

31E01NW0025 2.12748 HERSCHE

010

2.12748

REPORT ON THE 1989

PHASE I GEOLOGICAL EXPLORATION PROGRAM

BANCROFT AREA GRAPHITE PROPERTIES

HERSCHEL AND LYNDOCH TOWNSHIPS, ONTARIO

N.T.S.: 31E/1 AND 31F/4

PREPARED FOR

HARRINGTON SOUND RESOURCES INC.

DERRY, MICHENER, BOOTH & WAHL

Keledik R. W. Evoy, M.Sc. M. D. Weber, B.Sc. 2.11484 Dickson m 2.11836 T. B. Dickson, B.Sc. I. D. Trinder, M.Sc. 2.1619

Toronto, Ontario August 18, 1989

Ref.: 89-39

This report may not be reproduced, in whole or in part, without the written permission of Derry, Michener, Booth & Wahl.

TABLE OF CO



TORC SUMMARY (i) INTRODUCTION 1 PROPERTY LOCATION, DESCRIPTION AND ACCESS 1 GEOLOGY OF THE GRENVILLE PROVINCE 4 GEOLOGY AND ECONOMICS OF ONTARIO GRAPHITE 4 EXPLORATION HISTORY 6 PRESENT EXPLORATION PROGRAM 8 PROPERTY GEOLOGY AND MINERALIZATION 11 Herschel Township Property 11 Lyndoch Township Property 12 DISCUSSION OF RESULTS 13 13 Herschel Township Property Lyndoch Township Property 14 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 15 REFERENCES 17 CERTIFICATES OF QUALIFICATION

R. W. Evoy, M.Sc.	19
M. D. Weber, B.Sc.	20
T. B. Dickson, B.Sc.	21
I. D. Trinder, M.Sc.	22

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX 1:	GRAPHITE - TYPES, PRICES, USES AND MARKETABILITY	1 - 1
APPENDIX 2:	TECHNICAL DATA STATEMENT	2 - 1
APPENDIX 3:	CERTIFICATES OF ANALYSIS - CHANNEL SAMPLES	3 - 1
APPENDIX 4:	CERTIFICATES OF ANALYSIS - GRAB SAMPLES	4 - 1
APPENDIX 5:	PROPOSED TRENCHING PROGRAM - DETAILED BUDGET	5 - 1

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1:	List of Claims	3
Table 2:	Tabulation of Assay Results from Trenches on Lyndoch Property	10
Table 1-1:	Price Ranges for Graphite Varieties (see Appendix 1)	1 - 3
Table 1-2:	Specifications for Graphite Production (see Appendix 1)	1 - 4

Page

After Page

LIST OF FIGURES

1 Figure 1: **Property Location Map** 1 Figure 2: Claim Map Figure 3: Graphite Occurrences of the Central 4 Metasedimentary Belt and Central Gneiss Belt Regional Geology Map - Herschel Township 11 Figure 4: 12 Figure 5: Regional Geology Map - Lyndoch Township

LIST OF MAPS (In Map Pocket)

Map 89-39-01:Property Geology
Herschel Township
Scale 1:5000Map 89-39-02:Property Geology
Lyndoch Township
Scale 1:2500

DERRY, MICHENER, BOOTH & WAHL

SUMMARY

Harrington Sound Resources Inc. holds 14 unpatented mining claims in two separate blocks in the Bancroft area, eastern Ontario. Access to all claims is excellent via secondary roads.

The aim of the 1989 summer exploration program was to delineate graphite mineralization on the properties and provide well defined targets for follow-up investigation. The program consisted of geological mapping, prospecting, trenching and channel sampling.

The geological mapping program was successful in delineating the various rock types underlying the properties and determining the spacial distribution of the graphitic horizons.

The results from grab samples taken from the Lyndoch property are very encouraging and have an average combined grade of 10.94% Cg. This value is consistent with a high-grade type deposit and, in combination with previously defined geophysical conductors, provided ample targets for further investigation by trenching.

The results of the trenching and channel sampling program on the Lyndoch property are encouraging and have outlined two isolated zones which may have potential for a small tonnage, high-grade disseminated flake deposit. Further work is warranted on the Lyndoch Township property.

The results from grab samples taken from the Herschel property were. on

To enable a further assessment of the economic viability of the Lyndoch property, additional information is required. In our opinion, additional trenching and channel sampling is required to define the surficial continuity of the two graphitic horizons partially delineated by trenches T.4, T.5 and T.6 and trench T.1. The additional information gained from this program would provide the necessary geological data to enable a decision as to the viability of implementing a diamond drilling program.

As this proposal is a revision of the original contingent Phase II diamond drill program, the estimated costs are \$19,200 as detailed in Appendix 5 and detailed below:-

Prefield Planning	\$	945
Mob/Demob	\$	1,400
Trenching (all inclusive)	\$	11,460
Data Compilation and Reporting	<u>\$</u>	3,560
Subtotal	\$	17,365
Contingency	\$	1,835
TOTAL	<u>\$</u>	19,200

Should the results of the revised Phase II trenching program be encouraging, a Phase II diamond drilling program of shallow definition holes would be recommended.

INTRODUCTION

This report, prepared by Derry, Michener, Booth & Wahl (DMBW) on behalf of Harrington Sound Resources Inc., summarizes the results of the 1989 Phase I exploration program carried out on the Bancroft area graphite properties located in Herschel and Lyndoch townships, Ontario. The program was carried out in two stages; the first, from May 30 to June 8, 1989, consisted of geological mapping, prospecting and grab sampling and the second stage, carried out from July 16 to July 22, 1989, consisted of trenching and channel sampling. Linecutting and geophysical surveying were completed prior to the implementation of the geological program. Mr. R. W. Evoy and Mr. M. D. Weber, DMBW contract geologists, performed the first stage of the program and Mr. T. B. Dickson, DMBW geologist, performed the trenching and channel sampling. contract Mr. I. D. Trinder, DMBW staff geologist, supervised the program.

PROPERTY LOCATION, DESCRIPTION AND ACCESS

Harrington Sound Resources Inc. has optioned two graphite properties in the Bancroft area of southeastern Ontario from Mr. A. Dubblestein of Maple Leaf, Ontario. The two properties are located in Lyndoch and Herschel townships; property locations with respect to Bancroft and major highways in the area are presented in Figure 1.

The Lyndoch Township property is located approximately 50 km northeast of Bancroft and 120 km due north of Belleville, Ontario. The property consists of five contiguous, unpatented mining claims in south-central Lyndoch Township (Figure 2). Access to the the property is via Highways 62 and 515 from Bancroft to Quadville, for a road distance of approximately 80 km to 85 km. Accommodations and some services are available in Combermere at the junction of Highways 62 and 515, 20 km west of Quadville.

From Quadville, drive 6 km south-southeast to the boundary between Concessions VII and VIII, and then east along a local logging road for





1

LYNDOCH TWP. 721571 PROPERTY 1



approximately 4 km past McLaughlin Lake. At this point an old drill road leads 1 km south onto the property. This road is only passable by truck during optimal conditions, but is a simple walk during summer and an excellent snowmobile trail in winter.

Several moderate hills and easterly trending ridges characterize the Lyndoch property area. Topographic relief is locally steep but the entire property is readily traversible by foot. Vegetation consists primarily of open, mature mixed forest with only minor low-lying swampy areas.

The Herschel Township property is located in west-central Herschel Township, approximately 16 km northwest of Bancroft and 120 km northnorthwest of the town of Belleville, Ontario. The property consists of nine contiguous unpatented mining claims in east-central Herschel Township, 1 km to 2 km east of the north arm of Baptiste Lake (Figure 2).

Access to the property by road is via Highway 62 north from Bancroft 17 km to the McGarry Flats access road, then west 5 km to McGarry Flats. From McGarry Flats, the property is accessed by a network of logging roads and footpaths.

The property is characterized by moderate to gentle hills in the south becoming progressively flatter to the north. Vegetation is mature mixed forest with moderate secondary growth.

DMBW has not examined title to the claims nor substantiated their physical boundaries and, accordingly, expresses no opinion as to validity of title and property description. A list of claims is provided in Table 1.

Table 1

LIST OF CLAIMS

Claim Number

to a detaile

Recording Date

Anniversary Date*

Herschel Township (9 claims)

S0721578	March 14, 1988	March 14, 1991
S0721579	March 14, 1988	March 14, 1991
S0721580	March 14, 1988	March 14, 1991
S0721581	March 14, 1988	March 14, 1991
S01040570	March 14, 1988	March 14, 1991
S01040571	March 14, 1988	March 14, 1991
S01040572	March 14, 1988	March 14, 1991
S01040573	March 14, 1988	March 14, 1991
S01040574	March 14, 1988	March 14, 1991

Lyndoch Township (5 claims)

September 9, 1987	September 9, 1990
September 9, 1987	September 9, 1990
September 9, 1987	September 9, 1990
September 9, 1987	September 9, 1990
September 9, 1987	September 9, 1990
	September 9, 1987 September 9, 1987 September 9, 1987 September 9, 1987 September 9, 1987

* As of May 26, 1989.

GEOLOGY OF THE GRENVILLE PROVINCE

The Grenville Province, a distinct structural entity, is some 1,600 km long and averages 400 km in width, constituting the southeastern part of the Canadian Shield. It is marked by the sudden transition from supracrustal rocks in the Superior Province to quartzo-feldspathic gneisses across the Grenville Front. The structural province is typified by its prevailing high grade of metamorphism, abundance of coarse-grained pegmatites and a preponderance of coarse marbles.

Both basement and cover rocks have been penetratively deformed and thoroughly recrystallized. Wavelengths of basement folds are on the order of 15 km with low amplitude, whereas supracrustal folding is characterized by greater amplitudes but wavelengths of only 4 km to 5 km. The dominant structural fabric is northeasterly trending and dips are predominantly to the south (Wynne-Edwards, 1972).

The Grenville Province in southeastern Ontario can be readily subdivided into three major structural zones; the Central Gneiss Belt, the Central Metasedimentary Belt and the Grenville Front or Grenville Front Tectonic Zone (Figure 3).

GEOLOGY AND ECONOMICS OF ONTARIO GRAPHITE

Graphite is a naturally occurring, crystalline form of carbon typically found in carbonaceous rocks which have been subjected to metamorphic regimes characterized by very high temperatures, and moderate to high pressures. Graphite's unique physical and chemical properties result in a wide variety of industrial applications in refractories, foundries and manufacturing (Appendix 1).

In Ontario, graphite is common in both the Superior and Grenville structural provinces. In the Superior Province, graphite frequently exercises an important role in fixation of gold in Archean lode deposits and, consequently, has commonly been found in highly sheared carbonaceous metasediments and



- I Lyndoch Twp. Property
- 2 Hershel Twp. Property
- 3 Asbury Graphite de Quebec Mine
- 4 CalGraphite Property

- 5 Stewart Lake Property
- 6 Princeton Resources Property
- 7 Black Donald Mine
- 8 Tonkin Dupont National Graphite Property
- FIGURE 3 : Sketch map showing subdivisions of the Grenville Structural Province and graphite occurrences within the Central Metasedimentary Belt and the Central Gneiss Belt.

volcanics of the major gold camps. These zones are generally small and, therefore, have not been the focus of significant exploration or interest for their industrial minerals potential.

Due to the relative abundance of carbonaceous sediments and pervasively high metamorphic grade, the Grenville Province is the most significant source of graphite mineralization in Ontario.

The Grenville Front Tectonic Zone is predominantly composed of highly metamorphosed, pervasively sheared granitoid units. Carbon content is minimal and, therefore, the Grenville Front is known to contain virtually no graphite. Economically significant graphite occurrences and/or deposits in the Grenville Province are thus restricted to the Central Metasedimentary Belt (CMB) and the Central Gneiss Belt (Figure 3).

Graphite, in the Grenville Province, occurs in four distinct styles (Story and Vos, 1981):

- (1) Carbonate-hosted disseminated and massive deposits.
- (2) Clastic paragneiss-hosted disseminated deposits.
- (3) Vein-type deposits.
- (4) Graphite disseminated in pegmatite and intrusive syenitic rocks.

Only the first two styles of mineralization are volumetrically or economically significant in the Grenville Province. Vein-type deposits, although very high grade, have been far too small to develop to date and intrusive-hosted deposits are often large but are generally extremely low grade.

The Central Metasedimentary Belt is dominated by carbonaceous chemical metasediments. The most important graphite deposits in the CMB are relatively high grade, disseminated to massive deposits where the source of carbon (CaCO₃) is much more concentrated than in clastic sediments. The Kirkham (Stewart Lake Resources) deposit north of Kingston, Ontario, is a large, but otherwise typical graphite deposit of the CMB.

Recent drilling on the Kirkham deposit has outlined reserves of over 1.6 million tons grading better than 10% graphite (Northern Miner, August 14, 1989). A small, high grade deposit of this type is more likely to capture the relatively small, but more lucrative, coarse flake graphite markets.

The past-producing Black Donald Graphite Mine is a second example of mineralization in the CMB (Figure 3). The mine opened in 1896, and produced a total of 85,164 short tons of graphite from about 130,000 tons of ore. Average grade was 65% flake graphite (Storey and Vos, 1981). Production ceased in 1954.

The Central Gneiss Belt contains a large proportion of clastic metasediments and, for this reason, clastic paragneiss-hosted disseminated graphite deposits are prevalent. These deposits tend to be large and relatively lower grades than carbonate-hosted deposits. The Cal Graphite deposit south of North Bay, Ontario, is an example of this type of deposit. Recently published estimates of reserves are 29.5 million tons grading 4% graphitic carbon (Northern Miner, October 26, 1987).

EXPLORATION HISTORY

Mineral exploration in Lyndoch Township has been sporadically undertaken at least since the earliest 1900's, and probably dates from the mid-1800's. Minor molybdenum production from the Jamieson Mine 5.0 km east-northeast of Harrington Sound's property predates 1925. However, little documentation exists prior to the 1950's when the general area witnessed a renaissance fueled in part by interests in radioactive and rare earth elements, and base metals, as well as an increasing market for industrial minerals.

To date, the most significant program off the property is a diamond drill program completed by Noranda Mines in 1961 on the Simon Copper property 6.0 km south-southwest of Harrington Sound's property. This program consisted of 2,188 ft. of diamond drilling in 11 holes and intersected values of up to 2.6% Cu over 10.1 ft., and 1.6% Zn plus 0.4% Cu over 13.3 ft.

In 1979, Cominco completed 801 ft. of diamond drilling in four holes in a uranium exploration program 1.5 km north of the Lyndoch property. No significant mineralization was reported.

Significant graphite mineralization in Lyndoch Township has previously been documented at three different occurrences; the Beidel-Lyall, Dupuis Creek and Little-Bryan showings. The first two occurrences are marble-hosted, disseminated to massive graphite, whereas the Little-Bryan occurrence is hosted conformably in a pyritic gneiss.

During the early 1970's, two diamond drill holes (Q-1 and Q-2 on Map 89-39-02) were collared on the Lyndoch property to test a graphite showing. Drilled to the north, these holes intersected a variably mineralized sequence of gneiss, quartzite and marble. Hole Q-2 returned an average of 3.77% Cg over 4.18 m including 85 cm grading 8.57% Cg. Drill hole Q-1 also encountered minor graphitic mineralization; one interval assayed 3.26% Cg over 0.91 m and a second interval assayed 3.64%Cg over 0.88 m.

Although not mapped in detail, several graphite occurrences in Herschel Township were visited by Thompson (1943). The largest historic showing in the area occurs on the Herschel property in Concession X, Lot 24. This showing consists of flatly-dipping graphitic gneiss ranging from 1.2 m to 2.1 m in true width. A representative grab sample taken by Thompson returned 5.3% graphite. Much of the material reportedly contains "large thick flakes" of graphite but the mineralized zones exposed in the workings were too small for commercial development.

To the north of this showing a 5 m long adit was driven into the side of a ridge to expose a zone of disseminated graphite in a "leached gneiss" host rock. A chip sample taken by Thompson across the zone reportedly returned 6.4% graphite over 3.7 m. This adit was not encountered during the current program.

PRESENT EXPLORATION PROGRAM

The geological program was carried out in two stages; the first, from May 30 to June 8, 1989, consisted of geological mapping, prospecting and grab sampling on both Lyndoch and Herschel properties and the second stage, from July 16 to July 22, consisted of follow-up trenching and channel sampling on the Lyndoch property. Please refer to Appendix 2 for the technical data statement regarding this program. The geological program was implemented subsequent to the completion of linecutting and complete geophysical coverage of the properties consisting of magnetometer and VLF-EM surveys. The results of the geophysical survey are presented in a separate DMBW report (Ref. 89-32).

Geological mapping was controlled by cut grids on each of the properties which provided easy access over their entire extent. The Herschel property was mapped at a scale of 1:5,000 (Map 89-39-01) and the Lyndoch property at a scale of 1:2,500 (Map 89-39-02) to gain an understanding of the spatial distribution of the graphitic horizons and the various rock types underlying the properties. All pertinent geological data was recorded on scaled sheets amenable to in-field use and, subsequently, transferred onto a base map.

A total of seven trenches were excavated on the Lyndoch property, ranging in length from 10 m to 30 m with an average width of 2 m (Map 89-39-02). The trenches were located on the basis of: site accessibility; the presence of grab samples with highly anomalous graphitic carbon values; and the presence of geophysical conductive trends which suggest the presence of a potential graphitic horizon. Trenches were excavated using a Case 580 backhoe and then manually cleaned to bedrock with shovels. The excellent exposures that resulted facilitated detailed mapping and sampling.

Sampling of the graphitic horizons on the properties was conducted using two methods. To sample the exposed trenches on the Lyndoch property, channels 3 cm wide and 6 cm deep were cut using a Sthil portable rocksaw equipped with a dry-cut diamond blade. The channels were then chipped out using a rock chisel and crack hammer and bagged in 1 m to 2 m intervals for assay. A total of 38 channel samples were taken; see Appendix 3 for the assay certificates. Table 2 provides a tabulation of the results from each of the trenches; all trenches were sampled from north to south.

Forty-two grab samples were taken from outcrop over the extent of the graphitic horizons on the properties where channel samples were either impractical or unnecessary. Outcrops were stripped to expose as much rock as possible and a grab sample was composited from rock chips collected from each outcrop, thereby providing for more representative results. Thirty-one samples were taken from the Herschel property and eleven from the Lyndoch property. Grab sample assay certificates are presented in Appendix 4 and illustrated on Maps 89-39-01 and -02.

In addition to graphitic carbon content, three grab samples from the Lyndoch property were analysed for copper, lead, zinc and molybdenum (Appendix 4) and eight trench samples were analysed for gold (Appendix 3). The results, however, are not economically significant.

Table 2

TABULATION OF ASSAY RESULTS FROM TRENCHES ON LYNDOCH PROPERTY

÷

			Graph	itic
Sample Number		Interval Length	Carbon	<u>(wt.%)</u>
~ ,	m 1 1	0	0.00	
Trench	T.1-1	2 m	8.89	
	T.1-Z	1 m	3.18	
	T.1-3		4.80	
	T.1-4		4.(0	5 150 Cm awar 6 m
	T.1-5		4.00	5.15% Cg over 6 m
	T.1-6	1 m	1.41	
	T.1-7	2 m	1.19	2 70% Car over 11 m
	1.1-8 T 1 0	4 111 NG	1.01	3.13% Cg over 11 III
	1.1-9 70 1 10	ND 2	0.79	
	T.1.1710	2 III NG	0.14	
	1.1-11 10 -17	NB		
Trench	T.2-1 to -5	NS		
	T.2-6 & 7	4 m	0.70	
Tropph	ጥ ዓ_1	9 m	A 59	
Trenen	T.J-T T. 3_9	2 m	0.33	
	T 3-3 to -10	NS		
	1.0 0 10 10	110		
Trench	T.4-1	2 m	2.96	
	T.4-2	2 m	7.36	
	T.4-3	2 m	3.89	
	T.4-4	2 m	0.49	
	T.4-5	2 m	3.21	
	T.4-6	2 m	1.32	
	T.4-7	2 m	1.50	
	T.4-8	2 m	1.33	
	T.4-9	2 m	5.04	
	T.4-10	2 m	5.90	
	T.4 -11	2 m	7.96	3.72% Cg over 22 m
Tropph	ጥ 5_1	2 m	4 35	
Trenen	T.5-1 T.5-9	2 m	1.71	
	1.J-2 T 5_3	2 m	16.83	
	T 5-4	2 m	3.14	
	T.5 3 T.5-5	2 m	1.35	
	T.5-6	1 m	1.07	4.74% Cg over 11 m
	1.0-0	1	2.01	
Trench	T.6-1	2 m	3.32	
	T.6-2	2 m	4.70	
	T.6-3	2 m	9.98	
	T.6-4	2 m	2.99	
	T.6-5	2 m	3.67	4.93% Cg over 10 m
Treach	T 7_1	2 m	0 0 D	
renen	1.(-1 T 7_9	2 ill 9 m	0.33	
	1+1=4 T 7-3	2 m	0.20	
	T.7-4 to -7	NS	¥*##	
	+++ + +V			

PROPERTY GEOLOGY AND MINERALIZATION

Herschel Township Property

The Herschel Township property area is underlain by interbedded clastic metasediments and carbonaceous chemical metasediments (Bright, 1983). Biotiterich, quartzose, pyroxene-rich and amphibole-rich sediments are all noted locally. The units strike approximately east-west and have variable dips; metamorphic grade is lower to middle amphibolite facies.

The supracrustal sequence is intruded by metamorphosed alkalic and granitic rocks. Graphite mineralization occurs within or adjacent to the carbonaceous metasediments and calcareous marbles along the flanks of the intrusives. Figure 4 is taken from a regional geology map published by the Ontario Department of Mines (1953) and summarizes the regional geology.

The predominant lithologies on the property consist of quartzo-feldspathic metasediments with variable amounts of ferro-magnesian contaminants, primarily biotite and/or hornblende. Gneissic fabrics are weakly developed, becoming slightly more definitive with increasing biotite contents. Original carbonate lithologies are present as coarsely crystalline calcitic marbles in the northernmost portion of the property (Map 89-39-01), or as dirty calc-silicate skarns in the central and southern portions of the property. Skarn mineralogy appears to be quartz + feldspar + hornblende + wollastonite(?) + tremolite + calcite.

Bedding on the Herschel property strikes generally east-northeasterly; however, the dominant foliation strikes north-south to northwesterly. This latter orientation likely reflects an overprinted metamorphic foliation related to emplacement of the Cardiff Dome on adjacent Cardiff Township (Figure 4).

Graphitic mineralization on the Herschel property is present in two distinct styles. The economically most significant is fine- to medium-flake disseminated graphite hosted in fine-grained, quartzo-feldspathic paragneisses. Assays in these units range from less than 1% Cg to 10.56% Cg in Sample No. 8620 in the northwestern corner of the property (Map 89-39-01). Distribution of



grade is erratic and may vary by an order-of-magnitude within a single pit; however, semi-continuous horizons in excess of 200 m in length can be inferred.

The second style of mineralization consists of medium-coarse graphite flake hosted in calcite marbles. This mineralization is located in the northernmost property area, and appears to be restricted to a single, laterally continuous marble over at least 400 m. Within this unit, mineralization is discontinuous, and all assays are less than 2% Cg.

Lyndoch Township Property

The geology of the Lyndoch Township area is underlain by a series of hornblende-rich metasediments and granitic gneiss (Figure 5). Dominant lithologies on the Lyndoch property are a sequence of hornblende and quartzfeldspar-biotite gneisses, or paragneiss, interbedded with quartz-hornblende hornfels (Map 89-39-02). A hornblende metaquartzite dominates the extreme southwestern grid area and is locally intercalated with a hornblende gneiss, and intruded by medium to coarse-grained quartz-feldspar dykes. The latter occur as irregular lensoid inclusions in the hornblende metaquartzite. The units strike in a general east-west direction and dip moderately to steeply to the south. Metamorphic grade is lower to mid-amphibolite facies; however, float consisting of coarse kyanite schists attests to higher metamorphic grades locally.

Within the hornblende gneiss, hornblende-rich zones (80%) up to 1 m in width are present exhibiting sharp, distinct contacts with lesser hornblende zones (30%-50%). These zones, although locally irregular, are concordant with the general foliation of the property.

Four distinct, apparently discontinuous layers of calcite marble with variable quartz and/or muscovite contents have been identified on the property. These marbles appear to strike in a northeast/southwest direction and have interpreted strike lengths up to 500 m. The calcite marble mapped on the property dominates the extreme northwestern and eastern portions of the grid



A 41. 000 M

area. Minor outcroppings of marble were also noted on L1E and L2E in the vicinity of the baseline.

A topographic high in the southwestern portion of the grid area is underlain by massive outcropping of a fine- to medium-grained quartzite containing up to 10% anhedral to subhedral hornblende porphyroblasts. A hornblende granitic gneiss and coarser-grained pegmatitic-textured unit are also intercalated with the hornblende metaquartzite, as described previously. Calcareous rims are locally present around the hornblende.

Geophysics has suggested the presence of a dominant northwest/southeast trending fault structure of approximately 1,300 m offset by one of three subparallel northeast/southwest trending fault structures (Woolham, 1989). Little evidence was visible in outcrop for dominant faulting or shearing; however, locallized shearing is visible on an outcrop scale. Bull quartz veins are present within the gneissic and pegmatitic units but are barren.

Graphite mineralization on the Lyndoch property is present in both clastic sediments and, less frequently, in calcitic marbles. In the calcite marble it occurs as local disseminated coarse-grained flakes ranging from trace to 2%. In the quartz-feldspar biotite gneiss it is present as both medium-grained flake and as fine-grained disseminated graphite. Associated with this latter style of graphite mineralization is up to 10% to 15% sulphide mineralization, notably pyrite and pyrrhotite. Only minor sulphides are present elsewhere on the property.

DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

Herschel Township Property

The results from 31 grab samples taken over the extent of graphitic horizons delineated on the property are, with the exception of seven samples, all below 3% graphitic carbon and are not particularly encouraging. Graphite mineralization within the carbonate-hosted horizon at the northernmost portions of the property occurs as discontinuous, isolated pods of disseminated mediumgrade flake. Based on the lack of encouraging results from grab samples taken from this horizon, trenching was not undertaken.

In addition to the marble-hosted mineralization, four distinct and seemingly continuous graphitic horizons exist on the property, hosted within a gossanous quartz-feldspar-biotite paragneiss. These all occur on the western portions of the property centred on 3+50S, 3+00N, 9+50N and 11+50N, respectively. Samples taken from within these zones did reveal anomalous graphite values of up to 10.56% Cg; however, the results show that the grade is erratic, even at outcrop scale. This is best demonstrated by three samples taken within a single pit, blasted by previous exploration interests in the area. Two samples ranged in the order of 1.08% Cg to 1.50% Cg, while a third sample assayed 10.56% Cg. The nature of the graphite mineralization, is discontinuous and restricted to narrow graphite-rich lenses. Again, because of the lack of anomalous graphite values over definable widths and lengths, trenching was not undertaken on these horizons.

Lyndoch Township Property

The results of eleven grab samples taken from the property are very encouraging, ranging from 0.63% Cg to 34.06% Cg, with an average grade of all composite grab samples of 10.94% Cg (Map 89-39-02). The graphite mineralization is hosted by a gossanous quartz-feldspar-biotite-hornblende paragneiss (Unit 1a) that has been defined by surface mapping and sampling for a strike length in excess of 900 m. Graphite occurs as both medium to coarse grained disseminated flake and as very fine grained concentrations. Pyrite, and to a lesser degree pyrrhotite, occur throughout the unit in concentrations varying from trace to 15%. Grab samples taken approximately 50 m south of old drill hole Q-1 assayed 23.11% Cg and 14.47% Cg. These samples were taken on a steep north-facing slope and further investigation by trenching proved to be impossible, due to inaccessibility. Three samples collected from outcrop approximately 5 m north of old drill hole Q-2 assayed 34.06% Cg, 26.90% Cg and 4.94% Cg. A third zone of interest exists at L2+60E/0+35N where samples taken from an old pit assayed 5.95% Cg and 3.30% Cg.

The results for each of the trenches, tabulated in Table 2 and illustrated on Map 89-39-02, are summarized below:

Trench 1:	3.79% Cg over 11 m including 5.15% over 6 m
Trench 4:	3.72% Cg over 22 m
Trench 5:	4.74% Cg over 11 m
Trench 6:	4.93% Cg over 10 m.

Trench 1 was excavated beside an old pit (L2+60E/0+35N) and confirmed the continuation of the graphite mineralization. A conductive trend, associated with this mineralization, continues both east and west of the trench and represents a possible target for follow-up trenching and/or diamond drilling. Trench 2 and Trench 3, located to test a conductive anomaly, failed to encounter any graphite mineralization. Trenches 4, 5 and 6, representing of a strike length of 140 m, all encountered significant graphite mineralization over widths of up to 22 m and represent an area for further investigation by trenching and/or diamond drilling. Trench 7 was located to test a conductor and the possible extension of the graphitic horizon as defined by samples 8640 and 8641 on L12+00E. No anomalous mineralization was encountered.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The geological mapping program was successful in delineating the various rock types underlying the properties and determining the spacial distribution of the graphitic horizons.

The results from grab samples taken from the Lyndoch property are very encouraging and have an average combined grade of 10.94% Cg. This value is consistent with a high-grade type deposit and, in combination with previously defined geophysical conductors, provided ample targets for further investigation by trenching.

The results of the trenching and channel sampling program on the Lyndoch property are encouraging and have outlined two isolated zones which may have potential for a small tonnage, high-grade disseminated flake deposit. Further work is warranted on the Lyndoch Township property.

The results from grab samples taken from the Herschel property were, on the whole, not encouraging. With a lack of anomalous values over defineable widths and strike lengths, follow-up trenching was not undertaken. No further work is recommended at this time for the Herschel Township property.

To enable a further assessment of the economic viability of the Lyndoch property, additional information is required. In our opinion, additional trenching and channel sampling is required to define the surficial continuity of the two graphitic horizons partially delineated by trenches T.4, T.5 and T.6 and trench T.1. The additional information gained from this program would provide the necessary geological data to enable a decision as to the viability of implementing a diamond drilling program.

As this proposal is a revision of the original contingent Phase II diamond drill program, the estimated costs are \$19,200 as detailed in Appendix 5 and detailed below:-

Prefield Planning	\$	945
Mob/Demob	\$	1,400
Trenching (all inclusive)	\$	11,460
Data Compilation and Reporting	<u>\$</u>	3,560
Subtotal	\$	17,365
Contingency	<u>\$</u>	1,835
TOTAL	<u>\$</u>	19,200

Should the results of the revised Phase II trenching program be encouraging, a Phase II diamond drilling program of shallow definition holes would be recommended.

DERRY, MICHENER, BOOTH & WAHL

REFERENCES

Bright, E. G. Precambrian Geology of the Centre Lake Area, Eastern 1983: Part. Haliburton and Hastings Counties, Ontario Geological Survey Map P.2598. Carter, T. R. Metallogeny of the Grenville Province, Southeastern 1984: Ontario, Ontario Geological Survey Open File Report No. 5515, 422 p. Carter, T. R., Colvine, A. C. and Meyne, H. D. Geology of Base Metal, Precious Metal, Iron and 1980: Molybdenum Deposits in the Pembroke-Renfrew Area, Ontario Geological Survey Mineral Deposits Circular 20, 186 p. Davidson, A. New Interpretations in the Southwestern Grenville Province in Moore, J. M., et al. (eds.). The Grenville 1986: Province, GAC Sp. Paper 31, pp. 61-74. Garland, M. I. 1987: Graphite in the Central Gneiss Belt of the Grenville Province of Ontario, Ontario Geological Survey Open File, Report No. 5649, 222 p. Hartwick, P. A. Report on the Bancroft Area Graphite Properties in 1988: Lyndoch and Herschel Townships, Province of Ontario. Unpublished report prepared for Harrington Sound **Resources** Inc. Lortie, R. B. Quadlyn Property Geological Mapping Assessment Report 1980: 1979. Unpublished report prepared for Cominco, Toronto Assessment Office File #2.3225. Masson, S. L. Geology and Mineral Deposits of the Bancroft Area, 1982: Eastern Part. Southern Ontario, Ontario Geological Survey Map P.2524. Geology and Mineral Deposits of the Bancroft Area, 1982: Western Part, Southern Ontario, Ontario Geological Survey Map P.2523.

- 18 -

Masson, S. L., and Gordon, J. B.

Radioactive Mineral Deposits of the Pembrooke-Renfrew Area, Ontario Geological Survey Mineral Deposits Circular 23, 155 p.

Ontario Geological Survey

1981:

- 1957: Haliburton-Bancroft Area, Ontario Geological Survey Map 1957b.
- 1984: Lyndoch Township, Renfrew County, Ontario Geological Survey, Geological Data Inventory Folio 135.
- 1988: Herschel Township, Hastings County, Ontario Geological Survey, Geological Data Inventory Folio 410.
- Roy, D. W., et al. 1986: The Central Grenville Province, a zone of protracted overlap between crustal and mantle processes, in Moore, J. M., et al. (eds.), the Grenville Province, GAC Sp. Paper 31, pp. 61-74.
- Storey, C. C., and Vos, M. A. 1981: Industrial Minerals of the Pembrooke-Renfrew Area. Part 1: Marble, Ontario Geological Survey Mineral Deposits Circular 21, 132 p.
 - 1981: Industrial Minerals of the Pembrooke-Renfrew Area. Part 2: Ontario Geological Survey Mineral Deposits Circular 22, 214 p.
- Themistocleus, S. G. 1979: Khartum Area, Southern Ontario, Ontario Geological Survey Preliminary Map P.2240.
 - 1981: Geology of the Khartum Area, Renfrew County, Ontario Geological Survey Report 211, 55 p.

Woolham, R. W. 1989:

Report on the Geophysical Surveys on the Graphite Properties, Herschel and Lyndoch Townships, Ontario. Unpublished report prepared for Harrington Sound Resources Inc.

Wynne-Edwards, H. R. 1972: The Grenville Province in Price, R. A. and Douglas, R. J. W. (eds.), Variations in Tectonic Styles in Canada,

GAC Sp. Paper 11, pp. 263-334.

I, Richard W. Evoy, of 360 Ridelle Avenue, Apt. 1117, Toronto, Ontario, do hereby certify that:-

- 1. I am an exploration geologist working as a consultant for Derry, Michener, Booth & Wahl, Consulting Geologists and Engineers, of Toronto.
- 2. I am a graduate of Lake Superior State University, Sault Ste. Marie, Michigan, in Honours Geology with the degree of B.Sc. in 1984 and of the University of Missouri-Columbia with the degree M.Sc. in 1988/89.
- 3. I have been practising my profession since 1984.
- 4. I have not received, nor do I expect to receive, any interest, directly or indirectly, in the properties or securities of Harrington Sound Resources Inc.
- 5. The statements contained in this report and the conclusions and recommendations made are based upon my review of all data available. I have visited the properties and conducted or supervised all the field mapping.
- 6. I hereby consent to the use of this report in a Statement of Material Facts of the Company for the preparation of a prospectus for submission to the Ontario Securities Commission and other regulatory authorities.

Kichady

R. W. Evoy, M.Sc.

Toronto, Ontario August 18, 1989

DERRY, MICHENER, BOOTH & WAHL

I, Michael D. Weber, residing at 84 Birch Avenue, Toronto, Ontario, do hereby certify that:-

- 1. I am an exploration geologist under contract to Derry, Michener, Booth & Wahl, Consulting Geologists and Mining Engineers, Toronto, Ontario.
- 2. I am a graduate of Queen's University in Honours Geology with the degree of Bachelor of Science, 1985.
- 3. I have been practising my profession since graduation.
- 4. I have not received, nor do I expect to receive, any interest, direct or indirect, in the properties or securities of Harrington Sound Resources Inc.
- 5. I have visited the properties.
- 6. I hereby consent to the use of this report in a Statement of Material Facts of the company and for the preparation of the prospectus for submission to the Ontario Securities Commission and other regulatory authorities.

M. D. Weber, B.Sc.

Toronto, Ontario August 18, 1989 - 20 -

I, Timothy B. Dickson, of 105-212 St. George Street, Toronto, Ontario, do hereby certify that:

- (1) I am an exploration geologist working as consultant for Derry, Michener, Booth & Wahl, Consulting Geologists and Engineers of Toronto.
- (2) I am a graduate of the University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario, in Honours Geology with the degree of B.Sc. in 1985.
- (3) I have been practising my profession since 1982 and full-time since graduating in 1985.
- (4) I have not received, nor do I expect to receive, any interest, directly or indirectly, in the properties or securities of Harrington Sound Resources Inc.
- (5) The statements contained in this report and the conclusions and recommendations made are based upon my review of all data available. I have visited the properties.
- (6) I hereby consent to the use of this report in a Statement of Material Facts of the Company for the preparation of a prospectus for submission to the Ontario Securities Commission and other regulatory authorities.

T. B. Dickson, B.Sc.

Toronto, Ontario August 18, 1989

I, Ian D. Trinder, of Apt. 2025, 30 Denton Avenue, Scarborough, Ontario do hereby certify that:-

- 1. I am an exploration geologist employed with Derry, Michener, Booth & Wahl, Consulting Geologists and Engineers of Toronto.
- 2. I graduated from the University of Manitoba in 1983 with a degree of Bachelor of Science, Honours Geology and from the University of Western Ontario in 1989 with a degree of Master of Science, Geology. I have been practising my profession since graduation.
- 3. I have not received, nor do I expect to receive, any interest, directly or indirectly, in the properties or securities of Harrington Sound Resources Inc.
- 4. The statements contained in this report and the conclusions and recommendations made are based upon my review of all data available. I have not visited the properties.
- 5. I hereby consent to the use of this report in a Statement of Material Facts of the Company for the preparation of a prospectus for submission to the Ontario Securities Commission and other regulatory authorities.

I. D. Trinder, M.Sc.

Toronto, Ontario August 18, 1989

APPENDIX 1

GRAPHITE - TYPES, PRICES, USES AND MARKET ABILITY

(From: Hartwick, 1988)

DERRY, MICHENER, BOOTH & WAHL

APPENDIX 1

GRAPHITE - TYPES, PRICES, USES AND MARKET ABILITY

Graphite occurs in five basic forms of which three occur naturally and two are produced synthetically. The three natural forms of graphite are amorphous, crystalline vein and crystalline flake. Synthetic graphite is available in powder and fibre form and can be tailored to a particular consumer's needs. The pricing and marketability of all types of graphite is dependent upon the grain size and the purity of the product being sold with the coarsest and more pure varieties commanding the high prices. As the price for a particular form of graphite is often negotiated between the supplier and consumer, a standard pricing index for graphite is difficult to establish.

The three naturally occurring forms of graphite are categorized strictly according to grain size and purity as all varieties of the mineral are chemically and structurally identical. Arranged from coarse to fine, the three naturally occurring forms of graphite are crystalline vein, crystalline flake and amorphous. A listing of various types of naturally occurring graphite and their respective grain size and price ranges is given in Table 1-1.

Synthetic graphite is produced in powder and fibre form to meet specific industry demands. Synthetic graphite powder is made from petroleum coke in high temperature furnaces (2200°C) and is used in industry when pure homogeneous graphite is required (Garland, 1987). Synthetic graphite fibres are produced by the pyrolization of threads spun from rayon, polyacrylonitrite, or pitch materials (Garland, 1987). The uses of these strong lightweight fibres range from parts on aircraft and ships to sporting goods. As both the synthetic powder and fibres are specialty products, they tend to be extremely expensive and cannot be priced on a bulk form basis.

Graphite has a wide range of industrial uses which take advantage of one or more of the unique properties of this mineral. Some of the characteristics that this mineral possesses are: high thermal conductivity; high thermal shock 1 - 2

resistance, non-weltability; anisotropic conduction of heat and electricity; resistance to oxidation; and an increase in strength with temperature (Garland, 1987). The uses and breakdown of amount of graphite used in each case are given on Figure 3 and the specific type(s) of graphite required for each particular use is given in Table 1-2.

Table 1-1: Price Ranges for Graphite Varieties

(After Garland, 1987)

		US\$/tonne
Crystalline lump, 92/	97% C	\$550 - \$1,100
Crystalline large flake, 85/90% C		\$630 - \$1,000
Crystalline medium flake, 85/90% C		\$490 - \$860
Crystalline small flak	e, 80/95% C	\$300 - \$800
Powder (200 mesh),	80/85% C 90/92% C 95/97% C 97/99% C	\$250 - \$275 \$410 - \$460 \$550 - \$750 \$750 - \$1,000
Amorphous Powder,	80/85% C	\$ 175 - \$ 350

1 - 3

.

1

Table 1-2: Specifications for Graphite Production

<u>Use</u>		Size & Type	Carbon Content
REF	RACTORIES		
<u>Cruc</u>	tibles		
a)	Clay/Graphite	 flake 45% must be flake larger than 250 um 	90% C
b)	Silicon-carbide/ Graphite	- flake - 30% must be medium-large flake - larger than 150 um	80% C
<u>Mag</u>	-Carbon Bricks	- flake - medium-large - 150 um - 170 um - aspect ratio 20:1	87% - 90% C
<u>Alur</u>	nina-Carbon	- flake - larger than 420 um	85% C
POW	DERS		
<u>Brak</u>	e Linings	 flake, vein, synthetic often blended 60:40 natural to synethic ratio flake smaller than 75 um synthetic granules 1 mm 	98% C (90% C if abrasives very low)
<u>Batt</u>	eries		
a)	Dry Cell zinc/carbon	- ground natural graphite - smaller than 75 um	88% C
b)	Alkaline	 ground natural graphite or synthetic 5 - 75 um 	98% C
<u>Brus</u>	<u>hes</u>	 fine powdered graphite natural (vein or amorphous) or synthetic 150 um or less prefer finer than 50 um 	95% - 99% C

Table 1-2: Specifications for Graphite Production (Continued)

<u>Use</u>	Size & Type	Carbon Content
Powder Metallurgy (Sintering)	 fine powdered graphite natural or synthetic average particle size 5 um 	96% - 99% C (98% - 99% preferred)
<u>Lubricants</u>	- fine natural flake - 50 - 150 um	96% - 99% C used, 98% - 99% preferred
Paints and Conductive Coatings	- amorphous - powdered flake - very finely ground	50% C (amor.) 70% C (powder flake)
<u>Pencils</u>	- amorphous	80% - 82% for cheaper leads 90%+ for better pencils
Foundries		
a) Facings	- vein or amorphous - dust from refining mills - reground rejected graphites - 50 - 75 um	40% - 70% C
b) Recarburising Steel	- synthetic, amorphous, and petroleum coke (depends (on availability)	- hi g h purity desirable
<u>Mechanical Engineering</u> Components	- amorphous (temp <300°C) - electrographitized material (>300°C)	- purity depends on product
Insulating Agents	 flake graphite treated chemically (Dow Chemical pat.) weakens bonds between plates allows graphite to expand when heated 1.8 mm to 250 um 	85% C min.

1 - 5

.)

1

APPENDIX 2

TECHNICAL DATA STATEMENT

Ministry of Northern Development and Mines

Geophysical-Geological-Geochemical Technical Data Statement

Utario	File
TO BE ATTACHED AS AN APPENDIX TO TECHNIC FACTS SHOWN HERE NEED NOT BE REPEATED I TECHNICAL REPORT MUST CONTAIN INTERPRETATION,	AL REPORT N REPORT CONCLUSIONS ETC.
Type of Survey(s) <u>Geological</u> Township or Area <u>HERSCHEL</u> AND <u>ENROPEMENT LYNDOCH TUF</u> Claim Holder(s) <u>Havrington Scunct Resources Inc</u> <u>500-67 Richmend St. W Toronto</u>	MINING CLAIMS TRAVERSED List numerically HERSCHEL
Survey Company Verry, Michener, Booth & Wahl Author of Report TIM DICKSON	<u>(prefix)</u> (7,2,15,73) (7,2,1,5,79)
Address of Author <u>410-20</u> Richmand St. E. Toronte Covering Dates of Survey May 30/89 > July 22/69	721580
Total Miles of Line Cut	721581 So 1040570
SPECIAL PROVISIONSDAYSCREDITS REQUESTEDGeophysical	1040571
ENTER 40 days (includes line cutting) for first —Magnetometer	1040572
survey. -Radiometric ENTER 20 days for each -Other	1040574
additional survey using Geological ZO same grid. Geochemical	LYNDOCH
AIRBORNE CREDITS (Special provision credits do not apply to airborne surveys) MagnetometerElectromagneticRadiometric	30 721568
DATE: Aug 18/84 SIGNATURE: 1/2 Dide	72/570
/ Author of Report of Agent	. 721571
Res. GeolQualifications 2.11836	
File No. Type Date Claim Holder	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
	TOTAL CLAIMS
7 (85/12)	

APPENDIX 3

CERTIFICATES OF ANALYSIS - CHANNEL SAMPLES

ASSAYERS ONTARIO LABORATORIES A DIVISION OF ASSAYERS CORPORATION LTD.

33 CHAUNCEY AVENUE, TORONTO, ONTARIO M8Z 2Z2 • TELEPHONE (416) 239-3527 FAX (416) 239-4012

Certificate of Analysis

3 — т

rtificate No.	0MBW-077027900			Date	9: <u>JU</u>	19 20, 1989	
eived		40	Samples of	Rock			
mitted by _	Derry, Michener	, Booth & Wa	ahl /	tt'n: c.c.	Mr. Mr.	Tim Dickson Ian Trinder	
	PROJECT: HSR 1	04					
	Sample No.	Graphitic C	x	Sample	No.	Graphitic C	X
	T 1-1	8.89		T4 -7		1.50	
	-2	3.18		-8		1.33	
	-3	4.86		-9		5.04	
	- 4	4.78		-1	0	5.90	
	-5	4.06		T4 -1	1	7.96	
	-6	1.41		T5 -1		4.35	
	-7	1.79		-2		1.71	
	-8	1.31		-3		16.83	
	T 1-10	.72		-4		3.14	
	R-1	3.30		-5		1.35	
	R-2	5.95		T5 -6		1.07	
	T2-67	.70		T6 -1		3.32	
	T3-1	.52		-2		4.70	
	T3-2	.33		-3		9.98	
	T 4 – 1	2.96		-4		2.99	
	-2	7.36		-5		3.67	
	-3	3.89		T6 -5	A	.92	
	- 4	.49		T7 -1		.99	
	- 5	3.21		-2	_	.23	
	T4-6	1.32		T7 -3	5	<u>/22</u>	
			ASSA	YERS ONT	IFIO M	BORATORIES	
		P	er	∇	/ /	7	
			J.	van 'En	gelen	Mgr.	

3 - 2
ASSAYERS ONTARIO LABORATORIES A DIVISION OF ASSAYERS CORPORATION LTD.
33 CHAUNCEY AVENUE. TORONTO, ONTARIO M8Z 2Z2 • TELEPHONE (416) 239-3527 FAX (416) 239-4012
Certificate of Analysis

Certificate No.	DMBW-07/01/9081 Date: July 26, 1989	
Received	8 Samples of Rock	
Submitted by _	Derry, Michener, Booth & Wahl Att'n: Mr. T. Dickson	
	c.c. Mr. Ian Trinder	

Project: HSR 104

A

....

1

Sample No.	Au ppb
т 1-2	35
-3	20
-4	15
т 1-5	40
т 4-2	22
-3	25
-4	19
т 4-5	38

	\sum_{n}
Per	ASSAYERS ONTARIO LABORATOPHES
ANALYTICAL CHEMICTO & ACCAMING & ICP MULT	J. Van Engeten Mgr.

APPENDIX 4

. (

--

CERTIFICATES OF ANALYSIS - GRAB SAMPLES

		Certificate o	f Analysis	
ertificate No.	DMBW-06/8947		Date:	June 10, 1989
ceived		40San	nples of <u>Rock</u>	
mitted by _	Derry, Micher	ner, Booth & Wah	L Att'n:	Mr. Richard Evoy
	Sample No.	Graphitic C X	Sample No.	Graphitic C %
	8601	2.60	8621	2.45
	8602	1.35	8622	3.26
	8603	1.74	8623	1.00
	8604	4.06	8624	.63
	8605	3.63	8625	2.01
	8606	.63	8631	5.84
	8607	2.76	8632	3.93
	8608	1.71	8633	1.79
	8609	1.39	8634	1.72
	8610	1.37	8635	1.73
	8611	1.10	8636	1.01
	8612	.74	8637	.09
	8613	3.37	8638	1.60
	8614	1.32	8639	1.17
	8615	.39	8640	14.47
	8616	1.37	8641	23.11
	8617	1.03	8642	.70
	8618	1.08	8643	26.90
	8619	1.50	8644	4.94
	8620	10.56	8645 (34.06

J. van Engelen Mgr. ANALITICAL CHEMISTO - ACCAVING - 100 MULTI TLEVENT ANALYSIS - DEPRESENTATION

			Cartif	icate of a	Analysis	
Certificate No.	DMBW-	06/02/894	7		Da	_{te:} June 16, 1989
Received			40	Sampl	es of <u>Rock</u>	
Submitted by _	Derry,	Michemer	r, Booth	& Wahl	Att'n:	Mr. Richard Evoy
					<u></u>	
	Sample	No. (Cu ppm	Pb ppm	Zn ppm	Mo ppm
	8643		320	69	45	42
	8644		148	63	40	19
	8645		678	95	56	54
•						

	ASSAYERS ONTARIO LABORATORIES
Per	H 1
	J. van Engelen Mgr.

MUNENTICAL CHEMISTS - ASCANING - IOD MUNTUEL CALENT ANALYSIS . REPRESENTATION

APPENDIX 5

PROPOSED TRENCHING PROGRAM - DETAILED BUDGET

	ENTERBOOT	TH & WAHL						Fl	NESI	но	UR		HARRINGTON	SOUND BAN	CROFT-TRENCHING
RT DATE	17AUG89 14:06	RUN NO.		5		(COST	CONT	ROL	ACT	IVITY REP	ORT	START DATE	4SEP89	FIN DATE 24SEP8
ECT - DI	ETAILED CO	DST PER A	CTIV	177									DATA DATE	4SEP89	PAGE NO. 1
-		COST	••••	ACCOUNT	UNI	T			PCT		ACTUAL	ACTUAL	ESTIMATE TO	TODECACT	VADIANCE
VITY ID	RESOURCE	ACCOUN	T 	CATEGORY	MEA	S BU	UDGEI	. 	CMP	•	IU DAIE	INIS PERIOD		FORECASI	• •••••••
R104A101	PREFIELD RD 31	PLANNING ES 4SEP8	9 EF	6SEP89	LS	4SEP 89	LF	6SEP	89	TF	0				
	GEO PROJ	M10	L		/HR		945	5.00	0		.00	.00	945.00	945.0	o
	TOTAL :						94	5.00	0		.00	.00	945.00	945.0	o
044401	MOBILIZA RD 1	TION ES 7SEP8	19 EF	7SEP89	LS	7sep89	LF	7sep	89	TF	0				
	GEO PROJ	F38	L		/HR		31!	5.00	0		.00	.00	315.00	315.0	
	GEO JR	F36	L		/HR		24	5.00	0		.00	.00	245.00	245.0	0.0
	MEALS	8300	Х	t i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	/MD		50	0.00	0		.00	.00	50.00	50.0	0.0
	TRUCK	8304	X	1	/DA	Y	90	0.00	0		.00	.00	90.00	90.0	
	TOTAL :						70	0.00	0	•••	.00	.00	700.00	700.0	. 00
104A402	TRENCHIN	G						17050			•				
	RD 10	ES 8SEPE	59 EF	· 1/SEP89	LS	DSEPDY	LF	17SEP	07	15	U				
	GEO PROJ	F36	L		/HR		315	0.00	0		.0	.00	3150.00	3150.0	
	GEO PROJ GEO JR	F36 F36	L		/HR /HR		315 245	0.00 0.00	0		. 01 . 01) .00) .00	3150.00 2450.00	3150.0 2450.0). 00
	GEO PROJ GEO JR LODGING	F36 F36 8322	L L)	- - (/HR /HR /MD		315 245 80	0.00 0.00 0.00	0 0 0		.0(.0(.0(00. 00 00. 00 00. 00	3150.00 2450.00 800.00	3150.0 2450.0 800.0). 00). 00
	GEO PROJ GEO JR LODGING MEALS	F36 F36 8322 8313		- - (/HR /HR /MD /MD		315 245 80 50	0.00	0 0 0 0		.00 .00 .01 .01	00.00 00.00 00.00	3150.00 2450.00 800.00 500.00	3150.0 2450.0 800.0 500.0). 00 00
	GEO PROJ GEO JR LODGING MEALS TRUCK	F36 F36 8322 8313 8304		- - ((/HR /HR /MD /MD /DA	Y	315 245 80 50 90	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0 0 0 0		00. 00. 00. 00.	00.00 00.00 00.00 00.00	3150.00 2450.00 800.00 500.00 900.00	3150.0 2450.0 800.0 500.0 900.0). 00 , 00 , 10 , 00 , 10 , 00
	GEO PROJ GEO JR LODGING MEALS TRUCK BACKHOE	F36 F36 8322 8313 8304 8320		- - (((/HR /HR /MD /MD /DA /HR	Ŷ	315 245 80 50 90	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	000000000000000000000000000000000000000		00. 00. 01. 00. 00.	00.00 00.00 00.00 00.00 00.00	3150.00 2450.00 800.00 500.00 900.00 1280.00 300.00	3150.0 2450.0 800.0 500.0 900.0 1280.0)- 00 - 00 - 00 - 00 - 00
	GEO PROJ GEO JR LODGING MEALS TRUCK BACKHOE RSAW+KIT	F36 F36 8322 8313 8304 8320 8320		- - - - - - - - - - - - -	/HR /HR /MD /MD /DA /HR UNI	Y	315 245 80 50 90 128 30	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	000000000000000000000000000000000000000		.00 .00 .01 .01 .01 .01	0.00 00.00 00.00 00.00 00.00	3150.00 2450.00 800.00 500.00 900.00 1280.00 300.00	3150.0 2450.0 800.0 500.0 900.0 1280.0 300.0)- 00 - 000 - 000 - 00 - 00 - 00 - 0
	GEO PROJ GEO JR LODGING MEALS TRUCK BACKHOE RSAW+KIT OFF SUP	F36 F36 8322 8313 8304 8320 8320 8314 8345		- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	/HR /HR /MD /MD /DA /HR UNI	Y T T	315 245 80 50 90 128 30 30	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	000000000000000000000000000000000000000		.00 .00 .01 .00 .00 .00 .00	00.00 00.00 00.00 00.00 00.00 00.00	3150.00 2450.00 800.00 500.00 900.00 1280.00 300.00 30.00 250.00	3150.0 2450.0 800.0 500.0 900.0 1280.0 300.0 300.0 250.0)- 00
9 1 1	GEO PROJ GEO JR LODGING MEALS TRUCK BACKHOE RSAW+KIT OFF SUP FD SUP RKASSAY	F36 F36 8322 8313 8304 8320 8320 8314 8315 8332		- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	/HR /HR /MD /DA /DA /HR UNI UNI UNI UNI	Y T T T M	315 245 80 50 90 128 30 31 25 180	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0			. 00 . 00 . 00 . 00 . 00 . 00 . 00 . 00	00. 00 00. 00 00. 00 00. 00 00. 00 00. 00 00. 00	3150.00 2450.00 800.00 500.00 900.00 1280.00 300.00 30.00 250.00 1800.00	3150.0 2450.0 800.0 500.0 900.0 1280.0 300.0 300.0 250.0 1800.0)- 00 - 000
	GEO PROJ GEO JR LODGING MEALS TRUCK BACKHOE RSAW+KIT OFF SUP FD SUP RKASSAY TOTAL :	F36 F36 8322 8313 8304 8320 8320 8314 8315 8332			/HR /MD /MD /DA /HR UN I UN I UN I	Y T T M	315 245 80 50 128 30 33 25 180	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		00. 00. 00. 00. 00. 00. 00. 00.	00. 00 00. 00 00. 00 00. 00 00. 00 00. 00 00. 00	3150.00 2450.00 800.00 500.00 900.00 1280.00 300.00 300.00 250.00 1800.00	3150.0 2450.0 800.0 500.0 900.0 1280.0 300.0 250.0 1800.0	
	GEO PROJ GEO JR LODGING MEALS TRUCK BACKHOE RSAW+KIT OFF SUP FD SUP RKASSAY TOTAL :	F36 F36 8322 8313 8304 8320 8320 8314 8315 8332			/HR /MD /MD /DA /HR UN I UN I /SA	Y T T T M	315 245 80 50 90 128 30 32 25 180 1146	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0			.00 .01 .01 .01 .01 .01 .01 .01 .01	00. 00 00. 00 00. 00 00. 00 00. 00 00. 00 00. 00 00. 00	3150.00 2450.00 800.00 500.00 900.00 1280.00 300.00 30.00 250.00 1800.00	3150.0 2450.0 800.0 500.0 900.0 1280.0 300.0 300.0 250.0 1800.0	
104A406	GEO PROJ GEO JR LODGING MEALS TRUCK BACKHOE RSAW+KIT OFF SUP FD SUP RKASSAY TOTAL : DEMOBILI RD 1	F36 F36 8322 8313 8304 8320 8320 8314 8315 8332 ZATION ES 18SEP	L L))))))))))))))))))	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	/HR /MD /MD /DA /HR UNI UNI UNI /SA	Y T T M 	315 245 80 90 128 30 3 25 180 1146	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	TF	00. 00. 00. 00. 00. 00. 00. 00. 00.	00. 00 00. 00 00. 00 00. 00 00. 00 00. 00 00. 00 00. 00	3150.00 2450.00 800.00 500.00 900.00 1280.00 300.00 30.00 250.00 1800.00	3150.0 2450.0 800.0 500.0 900.0 1280.0 300.0 300.0 250.0 1800.0).).).).).).).).).).
	GEO PROJ GEO JR LODGING MEALS TRUCK BACKHOE RSAW+KIT OFF SUP FD SUP RKASSAY TOTAL : DEMOBILI RD 1 GEO PROJ	F36 F36 8322 8313 8304 8320 8320 8314 8315 8332 ZATION ES 18SEP F38	L L))))))))))))))))))	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	/HR /MD /MD /DA /HR UN I UN I UN I /SA	Y T T T 	315a 245c 800 500 900 1288 300 325 180 1146	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	TF	00. 00. 00. 00. 00. 00. 00. 00. 00.	00. 00 00. 00 00. 00 00. 00 00. 00 00. 00 00. 00 00. 00	3150.00 2450.00 800.00 500.00 1280.00 300.00 300.00 250.00 1800.00 111460.00	3150.0 2450.0 800.0 500.0 900.0 1280.0 300.0 250.0 1800.0 11460.0	
	GEO PROJ GEO JR LODGING MEALS TRUCK BACKHOE RSAW+KIT OFF SUP FD SUP RKASSAY TOTAL : DEMOBILI RD 1 GEO PROJ GEO JR	F36 F36 8322 8313 8304 8320 8320 8314 8315 8332 ZATION ES 18SEP F38 F38	L L))))))))))))))))))	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	/HR /MD /MD /DA /HR UNI UNI /SA LS 1 /HF /HF	Y T T M 	3154 2456 800 900 128 300 32 25 180 31 1146 2 LF 31 24	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	TF	00. 00. 00. 00. 00. 00. 00. 00. 00. 00.	00.00 00	3150.00 2450.00 800.00 500.00 1280.00 300.00 300.00 250.00 1800.00 111460.00 315.00 245.00	3150.0 2450.0 800.0 500.0 900.0 1280.0 300.0 250.0 1800.0 11460.0	
1 04A406	GEO PROJ GEO JR LODGING MEALS TRUCK BACKHOE RSAW+KIT OFF SUP FD SUP RKASSAY TOTAL : DEMOBILI RD 1 GEO PROJ GEO JR MEALS	F36 F36 8322 8313 8304 8320 8320 8320 8314 8315 8332 ZATION ES 18SEP F38 F38 8313	L L))))))))))))))))))	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	/HR /MD /MD /DA /HR UNI UNI /SA LS 1 /HF /HF /HF	Y T T M 885EP89	3154 2456 800 900 1288 300 3 255 1800 311146 214 214 24 5	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0		TF	00. 00. 00. 00. 00. 00. 00. 00. 00. 00.	00.00 0.	3150.00 2450.00 800.00 500.00 900.00 1280.00 300.00 300.00 250.00 1800.00 11460.00 315.00 245.00 50.00	3150.0 2450.0 800.0 500.0 900.0 1280.0 300.0 250.0 1800.0 11460.0 315.0 245.0 50.0	
) 104A406	GEO PROJ GEO JR LODGING MEALS TRUCK BACKHOE RSAW+KIT OFF SUP FD SUP RKASSAY TOTAL : DEMOBILI RD 1 GEO PROJ GEO JR MEALS TRUCK	F36 F36 8322 8313 8304 8320 8320 8314 8315 8332 ZATION ES 18SEP F38 F38 F38 8313 8304	39 E	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	/HR /MD /MD /DA /HR UNI /SA /HF /HF /HF /HF /HF	Y T T M 885EP89	315 245 80 90 90 128 30 32 25 180 1146 24 5 24 5 9	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0		TF	00. 00. 00. 00. 00. 00. 00. 00. 00. 00.	00.00 0.	3150.00 2450.00 800.00 500.00 900.00 1280.00 300.00 300.00 250.00 1800.00 11460.00 11460.00 315.00 245.00 50.00 90.00	3150.0 2450.0 800.0 500.0 900.0 1280.0 300.0 250.0 1800.0 11460.0 315.0 245.0 50.0	

1

5 - 1

ERRY, MICHE	BOOT	'H & WAHL			F	INEST	HC	NUR .		HARRINGTON	SOUND BAN	CROFT-TRENCHING
ORT DATE	17AUG89 14:06	RUN NO.	5		COST CON	TROL	ACT	IVITY REPO	DRT	START DATE	45EP89	FIN DATE 24SEP8
NECT - DE	TAILED CC	IST PER ACT	ΤΙVITY							DATA DATE	4sep89	PAGE NO. 2
IVITY ID	RESOURCE	COST ACCOUNT	ACCOUNT CATEGOR	UNIT (MEAS	BUDGET	PCT CMP		ACTUAL TO DATE	ACTUAL THIS PERIOD	ESTIMATE TO COMPLETE	FORECAST	VARIANCE
SR104A409	DATA COMP RD 5 E	VILATION &	REPORT EF 23SEP89	LS 19SEP8	19 LF 23SE	P89	TF	0				
	GEO PROJ	M10	L	/HR	1575.00	0		.00	.00	1575.00	1575.0	0.0
-	GEO SR	M10	L	/HR	385.00	0		.00	.00	385.00	385.0	0.0
_	DRAFT	M21	L	/HR	750.00	0		.00	.00	750.00	750.0	0.0
	WPROCESS	M22	L	/HR	750.00	0		.00	.00	750.00	750.0	0.0
	PRINT/RE	8356	x	UNIT	100.00	0		.00	.00	100.00	100.0	0.0
	TOTAL :				3560.00	0	•••	.00	.00	3560.00	3560.0	0.0
521044000		ICY										
	RD O E	ES 24SEP89	EF 24SEP89	LS 24SEP8	39 LF 24SE	P89	TF	0				
	CONTING	CNTG	x		1835.00	0		.00	.00	1835.00	1835.0	0.0
	TOTAL :				1835.00	0		.00	.00	1835.00	1835.0	0.0
-		DEDODT			19200 - 00	0		.00	.00	19200.00	19200.0	ю.

ц. 1.

5 - 2



5 ເ ພ

ES.GEO. TWEED		1				H in i swim s ta ta m a	
Ministry of Northern Developme	Report of W	ork	W89				
and Mines	(Geophysical,	Geologica					
	Geochemical a	na expena . J A	itules) 31	E01NW0025 2.1	2748 HERSCHE		900
	wo109.	17	Mining A	ct	-	Do not use shaded areas	below.
Type of Survey(s)		~			Le 29	SCHEL & LV.	JACCH .
Claim Holder(s)	······································	2	127	40	(G×148)	Prospector's Licence No	(G3400)
HARRINGTON	Sound	RESC	urces	inc.	/		
Address France - 1	7 Piclima			Trainin			1176 -
Survey Company	T AICHMON		· west	Date of Survey		Total Miles of	f line Cut
DERRY MICHE	JER, BOOTH	& WAT	11	Day Mo.	Yr. Day A	Mo. Yr.	
Name and Address of Author (o	f Geo-Technical report)	d 772	NE2 4	10 - 20 Ec		- Eur TOP.	11-52
Credits Requested per Each (Claim in Columns at r	ight	Mining Clair	ns Traversed (I	List in numer	rical sequence) M	5C 2R9
Special Provisions	Geophysical	Days per Claim	Mini Prefix	ng Claim Number	Expend. Davs Cr.	Mining Claim Prefix Number	Expend. Davs Cr
For first survey:	- Electromagnetic		Co	721670			
Enter 40 days. (This includes line cutting)	Managementer			<u> 1412 FC</u>	ľ		
	• Megneromater	<u> </u>]		721579	<u> </u>	Sec. 1	
For each additional survey:	- Radiometric			721580	l·l		
Enter 20 days (for each)	- Other		al w	721581			
	Geological	20	5.7	040570			
	Geochemical		33	040571			
Man Days	Geophysical	Days per	もで	040572			
Complete reverse side		Claim		270372			
and enter total(s) here	- Electromagnetic			040573			
	- Magnetometer			040574			
	- Radiometric				<u> </u>		<u>:D</u>
	- Other						
	Geological		SO	721568			9
	Geochemical			12,51.9		ANDC CE	
Airborne Credits		Days per		12570		STATE OF	5110 1
Alexan Consist answisions	Electromonostic		5.1	721570			
credits do not apply	Electromagnetic		0 + 1	721571	AL BURVEY		
to Airborne Surveys.	Magnetometer				FLES		
	Radiometric	il		OFFICE	· · ·	S	
Expenditures (excludes power	er stripping)	<u> </u>	200	TURNETINE			
Type of mork Performed				RECE			
Performed on Claim(s)					en		
				E YIE	-1979		
Calculation of Expenditure Day	s Credits	Total		m 7.8.9.10.11.12.7	12,3,4,5,6		
Total Expenditures		s Credits			11:		
\$	_ + [15] = [* X	Total number of mining claims covered by this	° 14
Instructions	portioned at the claim i	noider's					
choice. Enter number of day	s credits per claim select	ed	Fo Total Davs C	or Office Use O	inly	Midiga Recorder	1
in columns at right.			Recorded	augasi	F21,1989	MAlline	shy
Date Re	corded Holder or Agent (Signature)	280	Ofte Approved	as Recorded	Branch Director	
August 21 '89 1	cin lini			M/ Che	107_	1 4 peor	~
Certification Verifying Repo	ort of Work	nowledge of	the facts set for	th in the Report	of Work annex	ed hereto, having perform	med the work
or witnessed same during and	l/or after its completion	and the ann	exed report is tru	18.		· • •	
Name and Postal Address of Per	son Certifying		7		c_ e.	~	
LAN IKINDE	SUITE	41C ·	<u>20 Kic</u>	Date Certified	>1 64	Cettified by (Signature)	
Theorem ONT	AKIN MEC	100		August	21 1989	1 dame S-	

i neutress.

: 01



	<u>м.н.с. WI</u> м. н.с.	- MINING R	IGHTS ON	<u>דטרפוע</u> LY	• •
	S.R.O M.+ S	– SURFACE – MINING A	AIGHTS O	CE RIGHT	S
i	Description	Order No.	Date	Disposition	<i>A</i> File
•	MHA RESERVE	Public Access	June [5,1978	i S.R.	188502
() ()	5EC 34/100 43(RSD 1977	¥,48/73	14/2/85 2/9/75	S.R D. M.R.	23249
لق	ס תפרו וו ה		, 13		_ * *
				1	
	Γ	DATE O	F ISSUE		
	ļ	SEP (051989		
		SCUTIT	RN ONTARIO)	
		MINER		J	
		<u>NC</u>	DTES		
	Origina) etc.	reisne shows	thus (. + = + +
	shei يسر . F.R.L. shore	line shows t	hus :		
	_580	UD 9 GRA	<u>, 15</u>	-	·
(A HNR PTT	Nº. 101			
	-3) GRADEL F		-		
	ANNR PIT	N ⁰ , 141	Lu	94	
	GRAVEL	ас РГГ () ⁸ . (File 138=	•* / FILE:) 6 4	23233	
(C GUARRY 1	lexhr			
٥٢	DO' SURFACE F	RIGHTS RESE	RVATION AL	ong the s	HORES
	ALL LAKES	RIVERS.	GENE		
┡—		L	LUEND	,,,,,,,,	<u>^</u>
'	HIGHWAY AN		NO.		
ļ ⁽	TRAILS	18100			
•	SURVEYED I TOWNSHI	LINES: PS, BASE LII	NES, ETC.		
	LOTS, MIN	NING CLAIM	S, PARCEL	.ə, ETC. —	_
	LOT LINE	ea: S 3011517 -			
1	PARCEL E MINING C	LAIMS ETC			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
ļ	RAILWAY A		OF WAY		
	UTILITY LIN	NIAL STRE	۹M	 	آگری ۔
1	FLOODING	OR FLOODI		s 🗯	
	SUBDIVISIO	IN OR COMP	USITE PLA		
1	ORIGINAL S	SHORELINE			*
	MARSH OR MINES	MUSKEG	_		
	TRAVERSE	MONUMENT	1		
Γ	. DISPO	SITION	OF CI	ROWN	LAND
ſ	TYPE OF	DOCUMEN	II.		SYMB
	PATENT, SI	URFACE & N	AINING RIC	знтs	0
	" , Sl			r	·
	LEASE SU	RFACE & MI	JUNLY .	HTS	
1	", SUI "		HTS ONLY.	 	
ļ		F OCCUPAT			
	ORDER-IN				
	CANCELLE	ED			
	SAND & GI	RAVEL			
	NOTE: MINI 1913	ING RIGHTS I I, VESTED IN	IN PARCELS DRIGINAL	PATENTED PATENTED	PRIOR TO M. BY THE PU EC 53, SUPE
	LAN			ک رہ۔	دی
	SCALE:	1 INCH = 4	0 CHAINS	; .	
1	FEET	1000	- -	ч 	00
	0	2000	4000	60 	2000
	0 2) METRES	یسی۔ بن	2000 [1 KM]		(2 KM)
ŀ	TOWN	HIP	<u></u>		
	iuw HS				
	HF	RSC	ΉF		
	• • •		. к	 Die	: т
-	M.N.R.	AUMINIST	nATIVE	TRIC	o 1
	BAN(∪ R0F T	سر		
	MINING	5 DIVISI(uni	1 	
	SOU.	THERI	N ON	TAR	IU
	LAND T	TITLES / R	EGISTRY	DİVISI	ON
	HAS	TINGS			•
) Minin	tryof *	linistry	of
	1 (82	Notur	al N	lorthern	Develop
		Resort	urces o	nd Mine	eS
					- 1 T
	Date	APRIL	,1987	×	717
	1			-	``

MONTE

AGLE

TO

N N S

HIP

FERENCES
S WITHDRAWN FROM DISPOSITION
A.R.O MINING RIGHTS ONLY
A.+ S MINING AND SURFACE RIGHTS
tion Order No. Date Disposition File
SERVE Public Access June 15,1978 S.R. 188505
14/2/85 S.R D
DATE OF ISSUE
SEP 05 1989
SOUTHERN CHITARIO
MINN'IS DIVISION
NOTES
o) shoreline shown thus :
<u></u>
NUL FILE 27567
RUEL FILE 25872
GRAVEL PIT Nº. 164 FILE: 123233
ARRY PERNIT
FACE RIGHTS RESERVATION ALONG THE SHORES
LAKES AND RIVERS.
LEGEND
ROADS
EYED LINES: WNSHIPS, BASE LINES, ETC.
rs, MINING CLAIMS, PARCELS, ETC
T LINES
NING CLAIMS ETC.
TY LINES
DING OR FLOODING RIGHTS
SH OR MUSKEG
S ZERSE MONUMENT
SPOSITION OF CROWN LANDS
SPOSITION OF OHOVER LANDO
E OF DOCUMENT SYMBO
SURFACE & MINING RIGHTS
MINING RIGHTS ONLY
SURFACE RIGHTS ONLY
ERVATION
CELLED
O-WAVE TOWER E: MINING RIGHTS IN PARCELS PATENTED PRIOR TO MA 1913, VESTED IN ORIGINAL PATENTEE BY THE PUB
LANDS ACT, R.S.O. 1970, CHAP. 380, SEC 53, SUBSEC
ALE: 1 INCH = 40 CHAINS
T
1 200 1000 2000 (RES (1КМ) {2КМ}
WASHIP
IERSCHEL
N.R. ADMINISTRATIVE DISTRICT
ANCROFT
INING DIVISION
OUTHERN ONTARIO
ND TITLES / REGISTRY DIVISION



210

Sec. 1

LEGEND - CHUCAY AND ROUTE No. OTHER ROADS TRAILS SURVEYED LINES: TOWNSHIPS, BASE LINES, ETC. LOTS, MINING CLAIMS, PARCELS, ETC UNSURVEYED LINES: LOT LINES PARCEL BOUNDARY MINING CLAIMS ETC. RAILWAY AND RIGHT OF WAY UTILITY LINES NON-PERENNIAL STREAM FLOODING OR FLOODING RIGHTS SUBDIVISION OR COMPOSITE PLAN RESERVATIONS ORIGINAL SHORELINE MARSH OR MUSKEG MINES TRAVERSE MONUMENT **DISPOSITION OF CROWN LANDS** SYMBO TYPE OF DOCUMENT PATENT, SURFACE & MINING RIGHTS SURFACE RIGHTS ONLY MINING RIGHTS ONLY LEASE, SURFACE & MINING RIGHTS SURFACE RIGHTS ONLY. MINING RIGHTS ONLY... LICENCE OF OCCUPATION ORDER-IN-COUNCIL RESERVATION _____ CANCELLED _____ SAND & GRAVEL MOTE: MINING RIGHTS IN PARCELS PATENTED PRIOR TO MAY 6, 1913, VESTED IN ORIGINAL PATENTEE BY THE PUBLIC LANDS ACT, R.S.O. 1970, CHAP. 380, SEC. 63, SUBSEC 1. SCALE: 1 INCH = 40 CHAINS FEEY 6000 1000 2000 2000 0 200 1000 [2 KM) AREAS WITHDRAWN FROM DISPOSITION M.R.O. - MINING RIGHTS ONLY S.R.O. SURFACE RIGHTS ONLY M.+ S. - MINING AND SURFACE RIGHTS Date Disposition Order No. \bullet ONTARIO HYDRO POWER SITE RESERVE Θ ONTARIO HYDRO POWER SITE RESERVE FRC, PARK = W 68/83 18/9/83 M+S 3 DATE OF ISSUE JUL 2 4 1989 SOUTHERN ONTARIO MINING DIVISION NOTES Flooding Rights on Madawaska River, Reserved to contour 817', 870' and 925' to Ontario Hydro. FILE 83050 Vol 182. 400' SURFACE RIGHTS RESERVATION ALONG THE SHORE S OF ALL LAKES AND RIVERS. TOWNSHIP LYNDOCH M.N.R. ADMINISTRATIVE DISTRICT PEMBROKE MINING DIVISION SOUTHERN ONTARIO LAND TITLES / REGISTRY DIVISION RENFREW Ministry of Ministry of Y Northern Developmen Natural and Mines Resources 6-3400 Ontario بيب الريز جون الجاري

Bate

ب ردی ک

MARCH 1987









	Pegmatite Dykes
	Carbonate Metasediments
2c 2b 2a	diopside-biotite-hornblende skarn calc-silicate skarn calcite marble
	Clastic Metasediments
1c '	coarse grained, unfoliated, "pegmatiti auartz feldspar sediment
1biii	fine grained quartz-feldspar paragne >10% biotite
1 bii	fine grained quartz-felspar paragneis <10% biotite
1bi	fine argined guartz-feldspar pargane

THESE DRAVINGS ARE THE PROPERTY OF DERRY, MICHENER, SOUTH & VALLAND MAY NOT BE USED OR REPROJUCED VITHOUT THEIR WRITTEN PERMISSION

230

31E01NW0025 2.12748 HERSCHEL