010



REPORT ON

HORIZONTAL LOOP E.M. SURVEY

Propuss

MAR 2 3 1978

PROJECTS UNIT

TEMAGAMI-HOLLINGER JOINT VENTURE #195

Claim Sheet	м59 6	NT	'S 31M	14W
Lat. 47° 05'		Long.	79°	48 '

Sudbury Mining Division

by: N.W.Rayner - Geologist October 19 - 28, 1977 February 14-17, 22, 1978.

St. Joseph Explorations Limited

TEMAGAMI-HOLLINGER JOINT VENTURE #195

NTS 31M4W

REPORT ON GEOPHYSICAL SURVEYS

1. INTRODUCTION

A Horizontal Loop Electromagnetic Survey was performed on a group of 19 claims in Northeastern Ontario in Strathy Township NTS 31M4W. The work was carried out during October 1977 and February, 1978.

The claim group is under option agreement with Hollinger Mines Limited.

This report covers all claims on which grid lines were cut that were physically possible to traverse with the type of instruments used. As a consequence, some claims do not have complete coverage due to lakes, streams, roads, railways and buildings.

Pertinent data regarding the survey is presented on maps accompanying this report. Data regarding the instruments used may be found in the appendix to this report.

The geophysical surveying was carried out by the following personnel:

N.W.Rayner, 37 Martin Road, Toronto, Ontario.
V.C.Papertzian, 89 Macpherson Ave., Toronto, Ontario.
S.W.Gibson, 71 Evanston Dr., Downsview, Ontario.
C.Harrison, 28 Wychwood Park, Toronto, Ontario.
W.Ng-See-Quan, 42 Robertsfield Cres., Scarborough, Ont.
R. Pascoe, P.O. Box 350, Cobalt, Ontario.

2. PROPERTY and CLAIM STATUS

The Temagami-Hollinger Joint Venture property consists of 34 claims of which 19 claims will be covered by this report. The following list describes the claims.

<u>C</u>	<u>laim No</u> .	Assessment	cre	dits	due:
s	398943	March	21,	1978	
S	398944	March	21,	1978	
s	398945	March	21,	1978	
s	39894 6	March	21,	1978	
s	398947	March	21,	1978	
s	449375	March	21,	1978	
S	399059	March	21,	1978	
s	399060	March	21,	1978	
s	399061	March	21,	1978	
s	39906 2	March	21,	1978	
s	399063	March	21,	1978	
s	399064	March	21,	1978	
s	399065	March	21,	1978	
S	399066	March	21,	1978	
S	39906 7	March	21,	1978	
S	399068	March	21,	1978	
S	462820	March	21,	1978	
S	462821	March	21,	1978	
S	46282 2	March	21,	1978	

These claims are owned by Hollinger Mines Limited, (See attached map for location of mining claims).

3. LOCATION and ACCESS

The Temagami-Hollinger Joint Venture property is located in Strathy Township approximately 1 mile north of the town of Temagami astride Highway 11. The grid is easily accessible from Highway 11.

4. GEOLOGICAL SETTING

The area is underlain by northeast southwest striking metavolcanics and metasediments of the Temagami greenstone belt.

Outcrop exposure within the area of the claims is limited because of numerous lakes, streams, swamps and glacial overburden. The most up to date geological map is published by the Ontario Department of Mines and Northern Affairs, Preliminary Map 667 Strathy Township, at a scale of 1 inch equal 1/4 mile. The regional geology is shown on Map 2188, Sudbury-Cobalt sheet.

5. HISTORY of PREVIOUS WORK

This area has seen discontinous mineral exploration since 1890 with the most intensive exploration since 1955. The following is a list of properties which have received work that in part make up the present claim group.

- 1) Penrose Gold Mines Limited
- 2) Wm. G. Morrison
- 3) Wm. Milne and Sons, Limited
- 4) Paul D. Hermiston
- 5) Ralph H. Percy
- 6) Edwin, Lester MacVeigh
- 7) T. E. Chester
- 8) Lake Beaverhouse Mines Limited
- 9) Maralgo Mines Limited

The area has received a good deal of trenching in areas of mineral showings as well as several drill holes in various parts of the property. Some electromagnetic and magnetic surveys have been carried out by preious workers on portions of the present claim group.

6. CURRENT GEOPHYSICAL WORK

6.1 Grid

Two baselines and a sub baseline were established to cover all the claims.

Claim S 449375 is wholly underlain by water. Grid lines on the lake were tied to existing lines on claims S 460736-37.

An east-west baseline was put in along an Ontario Hydro transmission right of way with azimuth 250°.

3/....

Six cross lines were cut to cover the 5 most westerly claims (S 398943-47 incl.).

An east-west sub baseline was turned off of line 12+00W at 20S and extended to the east. Twenty-seven cross lines cover claims S 399059-68 incl. and S 462820-22 incl. of the Temagami-Hollinger property. All lines were spaced 400' apart with pickets placed at 100' intervals along the lines.

6.2 Horizontal Loop Electromagnetic Survey

The grid lines on all claims were surveyed using the Apex Parametrics Max-Min II system. Two frequencies 1777 hz and 444 hz were recorded at each station. Coil separation was 100 metres.

Additional instrument data regarding the Max-Min II unit is given as an appendix to this report. Surveying was hampered because of the network of major roads, highways and railways. As a result the survey is incomplete 50 metres either side of these cultural features.

Surveying in the vicinity of powerlines and gas pipelines gave strong electromagnetic responses in most cases. If there are any conductors due to a bedrock source near either the powerlines or the pipelines, they will be difficult to isolate.

The following is a list of electromagnetic conductors with their location and interpretation.

Conductor	Location of Intercepts	Interpretation
А	line 36W 700N	TransCanada Gas Pipeline
J	line 32E 1700S	railway
К	line 40W 325S line 44W 375S	powerlin e combination powerline and railwa y
L	line 4W BL 2000S	powerline, possible bed- rock conductor, due to shape of positive I.P.

and O.P. shoulders

5/....

Conductor	Location of Intercepts	Interpretation
M	line 28E 1400S	railway, possible bedrock conductor due to northly shift of conductor axis
N	line 80E 650S	very weak bedrock conductor, possible minor sulfide
Ò	line 44N 1900E line 48N 1950E	conductive lake bottom sediments

7. CONCLUSIONS and RECOMMENDATIONS

Anomalies A, J and K are caused by cultural features. Anomalies L and M are closely associated with cultural features, but the shape of the profiles and the displacement of the conductor axis away from the cultural source suggests there might be a possible bedrock source.

Anomaly N is a very weak bedrock response. The low amplitude of the in-phase and out-of-phase readings indicate very minor sulfide. Anomaly O is a broad wavey out-of-phase conductor which is typical response from lake bottom sediments.

I recommend geological follow-up in areas of anomalies L, M and N.

Respectfully submitted,

n.w. Rayna

N.W.Rayner

NWR*MS



Ministry of Natural Resource	File_ <u>2,2633</u>
GEOPHYSICAL – GEOLO TECHNICAL DA'	
TO BE ATTACHED AS AN APPE FACTS SHOWN HERE NEED NOI BE KEPEAIED TECHNICAL REPORT MUST CONTAIN INTERPRETATION,	IN REPORT CONCLUSIONS ETC.
Type of Survey(s)Horizontal Loop E.M.Township or AreaStrathy Twp.Claim Holder(s)Hollinger Mines Limited	MINING CLAIMS TRAVERSED List numerically
Survey Company St. Joseph Explorations Ltd. Author of Report N. W. Rayner 90 Eglinton Ave. West, Ste. 505, Address of Author Toronto, Ontario. Covering Dates of SurveyOct. 19-28/77 Feb. 14-17/78 (linecutting to office) Total Miles of Line Cut	
SPECIAL PROVISIONS CREDITS REQUESTED DAYS Geophysical Electromagnetic 20 ENTER 40 days (includes ne cutting) for first Electromagnetic 20 Survey. Magnetometer Magnetometer ENTER 20 days for each additional survey using Other Other same grid. Geochemical Other	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
AIRBORNE CREDITS (Special provision credits do not apply to airborne surveys) MagnetometerElectromagneticRadiometric (enter days per claim)	¹ / ³ s 399064 ✓ s 399065
DATE: <u>Mark 1470</u> SIGNATURE: <u>Market</u> Author of Report or Agent <u>L</u> , D Res. Geol. <u>Qualifications</u> <u>2, 1785</u> <u>Previous Surveys</u> <u>Eile Name</u> Data	$V = \frac{5}{399000}$ $V = \frac{5}{462820}$ $V = \frac{5}{462821}$ $V = \frac{5}{462822}$
rile ivo. Type Date Claim Holder	s 399067 s 399068
	TOTAL CLAIMS

GEOPHYSICAL TECHNICAL DATA

,

			•		•,	
Ç	ROUND SURVEYS -	If more than one survey, spec	ify data for each type of	survey	\sim	` .
					{	Ì
	lumber of Stations	E.M. 910	Number of Rea	dings <u>E.M.</u>	1820	
S	tation interval	100'	Line spacing	400'		
Р	rofile scale	l inch = 30%	•			·
Ċ	Contour interval	·····		······································		
CUETIC	· .		·	· ·		
	Instrument	•				
	Accuracy - Scale cons	stant	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	Diurnal correction me	thod				
MA	Base Station check-in	interval (hours)				
•	Base Station location a	ind value	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
0	Instrument	Apex Parametrics	Max-Min II			
ETI	Coil configuration	Horizontal Loop				
N	Coil separation	100 meters	······································			•
WW	Accuracy	+ 0.5%	·	-	•	
R	Method:	Fixed transmitter	Shoot back	3 In line	🗆 Parallel lin	ne
	Frequency	1777 Hz and 444 H	z			
EL		(specify V.L.F. station)		econdary fi	ial
	Parameters measured_	In phase and out	or phase component	<u>t or the s</u>	secondary 11	
	•		,			
	Instrument	<u></u>			<u> </u>	
M	Scale constant					
E N	Corrections made			· · · ·		
RA	<u></u>					
Gi	Base station value and	location				
	•					
	Elevation accuracy				<u> </u>	
		·			-	
	Instrument		•			
	Method Time Do	main	🗋 Frequen	cy Domain		
	Parameters – On time	······································	Frequen	су		
검	- Off time		Range			
M	Delay tir	ne				
ISI	– Integrati	on time				
REC	Power					
	Electrode array					
•	Electrode spacing					
	Type of electrode					

INDUCE DIARIZATION





STRATHY TWP STRATHCONA TWP





STRATHCONA TWP



and the second second