20 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | + | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 102 | 7.9 | 0.3 | 7.6 | 0.6 | 7.0 | P | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | + | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 103 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 4.6 | 0.2 | 4.4 | P | 70 | 30 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | + | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 104 | 7.5 | 0.3 | 7.2 | 0.4 | 6.8 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | + | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 105 | 7.6 | 0.3 | 7.3 | 0.7 | 6.6 | G | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | + | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 106 | 7.6 | 0.3 | 7.3 | 1.0 | 6.3 | G | 80 | 20 | 0 | 0 | U | + | Y | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 107 | 7.3 | 0.3 | 7.0 | 1.1 | 5.9 | P | 70 | 30 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | Y | Y | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 108 | 13.7 | 0.3 | 13.4 | 0.6 | 12.8 | G | 80 | 20 | 0 | 0 | U | Y | + | - | Y | OC | OC | TILL |
| Unit 01 | 2.6 | 0.1 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 2.5 |  |  | No Cla |  |  | U | + | - | N | N | OC | NA | TILL |
| Unit 02 | 3.3 | 0.1 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 3.2 |  |  | No Cla |  |  | U | + | - | N | N | OC | NA | TILL |
| Unit 03 | 3.4 | 0.1 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 3.3 |  |  | No Cla |  |  | U | + | - | N | N | OC | NA | TILL |
| Unit 04 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 1.9 |  |  | No Cla |  |  | U | + | - | N | N | OC | NA | TILL |
| Unit 05 | 1.9 | 0.1 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 1.8 |  |  | No Cla |  |  | U | + | - | N | N | OC | NA | TILL |
| Unit 06 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.8 |  |  | No Cla |  |  | U | + | - | N | N | OC | NA | TILL |
| Unit 07 | 2.4 | 0.1 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 2.3 |  |  | No Cla |  |  | U | + | - | N | N | OC | NA | TILL |
| Unit 08 | 3.4 | 0.1 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 3.3 |  |  | No Cla |  |  | U | + | - | N | N | OC | NA | TILL |
| Unit 09 | 1.6 | 0.1 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 1.5 |  |  | No Cla |  |  | U | + | - | N | N | OC | NA | TILL |
| Unit 10 | 3.1 | 0.1 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 |  |  | No Cla |  |  | U | + | - | N | N | LOC | NA | TILL |
| Unit 11 | 2.1 | 0.1 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 |  |  | No Cla |  |  | U | + | - | N | N | OC | NA | TILL |
| Unit 12 | 1.5 | 0.1 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 1.4 |  |  | No Cla |  |  | U | + | . | N | N | OC | NA | TILL |

## Gold Grain Summary

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 12
ODM Batch Number(s): 8314

| Sample Number | Number of Visible Gold Grains |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Nonmag } \\ & \text { HMC } \\ & \text { Weight } \end{aligned}$ | Calculated PPB Visible Gold in HMC |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Reshaped | Modified | Pristine |  | Total | Reshaped | Modified | Pristine |
| Unit 13 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 28.8 | 9 | 7 | 3 | 0 |
| Unit 14 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 44.4 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 16 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 28.4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Unit 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 19 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 18.4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Unit 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 25 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 30.4 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 27 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 34.4 | 72 | 72 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 28 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 20.4 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 29 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 18.4 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 31 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 30.8 | 125 | 125 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 32 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 28.0 | 50 | 50 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 33 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 27.6 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 34 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 26.0 | 129 | 129 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 36 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 31.6 | 597 | 597 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 37 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 17.2 | 36 | 36 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 39 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 17.2 | 87 | 87 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 40 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 27.2 | 24 | 24 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 41 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 17.6 | 32 | 32 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 42 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 16.8 | 192 | 67 | 125 | 0 |
| Unit 43 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 17.6 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 44 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 18.4 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 45 | 9 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 17.6 | 179 | 172 | 4 | 3 |
| Unit 46 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 16.4 | 24 | 24 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 47 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 18.8 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 48 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 17.2 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 49 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 15.6 | 90 | 90 | 0 | <1 |
| Unit 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 53 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 54 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 20.8 | 70 | 70 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 55 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 18.0 | 21 | 21 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 56 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 14.0 | <1 | 0 | 0 | <1 |
| Unit 57 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 13.2 | 2443 | 2443 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 58 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 59 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 15.6 | 23 | 23 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 60 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 22.8 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
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## Gold Grain Summary

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 12
ODM Batch Number(s): 8314

| Sample Number | Number of Visible Gold Grains |  |  |  | Nonmag HMC Weight* | Calculated PPB Visible Gold in HMC |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Reshaped | Modified | Pristine |  | Total | Reshaped | Modified | Pristine |
| Unit 61 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 62 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 13.6 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 63 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 16.8 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 64 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 65 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 17.2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 66 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 14.0 | 21 | 21 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 68 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 17.6 | 14 | 14 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 69 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 18.0 | 219 | 219 | <1 | 0 |
| Unit 70 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 17.2 | 14 | 14 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 71 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 72 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 29.2 | 28 | 28 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 73 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 18.8 | 41 | 41 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 74 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 76 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 26.0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 77 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 16.8 | 21 | 21 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 78 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 15.6 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 79 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 17.2 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 80 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 16.8 | 38 | 38 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 81 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 17.2 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 82 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 83 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 18.0 | 15 | 15 | 0 | <1 |
| Unit 84 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 30.0 | 14 | 13 | 0 | 1 |
| Unit 85 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8.0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 86 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 28.0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Unit 87 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 88 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 89 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 16.4 | <1 | 0 | 0 | <1 |
| Unit 90 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 19.6 | 34 | 34 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 91 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 92 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 93 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 18.4 | 48 | 48 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 94 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 95 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 96 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 32.8 | 17 | 11 | 0 | 6 |
| Unit 97 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 48.0 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 1 |
| Unit 98 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 99 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 100 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 30.0 | 14 | 1 | 12 | 1 |
| Unit 101 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 102 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 103 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 104 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 27.2 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 105 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 106 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 25.2 | 141 | 141 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 107 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
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## Gold Grain Summary

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 12
ODM Batch Number(s): 8314

| Sample Number | Number of Visible Gold Grains |  |  |  | Nonmag HMC Weight* | Calculated PPB Visible Gold in HMC |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Reshaped | Modified | Pristine |  | Total | Reshaped | Modified | Pristine |
| Unit 108 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 51.2 | 36 | 36 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 01 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 10.0 | 150 | 150 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 02 | 10 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 12.8 | 518 | 490 | 0 | 28 |
| Unit 03 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 13.2 | 115 | 115 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 04 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7.6 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| Unit 05 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7.2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Unit 06 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 07 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 9.2 | 409 | 409 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 08 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 13.2 | 159 | 159 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 09 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6.0 | 92 | 92 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 10 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 12.0 | 16 | 16 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unit 12 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5.6 | 13 | 13 | 0 | 0 |

* Calculated PPB Au based on assumed nonmagnetic HMC weight equivalent to $0.4 \%$ of the table feed.


## Detailed Gold Grain Data

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 12
ODM Batch Number(s): 8314

| Sample Number | Dimensions ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) |  |  | Number of Visible Gold Grains |  |  |  | Nonmag HMC Weight* (g) | Calculated <br> V.G. Assay <br> in HMC <br> (ppb) | Metallic Minerals in Pan Concentrate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Thickness | Width | Length | Reshaped | Modified | Pristine | Total |  |  |  |


| Unit 13 | 8 |  | 25 | 50 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 10 | C | 50 | 50 | 1 |
| Unit 14 | 10 | C | 50 | 50 | 1 |
| Unit 15 | No Visible Gold |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 16 | 5 | C | 25 | 25 |  |
| Unit 17 | No Visible Gold |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 18 | No Visible Gold |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 19 | 8 | C | 25 | 50 |  |
| Unit 20 | No Visible Gold |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 21 | No Visible Gold |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 22 | No Visible Gold |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 23 | No Visible Gold |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 24 | No Visible Gold |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 25 | 13 | C | 50 | 75 | 1 |
| Unit 27 | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \\ & 20 \\ & 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 50 \\ & 75 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 75 \\ 150 \\ 100 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| Unit 28 | 10 | C | 50 | 50 | 1 |
| Unit 29 | 10 | C | 50 | 50 | 1 |
| Unit 30 | No Visible Gold |  |  |  |  |


$\begin{array}{llll}1 & 1 & 1\end{array} \begin{aligned} & \text { No sulphides. } \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \end{aligned}$
No sulphides.

No sulphides.


No sulphides.

No sulphides.

No sulphides.

No sulphides.

No sulphides.

| 1 |  | 12 | No sulphides |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 30.4 | 12 |  |
| 1 |  | 10 | No sulphides. |
| 1 |  | 33 |  |
| 1 |  | 29 |  |
| 3 | 34.4 | 72 |  |
| 1 |  | 9 | No sulphides. |
| 1 | 20.4 | 9 |  |
| 1 |  | 10 | No sulphides. |
| 1 | 18.4 | 10 |  |

No sulphides.
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## Detailed Gold Grain Data

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 12

| Sample Number | Dimensions ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) |  |  |  | Num |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Thickness |  | Width | Length | Reshap |
| Unit 31 | 20 | C | 75 | 125 | 1 |
|  | 25 | C | 75 | 175 | 1 |
| Unit 32 | 20 | C | 75 | 125 | 1 |
| Unit 33 | 5 | C | 25 | 25 | 1 |
|  | 10 | C | 50 | 50 | 1 |
| Unit 34 | 15 | C | 50 | 100 | 1 |
|  | 25 | C | 100 | 150 | 1 |



Unit 35
No Visible Gold

| Unit 36 | 5 | C | 25 | 25 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15 | C | 50 | 100 | 1 |
|  | 44 | C | 200 | 275 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 37 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5 | C | 25 | 25 | 1 |
|  | 13 | C | 25 | 100 | 1 |
|  | 13 | C | 50 | 75 | 1 |


| Unit 38 | No Visible Gold |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 39 | 20 | C | 100 | 100 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 40 | 8 | C | 25 | 50 | 1 |
|  | 15 | $C$ | 50 | 100 | 1 |


| Unit 41 | 15 | C | 50 | 100 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit 42 | 3 | C | 15 | 15 | 2 |
|  | 5 | C | 25 | 25 | 2 |
|  | 8 | C | 25 | 50 | 1 |
|  | 18 | C | 75 | 100 | 1 |
|  | 22 | C | 100 | 125 |  |
| Unit 43 | 8 | C | 25 | 50 | 1 |
| Unit 44 | 3 | C | 15 | 15 | 1 |
|  | 13 | C | 50 | 75 | 1 |
| Unit 45 | 3 | C | 15 | 15 | 1 |
|  | 5 | C | 25 | 25 | 1 |
|  | 8 | C | 25 | 50 | 1 |
|  | 10 | C | 25 | 75 | 1 |



|  |  | 87 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | 17.2 | 87 |  |


$\begin{array}{cccc} & 1 & <1 & \text { No sulphides. } \\ 3 & 4 & \\ & 2 & 8 & \\ & 1 & 8 & \end{array}$

[^22]
## Detailed Gold Grain Data

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 12
ODM Batch Number(s): 8314

| Sample Number | Dimensions ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) |  |  | Number of Visible Gold Grains |  |  |  | Nonmag HMC Weight*$\qquad$ (g) | Calculated <br> V.G. Assay <br> in HMC <br> (ppb) | Metallic Minerals in Pan Concentrate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Thickness | Width | Length | Reshaped | Modified | Pristine | Total |  |  |  |
|  | 13 C | 50 | 75 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 20 |  |
|  | 25 C | 75 | 175 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 138 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 | 17.6 | 179 |  |

## Detailed Gold Grain Data

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 12
ODM Batch Number(s): 8314

| Sample Number | Dimensions ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) |  |  | Number of Visible Gold Grains |  |  |  | Nonmag <br> HMC <br> Weight* <br> (g) | Calculated <br> V.G. Assay <br> in HMC <br> (ppb) | Metallic Minerals in Pan Concentrate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Thickness | Width | Length | Reshaped | Modified | Pristine | Total |  |  |  |



* Calculated PPB Au based on assumed nonmagnetic HMC weight equivalent to $0.4 \%$ of the table feed.


## Detailed Gold Grain Data

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 12
ODM Batch Number(s): 8314

| Sample Number | Dimensions ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) |  |  | Number of Visible Gold Grains |  |  |  | Nonmag <br> HMC <br> Weight* <br> (g) | Calculated <br> V.G. Assay <br> in HMC <br> (ppb) | Metallic Minerals in Pan Concentrate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Thickness | Width | Length | Reshaped | Modified | Pristine | Total |  |  |  |


| Unit 63 | 8 | C | 25 | 50 | 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Unit 64
No Visible Gold

| Unit 65 | 5 | $C$ | 25 | 25 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 66 | 5 | $C$ | 25 | 25 | 1 |
|  | 8 | $C$ | 25 | 50 | 1 |
|  | 10 | C | 50 | 50 | 1 |

Unit 67
No Visible Gold

| 3 | $C$ | 15 | 15 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | $C$ | 25 | 25 | 2 |
| 10 | $C$ | 50 | 50 | 1 |



No sulphides.


## Detailed Gold Grain Data

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 12
ODM Batch Number(s): 8314

| Sample Number | Dimensions ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) |  |  | Number of Visible Gold Grains |  |  |  | Nonmag HMC Weight* (g) | Calculated <br> V.G. Assay in HMC (ppb) | Metallic Minerals in Pan Concentrate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Thickness | Width | Length | Reshaped | Modified | Pristine | Total |  |  |  |
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## Detailed Gold Grain Data

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 12
ODM Batch Number(s): 8314

|  | Dimensions ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) |  |  | Number of Visible Gold Grains |  |  |  | Nonmag HMC Weight* (g) | Calculated <br> V.G. Assay <br> in HMC <br> (ppb) | Metallic Minerals in Pan Concentrate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample Number | Thickness | Width | Length | Reshaped | Modified | Pristine | Total |  |  |  |
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## Detailed Gold Grain Data

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 12
ODM Batch Number(s): 8314

| Sample Number | Dimensions ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) |  |  | Number of Visible Gold Grains |  |  |  | Nonmag <br> HMC <br> Weight* <br> (g) | Calculated <br> V.G. Assay <br> in HMC (ppb) | Metallic Minerals in Pan Concentrate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Thickness | Width | Length | Reshaped | Modified | Pristine | Total |  |  |  |


| Unit 103 | No Visible Gold |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | No sulphides. <br> No sulphides. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit 104 | 8 | C | 25 | 50 | 1 | 1 |  | 3 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 27.2 | 3 |  |
| Unit 105 | No Vi | ble | Gold |  |  |  |  |  | No sulphides. |
| Unit 106 | 8 | C | 25 | 50 | 2 | 2 |  | 6 | No sulphides. |
|  | 15 | C | 75 | 75 | 1 | 1 |  | 25 |  |
|  | 25 | C | 100 | 150 | 1 | 1 |  | 110 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 25.2 | 141 |  |
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## Detailed Gold Grain Data

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 12
ODM Batch Number(s): 8314

| Sample Number | Dimensions ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) |  |  | Number of Visible Gold Grains |  |  |  | Nonmag HMC Weight* (g) | Calculated V.G. Assay in HMC (ppb) | Metallic Minerals in Pan Concentrate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Thickness | Width | Length | Reshaped | Modified | Pristine | Total |  |  |  |
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## Heavy Mineral Concentrate Processing Weights

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 12
ODM Batch Number(s): 8314
$\square$ Weight of -2.0 mm Table Concentrate $(\mathrm{g})$

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{5}{*}{Sample Number} \& \multicolumn{11}{|c|}{Weight of -2.0 mm Table Concentrate (g)} <br>
\hline \& \multirow[b]{4}{*}{Total} \& \multirow[b]{4}{*}{-0.25 mm} \& \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{0.25 to 2.0 mm Heavy Liquid Separation at S.G. 3.20} <br>
\hline \& \& \& \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Total} \& \multirow[b]{3}{*}{$$
\begin{array}{|c|}
\hline \text { Lights } \\
\text { S.G. }<3.2 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$} \& \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Total} \& \multirow[b]{3}{*}{$$
\begin{gathered}
-0.25 \mathrm{~mm} \\
\text { (wash) }
\end{gathered}
$$} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Mag} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{S.G.>3.2

Total} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Nonferromagnetic HMC}} <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>

\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& $$
\begin{gathered}
0.25 \text { to } 0.5 \\
\mathrm{~mm}
\end{gathered}
$$ \& \[

\underbrace{\mathrm{mm}}_{0.5 to 1.0}

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
1.0 \text { to } 2.0 \\
\mathrm{~mm}
\end{gathered}
$$
\] <br>

\hline Unit 13 \& 1298.6 \& 773.4 \& 525.2 \& 510.8 \& 14.4 \& 3.1 \& 2.0 \& 9.3 \& 5.8 \& 2.6 \& 0.9 <br>
\hline Unit 14 \& 1433.1 \& 924.7 \& 508.4 \& 498.4 \& 10.0 \& 2.3 \& 1.0 \& 6.7 \& 4.0 \& 1.9 \& 0.8 <br>
\hline Unit 15 \& 1274.7 \& 670.7 \& 604.0 \& 599.1 \& 4.9 \& 1.1 \& 0.5 \& 3.3 \& 1.8 \& 1.1 \& 0.4 <br>
\hline Unit 16 \& 1488.7 \& 977.5 \& 511.2 \& 507.2 \& 4.0 \& 1.3 \& 0.6 \& 2.1 \& 1.3 \& 0.6 \& 0.2 <br>
\hline Unit 17 \& 806.5 \& 518.3 \& 288.2 \& 262.3 \& 25.9 \& 10.9 \& 8.0 \& 7.0 \& 6.4 \& 0.6 \& 0.03 <br>
\hline Unit 18 \& 1525.8 \& 998.6 \& 527.2 \& 522.3 \& 4.9 \& 1.5 \& 0.4 \& 3.0 \& 1.9 \& 0.8 \& 0.3 <br>
\hline Unit 19 \& 1051.7 \& 515.9 \& 535.8 \& 535.1 \& 0.7 \& 0.3 \& 0.1 \& 0.3 \& 0.2 \& 0.1 \& 0.03 <br>
\hline Unit 20 \& 886.4 \& 493.5 \& 392.9 \& 390.9 \& 2.0 \& 0.7 \& 0.3 \& 1.0 \& 0.6 \& 0.3 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 21 \& 1339.8 \& 637.8 \& 702.0 \& 696.2 \& 5.8 \& 1.2 \& 0.5 \& 4.1 \& 2.4 \& 1.1 \& 0.6 <br>
\hline Unit 22 \& 1001.6 \& 714.7 \& 286.9 \& 280.2 \& 6.7 \& 1.6 \& 0.5 \& 4.6 \& 3.0 \& 1.3 \& 0.3 <br>
\hline Unit 23 \& 769.6 \& 535.8 \& 233.8 \& 230.7 \& 3.1 \& 0.9 \& 0.3 \& 1.9 \& 1.4 \& 0.4 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 24 \& 1271.7 \& 856.0 \& 415.7 \& 412.4 \& 3.3 \& 0.9 \& 0.3 \& 2.1 \& 1.4 \& 0.6 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 25 \& 980.9 \& 616.3 \& 364.6 \& 356.7 \& 7.9 \& 1.9 \& 1.2 \& 4.8 \& 3.0 \& 1.4 \& 0.4 <br>
\hline Unit 27 \& 1211.0 \& 799.6 \& 411.4 \& 406.0 \& 5.4 \& 1.3 \& 0.6 \& 3.5 \& 2.3 \& 1.0 \& 0.2 <br>
\hline Unit 28 \& 1219.9 \& 588.9 \& 631.0 \& 629.3 \& 1.7 \& 0.5 \& 0.2 \& 1.0 \& 0.7 \& 0.2 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 29 \& 817.5 \& 635.4 \& 182.1 \& 176.1 \& 6.0 \& 1.3 \& 0.5 \& 4.2 \& 2.9 \& 1.1 \& 0.2 <br>
\hline Unit 30 \& 879.0 \& 581.0 \& 298.0 \& 292.9 \& 5.1 \& 1.1 \& 0.6 \& 3.4 \& 2.2 \& 0.9 \& 0.3 <br>
\hline Unit 31 \& 1110.8 \& 778.9 \& 331.9 \& 325.1 \& 6.8 \& 1.1 \& 0.5 \& 5.2 \& 3.6 \& 1.3 \& 0.3 <br>
\hline Unit 32 \& 1404.1 \& 968.8 \& 435.3 \& 425.5 \& 9.8 \& 2.3 \& 0.3 \& 7.2 \& 6.4 \& 0.7 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 33 \& 1095.8 \& 806.8 \& 289.0 \& 287.1 \& 1.9 \& 0.6 \& 0.2 \& 1.1 \& 0.9 \& 0.2 \& 0.01 <br>
\hline Unit 34 \& 728.8 \& 627.0 \& 101.8 \& 93.1 \& 8.7 \& 0.9 \& 0.8 \& 7.0 \& 5.3 \& 1.4 \& 0.3 <br>
\hline Unit 35 \& 832.8 \& 596.6 \& 236.2 \& 232.2 \& 4.0 \& 0.6 \& 0.4 \& 3.0 \& 2.0 \& 0.8 \& 0.2 <br>
\hline Unit 36 \& 960.4 \& 699.8 \& 260.6 \& 250.1 \& 10.5 \& 1.5 \& 1.8 \& 7.2 \& 5.0 \& 1.7 \& 0.5 <br>
\hline Unit 37 \& 605.7 \& 405.6 \& 200.1 \& 194.6 \& 5.5 \& 0.8 \& 0.6 \& 4.1 \& 2.6 \& 1.0 \& 0.5 <br>
\hline Unit 38 \& 966.6 \& 654.5 \& 312.1 \& 309.7 \& 2.4 \& 0.7 \& 0.4 \& 1.3 \& 1.1 \& 0.2 \& 0.02 <br>
\hline Unit 39 \& 716.0 \& 489.1 \& 226.9 \& 225.0 \& 1.9 \& 0.5 \& 0.2 \& 1.2 \& 0.8 \& 0.3 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 40 \& 1044.0 \& 663.9 \& 380.1 \& 373.6 \& 6.5 \& 1.5 \& 1.3 \& 3.7 \& 2.4 \& 0.9 \& 0.4 <br>
\hline Unit 41 \& 832.5 \& 507.1 \& 325.4 \& 322.9 \& 2.5 \& 0.4 \& 0.3 \& 1.8 \& 1.2 \& 0.4 \& 0.2 <br>
\hline Unit 42 \& 1004.5 \& 641.3 \& 363.2 \& 359.5 \& 3.7 \& 0.4 \& 0.5 \& 2.8 \& 1.7 \& 0.8 \& 0.3 <br>
\hline Unit 43 \& 922.3 \& 555.1 \& 367.2 \& 364.0 \& 3.2 \& 0.4 \& 0.5 \& 2.3 \& 1.8 \& 0.4 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 44 \& 952.2 \& 657.2 \& 295.0 \& 290.5 \& 4.5 \& 0.6 \& 0.5 \& 3.4 \& 2.5 \& 0.8 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 45 \& 887.5 \& 627.9 \& 259.6 \& 256.8 \& 2.8 \& 0.4 \& 0.1 \& 2.3 \& 1.5 \& 0.6 \& 0.2 <br>
\hline Unit 46 \& 846.8 \& 619.1 \& 227.7 \& 225.7 \& 2.0 \& 0.2 \& 0.3 \& 1.5 \& 1.0 \& 0.4 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 47 \& 859.5 \& 594.2 \& 265.3 \& 262.2 \& 3.1 \& 0.4 \& 0.4 \& 2.3 \& 1.3 \& 0.7 \& 0.3 <br>
\hline Unit 48 \& 734.9 \& 508.9 \& 226.0 \& 223.5 \& 2.5 \& 0.2 \& 0.3 \& 2.0 \& 1.4 \& 0.5 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 49 \& 941.8 \& 653.0 \& 288.8 \& 284.7 \& 4.1 \& 0.5 \& 0.6 \& 3.0 \& 2.0 \& 0.7 \& 0.3 <br>
\hline Unit 50 \& 912.5 \& 619.4 \& 293.1 \& 288.5 \& 4.6 \& 0.4 \& 0.9 \& 3.3 \& 2.5 \& 0.7 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 51 \& 989.2 \& 670.1 \& 319.1 \& 317.2 \& 1.9 \& 0.3 \& 0.2 \& 1.4 \& 1.0 \& 0.3 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 52 \& 1046.1 \& 761.7 \& 284.4 \& 281.7 \& 2.7 \& 0.1 \& 0.6 \& 2.0 \& 1.3 \& 0.5 \& 0.2 <br>
\hline Unit 53 \& 767.2 \& 518.8 \& 248.4 \& 245.6 \& 2.8 \& 1.2 \& 0.4 \& 1.2 \& 0.8 \& 0.3 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 54 \& 833.6 \& 539.7 \& 293.9 \& 285.6 \& 8.3 \& 1.0 \& 1.1 \& 6.2 \& 3.9 \& 1.6 \& 0.7 <br>
\hline Unit 55 \& 622.1 \& 443.2 \& 178.9 \& 174.2 \& 4.7 \& 1.0 \& 0.6 \& 3.1 \& 1.9 \& 0.9 \& 0.3 <br>
\hline Unit 56 \& 387.4 \& 271.4 \& 116.0 \& 113.1 \& 2.9 \& 0.6 \& 0.3 \& 2.0 \& 1.3 \& 0.5 \& 0.2 <br>
\hline Unit 57 \& 542.0 \& 369.5 \& 172.5 \& 169.7 \& 2.8 \& 0.8 \& 0.2 \& 1.8 \& 1.1 \& 0.4 \& 0.3 <br>
\hline Unit 58 \& 688.6 \& 470.3 \& 218.3 \& 217.0 \& 1.3 \& 0.2 \& 0.1 \& 1.0 \& 0.6 \& 0.3 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 59 \& 492.4 \& 384.4 \& 108.0 \& 104.5 \& 3.5 \& 0.5 \& 0.2 \& 2.8 \& 2.0 \& 0.6 \& 0.2 <br>
\hline Unit 60 \& 776.0 \& 528.2 \& 247.8 \& 244.4 \& 3.4 \& 0.6 \& 0.4 \& 2.4 \& 1.5 \& 0.7 \& 0.2 <br>
\hline Unit 61 \& 340.4 \& 189.5 \& 150.9 \& 150.0 \& 0.9 \& 0.2 \& 0.4 \& 0.3 \& 0.2 \& 0.1 \& 0.04 <br>
\hline Unit 62 \& 446.7 \& 340.4 \& 106.3 \& 104.4 \& 1.9 \& 0.6 \& 0.1 \& 1.2 \& 0.9 \& 0.3 \& 0.03 <br>
\hline Unit 63 \& 772.7 \& 535.1 \& 237.6 \& 234.9 \& 2.7 \& 0.5 \& 0.1 \& 2.1 \& 1.4 \& 0.6 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 64 \& 572.5 \& 367.0 \& 205.5 \& 203.0 \& 2.5 \& 0.4 \& 0.2 \& 1.9 \& 1.1 \& 0.6 \& 0.2 <br>
\hline Unit 65 \& 797.4 \& 527.8 \& 269.6 \& 263.7 \& 5.9 \& 0.9 \& 0.4 \& 4.6 \& 2.8 \& 1.3 \& 0.5 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

## Heavy Mineral Concentrate Processing Weights

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 12
ODM Batch Number(s): 8314
$\square$ Weight of -2.0 mm Table Concentrate (g)

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{5}{*}{Sample Number} \& \multicolumn{11}{|c|}{Weight of -2.0 mm Table Concentrate (g)} \\
\hline \& \multirow[b]{4}{*}{Total} \& \multirow[b]{4}{*}{-0.25 mm} \& \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{0.25 to 2.0 mm Heavy Liquid Separation at S.G. 3.20} \\
\hline \& \& \& \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Total} \& \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Lights } \\
\text { S.G. }<3.2 \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
\]} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Total} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{c} 
\\
\begin{tabular}{c}
-0.25 mm \\
(wash)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Mag} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{S.G.>3.

Total} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Nonferromagnetic HMC}} <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>

\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& $$
\begin{gathered}
0.25 \text { to } 0.5 \\
\mathrm{~mm}
\end{gathered}
$$ \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
0.5 \text { to } 1.0 \\
\mathrm{~mm}
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
1.0 \text { to } 2.0 \\
\mathrm{~mm}
\end{gathered}
$$
\] <br>

\hline Unit 66 \& 451.0 \& 306.8 \& 144.2 \& 141.4 \& 2.8 \& 0.4 \& 0.1 \& 2.3 \& 1.2 \& 0.8 \& 0.3 <br>
\hline Unit 67 \& 485.3 \& 291.2 \& 194.1 \& 191.2 \& 2.9 \& 0.5 \& 0.5 \& 1.9 \& 1.1 \& 0.6 \& 0.2 <br>
\hline Unit 68 \& 683.6 \& 482.1 \& 201.5 \& 197.9 \& 3.6 \& 0.4 \& 0.5 \& 2.7 \& 1.5 \& 0.8 \& 0.4 <br>
\hline Unit 69 \& 806.8 \& 541.1 \& 265.7 \& 261.4 \& 4.3 \& 0.5 \& 0.4 \& 3.4 \& 2.1 \& 0.9 \& 0.4 <br>
\hline Unit 70 \& 613.1 \& 378.3 \& 234.8 \& 232.8 \& 2.0 \& 0.5 \& 0.3 \& 1.2 \& 0.9 \& 0.2 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 71 \& 504.0 \& 366.7 \& 137.3 \& 134.5 \& 2.8 \& 0.7 \& 0.5 \& 1.6 \& 1.1 \& 0.4 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 72 \& 570.6 \& 337.5 \& 233.1 \& 225.2 \& 7.9 \& 1.0 \& 0.6 \& 6.3 \& 3.5 \& 2.5 \& 0.3 <br>
\hline Unit 73 \& 238.8 \& 175.1 \& 63.7 \& 61.5 \& 2.2 \& 0.4 \& 0.2 \& 1.6 \& 1.2 \& 0.3 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 74 \& 906.4 \& 531.1 \& 375.3 \& 373.5 \& 1.8 \& 0.6 \& 0.1 \& 1.1 \& 0.7 \& 0.3 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 75 \& 840.2 \& 607.0 \& 233.2 \& 231.8 \& 1.4 \& 0.3 \& 0.1 \& 1.0 \& 0.7 \& 0.2 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 76 \& 1042.0 \& 566.8 \& 475.2 \& 470.0 \& 5.2 \& 1.5 \& 0.7 \& 3.0 \& 1.7 \& 0.9 \& 0.4 <br>
\hline Unit 77 \& 894.6 \& 446.9 \& 447.7 \& 446.0 \& 1.7 \& 0.6 \& 0.2 \& 0.9 \& 0.6 \& 0.2 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 78 \& 533.4 \& 308.1 \& 225.3 \& 223.3 \& 2.0 \& 0.6 \& 0.3 \& 1.1 \& 0.7 \& 0.3 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 79 \& 660.4 \& 333.6 \& 326.8 \& 322.8 \& 4.0 \& 1.1 \& 0.4 \& 2.5 \& 1.4 \& 0.8 \& 0.3 <br>
\hline Unit 80 \& 843.5 \& 361.6 \& 481.9 \& 477.2 \& 4.7 \& 1.1 \& 0.6 \& 3.0 \& 1.6 \& 1.0 \& 0.4 <br>
\hline Unit 81 \& 792.9 \& 419.3 \& 373.6 \& 371.2 \& 2.4 \& 0.7 \& 0.2 \& 1.5 \& 0.9 \& 0.4 \& 0.2 <br>
\hline Unit 82 \& 824.9 \& 376.8 \& 448.1 \& 441.4 \& 6.7 \& 3.3 \& 0.7 \& 2.7 \& 2.3 \& 0.3 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 83 \& 712.5 \& 375.2 \& 337.3 \& 335.2 \& 2.1 \& 0.6 \& 0.2 \& 1.3 \& 0.9 \& 0.3 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 84 \& 945.7 \& 464.3 \& 481.4 \& 470.9 \& 10.5 \& 2.3 \& 1.1 \& 7.1 \& 4.3 \& 2.2 \& 0.6 <br>
\hline Unit 85 \& 517.4 \& 257.2 \& 260.2 \& 259.1 \& 1.1 \& 0.4 \& 0.1 \& 0.6 \& 0.4 \& 0.1 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 86 \& 1087.2 \& 411.4 \& 675.8 \& 671.8 \& 4.0 \& 1.4 \& 0.6 \& 2.0 \& 1.3 \& 0.5 \& 0.2 <br>
\hline Unit 87 \& 816.1 \& 417.1 \& 399.0 \& 397.3 \& 1.7 \& 0.6 \& 0.1 \& 1.0 \& 0.7 \& 0.2 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 88 \& 833.7 \& 344.7 \& 489.0 \& 486.8 \& 2.2 \& 0.7 \& 0.3 \& 1.2 \& 0.8 \& 0.3 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 89 \& 737.8 \& 332.9 \& 404.9 \& 403.4 \& 1.5 \& 0.4 \& 0.2 \& 0.9 \& 0.6 \& 0.2 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 90 \& 681.8 \& 343.5 \& 338.3 \& 334.9 \& 3.4 \& 1.3 \& 0.3 \& 1.8 \& 1.2 \& 0.5 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 91 \& 1114.7 \& 394.9 \& 719.8 \& 713.1 \& 6.7 \& 2.4 \& 0.8 \& 3.5 \& 2.1 \& 0.9 \& 0.5 <br>
\hline Unit 92 \& 777.3 \& 351.1 \& 426.2 \& 422.1 \& 4.1 \& 1.4 \& 0.5 \& 2.2 \& 1.4 \& 0.6 \& 0.2 <br>
\hline Unit 93 \& 1084.3 \& 511.6 \& 572.7 \& 568.4 \& 4.3 \& 1.4 \& 0.6 \& 2.3 \& 1.5 \& 0.6 \& 0.2 <br>
\hline Unit 94 \& 743.3 \& 493.9 \& 249.4 \& 247.4 \& 2.0 \& 0.5 \& 0.1 \& 1.4 \& 0.9 \& 0.4 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 95 \& 1053.7 \& 778.0 \& 275.7 \& 274.1 \& 1.6 \& 0.4 \& 0.1 \& 1.1 \& 0.8 \& 0.3 \& 0.03 <br>
\hline Unit 96 \& 577.0 \& 412.3 \& 164.7 \& 163.1 \& 1.6 \& 0.5 \& 0.1 \& 1.0 \& 0.8 \& 0.2 \& 0.01 <br>
\hline Unit 97 \& 1252.1 \& 1069.9 \& 182.2 \& 172.8 \& 9.4 \& 2.2 \& 0.2 \& 7.0 \& 5.5 \& 1.3 \& 0.2 <br>
\hline Unit 98 \& 641.9 \& 433.3 \& 208.6 \& 207.2 \& 1.4 \& 0.4 \& 0.1 \& 0.9 \& 0.7 \& 0.2 \& 0.03 <br>
\hline Unit 99 \& 607.9 \& 445.3 \& 162.6 \& 159.7 \& 2.9 \& 0.9 \& 0.2 \& 1.8 \& 1.3 \& 0.4 \& 0.08 <br>
\hline Unit 100 \& 836.5 \& 676.1 \& 160.4 \& 156.4 \& 4.0 \& 1.3 \& 0.2 \& 2.5 \& 1.8 \& 0.6 \& 0.06 <br>
\hline Unit 101 \& 540.1 \& 293.6 \& 246.5 \& 243.8 \& 2.7 \& 0.8 \& 0.4 \& 1.5 \& 1.1 \& 0.3 \& 0.07 <br>
\hline Unit 102 \& 635.3 \& 483.7 \& 151.6 \& 146.4 \& 5.2 \& 1.1 \& 0.4 \& 3.7 \& 2.6 \& 1.0 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 103 \& 511.4 \& 348.8 \& 162.6 \& 160.5 \& 2.1 \& 0.7 \& 0.1 \& 1.3 \& 1.0 \& 0.3 \& 0.03 <br>
\hline Unit 104 \& 984.9 \& 749.9 \& 235.0 \& 231.6 \& 3.4 \& 1.0 \& 0.3 \& 2.1 \& 1.4 \& 0.6 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 105 \& 854.4 \& 621.4 \& 233.0 \& 229.8 \& 3.2 \& 0.8 \& 0.3 \& 2.1 \& 1.4 \& 0.6 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 106 \& 1457.3 \& 822.2 \& 635.1 \& 630.1 \& 5.0 \& 1.3 \& 0.4 \& 3.3 \& 2.3 \& 0.9 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 107 \& 1037.1 \& 591.5 \& 445.6 \& 441.8 \& 3.8 \& 0.9 \& 0.3 \& 2.6 \& 1.7 \& 0.7 \& 0.2 <br>
\hline Unit 108 \& 1155.0 \& 925.0 \& 230.0 \& 220.9 \& 9.1 \& 1.7 \& 0.5 \& 6.9 \& 4.6 \& 1.7 \& 0.6 <br>
\hline Unit 01 \& 823.2 \& 431.6 \& 391.6 \& 387.6 \& 4.0 \& 0.7 \& 0.5 \& 2.8 \& 1.6 \& 0.7 \& 0.5 <br>
\hline Unit 02 \& 995.2 \& 611.0 \& 384.2 \& 372.8 \& 11.4 \& 1.6 \& 2.0 \& 7.8 \& 4.5 \& 2.2 \& 1.1 <br>
\hline Unit 03 \& 1153.8 \& 731.1 \& 422.7 \& 415.5 \& 7.2 \& 0.8 \& 1.0 \& 5.4 \& 3.0 \& 1.6 \& 0.8 <br>
\hline Unit 04 \& 678.7 \& 418.4 \& 260.3 \& 255.9 \& 4.4 \& 0.8 \& 1.4 \& 2.2 \& 1.2 \& 0.7 \& 0.3 <br>
\hline Unit 05 \& 691.5 \& 393.0 \& 298.5 \& 294.5 \& 4.0 \& 0.6 \& 0.6 \& 2.8 \& 1.7 \& 0.8 \& 0.3 <br>
\hline Unit 06 \& 341.3 \& 174.3 \& 167.0 \& 165.0 \& 2.0 \& 0.6 \& 0.9 \& 0.5 \& 0.3 \& 0.1 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 07 \& 914.4 \& 495.7 \& 418.7 \& 414.5 \& 4.2 \& 0.5 \& 0.7 \& 3.0 \& 1.8 \& 0.8 \& 0.4 <br>
\hline Unit 08 \& 1167.2 \& 668.1 \& 499.1 \& 487.5 \& 11.6 \& 1.2 \& 1.7 \& 8.7 \& 4.3 \& 2.9 \& 1.5 <br>
\hline Unit 09 \& 589.6 \& 385.1 \& 204.5 \& 202.7 \& 1.8 \& 0.3 \& 0.3 \& 1.2 \& 0.7 \& 0.4 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline Unit 10 \& 862.6 \& 634.8 \& 227.8 \& 222.5 \& 5.3 \& 0.8 \& 0.4 \& 4.1 \& 2.5 \& 1.1 \& 0.5 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

## Heavy Mineral Concentrate Processing Weights

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 12
ODM Batch Number(s): 8314

| Sample Number | Weight of -2.0 mm Table Concentrate (g) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.25 to 2.0 mm Heavy Liquid Separation at S.G. 3.20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | -0.25 mm | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Lights } \\ \text { S.G. }<3.2 \end{gathered}$ | Total | $\begin{gathered} -0.25 \mathrm{~mm} \\ \text { (wash) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | HMC S.G.>3.20 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Mag | Total | Nonferromagnetic HMC |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.25 \text { to } 0.5 \\ \mathrm{~mm} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.5 \text { to } 1.0 \\ \mathrm{~mm} \end{gathered}$ | $1.0 \text { to } 2.0$ mm |
| Unit 11 | 828.8 | 564.6 | 264.2 | 260.7 | 3.5 | 0.7 |  |  | 1.6 | 0.6 | 0.3 |
| Unit 12 | 623.9 | 387.0 | 236.9 | 234.0 | 2.9 | 0.6 |  |  | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.2 |


| Sample Number | Number of Grains |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Selected MMSIMs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.0 to 2.0 mm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{\mathrm{KlMs}}{} 0.5$ to 1.0 mm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.0 to 2.0 mm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Low-Cr diopside |  |  |  | Gh |  | Low-Cr diopside |  | Cpy |  | Gh |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Low-Cr } \\ \text { diopside } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | Cpy |  | Gh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | GP |  | GO |  | DC |  | 1 M |  | CR |  | FO |  | GP |  | Tic\| ${ }_{\text {T }}^{\text {GO }}$ |  | DC |  | IM |  | CR |  | T ${ }^{\text {T }}$ FO | GP |  |  |  | T ${ }_{\text {L }}^{\text {D }}$ |  | 1 M |  | CR |  | FO |  |  |
|  |  |  | T | \| P |  | 1 P | T. | \| P | T | \| $P$ |  | P P | T | \| P |  | \| $P$ |  | \| $P$ | T | P | T | \| $P$ | T | \| $P$ | T | P $P$ | T | P | T | \|P |  | \| $P$ | T | P | T | P T |  |  |  | P ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | T GO |  | T ${ }^{\text {P }}$ |  |  |  | T/ $\mathrm{P}^{\text {P }}$ |  | T\| ${ }^{\text {T }}$ P |  | T ${ }^{\text {F }}$ P |  |  |  |
| Unit 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 21 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 00 | 0 | 1212 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 29 |  |
| Unit 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 30 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 22 | 21 | 1111 | 10 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 36 | 36 |  |
| Unit 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 00 | 01 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 18 |  |
| Unit 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |  | 1 | 1 | 00 | 08 | 88 | 10 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 25 | 25 |  |
| Unit 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 01 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 3 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | , | 00 | 05 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 |  |
| Unit 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |  |
| Unit 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 5 | 5 |  |
| Unit 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | - | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 00 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 8 |  |
| Unit 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 |  | 0 |  | 10 | 10 |  |
| Unit 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 10 | 00 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 14 | 14 |  |
| Unit 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 0 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 11 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 19 |  |
| Unit 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 00 | 1 |  | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | $1{ }^{1} 1$ |  | 44 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 26 |  |
| Unit 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1515 | 12 | 12 | 4 | 4 | 42 | 42 |  |
| Unit 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 44 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 50 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 00 | 010 | 1010 | 12 | 12 | 2 | 2 | 35 | 35 |  |
| Unit 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 20 | 0 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 0 | 08 | 88 | 8 |  | 1 | 1 | 21 | 21 |  |
| Unit 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 20 | 0 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 00 |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 27 |  |
| Unit 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | 1 | 1 | 00 | 05 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 12 |  |
| Unit 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 3 |  | 0 |  | 12 | 12 |  |
| Unit 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 1212 | 15 | 15 | 10 | 10 | 52 | 52 |  |
| Unit 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 0 0 | 0 |  | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 13 | 13 |  |
| Unit 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 10 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  | 17 | 17 |  |
| Unit 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 20 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 0 | 00 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 1 |  | 13 | 13 |  |
| Unit 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 00 | 0 | 3 | - | 8 | 1 |  | 15 | 15 |  |
| Unit 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 0 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 1 |  | 11 | 11 |  |
| Unit 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |  |  | 1 |  | 19 | 19 |  |
| Unit 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  | 17 | 17 |  |
| Unit 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 01 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 5 | 5 | 2 |  | 14 | 14 |  |
| Unit 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 2 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 0 | 0 | $1{ }^{1} 1$ | 5 | 5 | 0 |  | 9 | 9 |  |
| Unit 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | , | 0 | 0 | 0 0 | 0 <br> 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 8 |  |
| Unit 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |  | 1 | , | 1 | 13 | 3 |  |  |  |  | 12 | 12 |  |
| Unit 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 22 |  | 4 |  | 3 | 2 |  |  | 18 |  |
| Unit 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 4 | 4 | , |  | 20 | 20 |  |
| Unit 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 30 | 0 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 1 | 14 | 44 | 4 | 4 | - |  | 12 | 12 |  |
| Unit 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 6 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 17 | 17 |  |
| Unit 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 |  | 3 | - | 11 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 10 | , |  | 19 | 19 |  |
| Unit 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 77 | 10 |  | 0 |  | 19 | 19 |  |
| Unit 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | , | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |  | 8 | 1 |  | 15 | 15 |  |
| Unit 53 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 0 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 00 | 05 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 13 |  |
| Unit 54 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 3 | 3 | , | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 11 11 <br> 8  | 6 | 6 | 2 |  | 26 | 26 |  |
| Unit 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |  | 2 |  | 0 | 0 | 8 | 1 |  | 3 |  | 21 | 21 |  |
| Unit 56 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $6{ }^{6}$ | 11 | 11 | 1 |  |  | 22 |  |
| Unit 57 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 3 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1414 | 13 | 13 | 2 | 2 | 36 | 36 |  |
| Unit 58 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 - |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 13 |  |

Nie Name. 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 12


## Kimberlite Indicator Mineral Counts

竍 otal Number of Samples in this Report: 12

| Sample Number | Number of Grains |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Selected MMSIMs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | KIMs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.0 to 2.0 m |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.0 to 2.0 mm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.5 to 1.0 mm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.25 to 0.5 mm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { (KIMs) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | Low-Cr diopside T |  | Cpy |  | Gh |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Low-Cr } \\ \text { diopside } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Cpy |  | Gh |  | Low-Cr diopside |  | Cpy |  | Gh |  | GP |  | GO |  | DC |  | 1 M |  | CR |  | FO |  | GP |  | GO |  | DC |  | 1 M |  | CR |  | FO |  | ${ }_{\text {T }}^{\text {GP }}$ P |  |  | GO |  | c |  | IM |  | CR |  | FO |  |  |
|  |  |  | T | P | T | P | T | P | T | P | T | P | T | P | T | P | T | P | T | P | T | P | T | P | T | P | T | P | T | \| $P$ | T | P | T | P | T | P | T | P | T | P |  |  | T $\mathrm{P}^{\text {P }}$ | T\| ${ }^{\text {P }}$ |  | T 1 P |  | T\| ${ }^{\text {T }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 104 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 2 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 9 | 6 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 19 |
| Unit 105 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 8 |
| Unit 106 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 44 | 1 | 1 | 13 | 13 |
| Unit 107 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 88 | 1 |  | 20 | 20 |
| Unit 108 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 111 | 1 | 1 | 33 | 33 |
| Unit 01 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | $3{ }^{3}$ | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 |
| Unit 02 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $1 \begin{array}{ll}1 \\ & 1\end{array}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 15 |
| Unit 03 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 3 | $3{ }^{3}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | , | 5 | 5 | 11 | 1111 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 25 |
| Unit 04 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 05 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | $3{ }^{3}$ | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| Unit 06 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Unit 07 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 |
| Unit 08 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 10 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 18 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 00 | 30 | 20 | 3 | 3 | 120 | 20 | 8 | 88 | 0 | 0 | 341 | 141 |
| Unit 09 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 22 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 77 | 1 | 1 | 15 | 15 |
| Unit 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 6 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 8 | 88 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 24 |
| Unit 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 9 | 3 | $3{ }^{3}$ | 0 | 0 | 18 | 18 |
| Unit 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $1 \begin{array}{lll}6 \\ 1 & 1\end{array}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | \| 6 | 6 6 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 |

$\mathrm{P}=$ Number of picked grains in sample.

## Kimberlite Indicator Mineral Remarks

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 12
ODM Batch Number(s): 8314
Sample Number

| Unit 13 |
| :---: |
|  |
|  |
|  |
| Unit 14 |

Unit 14

Unit 15

Unit 16

Unit 17
Unt


Unit 18

Unit 19

Unit 20

Unit 21

Unit 22

Unit 23

Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 1 FO versus diopside candidate $=1$ FO. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); 6 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2 \mathrm{IM}$ and 4 CR ; and 1 FO versus diopside candidate $=1 \mathrm{FO}$.

Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1$ grossular. SEM check from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1 \mathrm{IM}$.

Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 5 GO versus grossular candidates $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope) and 4 grossular; and 5 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2 \mathrm{IM}, 1$ crustal ilmenite and 2 CR .3 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles.

Orthopyroxene-fayalite-ilmenite/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1 \mathrm{IM}$.; 5 fayalite (major paramagnetic assemblange mineral) candidates = 5 fayalite; and 5 orthopyroxene (major paramagnetic assemblage mineral) versus augite candidates $=5$ orthopyroxene.

Almandine-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); and 2 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1 \mathrm{IM}$ and 1 crustal ilmenite.

Almandine/epidote-diopside assemblage.

Almandine/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 GO versus staurolite candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope).

Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 1 FO versus diopside candidate $=1 \mathrm{FO}$. SEM check from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 FO versus diopside candidates $=$ 2 FO.

Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 5 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1 \mathrm{IM}, 3$ crustal ilmenite and 1 CR . Sole IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction has partial alteration mantle.

Amandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction has partial alteration mantle.

## Kimberlite Indicator Mineral Remarks

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 12
ODM Batch Number(s): 8314
Sample Number

| Unit 24 | Al |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | cand |
|  | 3 |
|  |  |
|  | Unit 25 |

Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 1 GO versus almandine candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope). SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 2 GP verus almandine candidates $=2 \mathrm{GP}$; and 6 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1 \mathrm{IM}, 2$ crustal ilmenite and 3 CR .

Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); 3 FO versus epidote candidates $=2$ FO and 1 epidote. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); 1 IM versus CR candidate $=1 \mathrm{CR}$; and 1 FO versus diopside candidate $=1 \mathrm{FO}$. Sole IM from 1.0-2.0 mm, both IM from 0.5-1.0 mm , and 1 GP and 5 IM from 0.25-0.5 fractions have partial alteration mantles.

Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus staurolite candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope). Sole IM from 0.5-1.0 mm and 1 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fractions have partial alteration mantles.

Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. Sole IM from 1.0-2.0 mm; 2 IM from 0.5-1.0 mm; and 5 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fractions have partial alteration mantles.

Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 13 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=4 \mathrm{IM}, 4$ crustal ilmenite and 5 CR ; and 3 FO versus epidote candidates $=1 \mathrm{FO}$ and 2 epidote. 1 IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$; 1 GP and 5 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles.

Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 3 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1 \mathrm{IM}$ and 2 CR . Sole IM from 1.0-2.0 mm; 1 IM from 0.5-1.0 mm and 2 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fractions have partial alteration mantles.

Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 GP versus almandine candidate $=1 \mathrm{GP}$; and 3 GO versus grossular candidates $=3$ grossular. 1 GP from 0.51.0 mm and 2 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles.

## Kimberlite Indicator Mineral Remarks

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 12
ODM Batch Number(s): 8314
Sample Number Remarks

| Unit 35 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Unit 36 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 GP versus almandine candidate $=1 \mathrm{GP} ; 1 \mathrm{GO}$ versus grossular candidate $=1$ grossular. Sole GP and 1 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 37 | Almandine-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 6 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=5 \mathrm{IM}$ and 1 crustal ilmenite. Both IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 38 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 FO versus epidote candidates $=1 \mathrm{FO}$ and 1 epidote. 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction has a partial alteration mantle. |
| Unit 39 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 FO versus epidote candidates $=2$ epidote. 2 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 40 | Almandine-augite-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from 1.0-2.0 mm fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1 \mathrm{IM}$. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 3 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2$ crustal ilmenite and 1 CR ; and 5 CR candidates $=4 \mathrm{CR}$ and 1 crustal ilmenite . |
| Unit 41 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. |
| Unit 42 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); 3 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2 \mathrm{IM}$ and 1 CR ; and 4 CR candidates $=4 \mathrm{CR}$. 1 GP from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction lost in transfer to vial. |
| Unit 43 | Almandine-fayalite-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 6 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1 \mathrm{IM}, 4$ crustal ilmenite and 1 CR . |
| Unit 44 | Hornblende-almandine/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 GO versus spessartine candidates = 2 almandine; 2 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2 \mathrm{IM}$; and 1 FO versus epidote candidate $=1$ epidote. Sole IM from 0.5-1.0 fraction has a partial alteration mantle. |
| Unit 45 | Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{Mn}$-almandine. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); 1 IM versus CR candidates $=1$ crustal ilmenite; and 1 FO versus epidote candidates $=1$ epidote. 1 GP and 2 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 46 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 7 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=4 \mathrm{IM}$ and 3 crustal ilmenite. 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |

## Kimberlite Indicator Mineral Remarks

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 12
ODM Batch Number(s): 8314
Sample Number
Unit 47

Unit 48
Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 4 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1 \mathrm{IM}$, 1 crustal ilmenite, 1 tourmaline and 1 andradite. 3 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles.

Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus staurolite candidate $=1$ staurolite. 1 IM from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction has a partial alteration mantle.

Unit $49 \quad$ Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from 1.0-2.0 mm fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1$ crustal ilmenite. SEM check from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1$ almandine. 2 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles.

Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 4 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates = 4 crustal ilmenite.

Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 2 GO versus grossular candidates $=2$ almandine. 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles.

Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage.

Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. Sole IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 3 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fractions have partial alteration mantles.

Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 3 FO versus diopside candidates $=3$ FO. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus almandine $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); and 4 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=4 \mathrm{IM} .1 \mathrm{GO}$ and 3 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles.

Unit 55
Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 GO versus grossular candidates $=2 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); and 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1 \mathrm{IM}$. One GP from 0.5-1.0 mm and both GP, 1 GO , and 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles.

Unit 56
Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 GP versus ruby corundum candidate $=1$ ruby corundum; and 1 FO versus zoisite candidate $=1 \mathrm{FO}$. Sole IM from 0.5-1.0 mm and 1 GP , and 3 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fractions have partial alteration mantles.

Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. All 3 GP and 1 IM from 0.5-1.0 mm and 1 GP and 6 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fractions have partial alteration mantles.

Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside-titanite assemblage.

## Kimberlite Indicator Mineral Remarks

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 12
ODM Batch Number(s): 8314
Sample Number $\quad$ Remarks

| Unit 59 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Unit 60 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus almandine candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); and 2 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2 \mathrm{IM}$. |
| Unit 61 | Hornblende-hematite/epidote-zircon assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 5 titanite versus zircon candidates = 5 zircons. |
| Unit 62 | Hornblende-almandine/epidote-diopside assemblage. Sole IM from 0.5-1.0 mm and sole GP and 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 63 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1 \mathrm{IM}$. |
| Unit 64 | Hornblende-almandine/epidote-diopside assemblage. |
| Unit 65 | Almandine-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 2 GO versus grossular candidates $=2 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); and 3 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=1 \mathrm{IM}, 1$ crustal ilmenite and 1 CR. |
| Unit 66 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1 \mathrm{CR}$. |
| Unit 67 | Almandine/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 FO versus diopside candidate $=1 \mathrm{FO} .1 \mathrm{IM}$ from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction has a partial alteration mantle. |
| Unit 68 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-staurolite-diopside assemblage. Sole IM from 0.5-1.0 mm and 2 IM from 0.250.5 mm fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 69 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. 2 IM from 0.5-1.0 mm and 5 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 70 | Almandine/epidote-diopside assemblage. 2 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 71 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-staurolite assemblage. |

## Kimberlite Indicator Mineral Remarks

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 12
ODM Batch Number(s): 8314

| Sample Number | Remarks |
| :--- | :--- |
| Unit 72 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-staurolite-diopside assemblage. 1 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction has a partial <br> alteration mantle. |


| Unit 73 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. |
| :--- | :--- |

Unit 74 Almandine-hematite-hornblende/epidote-staurolite assemblage. Sole IM from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction has a partial alteration mantle.

Almandine-hematite/epidote-staurolite assemblage. Sole GP from 0.5-1.0 mm; and 2 GP and sole IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles.

Almandine-hornblende/epidote-staurolite-diopside assemblage. 1 IM from 0.5-1.0 mm and 1 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fractions have partial alteration mantles.

Unit $77 \quad$ Almandine/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. Sole IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction has a partial alteration

Unit 78

Unit 79

Unit 80

Unit 81

Unit 82

Unit 83

Unit 84

Unit 85

Unit 86

Unit 87

Unit 88

Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage.

Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. SEM check from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 1 FO versus diopside candidate $=1 \mathrm{FO}$. Sole IM from 0.5-1.0 mm and 1 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fractions have partial alteration mantles.

Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1 \mathrm{IM}$. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 6 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=6$ crustal ilmenite and 1 FO candidate $=1 \mathrm{FO} .1 \mathrm{IM}$ from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction has a partial alteration mantle.
Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. 2 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles.

Almandine-augite/diopside assemblage. 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction has a partial alteration mantle.

Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 2 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2 \mathrm{IM}$.

Almandine/epidote-staurolite assemblage. Both IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles.

Almandine-hornblende/epidote-staurolite assemblage. Sole IM from 1.0-2.0 mm fraction has a partial alteration mantle.

Almandine-hornblende/epidote-staurolite assemblage.

Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 2 FO versus zoisite candidates $=2$ FO. Sole IM from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction has a partial alteration mantle.

Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. 3 GP from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles.

## Kimberlite Indicator Mineral Remarks

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 12
ODM Batch Number(s): 8314
Sample Number
Unit 89

Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. SEM check from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1 \mathrm{IM}$. 1 IM from 0.5-1.0 mm and 3 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles.

Unit $90 \quad$ Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage.

Unit $91 \quad$ Almandine-hornblende/epidote-staurolite-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 GO versus staurolite candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope); 1 FO versus zoisite candidate $=1 \mathrm{FO} .4 \mathrm{GP}$ and 4 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles.

Unit 92

Unit 93

Unit 94

Unit 95

Unit 96

Unit 97

Unit 98

Unit 99

Unit 100

Unit 101

Unit 102

Almandine-hornblende/epidote-staurolite assemblage. 1 IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$; and sole GP and 4 IM from 0.25 0.5 mm fractions have partial alteration mantles.

Almandine-hornblende/epidote-staurolite-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 2 forsterite versus epidote candidates $=2 \mathrm{FO} .2 \mathrm{GP}$ and 2 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles.

Almandine-hornblende/epidote-staurolite assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidate $=1 \mathrm{IM} ; 2$ FO versus epidote candidates $=1 \mathrm{FO}$ and 1 epidote. Sole IM from 0.250.5 mm fraction has a partial alteration mantle.

Almandine-hornblende/epidote assemblage. SEM check from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 GO versus staurolite candidate $=1$ grossular. 1 IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fractions have partial alteration mantles.

Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. 3 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles.

Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. SEM check from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 1 GO versus almandine candidate $=1$ grossular. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 GO versus staurolite candidate $=1$ grossular; and 2 FO versus enstatite candidates $=2$ epidote. 1 IM from 0.5-1.0 mm; and 2 GP and 5 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles.

Almandine-hornblende/epidote-staurolite assemblage.

Almandine-hornblende/epdiote assemblage. SEM check from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 GO versus staurolite candidate $=1$ GO (Cr-poor pyrope).

Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. 1 IM from $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction has a partial alteration mantle.

Hornblende-almandine/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 2 FO versus diopside candidates $=2$ FO. Sole GP from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction has a partial alteration mantle.

Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. SEM check from 0.5-1.0 mm fraction: 1 FO versus diopside candidate $=1$ FO. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 2 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2 \mathrm{IM} ; 1 \mathrm{FO}$ versus diopside candidate $=1 \mathrm{FO}$; and 1 enstatite versus FO candidate $=1$ enstatite. 2 GP and 4 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles.

## Kimberlite Indicator Mineral Remarks

Client: RJK Exploration Ltd.
File Name: 20198213 - RJK Exploration - Kasner - (Gold, KIMs) - December 2019
Total Number of Samples in this Report: 12
ODM Batch Number(s): 8314
Sample Number

| Unit 103 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. SEM check from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 GO versus almandine candidate $=1 \mathrm{GO}$ (Cr-poor pyrope). 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Unit 104 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. 4 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 105 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. |
| Unit 106 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction has a partial alteration mantle. |
| Unit 107 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. SEM checks from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 2 GO versus grossular candidates =1 GO (Cr-poor pyrope) and 1 spessartine; and 1 FO versus epidote candidate $=1 \mathrm{FO}$ (lost in transfer to vial). One CR has attached gangue material. 4 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 108 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. Sole GP and both IM from 0.5-1.0 mm; and 2 GP and 3 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 01 | Almandine-augite-hornblende/epidote-staurolite-diopside assemblage. |
| Unit 02 | Almandine-augite-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 1 bronz sulfide candidate $=1$ niccolite ( NiAs ); and 1 arsenopyrite versus loellingite candidate $=1$ loellingite. 3 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 03 | Almandine-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM checks from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction: 5 IM versus crustal ilmenite candidates $=2 \mathrm{IM}$, 1 crustal ilmenite and 2 CR . 2 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 04 | Augite-almandine/epidote-diopside assemblage. 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction has a partial alteration mantle. |
| Unit 05 | Augite-almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. |
| Unit 06 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. SEM check from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction: 1 GO versus grossular candidate $=1$ grossular; and 1 IM versus crustal ilminite candidate $=1 \mathrm{IM}$. |
| Unit 07 | Almandine-augie-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. |
| Unit 08 | Almandine-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. 20\% IM from 0.5-1.0 mm and 20\% IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 09 | Almandine-augite-hematite/epidote-diopside assemblage. 1 IM from $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction has a partial alteration mantle. |
| Unit 10 | Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside-staurolite assemblage. 2 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fraction have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 11 | Almandine-hornblende-augite/epidote-diopside assemblage. 1 IM from 0.5-1.0 mm and 4 IM from 0.25-0.5 mm fractions have partial alteration mantles. |
| Unit 12 | Almandine-hornblende/epidote-diopside assemblage. Sole IM from 1.0-2.0 mm and sole IM from 0.5-1.0 mm fractions have partial alteration mantles. |

[Designed \&-propised by Eraemctrablop]








# 2020 - RJK Explorations Itd. Overburden Sampling, Lorrain Twp. <br> (plan and units proposed by GSB) 

Sheet No. 1 - Overall Layout Plan
Sheet No. 2 - North Extension: 12 Units. (28 29303132333435365253 54)
Sheet No. 3 - LGL Context: 7 Units. (19 22232425 26 27)
Sheet No. 4 - East Groups: 9 Units. (12 13141516171820 21)
Sheet No. 5 - West Cross Lake Fault area: 26 Units. (12 3456789101137383940414243444546 47484950 51)
-Estimated total ODM lab invoice cost @ 20,679.30
-Estimated time in the field for sample collection 15-18 days @ approx.. 9,000.00 Survey cost estimate approximately 30,000.00-35,000.00

Sheet No. 2
NORTH EXTENSION

| Sample No. | Coordinates | Claim Area |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit 28: |  | [Cobalt Pow.] |
| 20-98- | 0606313 E |  |
|  | 5246645 N |  |
| 20-99 - | 0606367 E |  |
|  | 5246598 N |  |
| 20-100- | 0606401 E |  |
|  | 5246671 N |  |
| 20-101 - | 0606450 E |  |
|  | 5246625 N |  |
| Unit 29: |  | [Cobalt Pow.] |
| 20-102- | 0605779 E |  |
|  | 5247181 N |  |
| 20-103- | 0605677 E |  |
|  | 5247165 N |  |
| 20-104 - | 0605587 E |  |
|  | 5247149 N |  |
| 20-105 - | 0605510 E |  |
|  | 5247116 N |  |

Unit 30: [Cobalt Pow.]

| $20-106-$ | 0606411 E |
| :---: | :--- |
| 5247251 N |  |
| $20-107-$ | 0606335 E |
|  | 5247225 N |
|  | 0606264 E |
| $20-108-$ | 5247195 N |
|  | 0606186 E |
| $20-109-$ | 5247155 N |


| Unit 31: |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| $20-111-$ | 0604146 E |
|  | 5246292 N |
| $20-112-$ | 0604275 E |
|  | 5246398 N |
|  | 0604448 E |
| $20-113-$ | 5246440 N |

Unit 32: [Cobalt Pow.]
20-114-0604596 E 5246521 N

20-115 - 0604750 E
5246547 N
20-116 - 0604868 E
5246571 N
20-117- 0605034 E
5246623 N
Unit 33:
[Cobalt Ind.]
20-118-0604459 E
5245470 N
20-119 - 0604660 E
5245487 N
20-120 - 0604745 E
5245627 N
20-121 - 0604522 E
5245611 N

| Unit 34: |  | [Cobalt Pow.] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20-123- | 0604123 E |  |
|  | 5246917 N |  |
| 20-124 - | 0604274 E |  |
|  | 5246993 N |  |
| 20-125 - | 0604387 E |  |
|  | 5247033 N |  |
| Unit 35: |  | [Cobalt Pow.] |
| 20-126 - | 0605342 E |  |
|  | 5247334 N |  |
| 20-127- | 0605237 E |  |
|  | 5247296 N |  |
| 20-128 - | 0605133 E |  |
|  | 5247248 N |  |
| 20-129 - | 0605022 E |  |
|  | 5247204 N |  |
| Unit 36: |  | [Cobalt Pow.] |
| 20-130- | 0604921 E |  |
|  | 5247263 N |  |
| 20-131 - | 0604788 E |  |
|  | 5247245 N |  |
| 20-132- | 0604670 E |  |
|  | 5247211 N |  |
| 20-133- | 0604539 E |  |
|  | 5247145 N |  |
| Unit 52: |  | [Cobalt Pow.] |
| 20-192- | 0605576 E |  |
|  | 5247830 N |  |
| 20-193- | 0605460 E |  |
|  | 5247794 N |  |
| 20-194 - | 0605359 E |  |
|  | 5247768 N |  |
| 20-195 - | 0605259 E |  |
|  | 5247745 N |  |


| Unit 53: |  | [Cobalt Pow.] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20-196 - | 0604908 E |  |
|  | 5247756 N |  |
| 20-197- | 0604790 E |  |
|  | 5247744 N |  |
| 20-198 - | 0604673 E |  |
|  | 5247731 N |  |
| 20-199 - | 0604556 E |  |
|  | 5247715 N |  |
| Unit 54: |  | [Cobalt Pow.] |
| 20-200- | 0604249 E |  |
|  | 5247673 N |  |
| 20-110- | 0604130 E |  |
|  | 5247636 N |  |
| 20-122- | 0604027 E |  |
|  | 5247601 N |  |
| 20-201 - | 0603937 E |  |
|  | 5247547 N |  |

## Sheet No. 3

## Little Grassy Lake Context

Unit 19: [Cobalt Ind.]
20-64- 0607065 E
5246604 N
20-65 - 0606916 E
5246535 N
20-66-0606798 E
5246454 N
20-67- 0607032 E
5246456 N
Unit 22: [Cobalt Pow.]
20-76 - 0606103 E
5246643 N
20-77 - 0606174 E
5246698 N

| $20-78-$ | 0606612 E |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 5246728 N |
| $20-79-$ | 0606041 E |
|  | 5246682 N |


| Unit 23: | [Cobalt Pow.] |
| :---: | :---: |
| $20-80-$ | 0605956 E |
|  | 5244361 N |
| $20-81-$ | 0605946 E |
|  | 5246308 N |
| $20-82-$ | 0605923 E |
|  | 5246273 N |

Unit 24: [Bishop, Cobalt Pow.]

| $20-83-$ | 0606050 E |
| :---: | :--- |
|  | 5246190 N |
| $20-84-$ | 0605996 E |
|  | 5246159 N |
| $20-85-$ | 0605936 E |
|  | 5246136 N |


| Unit 25: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $20-86-$ | 0606183 E |
| 5246335 N |  |
| $20-87-$ | 0606243 E |
|  | 5246390 N |
| $20-88-$ | 0606152 E |
|  | 5246287 N |
| $20-89-$ | 0606269 E |
|  | 5246306 N |

Unit 26: [Cobalt Pow.]

| $20-90-$ | 0605892 E |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 5246660 N |
| $20-91-$ | 0605820 E |
|  | 5246628 N |
| $20-92-$ | 0605753 E |
|  | 5246590 N |
| $20-93-$ | 0605841 E |
|  | 5246565 N |

Unit 27:
[Cobalt Pow.]

| $20-94-$ | 0605602 E |
| :---: | :---: |
| 5246644 N |  |
| $20-95-$ | 0605550 E |
|  | 5246623 N |
| $20-96-$ | 0605510 E |
|  | 5246585 N |
| $20-97-$ | 0605467 E |
|  | 5246534 N |

Sheet No. 4

## EAST GROUPS

| Sample No. | Coordinates | Claim Area |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit 12: |  | [Bishop, Cruz Cob.] |
| 20-37- | 0608607 E |  |
|  | 5242343 N |  |
| 20-38- | 0608423 E |  |
|  | 5242252 N |  |
| 20-39 - | 0608275 E |  |
|  | 5242151 N |  |
| Unit 13: |  | [RJK, Bishop] |
| 20-40- | 0609025 E |  |
|  | 5241939 N |  |
| 20-41- | 0608896 E |  |
|  | 5241847 N |  |
| 20-42- | 0608768 E |  |
|  | 5241747 N |  |
| 20-43- | 0608591 E |  |
|  | 5241668 N |  |
| Unit 14: |  | [Bishop] |
| 20-44- | 0608046 E |  |
|  | 5244337 N |  |
| 20-45- | 0608061 E |  |
|  | 5244248 N |  |
| 20-46- | 0608064 E |  |
|  | 5244135 N |  |
| Unit 15: |  | [Cruz Cob.] |
| 20-49- | 0607945 E |  |
|  | 5243767 N |  |
| 20-50- | 0608055 E |  |
|  | 5243854 N |  |
| 20-51- | 0608050 E |  |
|  | 5243664 N |  |

Unit 16:
[RJK, Cruz Cob.]

| $20-52-$ | 0608811 E <br> 5243422 N |
| :---: | :---: |
| $20-53-$ | 0608688 E |
| 5243327 N |  |
| $20-54-$ | 0608585 E |
|  | 5243251 N |
| $20-55-$ | 0608486 E |
|  | 5243174 N |


| Unit 17: |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| $20-56-$ | 0608987 E <br> 5243036 N |
| $20-57-$ | 0608872 E |
|  | 5242950 N |
| $20-58-$ | 0608775 E |
|  | 5242865 N |
| $20-59-$ | 0608678 E |
|  | 5242776 N |

Unit 18:
[RJK, Bishop]

| $20-61-$ | 0609813 E |
| :---: | :---: |
| 5241397 N |  |
| $20-62-$ | 0609655 E |
|  | 5241297 N |
|  | 0609519 E |
| $20-63-$ | 5241216 N |

Unit 20:
[RJK, Cobalt Ind.]
20-68- 0607761 E 5245648 N

20-69 - 0607625 E 5245650 N

20-70- 0607482 E
5245593 N
20-71- 0607352 E 5245524 N

## Unit 21: <br> [Bishop]

| $20-72-$ | 0607963 E |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 5245190 N |
| $20-73-$ | 0607868 E |
|  | 5245124 N |
| $20-74-$ | 0607797 E |
|  | 5245080 N |
| $20-75-$ | 0607676 E |
|  | 5245010 N |

Sheet no. 5

## West Cross Lake Fault area

| Sample No. | Coordinates | Claim Area |
| :---: | ---: | :--- |
| Unit 1: | [RJK, Camilleri] |  |


| 20-8- | 0605439 E |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5240814 N |  |
| 20-9 - | 0605247 E |  |
|  | 5240773 N |  |
| Unit 4: |  | [Camilleri] |
| 20-10- | 0606602 E |  |
|  | 5241297 N |  |
| 20-11 - | 0606413 E |  |
|  | 5241280 N |  |
| 20-12- | 0606228 E |  |
|  | 5241265 N |  |
| 20-13- | 0606043 E |  |
|  | 5241244 N |  |
| Unit 5: |  | [RJK, Bishop] |
| 20-14 - | 0608058 E |  |
|  | 5240676 N |  |
| 20-15 - | 0607852 E |  |
|  | 5240594 N |  |
| 20-16- | 0607635 E |  |
|  | 5240528 N |  |
| Unit 6: |  | [RJK, Cruz Cob.] |
| 20-17- | 0607512 E |  |
|  | 5240418 N |  |
| 20-18 - | 0607345 E |  |
|  | 5240381 N |  |
| 20-19 - | 0607169 E |  |
|  | 5240326 N |  |
| Unit 7: |  | [RJK] |
| 20-20- | 0606900 E |  |
|  | 5240302 N |  |
| 20-21- | 0606714 E |  |
|  | 5240284 N |  |
| 20-22 - | 0606543 E |  |
|  | 5240275 N |  |
| 20-23- | 0606361 E |  |
|  | 5240258 N |  |


| Unit 8: |  | [RJK] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20-24 - | 0606182 E |  |
|  | 5240239 N |  |
| 20-25- | 0606017 E |  |
|  | 5240218 N |  |
| 20-26- | 0605839 E |  |
|  | 5240200 N |  |
| Unit 9: |  | [Bishop, Cruz Cob.] |
| 20-27- | 0607991 E |  |
|  | 5239922 N |  |
| 20-28 - | 0607793 E |  |
|  | 5239840 N |  |
| 20-29 - | 0607615 E |  |
|  | 5239766 N |  |
| Unit 10: |  | [Cruz Cob.] |
| 20-30- | 0607438 E |  |
|  | 5239688 N |  |
| 20-31 - | 0607250 E |  |
|  | 5239647 N |  |
| 20-32- | 0607036 E |  |
|  | 5239590 N |  |
| Unit 11: |  | [RJK, Cruz Cob.] |
| 20-33 - | 0606877 E |  |
|  | 5239550 N |  |
| 20-34 - | 0606671 E |  |
|  | 5239530 N |  |
| 20-35 - | 0606510 E |  |
|  | 5239503 N |  |
| 20-36 - | 0606334 E |  |
|  | 5239486 N |  |
| Unit 37: |  | [Camilleri] |
| 20-134 - | 0605391 E |  |
|  | 5243091 N |  |
| 20-135 - | 0605240 E |  |
|  | 5243032 N |  |


| $20-136-$ | 0605123 E |
| :---: | :--- |
|  | 5244297 N |
| $20-137-$ | 0604989 E |
|  | 5242926 N |


| Unit 38: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 20-138- | [Camilleri] |
|  | 52423789 E |
| $20-139-$ | 0605636 E |
|  | 5242341 N |
| $20-140-$ | 0605479 E |
|  | 5242305 N |
| $20-141-$ | 0605333 E |
|  | 5242261 N |


| Unit 39: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 20-142- | [Cobalt Pwr., Camilleri] |
|  | 5242872 N |
| $20-143-$ | 0604729 E |
|  | 5242830 N |
| $20-144-$ | 0604591 E |
|  | 5242801 N |
| $20-145-$ | 0604453 E |
|  | 5242772 N |


| Unit 40: | [Cobalt Pwr.] |
| :---: | :---: |
| 20-146- |  |
|  | 5242746 N |
| 20-147 - | 0604206 E |
|  | 5242729 N |
| 20-148 - | 0604088 E |
|  | 5242703 N |
| 20-149 - | 0603963 E |
|  | 5242698 N |
| Unit 41: | : [Cobalt Pwr.] |
| 20-150- | 0604263 E |
|  | 5242072 N |
| 20-151 - | 0604126 E |
|  | 5242041 N |


| 20-152- | 0603989 E |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 5242023 N |
| 20-153- | 0604415 E |
|  | 5242096 N |
| Unit 42: |  |
| 20-154- | 0604350 E |
|  | 5241633 N |
| 20-155- | 0604198 E |
|  | 5241618 N |
| 20-156- | 0604041 E |
|  | 5241594 N |
| 20-157- | 0603887 E |
|  | 5241570 N |


| Unit 43: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $20-158-$ | 0604535 E |
|  | 5243306 N |
| $20-159-$ | 0604359 E |
|  | 5243260 N |
| $20-160-$ | 0604480 E |
|  | 5243153 N |


| Unit 44: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 20-161- | 0604183 E |
|  | 5243218 N |
| $20-162-$ | 0604043 E |
|  | 5243160 N |
| $20-163-$ | 0603911 E |
|  | 5243119 N |

Unit 45: [Cobalt Ind., Chard/Dillman?]

| $20-164-$ | 0604537 E |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 5244144 N |
| $20-165-$ | 0604400 E |
|  | 5244105 N |
| $20-166-$ | 0604259 E |
|  | 5244058 N |
| $20-167-$ | 0604115 E |
|  | 5244017 N |

Unit 46: [Cobalt Ind.]

| $20-168-$ | 0603987 E |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 5243975 N |
| $20-169-$ | 0603848 E |
|  | 5243927 N |
| $20-170-$ | 0603714 E |
|  | 5243874 N |
| $20-171-$ | 0603583 E |
|  | 5243824 N |


| Unit 47: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $20-172-$ | 0604863 E <br> 5240722 N |
|  |  |
| $20-173-$ | 0604704 E |
|  | 5240680 N |
| $20-174-$ | 0604554 E |
|  | 5240643 N |
| $20-175-$ | 0604411 E |
|  | 5240601 N |


| Unit 48: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 20-176- | 0605381 E |
|  | 5240093 N |
| 20-177- | 0605220 E |
|  | 5240045 N |
| 20-178- | 0605067 E |
|  | 5240004 N |
| 20-179 - | 0604916 E |
|  | 5239973 N |


| Unit 49: |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| [RJK] |  |
| $20-180-$ | 0604737 E |
|  | 5239948 N |
| $20-181-$ | 0604570 E |
|  | 5239911 N |
| $20-182-$ | 0604398 E |
|  | 5239870 N |
| $20-183-$ | 0604246 E |
|  | 5239832 N |


| Unit 50: |  | [Bishop] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20-184 - | 0603939 E |  |
|  | 5241103 N |  |
| 20-185 - | 0603810 E |  |
|  | 5241085 N |  |
| 20-186 - | 0603663 E |  |
|  | 5241070 N |  |
| 20-187- | 0603501 E |  |
|  | 5241028 N |  |
| Unit 51: |  | [RJK, Camilleri] |
| 20-188 - | 0605534 E |  |
|  | 5241388 N |  |
| 20-189 - | 0605386 E |  |
|  | 5241353 N |  |
| 20-190- | 0605241 E |  |
|  | 5241320 N |  |
| 20-191 - | 0605081 E |  |
|  | 5241295 N |  |

An online article published by InsideExploration on their website:
https://insidexploration.com/the-story-of-the-nipissing-diamond-by-tony-and-graemebishop/
accessed Feb. 10, 2021

## The Story of the Nipissing Diamond by Tony and Graeme Bishop

by MikeyMike 426
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Written March 2019 by Tony and Graeme Bishop

Between 1903 and 1904, an extraordinary diamond was found somewhere in the area around Cobalt, Ontario. This diamond, while not widely known about, remains one of the largest diamonds ever discovered, and was named after the district in which it was found: the Nipissing Diamond. Here are the facts about the Nipissing Diamond, in addition to some of the historical quirks of fate which curtailed public knowledge of this diamond for nearly a century and the current efforts to reconstruct the story and the continuing effort by various companies and prospectors to locate the primary source of the Nipissing Diamond.

For context, let us first briefly consider the associated sciences of geology and glaciology, as they apply to this story. In the 16th century, the pioneering mind of Georgios Agricola was directed towards mineral extraction and the processing of ores; his seminal text on mining De Re Metallica remains a functional document, if a little dated, on the subject of working with metallic ores. With the settlement and exploration of 'the new world' by Europeans came the first official geological surveys of North America, beginning early in the 19th century. The Geological Survey of Canada was founded in Montreal in 1842, and its initial mandate was largely directed towards the discovery and development of coal deposits. In the history of organized resource extraction, metallic ores and fossil fuel sources have always been preceded by more mundane minerals used as aggregates and building materials, or as Henry Thoreau put it 'the raw resources of civilization'. Needless to say, the geology and mining of diamonds is a relatively recent addition to the field of mining in general.

The conditions for diamond creation exist beneath the oldest and deepest portions of the earth's crust, called Cratons, through which diamonds are brought to the surface by means of intrusive features, most often transported by erupting kimberlitic pipes and lamprophyre/lamporite dykes. Aside from circumstances in which these 'surfaced' sources of diamonds have been eroded and redeposited in sedimentary structures or as placers, these pipes and dykes remain the dominant sources of diamonds. The geologist and early glaciologist Louis Agassiz commented that "America, so far as her
physical history is concerned, has been falsely denominated the New World"; the Superior Craton which underlies much of central Canada contains some of the most ancient rock on earth, and diamond-bearing kimberlite pipes have been identified and actively mined from this host rock. Around 1870, at the place which would be named Kimberley in South Africa, the first 'modern' diamond mining location was established, where diamonds could be extracted directly from the 'kimberlite pipe' sources which were exposed on surface. In this initial period of modern diamond mining the De Beers company became the primary producer. The glacial history of the Pleistocene created vastly different circumstances for the discovery of diamonds and the location of their sources in North America.

Prior to the 19th century, 'ice ages' were not well understood and there was no theory of 'continental' glaciation as a geomorphological process. The study of glaciology became an essential part of understanding the geology of Canada, since nearly all of the bedrock of Canada has been exposed and shaped by the action of glaciers during the end-Quaternary glaciation. The massive often miles deep sheets of ice tore through the soil and sand and bedrock and scoured them clean depositing the debris sometimes several feet away, or 100's of feet, and sometimes 1000's of miles in the direction ice flowed. Mineral deposits became exposed by glacial erosion and transported with fragments 'down-ice' by the expansion of the glacier. Unlike the diamonds in South Africa, the first diamonds found in North America were found in post-glacial regolith (mostly sand, gravel, and boulders) and had been transported great distances from their places of origin (some of which perhaps remain undiscovered) by the movement of glacial ice sheets.

In the decades after the opening of the De Beers diamond projects in Africa, the American company Tiffany's was actively pursuing North American sources of diamonds. From 1843 to the early 1900's a number of these diamonds were found in placers and till by miners and occasionally by curious children and adults. In 1876, a self-taught but brilliant geologist, George Frederick Kunz, came to the attention of Tiffany's Co. of New York. By 1879, Kunz was made Vice President and Chief Mineralogist for the company. His mandate was to investigate all rumors and confirm all
findings of individual diamonds, and to purchase them on behalf of Tiffany's, often at far above actual value, with the intent of locating the primary source of these stones.

Kunz eventually developed a theory that the diamonds found in the northern states below the Great Lakes had been transported by glaciers from somewhere in Northern Ontario. He prophesied that the 'big strike' would not happen in his own land, but in the unexplored north of Canada. Meanwhile, he continued in his attempt to find an American source rich enough to rival Africa's mines. Following yet another diamond report, in 1906 he traveled to Arkansas where it seems finally a primary source of American diamonds has been discovered. He spent the next year at a diamond prospect in Murfreesboro in what is now a popular tourist site known as the 'Crater of Diamonds'.

However, while Kunz was busy investigating the 'Crater of Diamonds' in 1906, Tiffany's Co. was visited by a Canadian Member of Provincial Parliament from the northern Ontario district of Nipissing, a Mr. Adolphe Aubin. Mr. Aubin presented a fabulous, 800 carat, slightly yellow-tinted diamond the size of a large hen's egg, to be evaluated and cut into smaller stones.

Interestingly, in 1920 Kunz also investigated the 'Peterborough Diamond', a 33 carat 'rough, broken and of low value' stone found near Peterborough, Ontario. It was discovered in till while a new railway line was being built. In journalist Kevin Krajick's book Barren Lands (2001), he relates that Kunz was excited by the Peterborough diamond because it was proof of concept that diamonds could be found in Canada. Another of George Kunz's responsibilities was as a special investigator for the U.S. Geological Survey. Following the discovery of the Peterborough Diamond, Kunz strove to validate the theory that diamonds were transported to the northern states below the Great Lakes from Canada.

The existence of the Nipissing Diamond, and its journey to Tiffany's were recorded by several publications in 1906

The Montreal Herald, Monday, November 12, 1906, page 268:

## "The Diamond Find in Temiskaming"

## "... Geologists Anticipate Results from Tiffany Expedition."

"... expedition of geologists and diamond specialists that has been organized by the Tiffany diamond firm of New York for the purpose of investigating the indications of the presence of diamonds that have been found in the district west of Temiskaming."
(Approximately 112 years later at the Diavik Mine, a 552-carat yellow diamond, nearly the same shape and texture as the Nipissing Diamond, is also found in Canada.)


> "The stone discovered in the Nipissing District, and now owned by Mr. Adolphe O. Aubin, M.P.P. Sketch, actual size, by Rev. Father Paradis. ( $55 \mathrm{~mm} \times 43 \mathrm{~mm}$ )" from The Mining Journal, Sep 22, 1906 pp 333 and reprinted in OGS OFR 6083 pp 21 . An American nickel was included in the Mining Journal sketch to provide size reference.

A 552-carat yellow diamond unearthed in October 2018 at the Diavik Diamond Mine, approximately 217 kilometers south of the Arctic Circle in Canada's Northwest Territories ( $54.5 \mathrm{~mm} \times 33.7 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) accessed at https://www.cbc.ca/news/canada/north/large-diamond-dominion-nwt-1.4946571

The following method was used to closer determine the weight (in carats) of the Nipissing Diamond which measures $55 \times 43 \mathrm{~mm}$.

A Pyrex graduated cylinder was filled to a level of 300 ml with clean water. When one large egg ( $55 \times 43 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) was placed in the beaker, 50 ml of water was displaced.


The specific gravity of diamond is 3.52 . Using the formula for finding specific gravity using mass and volume (mass = density $x$ volume) and having a known specific gravity and volume, we can therefore find the diamond's mass (weight). The result gives a weight of 0.176 kg or 880 carats. Now, due to slight irregularities in the surface of the diamond, I subtracted $5 \%$ and $10 \%$ of the weight, which closer approximates the actual stone's weight of somewhere between 836 and 792 carats.

The Gazette Montreal, Thursday, July 26, 1906, page 5
"Stone Sent to New York."
"'New Ontario Diamond' Declared to Be Real Thing"
"... recurrent reports of diamond discoveries in New Ontario by the fact that Mr. A.O.
Aubin, M.P.P., is now in possession of a stone, which, if a genuine diamond, will be one of the largest in the world. ...
"The stone ... has been submitted to experts, who declare that it is a genuine diamond, and on this assurance, Mr. Aubin is sending it to New York to be cut and polished." The Mining Journal, September 22, 1906, page 333

The article in the Mining Journal repeats much of the material in the above articles and also includes a copy of the 'actual size' drawing made by Father Paradis while the stone was in his possession. Prior to visiting Tiffany's, Mr. Aubin displayed the stone to Parliament. Although the diamond discovery had been reported in a number of prestigious newspapers, no specific source location or other stones were documented as being found.

Tiffany's, being very secretive, made no further mention of this or the location of other discoveries they investigated. This is with good reason, as it is on the historical record that upon discovering the 'Crater of Diamonds' site, over 10,000 hopeful miners and treasure seekers descended on that location the same year. In 1906, due to the discovery of vastly rich silver veins at Cobalt in 1903, there were already over 10,000 miners and prospectors camped next to Lake Temiskaming, when Tiffany's was also searching quietly nearby for the source of the Nipissing Diamond.

## Jeweler's Circular Weekly, August 1, 1906, page 55

Father Paradis states, "I myself have seen the stone. It is as large as a hen's egg, and has a rough surface and a yellowish tinge. All the usual tests have been applied to it" ..."

Fast forward ~ 85 years from 1906 and Keith Barron, a geologist from Southern Ontario (currently CEO and Chairman of Aurania Resources), hears a rumour of a large diamond found in Northern Ontario and decides to investigate. Methodically reading early newspaper articles stored on microfiche, he tracks down a possible location of the large egg-shaped diamond found as Father Paradis reported, 'somewhere near Cobalt'. Keith, along with Rob Towner, a gold and sapphire miner from Montana, travel North to investigate, where they eventually meet Mike Leahy, a prospector and claim staker of note, and Tony Bishop, a hobbyist prospector and dealer of metal detectors and small-scale mining equipment - gold pans, sluices and the like.

Keith and Mike stake claims near Cobalt and look for the source of the Nip Diamond, as had Tiffany's, some 85 years before.

Approximately 25 years later Tony Bishop staked a curious perfectly round lake. Now it's not that unusual to find small round lakes; they are usually 'kettle lakes' formed from massive ice chunks calving off of melting glaciers as they are melting and retreating. They are typically found in sandy or sand/gravel areas and often are deep enough with cold clear water to contain trout.

What is unusual is to find a lake like this in a shallow overburden to outcropping expanse of granite, such as the Lorrain Granite Batholith.

The lake on the first Bishop claim is near a major fault system, the Cross Lake Fault, and there have been kimberlites found to the North and Northwest not too far away in the Haileybury/New Liskeard Area. It's almost a year later that till samples are taken, panned for concentrates, and looked at under the microscope. Over the next winter the tiny colorful grains found are compared to samples at the Mines Office in nearby Kirkland Lake. As well, much of the winter is spent using the internet to research diamonds, kimberlites, and indicator minerals. The following summer more claims and potential targets are staked.

Shortly thereafter Tony recalls Keith's story of the Nipissing Diamond and with much help from David Crouch (P.Eng.), obtains the original newspaper articles from the early 1900's about the diamond on the internet.

Father Paradis publicly stated a number of times that the diamond was found near Cobalt. Father Paradis was a seasoned prospector of note, and well versed in the discipline of geology. Note that his sketch clearly shows what appear to be trigons on the stone's surface. Along with his other attributes, he was an excellent sketch artist and to this day his art work is considered to be very good and collectible. A number of modern articles about the diamond name Father Paradis as the finder (including a public release by MPP David Ramsay), but the historical records mention it was found by a settler, which Father Paradis was himself. If the diamond was indeed found by a different settler, there's a good possibility that settler would have shown it to Father Paradis, who was the local priest and also a well-known prospector.

Another interesting paper found by David is Mr. Aubin's 'Certificate of Registration of Death - District of Nipissing, March 27, 1932', where curiously his father's name was written as 'Jean. B. Aubin (Paradis)'. It seems that the father/husband in a French (Canadian) family also lists their mother's maiden name. This strongly suggests that Mr. Aubin, the buyer of the Nipissing Diamond, and Father Paradis, who arranged for Aubin to buy the diamond (and possibly found it), were closely related.

David also tracked down a surviving descendant of Mr. Aubin and personally viewed several multi-carat stones cut from the original rough by Tiffany's. This adds yet more proof of the existence of the Nipissing Diamond. She mentioned that more stones were in the possession of other family members.
> "[In September 1882] Father Paradis and a Brother Moffet established a model farm, ...on the Quebec side (just south of ...Paradis Bay on the Ontario side"
> (Paradis of Temagami, Bruce W. Hodgins (1976), page 7)

There was a farm collective established on Paradis Bay in the late 1800's, which can be seen on a 1905 map created by the Ontario Bureau of Mines, which includes a wagon road connecting Paradis Bay on Lake Temiskaming to the mining camp at Cobalt. The Paradis Bay road was constructed between 1903 and 1905; the Nipissing diamond was discovered by Father Paradis or someone close to him during the same period of time, and M.P. Aubin had contacted Tiffany's Co. about the stone not long after.

Please consider the following historical contradiction: George Kunz, foremost American diamond expert, a special agent of the U.S.G.S., and V.P. and Chief Mineralogist of Tiffany's spent decades personally investigating reports of diamond finds in North America, specifically in the USA while also publicizing the theory that many of these glacially erratic diamonds had their origin in Canada: a world-class diamond is discovered in Canada, the stone is proven and cut by the company Kunz operates, while Tiffany's also sends a geological expedition to the Cobalt area in 1906 to follow up on the Nipissing Diamond. The Nipissing Diamond exists, and was handled, cut, and investigated by Tiffany's; however, the 'Peterborough Diamond' found more than fifteen years later was the 'first Canadian diamond'? Concerning the lack of acknowledgement of the Nipissing Diamond as the first diamond discovered in Canada, the simplest
conclusion is that its existence was strategically suppressed by Kunz and Tiffany's. The overwhelming success of the silver mines of the Cobalt area at that time served as a natural disguise to the possibility of such an extraordinary stone being discovered so close to the world's foremost silver deposit.
Following the tour of the diamond to Parliament with M.P.P. Aubin, its subsequent processing in New York by Tiffany's, the sketch of the diamond by Paradis, and the newspaper articles by 1906, there is no mention of the Nipissing Diamond for almost a century. The knowledge of the diamond might have been lost until renewed by a Toronto-based PhD. Exploration Geologist named Keith Barron, who researched the story of the Nipissing Diamond and traveled to Cobalt to try to ascertain its source in the Temiskaming District (Nipissing District was subdivided and the region around Cobalt became the district of Temiskaming in 1911). In the 1980s, there was renewed interest in the geology of the area, this time in search of diamond-bearing kimberlite pipes. Soil sampling and geophysics by companies like Cabo, Tres-Or Resources Ltd., DeBeers, and others in addition to exploration by the Ontario Geological Survey, uncovered more than 50 known kimberlite pipes, some diamondiferous, which helped to outline the existence of a Lake Temiskaming Kimberlite Field on the Lake Temiskaming Structural Zone (LTSZ), which appears to have intruded the Canadian Shield in this region approximately 148 million years before present. The Lake Temiskaming Structural Zone continues North through Kirkland Lake's diamond corridor, and from there to Attawapiskat. Deep sonar has also revealed circular features beneath the water of Lake Temiskaming itself which are inferred to be kimberlite pipes.

## Below is a portion of Keith Barron's 1995 article:

"A Geologist on the Trail of a Canadian Find"
"An exciting new exploration play is unfolding in Canada, far from the frozen tundra of Lac de Gras, in rolling farmland just a day's drive from Toronto. Diapros, a De Beers subsidiary, had been working quietly in this area in the early 1960s. It was joined by four other companies, who worked through the late 1980s until they abandoned the area for prospects elsewhere. But others have filled the gap, using new techniques and ideas which are yielding sparkling success. I entered the scene in 1991, following up on a reference in a 1906 U.S. Geological Survey Report to a large diamond found in the Nipissing district of Ontario. My research uncovered a jewelry trade article of that year
describing the stone as 'large as a hen's egg with a rough surface and a yellowish tinge.' The stone had passed through the hands of a priest, a colonization agent for the Canadian Pacific Railway, and Adolphe Aubin, Member of Parliament. Ultimately, it was sent to Tiffany for cutting. The story rang true, especially since the location of the find on the west side of Lake Timiskaming - matched the location of two kimberlite pipes found 75 years later. The weight was not recorded, but some quick math renders an approximate weight of more than 700 carats. How the discovery escaped world attention was a quirk of history. The find was made near the settlement of Cobalt, where three years earlier, silver veins were uncovered by railway workers. This led to a silver rush, with all it's associated wild rumors and con games. The Provincial Geologist, Willett Miller, was badgered by prospectors for glowing endorsements of their claims, prompting him to refuse to visit or write about the area for a full five years. He probably considered reports of a giant diamond to be a hoax. The Montreal Herald reported that Tiffany sent geologists to investigate the area, but it's quite possible they decided against sharing their information with the press, particularly with a silver mining tent city down the road. There is, however, strong evidence that the stone was real. The granddaughter of the original owner, Nicole Aubin, claims that her sister owns one of five stones 'cut from a large rough diamond owned by her grandfather'."

## Barron, K. M. - A Geologist on the Trail of a Canadian Find (Dec 3, 1995). Accessed online at

http://www.diamonds.net/News/Newsltem.aspx?ArticleID=1032\&ArticleTitle=A+Geologi st+on+the+Trail+of+a+Canadian+Find
We know that Tiffany's would go to great lengths and expense in its search for diamonds in North America; however, even with this determination and experience they would not send a group of knowledgeable employees from New York to the vast and wild country of Northern Ontario, circa 1906, without a goal and some idea of where to look.

Father Paradis was an experienced prospector and a shrewd dealer in business matters (he regularly butted heads with church and government officials while trying to keep his parish funded so far from the head office in Montreal (reference Hodgins, B.W. (1976): Paradis of Temagami: The story of Charles Paradis, 1848-1926, Northern Priest,

Colonizer and Rebel. Pub by The Highway Bookshop, Cobalt ON, 1976), and knew full well about mining options and agreements as undoubtedly did Mr. Aubin, who as an M.P.P. with the Ontario government would have been savvy in exploiting advantageous knowledge. Tiffany's had a history of sending geologists, with ample funding to purchase and make deals whenever a diamond was located. That their vice-president and chief diamond exploration geologist postulated and believed that most of the diamonds found in the U.S.A. originated somewhere in Northern Canada must have greatly piqued their interest even further.

If Father Paradis found the diamond and sold it to his relative, Mr. Aubin, they definitely would have gone back for another look. If it was an unknown settler who found and sold the diamond, Father Paradis would have at least attempted to be shown the location of the find (whoever found the diamond had trusted Paradis enough to let him possess it long enough to make a detailed sketch), as would the buyer Aubin require/negotiate to be taken to that location with financial compensation to the settler of course.

With his prospector background Father Paradis undoubtedly would have put forward some kind of percentage agreement to the finder if the location were revealed and more diamonds were located. Indeed, why would a settler not confide in a priest? (at least in 1906 they probably would have). When Tiffany's got involved, they definitely would have made an irresistible deal with Aubin to be taken to where the diamond was found to try to ascertain the source. In this light, sending in a contingent of diamond experts and geologists to the railway's end in Cobalt, Northern Ontario makes sense. Perhaps if the brilliant Kunz had been sent to Cobalt instead of the Crater of Diamonds in Arkansas in 1906, the history of Cobalt and Ontario mining history might be vastly different.

Next, we travel to 2017 and another story unfolds, the 'Story of the Trench', as first published as part of Assessment Work Report on Claim L4282142 dated June 6, 2018.

## Story of the Trench

Approximately 3 km to the east of legacy claim 4282142 lies a steep high hill that runs north-south for a considerable distance with Hwy 567 and Lake Timiskaming on the other side except for a small valley through which Lake Timiskaming can be viewed at
several locations, near Cedar Pond and Paradis Pond. (A ski hill lies a short distance to the NE of Paradis and Cedar Pond on this hill.)

When I first noticed this view of Lake Temiskaming, and after driving Hwy 567 and utilizing a Topography Map, I realized I was seeing Paradis Bay. I reckoned that with the discovery of silver in 1903-1904, a farming community in Paradis Bay and others in Quebec nearby would have wanted to ship fresh produce, meat, etc. to the many hungry prospectors in Cobalt. About then I recalled the discovery of an 800-carat diamond found near Cobalt as first told to me by Keith Barron.

The most direct route from Paradis Bay would be a road through my claims. I envisioned an east-west road from Paradis Bay between the lakes on legacy claims 4273040 and 4282189 ( $\sim 600 \mathrm{~m}$ ) apart, and to the southeast of Goodwin Lake. and continuing from there northwest to the top of Chown Lake where the road would then trend towards Cobalt. Many recent articles (including one by our MPP David Ramsey) credited Father Paradis with finding the large diamond. This led me to wonder if the diamond might have been found while building a (hypothetical) road from Paradis Bay at the time of the diamond's discovery first reported in 1906.

I was then and afterwards getting excellent KIM results from sampling below but not office of the two lakes mentioned which added even more interest. Then sometime after, my son, Graeme was looking through his extensive map collection and on one map from 1905 (Miller, (1905)) there was a wagon road shown from Paradis Bay to just below the lake on 4273040 where it angled up towards the southeast end of Goodwin Lake and passed west of Paradis Pond. It then continued northwest to the top of Chown Lake where it turned west to the newly built rail spur at Kerr Lake. To be included on the 1905 map, the road would have been under construction in 1903-1904 and being used by 1905 .

This is especially interesting as it would have been within the time frame in which the diamond was reported being found by a settler and purchased by Mr. Aubin. With this in mind, I drew a line down-ice of Paradis Pond to where it met the road from Paradis Bay and re plotted that to Google Earth and recorded the UTM coordinates. I then planned a
till sampling traverse for my son Graeme to that location and others in the general area that he deemed interesting.

When he arrived at the location, he could see a ribbon nearby from one of my previous till sampling excursions. This general area is in a trough-like feature extending down-ice from Paradis Pond. Graeme found the ground a bit wet there and hard to get a good sample, so he moved uphill a short way to the east to get a dry till sample closer to my predetermined coordinates. At the top of the gentle $\sim 20$ ' rise, he 'stumbled' across a trench. It was obviously very old, ${ }^{\sim} 50$ ’ long, oriented due north-south with two trees growing in it and much humus infill. Realizing the potential importance of the trench being where material glaciated from Paradis Pond meets the road, he took several samples from the trench and then spent the remainder of the day looking for other signs of the wagon road or human activity, before returning to the truck.


When Graeme and I later returned, the ferns were a solid carpet waist deep, the trench was not visible from 5 meters away, unlike Graeme's first trip in early spring. We
resampled the trench and spent more time searching and found a small dug pit a short distance north of the trench which we also sampled. Directly north, a sample was taken which is possibly from the same ridge.

Finding the trench was particularly significant due to its being in non-descript gravellysandy till, surrounded by the Lorrain Granite Batholith. There are no outcrops within ~200 m, and there are no silver mines or known mineralized prospects up-ice of this area.

Digging into the till in the trench's location is no easy task, and after talking to geologists such as Doug Robinson, P.Eng (who worked in Agnico's Temiskaming Silver Mine for many years) the labour expenditure to build a trench at that location makes no sense. Unless the quarry was not silver and Cobalt, but instead perhaps a diamond. Both Paradis and Cedar Pond have been flown over with a magnetometer on a drone and the results 3-D modeled.

These combined results helped RJK Explorations decide to option the Bishop Properties in Lorrain and Gillies Limit, and as of March 1, 2019 a drill is on location at Paradis Pond to drill into the mag anomaly.

## Summarized Chronology of events during Father Paradis' life

[summarized from Bruce W. Hodgins. Paradis of Temagami, Highway Book Shop, Cobalt Ontario, 1976.]

1873 - became an Oblate Father (not ordained)
1875 - in Ottawa, studying Theology
1878-1881 - a professor at Ottawa College
1880- first trip to Northern Ontario, Temagami in July
1881- ordained an Oblate Father
1881-1882 - studied in Rome
1882-moved from Ottawa to Temiskaming mission, clashes w/ Father Nedelec -canoe trip to Abitibi Mission etc.
1883- Paradis and Brother Moffet establish farm at the Narrows
1883-1884 - spent winter in Ottawa, writing and lobbying for Temiskaming colonization
-presented Bishop Duhamel with 'La Region du Temiskaming.' Arranged a \$5000
engineering commitment from P.M. Macdonald government public works minister Langevin to eliminate Long Sault rapids on Ottawa River to open Temiskaming colonization route.
1884- still in Temiskaming, accompanies Bishop Lorrain to Abitibi and James Bay. On return, leaves the expedition due to conflict with Father Nedelec and returns to Temiskaming early.
1884- in September, ordered to leave Temiskaming (Re: Nedelec) by the Bishop and is sent to Maniwaki. In November, the church organizes "La Societe de colonization du Lac Temiskaming", in December the Society elects its officers.
1885- the Society publishes booklet and acknowledges Paradis' work in the Temiskaming colonization effort.
1886- the Temisk. Oblate Mission is moved to the Quebec side of the Narrows (Ville Marie) Paradis enters legal battle with Lumber companies.
1887- Paradis wins case against Lumber companies amidst political and religious issues in Quebec and Ontario. Paradis travels to Ottawa, then Montreal, dealing with political conflict with Oblate Order.
1888- in February, Paradis is exiled to Plattsburg, New York. He writes to the head of the Oblate Order in Paris, France to complain. In May, is transferred to the Oblate house in Buffalo. Writes to the religious superiors in Rome to complain about the Order in Paris. In August 1888, Paradis is expelled from Oblate Order. In September 1888, he was forcibly ejected from his residence in Buffalo. 1888-1889 - travels to Rome, and London, to defend himself.
1890-b back in Ontario, still trying to defend himself.
1891- in Peterborough, Bishop O'Connor gives Paradis the right to mission work and colonization only in Temagami. In spring 1891 Paradis returns to Temagami (Bear Island, Sandy Inlet): decides to build 'Home Base' in Temagami.
1892- had two Missionaries helping him in Temagami and a chapel being built on Bear Island. Still advocating for settlement/colonization with government. Had workers in Verner area building small chapel, became Domremy. Paradis travelled back and forth between Temagami and Domremy but kept basic residence in Domremy.

1893- Paradis primarily in Temagami, issues a pamphlet from Sandy Inlet against English Capitalists destroying the natural world, plus advocating for colonization. 1893 gov’t opened 86 townships for settlement near Verner

Note: 1891-1895 - Verner was officially part of the parish of Sturgeon Falls, under Father Farron and Father Desaulniers, neither of whom could tolerate Father Paradis, and who felt alienated by his activity at Domremy. [Hodgins pg. 30-31]

From 1892 to 1895, while developing Sandy Inlet and Domremy, Father Paradis was also travelling between Montreal, Ottawa, Peterborough, Toronto, and the state of Michigan organizing colonization efforts.

1895- in Spring, Paradis brought the first significant influx of settlers (mainly from Michigan) into the Domremy area. Father Desaulniers of Verner complained against Paradis officially to church superiors. Bishop O'Connor in Peterborough revokes all of Father Paradis' rights except in Temagami. 1896- Paradis travels to Ottawa, put on payroll of Laurier govt's Colonization Society as an agent of immigration. Now promoting colonization under aegis of government, not the church.
1897-1898 - Continues to develop settlement efforts at Domremy, visits Temagami often, April trip to Ottawa.
1899- trip to Ottawa. Is discharged from Dept. of Interior, no longer an immigration agent. Paradis decides to stay in Temagami. In Peterborough, Bishop O'Connor appoints Father Gingras, the parish priest of Sturgeon Falls to oversee the whole region of north eastern Ontario that concerned Father Paradis. In 1904 the Diocese of Sault Ste. Marie was separated from the Diocese of Peterborough.
1899-1905 - Paradis, now acting free from the Oblate Order and the government, resides primarily in Temagami, but spends much of his time in Domremy. In 1905, Bishop Scollard granted Father Paradis 'free rights' to celebrate mass throughout the new Diocese. Paradis concludes most of his activity in Domremy and returns to Temagami.
1905-1926 - Paradis lives at Sandy Inlet, Temagami. He prospects, surveys, paints, travels, preaches, and explores around north eastern Ontario during this time.
1906- Paradis sketches the "Nipissing Diamond"
1909- Prospecting Porcupine area, Paradis drops the water level of Fredrick House Lake by 18
feet. Forms a grubstake out of North Bay, forms a company out of Montreal. Prospecting.
1924 - Paradis' residence at Sandy Inlet is lost to a fire.
1926- Paradis travels to Montreal, where he died.

## RJK EXPLORATIONS LTD. Distribution of 2019 Lorrain Chain Survey Assessment Value

A total of 84 claims were sampled during the 2019 Lorrain Chain overburden survey. The 84 claims belong to five parties: Bishop (RJK), Camilleri, Cruz Cobalt, Cobalt Power, and Cobalt Industries. The sampling took place between June 15, 2019 and October 4, 2019, totalling 26 days of field work.

Approximately 250 soil samples were collected during fieldwork and combined into numbered units from Unit 1 -Unit 108 for lab analysis. Unit 1 - Unit 12, and Unit 26 were processed by Bishop Lab. Units 13-25, 27-108 were processed by ODM in four Batch's; Units 1-12 were later processed by ODM in a fifth Batch.

Personnel in the field: Graeme Bishop @250/day, Patrick Harrington @250/day, Kevin Schraeder @150/day. Lab work was done by Overburden Drilling Management, and by the Bishop Lab (see invoices). Planning, management, and paperwork was done by Graeme Bishop @250/day. Some data modelling was done by Terry Link @400/day.

| Total expense being claimed: $\$ 58,153.00$ |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| from Labour: | $\mathbf{1 1 , 4 0 0 . 0 0}$ |
| from Lab costs: | $42,385.00$ |
| from Management: | $4,368.00$ |

## The following claims were included in the work:

## BISHOP:

254147, 106280, 158049, 144502, 144503, 240537, 158050, 203194, 247266, 155683, 336683, 172334, 143090, 283212, 175091, 343852, 237309, 126017, 105615, 247076, 330989, 199568, 151798, 343734, 219399, 210724, 222764, 230056, 326048, 203195, 144504, 241581, 124604, 241582, 140959, 296727, 337054, 241583, 199542, 235751, 234633, 252459, 341583, 139060, 329881, 131127, 258850, 317177, 277042, 269300, 150827, 186844, 302829, 155684, 199567, 150826, (569262 - RJK. Ex. Ltd)
(Dates in the field, 2019: June 15, 19. July $14,15,17,18,20$. August $5,6,7,9,10,13$. September 13, 14, $15,16,17,18,19,22,23,25,26$. October 3.)

Total labour in the field: 8,566.00
Total Lab costs: 33,097.00
Management/paperwork: 2,964.00
Value on Bishop Claims: \$44,627.00

## CAMILLERI:

100292, 100293, 238289, 157190, 312362, 189654, 251980.
(Dates in the field, 2019: June 15. August 6. September 14, 18, 19, 22, 23.)
Total labour in the field: 1,013.00
Total Lab costs: 2,477.00
Management/paperwork: 364.00

## Value on Camilleri Claims: \$ 3,854.00

## CRUZ COBALT:

139941, $260102,145839$.
(Dates in the field, 2019: August 7. September 15, 19.)
Total labour in the field: 290.00
Total Lab costs: $\quad 1,113.00$
Management/paperwork: 156.00
Value on Cruz Cobalt Claims: \$1559.00

## COBALT POWER:

159145, 191647, 211151, 277043, 307616.
(Dates in the field, 2019: July 17. October 3.)
Total labour in the field: 183.00
Total Lab costs: $\quad 1,401.00$
Management/paperwork: 260.00

## Value on Cobalt Power Claims: \$ 1,844.00

## COBALT INDUST.:

133843, 196494, 265306, 265306, 245678, 214477, 299835, 301841, 214520, 300383, 131742, 187190.
(Dates in the field, 2019: July 17. August 6. October 2, 3, 4.)
Total labour in the field: 1,348
Total Lab costs: 4,297
Management/paperwork: 624
Value on Cobalt Indust. Claims: \$ 6,269.00

## Notes on Distribution Calculations

A total of 108 Units are included in this assessment, which were collected across 84 different mining claims. Each unit did not necessarily incur the same expenses for collection/analysis; units were collected over 26 days, sometimes at 500.00 per day sometimes at 400.00 per day and analysed in five ODM batches with different invoice values.

To accurately determine and distribute assessment value across the 84 mining claims, several complex calculations had to be made.

Due to the fact that expenses must be applied accurately to each individual mining claim that work was conducted on, it was necessary to determine which mining claims hosted which units as part of the survey, in order to accurately distribute assessment value for each mining claim.

In order to calculate the appropriate distribution of the work to each of 84 claims, this process was followed:
-Management expenses: 84 claims are involved in this survey, so the management and planning costs, totalling 4368.00, were divided by 84 in order to determine an equal distribution of value to all the claims affected. Therefore, each of the 84 claims involved in the survey have 52.00 applied to them for the planning and management of the survey.
-Labour expenses: Twenty-six days were spent in the field collecting samples. A day-by-day chronology of the personnel employed, their rates, and which survey Units were collected was compiled in order to determine the cost of labour for collecting each individual Unit in the survey.

For example: Unit 76 was collected on September 22, 2019, by P.Harrington and K.Schraeder. The combined labour for Sept. 22 equals 400.00. Three units' worth of samples were collected on that date. Thus, the labour cost for three units, including Unit 76, was 133.34 per unit.
-Lab expenses: Overburden Drilling Management processed 107 units of the survey in five Batch's. Each Batch contained multiple units. The cost of each Batch was divided by the number of the units it contained to determine the cost per unit in each Batch. (In the case of Batch 8314, containing units 1 through 12, the ODM expense for the Batch was combined with expenses from the Bishop lab work on these twelve units, and the total was divided by 12 to determine cost per unit.)

For example: Unit 76 was processed by ODM in Batch 8216. This Batch cost 12,862.74, and contained 35 Units of the survey. 12,862.74 divided by 35 Units equals 367.00 per unit. Thus, Unit 76 cost 367.00 in lab expense.

## -Distributing to Claims

Most of the units were composed of multiple samples taken in the field and there are many individual
units that contain samples collected from two or more claims. Therefore, if two claims each contained fifty percent of a single unit, both claims would have one half of that unit's particular expenses applied to them. If three claims each contained one third of the samples in one unit, all three claims would have one third of the unit's expenses applied to them, and so on.

For example: Unit 76 cost 133.00 to collect, and 367.00 to ODM for analysis; labour and lab expenses for Unit 76 equal 500.00. The unit contains three samples; one sample was taken in claim 312362, one in claim 189645, and one in claim 238289. Therefore, one third of the combined labour and lab expenses for the Unit was applied to each of the three claims affected by Unit 76. Claims 312362, 189645, and 238289 each have 166.00 worth of assessment value from labour and lab expenses for Unit 76.
[Some claims contain two or more Units. Values for each mining claim were calculated by adding the combined unit expenses applicable to the claim and adding the fraction of the total management cost.]

## Lab Expense per Unit

Units 13-25, 27-33
ODM Report, Batch 8213. Cost - 7659.00
Cost per Unit: 383.00

Units 34-53
ODM Report, Batch 8214. Cost - 7659.00
Cost per Unit: $\mathbf{3 8 3 . 0 0}$

Units 54-73
ODM Report, Batch 8215. Cost - 7393.19

## Cost per Unit: 369.00

## Units 74-108

ODM Report, Batch 8216. Cost - 12,862.74
Cost per Unit: $\mathbf{3 6 7 . 0 0}$

## Units 1 - 12

Bishop Lab costs: July 27, 28, 29, 2019. (concentrating samples first stage) - 1500.00
Bishop Lab costs: September 18, 2019. (concentrating samples final stage) - 500.00
Bishop Lab costs: January 21, 2020. (recombine and prepare for ODM) 250.00 ODM Report, Batch 8314. Cost - 4560.76

## Cost per Unit: 567.00

## Labour Expense per Unit

## June 15:

Patrick Harrington - 250.00
Graeme Bishop - 250.00
Work: Collecting Samples for Unit 26. Creek from Paradis to Goodwin.
Day cost: 500.00. Cost per Unit: 500.00
June 19:
Patrick Harrington - 250.00
Graeme Bishop - 250.00
Work: Collecting Samples for Units 98, 99, 100, 101.
Day cost: 500.00. Cost per Unit: 125.00
July 14:
Patrick Harrington - 250.00
Graeme Bishop - 250.00
Work: Collecting Samples for Units 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97.
Day cost: 500.00. Cost per Unit: 83.34
July 15:
Patrick Harrington - 250.00
Graeme Bishop - 250.00
Work: Collecting Samples for Units 106, 107.
Day cost: 500.00. Cost per Unit: 250.00
July 17:
Patrick Harrington - 250.00
Graeme Bishop - 250.00
Work: Collecting Samples for Units 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 11, 12.
Day cost: 500.00. Cost per Unit: 71.43
July 18:
Patrick Harrington - 250.00
Graeme Bishop - 250.00
Work: Collecting Samples for Units 6, 7, 8, 9, 10.
Day cost: 500.00. Cost per Unit: 100.00

## August 5:

Patrick Harrington - 250.00
Kevin Schraeder - 150.00
Work: Collecting Samples for Units 41, 45, 50, 51.
Day cost: 400.00. Cost per Unit: 100.00

## August 6:

Patrick Harrington - 250.00
Kevin Schraeder - 150.00
Work: Collecting Samples for Units 36, 37, 38, 39, 40.
Day cost: 400.00. Cost per Unit: 80.00

## August 7:

Patrick Harrington - 250.00
Kevin Schraeder - 150.00
Work: Collecting Samples for Units 46, 47, 48, 49.
Day cost: 400.00. Cost per Unit: 100.00

## August 9:

Patrick Harrington - 250.00
Kevin Schraeder - 150.00
Work: Collecting Samples for Units 28, 30, 31, 32.
Day cost: 400.00. Cost per Unit: 100.00

## August 10:

Patrick Harrington - 250.00
Kevin Schraeder - 150.00
Work: Collecting Samples for Units 42, 43, 44.
Day cost: 400.00. Cost per Unit: 133.34

## August 13:

Patrick Harrington - 250.00
Kevin Schraeder - 150.00
Work: Collecting Samples for Units 102, 103, 104, 105.
Day cost: 400.00. Cost per Unit: 100.00

## September 13:

Patrick Harrington - 250.00
Kevin Schraeder - 150.00
Work: Collecting Samples for Units 84, 85, 90, 91.
Day cost: 400.00. Cost per Unit: 100.00

## September 14:

Patrick Harrington - 250.00
Kevin Schraeder - 150.00
Work: Collecting Samples for Units 52, 53, 54, 60.
Day cost: 400.00. Cost per Unit: 100.00

## September 15:

Patrick Harrington - 250.00
Kevin Schraeder - 150.00
Work: Collecting Samples for Units 55, 56, 57, 58, 59.
Day cost: 400.00. Cost per Unit: 80.00

## September 16:

Patrick Harrington - 250.00
Kevin Schraeder - 150.00
Work: Collecting Samples for Units 61, 62, 63, 64, 65.
Day cost: 400.00. Cost per Unit: 80.00

## September 17:

Patrick Harrington - 250.00
Kevin Schraeder - 150.00
Work: Collecting Samples for Units 66, 67, 68, 69.
Day cost: 400.00. Cost per Unit: 100.00

## September 18:

Patrick Harrington - 250.00
Kevin Schraeder - 150.00
Work: Collecting Samples for Units 80, 81, 82, 83.
Day cost: 400.00. Cost per Unit: 100.00

## September 19:

Patrick Harrington - 250.00
Kevin Schraeder - 150.00
Work: Collecting Samples for Units 77, 78, 79.
Day cost: 400.00. Cost per Unit: 133.34

## September 22:

Patrick Harrington - 250.00
Kevin Schraeder - 150.00
Work: Collecting Samples for Units 73, 75, 76.
Day cost: 400.00. Cost per Unit: 133.34

## September 23:

Patrick Harrington - 250.00
Kevin Schraeder - 150.00
Work: Collecting Samples for Units 70, 71, 72, 74.
Day cost: 400.00. Cost per Unit: 100.00

## September 25:

Patrick Harrington - 250.00
Kevin Schraeder - 150.00
Work: Collecting Samples for Units 86, 87, 88, 89.
Day cost: 400.00. Cost per Unit: 100.00

## September 26:

Patrick Harrington - 250.00
Kevin Schraeder - 150.00
Work: Collecting Samples for Units 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 27.
Day cost: 400.00. Cost per Unit: 66.67

## October 2:

Patrick Harrington - 250.00
Kevin Schraeder - 150.00
Work: Collecting Samples for Units 18, 19, 20.
Day cost: 400.00. Cost per Unit: 133.34

## October 3:

Patrick Harrington - 250.00
Kevin Schraeder - 150.00
Work: Collecting Samples for Units 13, 14, 15, 16, 17.
Day cost: 400.00. Cost per Unit: 80.00

## October 4:

Patrick Harrington - 250.00
Kevin Schraeder - 150.00
Work: Collecting Samples for Unit 108.
Day cost: 400.00. Cost per Unit: 400.

RJK EXPLORATIONS LTD. 2019 Overburden Work
Tony BISHOP - Claims and Assessment LORRAIN CHAIN K.I.M. Definition Program [June 15-October 3, 2019]

| CLAIM no. | Owner | CELL | Lorrain Chain <br> Unit sampled on claim on date | percentage <br> of LC Unit <br> in claim | LC Units ODM Batch No. | Value of ODM expense in claim | Date of field work in claim | Person doing work in claim | cost of sample: <br> labour @ 500 <br> day in claim | cost of sample: labour @ 400 day in claim | \% of total planning management and data mapping cost | Bishop Lab work: Units 1-12 only | TOTAL VALUE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CLAIM } \\ & \text { no. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 569262 | RJK. Ex. Ltd | 31M05A133 | Unit 107 | 0.75 | 8216 | 275 | 15-Jul-19 | P.Harrington, G.Bishop | 187 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 514 | 569262 |
| 254147 | Bishop | 31M05H365 | Unit 40 | 0.333 | 8214 | 127 | 06-Aug-19 | P.Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 27 | 52 | 0 | 206 | 254147 |
| 106280 | Bishop | 31M05H366 | Unit 36 | 0.333 | 8214 | 127 | 06-Aug-19 | P.Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 27 | 52 | 0 | 755 | 106280 |
| 106280 | Bishop | 31M05H366 | Unit 40 | 0.666 | 8214 | 255 | 06-Aug-19 | P.Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 53 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 106280 | Bishop | 31M05H366 | Unit 50 | 0.5 | 8214 | 191 | 05-Aug-19 | P.Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 50 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 158049 | Bishop | 31M05A090 | Unit 83 | 0.5 | 8216 | 183 | 18-Sep-19 | P.Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 50 | 52 | 0 | 752 | 158049 |
| 158049 | Bishop | 31M05A090 | Unit 82 | 100 | 8216 | 367 | 18-Sep-19 | P.Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 100 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 144502 | Bishop | 31M05A091 | Unit 83 | 0.5 | 8216 | 184 | 18-Sep-19 | P.Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 50 | 52 | 0 | 286 | 144502 |
| 144503 | Bishop | 31M05A110 | Unit 85 | 0.5 | 8216 | 184 | 13-Sep-19 | P.Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 50 | 52 | 0 | 441 | 144503 |
| 144503 | Bishop | 31M05A110 | Unit 84 | 0.333 | 8216 | 122 | 13-Sep-19 | P.Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 33 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 240537 | Bishop | 31M05A111 | Unit 86 | 0.5 | 8216 | 184 | 25-Sep-19 | P.Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 50 | 52 | 0 | 753 | 240537 |
| 240537 | Bishop | 31M05A111 | Unit 87 | 100 | 8216 | 367 | 25-Sep-19 | P. Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 100 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 158050 | Bishop | 31M05A112 | Unit 88 | 100 | 8216 | 367 | 25-Sep-19 | P.Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 100 | 52 | 0 | 519 | 158050 |
| 203194 | Bishop | 31M05A130 | Unit 84 | 0.666 | 8216 | 245 | 13-Sep-19 | P.Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 67 | 52 | 0 | 481 | 203194 |
| 203194 | Bishop | 31M05A130 | Unit 91 | 0.25 | 8216 | 92 | 13-Sep-19 | P.Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 25 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 247266 | Bishop | 31M05A131 | Unit 89 | 0.5 | 8216 | 184 | 25-Sep-19 | P.Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 50 | 52 | 0 | 1321 | 247266 |
| 247266 | Bishop | 31M05A131 | Unit 85 | 0.5 | 8216 | 184 | 13-Sep-19 | P.Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 50 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 247266 | Bishop | 31M05A131 | Unit 86 | 0.5 | 8216 | 184 | 25-Sep-19 | P.Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 50 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 247266 | Bishop | 31M05A131 | Unit 91 | 0.25 | 8216 | 92 | 13-Sep-19 | P. Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 25 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 247266 | Bishop | 31M05A131 | Unit 92 | 100 | 8216 | 367 | 14-Jul-19 | P.Harrington, G.Bishop | 83 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 155683 | Bishop | 31M05H386 | Unit 53 | 100 | 8214 | 383 | 14-Sep-19 | P.Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 100 | 52 | 0 | 655 | 155683 |
| 155683 | Bishop | 31M05H386 | Unit 50 | 0.25 | 8214 | 95 | 05-Aug-19 | P.Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 25 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 336683 | Bishop | 31M05A005 | Unit 54 | 0.5 | 8215 | 185 | 14-Sep-19 | P. Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 50 | 52 | 0 | 287 | 336683 |
| 172334 | Bishop | 31M05A006 | Unit 54 | 0.5 | 8215 | 185 | 14-Sep-19 | P.Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 50 | 52 | 0 | 512 | 172334 |
| 172334 | Bishop | 31M05A006 | Unit 57 | 0.5 | 8215 | 185 | 15-Sep-19 | P.Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 40 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 143090 | Bishop | 31M05A007 | Unit 61 | 100 | 8215 | 369 | 16-Sep-19 | P.Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 80 | 52 | 0 | 951 | 143090 |
| 143090 | Bishop | 31M05A007 | Unit 62 | 0.5 | 8215 | 185 | 16-Sep-19 | P.Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 40 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 143090 | Bishop | 31M05A007 | Unit 57 | 0.5 | 8215 | 185 | 15-Sep-19 | P.Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 40 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 283212 | Bishop | 31M05A008 | Unit 62 | 0.5 | 8215 | 185 | 16-Sep-19 | P.Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 40 | 52 | 0 | 1175 | 283212 |
| 283212 | Bishop | 31M05A008 | Unit 63 | 100 | 8215 | 369 | 16-Sep-19 | P.Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 80 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 283212 | Bishop | 31M05A008 | Unit 64 | 100 | 8215 | 369 | 16-Sep-19 | P.Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 80 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 175091 | Bishop | 31M05A026 | Unit 60 | 0.666 | 8215 | 246 | 14-Sep-19 | P.Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 67 | 52 | 0 | 365 | 175091 |
| 343852 | Bishop | 31M05A027 | Unit 60 | 0.333 | 8215 | 123 | 14-Sep-19 | P.Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 33 | 52 | 0 | 657 | 343852 |
| 343852 | Bishop | 31M05A027 | Unit 65 | 100 | 8215 | 369 | 16-Sep-19 | P.Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 80 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 237309 | Bishop | 31M05A028 | Unit 66 | 100 | 8215 | 369 | 17-Sep-19 | P.Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 100 | 52 | 0 | 521 | 237309 |
| 126017 | Bishop | 31M05A047 | Unit 71 | 100 | 8215 | 369 | 23-Sep-19 | P.Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 100 | 52 | 0 | 1242 | 126017 |
| 126017 | Bishop | 31M05A047 | Unit 70 | 0.5 | 8215 | 185 | 23-Sep-19 | P.Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 50 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 126017 | Bishop | 31M05A047 | Unit 73 | 0.5 | 8215 | 185 | 22-Sep-19 | P.Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 67 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 126017 | Bishop | 31M05A047 | Unit 74 | 0.5 | 8216 | 184 | 23-Sep-19 | P.Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 50 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 105615 | Bishop | 31M05A067 | Unit 74 | 0.5 | 8216 | 184 | 23-Sep-19 | P.Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 50 | 52 | 0 | 286 | 105615 |
| 247076 | Bishop | 31M05H306 | Unit 11 | 0.333 | 8314 | 127 | 17-Jul-19 | P.Harrington, G.Bishop | 19 | 0 | 52 | 62 | 1450 | 247076 |
| 247076 | Bishop | 31M05H306 | Unit 12 | 100 | 8314 | 383 | 17-Jul-19 | P.Harrington, G.Bishop | 57 | 0 |  | 188 |  |  |
| 247076 | Bishop | 31M05H306 | Unit 22 | 0.75 | 8213 | 288 | 26-Sep-19 | P.Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 50 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 247076 | Bishop | 31M05H306 | Unit 23 | 0.5 | 8213 | 191 | 26-Sep-19 | P.Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 33 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 330989 | Bishop | 31M05H386 | Unit 56 | 100 | 8215 | 369 | 15-Sep-19 | P.Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 80 | 52 | 0 | 950 | 330989 |
| 330989 | Bishop | 31M05H386 | Unit 58 | 100 | 8215 | 369 | 15-Sep-19 | P.Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 80 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 199568 | Bishop | 31M05H388 | Unit 46 | 0.5 | 8214 | 191 | 07-Aug-19 | P.Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 50 | 52 | 0 | 1017 | 199568 |
| 199568 | Bishop | 31M05H388 | Unit 47 | 100 | 8214 | 383 | 07-Aug-19 | P.Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 100 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 199568 | Bishop | 31M05H388 | Unit 48 | 0.5 | 8214 | 191 | 07-Aug-19 | P.Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 50 |  | 0 |  |  |


| 151798 Bishop | 31M05A048 | Unit 69 | 100 | 8215 | 369 | 17-Sep-19 P.Harrington, K.Schraeder |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 151798 Bishop | 31M05A048 | Unit 70 | 0.5 | 8215 | 185 | 23-Sep-19 P.Harrington, K.Schraeder |
| 343734 Bishop | 31M05A069 | Unit 78 | 100 | 8216 | 367 | 19-Sep-19 P.Harrington, K.Schraeder |
| 343734 Bishop | 31M05A069 | Unit 79 | 0.333 | 8216 | 122 | 19-Sep-19 P.Harrington, K.Schraeder |
| 219399 Bishop | 31M05A070 | Unit 79 | 0.666 | 8216 | 245 | 19-Sep-19 P.Harrington, K.Schraeder |
| 210724 Bishop | 31M05A089 | Unit 80 | 0.5 | 8216 | 184 | 18-Sep-19 P.Harrington, K.Schraeder |
| 210724 Bishop | 31M05A0¢9 | Unit 81 | 100 | 8216 | 367 | 18-Sep-19 P.Harrington, K.Schraeder |
| 222764 Bishop | 31M05A171 | Unit 97 | 0.333 | 8216 | 122 | 14-Jul-19 P.Harrington, G.Bishop |
| 230056 Bishop | 31M05A172 | Unit 97 | 0.666 | 8216 | 245 | 14-Jul-19 P.Harrington, G.Bishop |
| 230056 Bishop | 31M05A172 | Unit 98 | 100 | 8216 | 367 | 19-Jun-19 P. Harrington, G.Bishop |
| 230056 Bishop | 31M05A172 | Unit 99 | 0.5 | 8216 | 184 | 19-Jun-19 P. Harrington, G. Bishop |
| 326048 Bishop | 31M05A132 | Unit 89 | 0.5 | 8216 | 184 | 25-Sep-19 P.Harrington, K.Schraeder |
| 326048 Bishop | 31M05A132 | Unit 93 | 100 | 8216 | 367 | 14-Jul-19 P.Harrington, G.Bishop |
| 20319 Bishop | 31M05A150 | Unit 90 | 100 | 8216 | 367 | 13-Sep-19 P.Harrington, K.Schraeder |
| 20319 Bishop | 31M05A150 | Unit 91 | 0.25 | 8216 | 92 | 13-Sep-19 P.Harrington, K.Schraeder |
| 144504 Bishop | 31M05A151 | Unit 94 | 0.5 | 8216 | 184 | 14-Jul-19 P. Harrington, G.Bishop |
| 144504 Bishop | 31M05A151 | Unit 91 | 0.25 | 8216 | 92 | 13-Sep-19 P.Harrington, K.Schraeder |
| 144504 Bishop | 31M05A151 | Unit 95 | 0.5 | 8216 | 184 | 14-Jul-19 P. Harrington, G.Bishop |
| 144504 Bishop | 31M05A151 | Unit 96 | 0.666 | 8216 | 245 | 14-Jul-19 P. Harrington, G.Bishop |
| 24158 Bishop | 31M05A153 | Unit 100 | 0.333 | 8216 | 122 | 19-Jun-19 P. Harrington, G.Bishop |
| 24158 Bishop | 31M05A153 | Unit 101 | 100 | 8216 | 367 | 19-Jun-19 P. Harrington, G.Bishop |
| 24158 Bishop | 31M05A153 | Unit 106 | 0.666 | 8216 | 245 | 15-Jul-19 P. Harrington, G.Bishop |
| 24158 Bishop | 31M05A153 | Unit 107 | 0.25 | 8216 | 92 | 15-Jul-19 P. Harrington, G.Bishop |
| 124604 Bishop | 31M05A154 | Unit 106 | 0.333 | 8216 | 122 | 15-Jul-19 P. Harrington, G.Bishop |
| 24158 Bishop | 31M05A15 2 | Unit 100 | 0.333 | 8216 | 122 | 19-Jun-19 P. Harrington, G.Bishop |
| 241582 Bishop | 31M05A152 | Unit 94 | 0.5 | 8216 | 184 | 14-Jul-19 P. Harrington, G. Bishop |
| 241582 Bishop | 31M05A152 | Unit 95 | 0.5 | 8216 | 184 | 14-Jul-19 P. Harrington, G.Bishop |
| 24158 Bishop | 31M05A152 | Unit 96 | 0.333 | 8216 | 122 | 14-Jul-19 P. Harrington, G.Bishop |
| 140959 Bishop | 31M05A173 | Unit 99 | 0.5 | 8216 | 184 | 19-Jun-19 P. Harrington, G.Bishop |
| 140959 Bishop | 31M05A173 | Unit 100 | 0.333 | 8216 | 122 | 19-Jun-19 P. Harrington, G.Bishop |
| 140959 Bishop | 31M05A173 | Unit 105 | 0.333 | 8216 | 122 | 13-Aug-19 P.Harrington, K.Schraeder |
| 296727 Bishop | 31M05A174 | Unit 105 | 0.666 | 8216 | 245 | 13-Aug-19 P.Harrington, K.Schraeder |
| 337054 Bishop | 31M05A193 | Unit 102 | 100 | 8216 | 367 | 13-Aug-19 P.Harrington, K.Schraeder |
| 337054 Bishop | 31M05A193 | Unit 103 | 100 | 8216 | 367 | 13-Aug-19 P.Harrington, K.Schraeder |
| 24158 Bishop | 31M05A194 | Unit 104 | 100 | 8216 | 367 | 13-Aug-19 P.Harrington, K.Schraeder |
| 199542Bishop | 31M05H346 | Unit 33 | 100 | 8213 | 383 | 20-Jul-19 P.Harrington, G.Bishop |
| 199542Bishop | 31M05H346 | Unit 35 | 100 | 8214 | 383 | 20-Jul-19 P.Harrington, G.Bishop |
| 199542 Bishop | 31M05H346 | Unit 34 | 100 | 8214 | 383 | 20-Jul-19 P.Harrington, G.Bishop |
| 199542 Bishop | 31M05H346 | Unit 29 | 0.5 | 8213 | 191 | 20-Jul-19 P.Harrington, G.Bishop |
| 235751 Bishop | 31M05H399 | Unit 59 | 0.5 | 8215 | 191 | 15-Sep-19 P.Harrington, K.Schraeder |
| 23463 Bishop | 31M05H327 | Unit 24 | 0.333 | 8213 | 127 | 26-Sep-19 P.Harrington, K.Schraeder |
| 23463 Bishop | 31M05H327 | Unit 27 | 100 | 8213 | 383 | 26-Sep-19 P.Harrington, K.Schraeder |
| 23463 Bishop | 31M05H327 | Unit 25 | 100 | 8213 | 383 | 26-Sep-19 P.Harrington, K.Schraeder |
| 252459 Bishop | 31M05H325 | Unit 30 | 100 | 8213 | 383 | 09-Aug-19 P.Harrington, K.Schraeder |
| 252459 Bishop | 31M05H325 | Unit 32 | 100 | 8213 | 383 | 09-Aug-19 P.Harrington, K.Schraeder |
| 252459 Bishop | 31M05H325 | Unit 31 | 0.333 | 8213 | 127 | 09-Aug-19 P.Harrington, K.Schraeder |
| 34158 Bishop | 31M05H326 | Unit 23 | 0.5 | 8213 | 191 | 26-Sep-19 P.Harrington, K.Schraeder |
| 34158 Bishop | 31M05H326 | Unit 31 | 0.666 | 8213 | 255 | 09-Aug-19 P.Harrington, K.Schraeder |
| 34158 Bishop | 31M05H326 | Unit 28 | 100 | 8213 | 383 | 09-Aug-19 P.Harrington, K.Schraeder |
| 34158 Bishop | 31M05H326 | Unit 29 | 0.5 | 8213 | 191 | 20-Jul-19 P.Harrington, G.Bishop |
| 139060 Bishop | 31M05H286 | Unit 21 | 100 | 8213 | 383 | 26-Sep-19 P.Harrington, K.Schraeder |
| 32988 Bishop | 31M05H395 | Unit 5 | 0.5 | 8314 | 191 | 17-Jul-19 P.Harrington, G.Bishop |
| 32988 Bishop | 31M05H3g 5 | Unit 8 | 0.5 | 8314 | 191 | 18-Jul-19 P.Harrington, G.Bishop |
| 32988 Bishop | 31M05H3g 5 | Unit 11 | 0.666 | 8314 | 255 | 17-Jul-19 P.Harrington, G.Bishop |
| 13112 Bishop | 31M05H294 | Unit 3 | 0.666 | 8314 | 255 | 17-Jul-19 P.Harrington, G.Bishop |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 0 | 100 | 52 | 0 | 756 | 151798 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 50 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 0 | 133 | 52 | 0 | 718 | 343734 |
| 0 | 44 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 0 | 89 | 52 | 0 | 386 | 219399 |
| 0 | 50 | 52 | 0 | 753 | 210724 |
| 0 | 100 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 27 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 201 | 222764 |
| 55 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 1090 | 230056 |
| 125 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 62 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 0 | 50 | 52 | 0 | 736 | 326048 |
| 83 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 0 | 100 | 52 | 0 | 636 | 203195 |
| 0 | 25 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 42 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 921 | 144504 |
| 0 | 25 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 42 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 55 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 42 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 1274 | 241581 |
| 125 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 167 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 62 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 83 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 257 | 124604 |
| 42 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 818 | 241582 |
| 42 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 42 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 28 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 62 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 617 | 140959 |
| 42 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 0 | 33 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 0 | 67 | 52 | 0 | 364 | 296727 |
| 0 | 100 | 52 | 0 | 986 | 337054 |
| 0 | 100 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 0 | 100 | 52 | 0 | 519 | 241583 |
| 125 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 1829 | 199542 |
| 125 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 125 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 62 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 0 | 40 | 52 | 0 | 283 | 235751 |
| 0 | 22 | 52 | 0 | 1101 | 234633 |
| 0 | 67 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 0 | 67 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 0 | 100 | 52 | 0 | 1178 | 252459 |
| 0 | 100 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 0 | 33 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 0 | 33 | 52 | 0 | 1334 | 341583 |
| 0 | 67 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 0 | 100 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 62 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 0 | 67 | 52 | 0 | 502 | 139060 |
| 28 | 0 | 52 | 94 | 1118 | 329881 |
| 50 | 0 |  | 94 |  |  |
| 38 | 0 |  | 125 |  |  |
| 38 | 0 | 52 | 125 | 973 | 131127 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |



254147, 106280, 158049, 144502, 144503, 240537, 158050, 203194, 247266, 155683, 336683, 172334, 143090, 283212, 175091, 343852, 237309, 126017, 105615, 247076, 330989, 199568, 151798, 343734,
$219399, ~ 210724, ~ 222764, ~ 230056, ~ 326048, ~ 203195, ~ 144504, ~ 241581, ~ 124604, ~ 241582, ~ 140959, ~ 296727, ~ 337054, ~ 241583, ~ 199542, ~ 235751, ~ 234633, ~ 252459, ~ 341583, ~ 139060, ~ 329881, ~ 131127, ~ 258850, ~ 317177, ~$ 277042, 269300, 150827, 186844, 302829, 155684, 199567, 150826, (569262-RJK. Ex. Ltd)
(Dates in the field, 2019: June 15, 19. July 14, 15, 17, 18, 20. August 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 13. September 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 22, 23, 25, 26. October 3.)
Value on Bishop Claims: \$44,627.00
Total labour in the field: $\mathbf{8 , 5 6 6 . 0 0}$
Total Lab costs: 33,097.00
Management/paperwork: 2,964.00

RJK EXPLORATIONS LTD. 2019 Overburden Work
John CAMILLERI - Claims and Assessment LORRAIN CHAIN K.I.M. Definition Program [June 15 - September 19, 2019]

| CLAIM no. | Owner | CELL | Lorrain Chain Unit sampled on claim on date | percentage of LC Unit in claim | LC Units ODM Batch No. | Value of ODM expense in claim | Date of field work in claim | Person doing work in claim | $\begin{aligned} & \text { cost of sample: } \\ & \text { labour @ } 500 \\ & \text { day in claim } \end{aligned}$ | cost of sample: labour @ 400 day in claim | \% of total planning management and data mapping cost | Bishop Lab work: Units 1-12 only | TOTAL VALUE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CLAIM } \\ & \text { no. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100292 | John Camilleri | 31M05H385 | Unit 39 | 100 | 8214 | 383 | 06-Aug-19 ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | .Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 80 | 52 | 0 | 756 | 100292 |
| 100292 | John Camilleri | 31M05H385 | Unit 52 | 0.5 | 8214 | 191 | 14-Sep-19 P | Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 50 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 100293 | John Camilleri | 31M05A005 | Unit 52 | 0.5 | 8214 | 191 | 14-Sep-19 P | .Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 50 | 52 | 0 | 293 | 100293 |
| 238289 | John Camilleri | 31M05A088 | Unit 76 | 0.333 | 8216 | 122 | 22-Sep-19 P | .Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 44 | 52 | 0 | 451 | 238289 |
| 238289 | John Camilleri | 31M05A088 | Unit 80 | 0.5 | 8216 | 183 | 18-Sep-19 P | .Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 50 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 157190 | John Camilleri | 31M05A087 | Unit 75 | 0.5 | 8216 | 183 | 22-Sep-19 P | .Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 67 | 52 | 0 | 302 | 157190 |
| 312362 | John Camilleri | 31M05A067 | Unit 73 | 0.5 | 8215 | 184 | 22-Sep-19 P | .Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 67 | 52 | 0 | 719 | 312362 |
| 312362 | John Camilleri | 31M05A067 | Unit 75 | 0.5 | 8216 | 183 | 22-Sep-19 P | Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 67 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 312362 | John Camilleri | 31M05A067 | Unit 76 | 0.333 | 8216 | 122 | 22-Sep-19 P | .Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 44 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 189654 | John Camilleri | 31M05A068 | Unit 77 | 100 | 8216 | 367 | 19-Sep-19 P | .Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 133 | 52 | 0 | 718 | 189654 |
| 189654 | John Camilleri | 31M05A068 | Unit 76 | 0.333 | 8216 | 122 | 22-Sep-19 P | . Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 44 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 251980 | John Camilleri | 31M05A046 | Unit 72 | 0.666 | 8215 | 246 | 23-Sep-19 P | . Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 67 | 52 | 0 | 615 | 251980 |
| 251980 | John Camilleri | 31M05A046 | Unit 26 | 0.5 |  | 0 | 15-Jun-19 P. | .Harrington, G.Bishop | 250 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |

The following claims were included in the work:
100292, 100293, 238289, 157190, 312362, 189654, 251980.
(Dates in the field, 2019: June 15. August 6. September 14, 18, 19, 22, 23.)

Value on Camilleri Claims: $\$ 3,854.00$
Total labour in the field: $1,013.00$
Total Lab costs:2,477.00
Management/paperwork: 364.00

RJK EXPLORATIONS LTD. 2019 Overburden Work
CRUZ COBALT - Claims and Assessment LORRAIN CHAIN K.I.M. Definition Program [August 7 - September 17, 2019]

| CLAIM no. | Owner | CELL | Lorrain Chain <br> Unit sampled <br> on claim on date | percentage <br> of LC Unit <br> in claim | LC Units ODM Batch No. | Value of ODM expense in claim | Date of field work in claim | Person doing work in claim | cost of sample labour @ 500 day in claim | : cost of sample: labour @ 400 day in claim | \% of total planning management and data mapping cost | Bishop Lab work: Units 1-12 only | total value | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CLAIM } \\ & \text { no. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 139941 | Cruz Cobalt | 31M05H386 | Unit 59 | 0.5 | 8215 | 184 | 15-Sep-19 ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | P.Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 40 | 52 |  | 276 | 139941 |
| 260102 | Cruz Cobalt | 31M05H369 | Unit 49 | 0.5 | 8214 | 191 | 07-Aug-19 | P.Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 50 | 52 | 0 | 293 | 260102 |
| 145839 | Cruz Cobalt | 31M05A029 | Unit 67 | 100 | 8215 | 369 | 17-Sep-19 ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | P.Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 100 | 52 | 0 | 990 | 145839 |
| 145839 | Cruz Cobalt | 31M05A029 | Unit 68 | 100 | 8215 | 369 | 17-Sep-19 | P.Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 100 |  | 0 |  |  |

The following claims were included in the work:
139941, 260102, 145839
(Dates in the field, 2019: August 7. September 15, 19.)
Value on Cruz Cobalt Claims: \$ 1559.00
Total labour in the field: 290.00
Total Lab costs: 1,113.00
Management/paperwork: 156.00

RJK EXPLORATIONS LTD. 2019 Overburden Work
COBALT POWER - Claims and Assessment LORRAIN CHAIN K.I.M. Definition Program [July 17 - October 3, 2019]

| CLAIM no. | Owner | CELL | Lorrain Chain Unit sampled on claim on date | percentage of LC Unit in claim | LC Units ODM Batch No. | Value of ODM expense in claim | Date of field work in claim | Person doing work in claim | cost of sample labour @ 500 day in claim | cost of sample: labour @ 400 day in claim | \% of total planning management and data mapping cost | Bishop Lab work: Units 1-12 only | TOTAL VALUE | CLAIM no. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 159145 | Cobalt Power | 31M05H245 | Unit 1 | 0.333 | 8314 | 126 | 17-Jul-1 | \$ P.Harrington, G. Bishop | 19 | 0 | 52 | 62 | 259 | 159145 |
| 191647 | Cobalt Power | 31M05H244 | Unit 1 | 0.333 | 8314 | 126 | 17-Jul-1 | P P.Harrington, G. Bishop | 19 | 0 | 52 | 62 | 259 | 191647 |
| 211151 | Cobalt Power | 31M05H224 | Unit 1 | 0.333 | 8314 | 126 | 17-Jul-1 | \$ P.Harrington, G.Bishop | 19 | 0 | 52 | 62 | 259 | 211151 |
| 277043 | Cobalt Power | 31M05H264 | Unit 2 | 0.8 | 8314 | 304 | 17-Jul-1 | 9 P.Harrington, G. Bishop | 46 | 0 | 52 | 150 | 552 | 277043 |
| 307616 | Cobalt Power | 31M05H263 | Unit 17 | 100 | 8213 | 383 | 03-Oct-19 | P.Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 80 | 52 | 0 | 515 | 307616 |

The following claims were included in the work:
159145, 191647, 211151, 277043, 307616.
(Dates in the field, 2019: July 17. October 3.)

Value on Cobalt Power Claims: $\$ \mathbf{1 , 8 4 4 . 0 0}$
Total labour in the field: 183.00
Total Lab costs: 1,401.00
Management/paperwork: $\mathbf{2 6 0 . 0 0}$

RJK EXPLORATIONS LTD. 2019 Overburden Work
COBALT INDUSTRIES - Claims and Assessment LORRAIN CHAIN K.I.M. Definition Program [July 17 - October 4, 2019]

| CLAIM no. | Owner | CELL | Lorrain Chain <br> Unit sampled on claim on date | percentage of LC Unit in claim | LC Units ODM Batch No. | Value of ODM expense in claim | Date of field work in claim | Person doing work in claim | cost of sample: labour @ 500 day in claim | cost of sample: <br> labour @ 400 <br> day in claim | \% of total planning management and data mapping cost | Bishop Lab work: Units 1-12 only | TOTAL VALUE | CLAIM <br> no. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 133843 | Cobalt Indust. | 31M05H364 | Unit 38 | 0.5 | 8214 | 191 | 06-Aug-19 | P.Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 40 | 52 | 0 | 283 | 133843 |
| 196494 | Cobalt Indust. | 31M05H232 | Unit 18 | 0.333 | 8213 | 127 | 02-Oct-19 | P.Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 44 | 52 | 0 | 223 | 196494 |
| 265306 | Cobalt Indust. | 31M05H324 | Unit 18 | 0.666 | -8213 | 255 | 02-Oct-19 | P.Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 89 | 52 | 0 | 912 | 265306 |
| 265306 | Cobalt Indust. | 31M05H324 | Unit 19 | 100 | 8213 | 383 | 02-Oct-19 | P.Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 133 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 265306 | Cobalt Indust. | 31M05H324 | Unit 20 | 100 | 8213 | 383 | 02-Oct-19 | P.Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 133 | 52 | 0 | 568 | 265306 |
| 245678 | Cobalt Indust. | 31M05H304 | Unit 5 | 0.5 | 8314 | 190 | 17-Jul-19 | P.Harrington, G.Bishop | 28 | 0 | 52 | 94 | 364 | 245678 |
| 214477 | Cobalt Indust. | 31M05H287 | Unit 108 | 0.75 | -8216 | 275 | 04-Oct-19 | P.Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 300 | 52 | 0 | 627 | 214477 |
| 299835 | Cobalt Indust. | 31M05H267 | Unit 108 | 0.25 | 8216 | 92 | 04-Oct-19 | P. Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 100 | 52 | 0 | 244 | 299835 |
| 301841 | Cobalt Indust. | 31M05H284 | Unit 14 | 0.4 | 48213 | 158 | 03-Oct-19 | P.Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 32 | 52 | 0 | 242 | 301841 |
| 214520 | Cobalt Indust. | 31M05H283 | Unit 13 | 100 | 8213 | 383 | 03-Oct-19 | P.Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 80 | 52 | 0 | 1070 | 214520 |
| 214520 | Cobalt Indust. | 31M05H283 | Unit 14 | 0.2 | 2213 | 76 | 03-Oct-19 | P.Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 16 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 214520 | Cobalt Indust. | 31M05H283 | Unit 15 | 100 | 8213 | 383 | 03-Oct-19 | P.Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 80 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 300383 | Cobalt Indust. | 31M05H263 | Unit 16 | 100 | 8213 | 383 | 03-Oct-19 | P.Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 80 | 52 | 0 | 515 | 300383 |
| 131742 | Cobalt Indust. | 31M05H307 | Unit 20 | 0.25 | 8213 | 95 | 06-Aug-19 | P.Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 20 | 52 | 0 | 167 | 131742 |
| 187190 | Cobalt Indust. | 31M05H365 | Unit 38 | 0.5 | 8214 | 191 | 06-Aug-19 | P.Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 40 | 52 | 0 | 1054 | 187190 |
| 187190 | Cobalt Indust. | 31M05H365 | Unit 36 | 0.666 | - 8214 | 255 | 06-Aug-19 | P.Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 53 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 187190 | Cobalt Indust. | 31M05H365 | Unit 37 | 100 | 8214 | 383 | 06-Aug-19 | P.Harrington, K.Schraeder | 0 | 80 |  | 0 |  |  |

The following claims were included in the work:
133843, 196494, 265306, 265306, 245678, 214477, 299835, 301841, 214520, 300383, 131742, 187190.
(Dates in the field, 2019: July 17. August 6. October 2, 3, 4.)


[^0]:    GP

    SP(2)
    (3), IM(21)
    (2)

    GP
    GP
    (2), $\mathrm{IM}(3)$

[^1]:    * Calculated PPB Au based on assumed nonmagnetic HMC weight equivalent to $1 / 250$ th of the table feed.

[^2]:    * Calculated PPB Au based on assumed nonmagnetic HMC weight equivalent to $0.4 \%$ of the table feed.

[^3]:    * Calculated PPB Au based on assumed nonmagnetic HMC weight equivalent to $0.4 \%$ of the table feed.

[^4]:    * Calculated PPB Au based on assumed nonmagnetic HMC weight equivalent to $0.4 \%$ of the table feed.

[^5]:    * Calculated PPB Au based on assumed nonmagnetic HMC weight equivalent to $0.4 \%$ of the table feed.

[^6]:    * Calculated PPB Au based on assumed nonmagnetic HMC weight equivalent to $0.4 \%$ of the table feed.

[^7]:    * Calculated PPB Au based on assumed nonmagnetic HMC weight equivalent to $0.4 \%$ of the table feed.

[^8]:    * Calculated PPB Au based on assumed nonmagnetic HMC weight equivalent to $0.4 \%$ of the table feed.

[^9]:    * Calculated PPB Au based on assumed nonmagnetic HMC weight equivalent to $0.4 \%$ of the table feed.

[^10]:    * Calculated PPB Au based on assumed nonmagnetic HMC weight equivalent to $0.4 \%$ of the table feed.

[^11]:    * Calculated PPB Au based on assumed nonmagnetic HMC weight equivalent to $0.4 \%$ of the table feed.

[^12]:    * Calculated PPB Au based on assumed nonmagnetic HMC weight equivalent to $0.4 \%$ of the table feed.

[^13]:    * Calculated PPB Au based on assumed nonmagnetic HMC weight equivalent to $0.4 \%$ of the table feed.

[^14]:    * Calculated PPB Au based on assumed nonmagnetic HMC weight equivalent to $0.4 \%$ of the table feed.

[^15]:    * Calculated PPB Au based on assumed nonmagnetic HMC weight equivalent to $0.4 \%$ of the table feed.

[^16]:    * Calculated PPB Au based on assumed nonmagnetic HMC weight equivalent to $0.4 \%$ of the table feed.

[^17]:    * Calculated PPB Au based on assumed nonmagnetic HMC weight equivalent to $0.4 \%$ of the table feed.

[^18]:    * Calculated PPB Au based on assumed nonmagnetic HMC weight equivalent to $0.4 \%$ of the table feed.

[^19]:    * Calculated PPB Au based on assumed nonmagnetic HMC weight equivalent to $0.4 \%$ of the table feed.

[^20]:    * Calculated PPB Au based on assumed nonmagnetic HMC weight equivalent to $0.4 \%$ of the table feed.

[^21]:    * Calculated PPB Au based on assumed nonmagnetic HMC weight equivalent to $0.4 \%$ of the table feed.

[^22]:    * Calculated PPB Au based on assumed nonmagnetic HMC weight equivalent to $0.4 \%$ of the table feed.

[^23]:    * Calculated PPB Au based on assumed nonmagnetic HMC weight equivalent to $0.4 \%$ of the table feed.

[^24]:    * Calculated PPB Au based on assumed nonmagnetic HMC weight equivalent to $0.4 \%$ of the table feed.

[^25]:    * Calculated PPB Au based on assumed nonmagnetic HMC weight equivalent to $0.4 \%$ of the table feed.

[^26]:    * Calculated PPB Au based on assumed nonmagnetic HMC weight equivalent to $0.4 \%$ of the table feed.

