

31M04SW2008

2.18555

CHAMBERS

010

GEOPHYSICS REPORT

ON THE

CHAMBERS PROPERTY

DISTRICT OF NIPISSING

SUDBURY


MINING DIVISION

FOR


Ag ARMINO MINES INC.

BY

Dan Patrie



Dan Patrie
May, 1998

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	PAGE
INTRODUCTION	1
SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS	1
LOCATION AND ACCESS	3
GEOLOGY	3
CLAIM DESCRIPTION	6
INSTRUMENTATION AND WORK DONE	7
MAX-MIN II	7
MAGNETOMETER SURVEY	9
INTERPRETATION	9
CONCLUSIONS	10
RECOMMENDED EXPLORATION PROGRAM	10
PERSONNEL	
CERTIFICATE OF QUALIFICATION	
LETTER OF CONSENT	
HLEM AND MAGNETIC MAPS	
BASE MAP	

INTRODUCTION

Ag Armeno Mines Inc., acquired a group of 27 unpatented mining claims 62 units located in Chambers Township, District of Nipissing, Sudbury Mining Division.

As per request of the property owners a geophysics program consisting of line cutting, magnetometer survey and horizontal loop surveys began March 1, 1998 to May 8, 1998 with a 2 week suspension of the work due to an early spring break up was carried out by Dan Patrie Exploration Ltd.

SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The Chambers property is located in the Northeast Temagami Area, District of Nipissing, Ontario, and consists of 27 unpatented mining claim units near the village of Temagami.

Further exploration of the Chambers property is warranted in proving its considerable merit in hosting economic precious metal and base metal mineralization.

A program of 66.6 kilometers of line cutting, 60.6 kilometers of magnetic survey and 60.6 kilometers of horizontal loop EM survey was done to explore the Ag Armeno Mines Inc., property in Chambers Township for base metal and gold deposits.

Due to the lack of geological information the following programs are recommended to complete the evaluation.

1. Completion of the grid lines over entire property.
2. Humus sampling over anomalous areas to better define drill targets.
3. Magnetometer and HLEM on remaining lines.
4. Induced Polarization over all of property.

5. Diamond drilling EM conductors to establish sulphide content and geology.

Following completion of this work and contingent upon the results then additional work should be considered to further evaluate the economic potential of the property for gold mineralization.

The following report summarizes the results obtained from the work carried out during the current program and the interpretation is speculative.





Respectfully submitted,

Daniel F. Patrie

Geology and Geophysics Technologist

May, 1998

CYNTHIA TWP.
CHAMBERS TWP.



DAN PATRIE EXPLORATIONS LTD.
A. G. ARMEND MINES
CHAMBERS TOWNSHIP
SCALE 1:5,000 MAY 1998.
DRAWN BY KIMBERLY ZARICHNEY

LOCATION AND ACCESS

The Chambers property is near the village of Temagami which lies about 97 km (60 miles) north of North Bay and about 480 km (300 miles) north of Toronto by way of Highway 11. The "Tritown area" of Haileybury, New Liskeard and Cobalt is located about 40.2 to 56.3 km (25 to 35 miles) north of Temagami along Highway 11.

Recently constructed logging roads of the Canadian Johns-Manville Company Limited extend into northeastern Chambers Township from roads in Banting and Best Townships.

Access to the property is by driving north from Temagami on highway 11 approximately 8 kilometers to the Red Squirrel Road then approximately 36 kilometers to the property. Also, there is access to the property from the south east through the Sherman Mine road but at the time access was denied by the property owner.

GEOLOGY

The main geological feature of the Northeast Temagami area is a northeast trending metavolcanic-metasedimentary belt of Early Precambrian (Archean) age. The belt averages about 13 km across and is about 29 km long. The dominant structure is that of a northeast trending syncline modified by emplacement of granitic plutons.

Two generalized volcanic cycles beginning with mafic flows and ending with intermediate to felsic pyroclastic rocks and sedimentary rocks can be recognized in the area. A thick sequence of Algoma-type iron formation lies just above the main felsic to intermediate pyroclastic

assemblage. A variety of metagabbros, metadiorites, and felsic porphyries intruded the metavolcanic. The metamorphic grade of the Early Precambrian rocks is mainly that of the lower green schist facies.

The surrounding granitic batholiths are mainly trondhjemite, and quartz monzonite in composition and are intrusive into the metavolcanic. The southeastern and northwestern parts of the map area are overlain by rocks of the Gowganda Formation of the Huronian Supergroup which consist mainly of relatively undisturbed paraconglomerate and siltstone units, forming a complex interlayered assemblage. The Gowganda Formation is intruded by dikes and sills of Nipissing Diabase. Northwest trending diabase dikes appear to intrude the above rocks and are the youngest rocks in the map area. Fine grained chloritic dikes, lamprophyre, and coarse grained altered gabbros cut the granitic rocks, but have not been found to intrude the Huronian rocks.

The Early Precambrian (Archean) metasediments of the Temagami area are mainly volcanoclastics and could be classified as epiclastic volcanic rocks. The volcanic source area for these rocks is indicated by the following: the transition from volcanic to breccias to relatively well-sorted metasediments, the similar mineralogy of the felsic metavolcanic and metasediments, and the presence of euhedral quartz and feldspar grains in a few specimens. Rapid deposition from a relatively unstable, partly unconsolidated felsic volcanic source is suggested by the immature nature of the material. The thickening of the metasediments near Pigot Lake indicates that the main areas of basining were towards the west.

The Chambers-Strathy Batholith

The granitic rocks referred to as the Chambers-Strathy Batholith include the major area of granitic rocks in the northeastern part of Strathy Township. These two areas of granitic rocks

area separated by an area of mafic metavolcanic and Nipissing Diabase, but the lithological similarity of the granitic rocks suggest that they are part of the same batholith.

The most common rock within the Chambers-Strathy Batholith is pale to bright pink, massive to faintly foliated, medium-grained quartz monzonite. The predominant minerals are plagioclase, quartz, and micro line micropertthite (10 to 25 percent). Biotite or small crystals of hornblende are the predominant mafic minerals, but these minerals generally compose less than five percent of the rock. Chlorite, sphene, zircon, allanite, clinozoisite and opaque oxides are accessory constituents.

Carbonatization

Two visibly distinct forms of carbonatization have affected the rocks of the Northeast Temagami area. The most widespread and most obvious type is the introduction of iron bearing carbonate minerals, dominantly ankerite, but probably also siderite and ferro dolomite. It is this type of carbonization which has generally been referred to as ankeritization or carbonatization.

Ankerite forms veins and disseminated grains that replace earlier silicates. The veins or dikes range up to 6 m (20 feet) wide and at least 1.6 km (1 mile) long. Veins which are exposed at Axe Narrow and along the Northern shore of Portage Bay near the village of Temagami may be continuous; if so, it has a length of at least 3 km (5 miles). The ankerite veins are fine grained, massive, and weather a brilliant orange to reddish brown, while fresh surfaces are pale grey to pale brown. The larger veins are generally laced with narrow, intertwining quartz veins.

The presence of roughly north trending fractures is indicated by the occurrence of altered gabbro dikes with this trend in central Chambers Township and central Strathcona Township.

CLAIM DESCRIPTION

Consisting of 26 unpatented mining claims (62 units), the Chambers property, located in Chambers Township in the District of Nipissing, Sudbury Mining Division.

TABLE 1**CHAMBERS PROPERTY, DISTRICT OF NIPISSING**

<u>MINING CLAIM</u>	<u>EXPIRY DATE</u>	<u>NUMBER OF UNITS</u>
1212105	Feb 25, 1999	8
1212106	Feb 25, 1999	2
1212106	Feb 17, 1999	3
1212118	Oct 16, 1998	2
1217896	Oct 16, 1998	1
1174853	Oct 16, 1998	2
1217894	Dec 18, 1998	1
1212338	Oct 16, 1998	1
1230410	April 17, 1999	2
1212403	June 1, 1999	1
1212598	June 6, 1999	1
1230402	June 6, 1999	3
1212519	June 16, 1999	3
1230208	June 3, 1999	2
1230209	June 2, 1999	1
1212302	Jan 6, 1999	1
1212303	Jan 6, 1999	1
1212304	Jan 6, 1999	1
1212305	Jan 6, 1999	1
1212307	Jan 6, 1999	1
1212309	Jan 6, 1999	1
1220152	Oct 16, 1999	5
1220153	Oct 16, 1999	6
1220154	Oct 16, 1999	4
1220155	Oct 16, 1999	4
1212522	Dec 6, 1998	3
1222808	Nov 22, 1998	1
Total		62 units.

INSTRUMENTATION AND WORK DONE

Max-Min II

The Max-Min is a frequency domain horizontal loop (HLEM) system, based on measuring the response of conductors to a transmitted, time varying electromagnetic field. The transmitted or primary EM field is a sinusoidal varying field at any of five different frequencies. The field induces an electromagnetic force, (emf), or voltage, in any conductor through which the field passes. This is defined by: $\oint \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = -\frac{d\Phi}{dt}$ (the Faraday Induction Principle) where E is the electric field strength on volts/meter (and so $\oint \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{l}$ is the emf around a closed loop) and Φ is the magnetic flux through the conductor loop. This emf causes a “secondary” current to flow in the conductor in turn generating a secondary electromagnetic field.

This changing secondary field induces an emf in the receiver coil (by Faraday Law) at the same frequency, but which differs from the primary field in magnitude and phase. The difference in phase (the phase angle) is a function of the conductance of the conductor(s), both the target and the overburden and host rock. The magnitude of the secondary is also dependent on the conductance, and also on the dimensions, depth, and geometry of the target, as well as on the interference from overburden and the host rock.

These two parameters (phase angle and magnitude) are measured by measuring the strength of the secondary field in two components: the real field or the part “in phase” with the primary field, and the imaginary field, or in part in “quadrature” or 90 degrees out of phase from the primary field.

The magnitude and phase angle of the response is also a function of the frequency of the

primary field. A higher frequency field generates a stronger response to weaker conductors, but a lower frequency tends to pass through weak conductors and penetrate to a greater depth. The lower frequency also tends to energize the full thickness of a conductor, and gives a better measure of its true conductivity-thickness product (conductance).

For these reasons two or more frequencies are usually read: the lower for penetration and accurate measure of good conductors and higher frequency for strong response to weak conductors.

Distinction between conductive targets, overburden, and host rock responses are made by studying the shape of the secondary field, and the difference in frequency shape.

The transmitted primary field also creates an emf in the receiver coil, which is much stronger than the secondary, and which must be corrected by the receiver. This is done by electronically creating an emf in the receiver, whose magnitude is determined by the distance from the receiver to the transmitter as set on the receiver, and whose phase is derived from the receiver via a connecting cable.

There was 60.6 kilometers of Max-Min horizontal loop EM read. The Max-Min survey was carried out in the "maximum coupled" mode (horizontal co-planar). The transmitter and receiver are carried in line down the survey line separated by a constant distance (in this case 100m), with the receiver leading. Three transmitter frequencies were used: 444Hz, 888Hz, and 1777Hz. The transmitter and receiver are connected by a cable, for phase reference and operator communication.

The Max-Min instruments used were made by Apex Parameters.

MAGNETOMETER SURVEY

The magnetometer survey was carried out using an EDA Omni-Plus Mag/VLF unit with the total field being measured and an Omni-IV base station magnetometer for correcting magnetic drift. These are total field magnetometers which measure the magnetic field through the use of proton precessional effects caused by the interaction of a magnetic field with a spin aligned, proton rich fluid. An instrument accuracy precision and resolution of 0.1 nt may be obtained with these instruments under ideal conditions. Microprocessors contained in these instruments allow for the collection of the readings along with the time and its position in digital form suitable for downloading to a computer for data processing.

A total of 60.6 kilometers of magnetic readings were taken and readings were taken along the lines at 25 meter station intervals. The field measurements were corrected for diurnal variations of the earth's magnetic field by direct subtraction of the base station readings from the reading taken at the same moment in the field units. The corrected data was then downloaded to a computer and plotted on the total field magnetic map.

INTERPRETATION

The electromagnetic Max-Min horizontal loop survey detected an anomaly from lines 1400E to line 1600E centered at approximately 700 south and one on line 21E at 300S and also, on line 100E centered at 800N a wide zone. Also on line 18E centered at 800S. . This conductor although a weak one should drilled for detection of base metal and or gold mineralization and also to get an evaluation of the geology on the property. A wide magnetic anomaly runs south east from line 18E to line 38E. Also, a high mag anomaly on lines 14E to 16E centered at 875S.

For a better understanding of the geophysics see maps included.

CONCLUSIONS

With the presence of a favorable geological environment for the localization of mineralization of economic importance and very little known of the property and to further evaluate the property's potential the writer recommends an on going work program over the remaining claims on the property and over areas not covered consisting of line cutting and induced polarization to locate areas of disseminated sulphides.

RECOMMENDED EXPLORATION PROGRAM

The following program is recommended to evaluate the property for its potential to host a precious metal and or base metal deposit.

1. Complete the line cutting as required to provide a control geological, geochemical and geophysical work.
2. Geochemical sampling over target areas before drilling.
3. Magnetometer and VLF-EM survey.
4. Detailed Induced Polarization.
5. Geological mapping
6. Stripping, trenching and sampling over areas.

As a result of encouraging data obtained from the recently completed geophysics survey additional exploration on the property is recommended.

Daniel F. Patrie
Geology and Geophysical Technologist
May, 1998

LETTER OF CONSENT

I, Daniel F. Patrie, of the Town of Massey, Ontario, do hereby consent to Ag Armeno Mines and Minerals Inc., using in whole or in part my Geophysics report on the Chambers Property situated in Chambers Township, District of Nipissing, Sudbury Mining Division in a prospectus of statement of material facts or for filing with government regulatory bodies as deemed necessary.



Dated at Massey, Ontario, this 22nd day of May, 1998, in the District of Sudbury.

Daniel F. Patrie

Geology and Geophysics Technologist

PERSONNEL

**Dan Patrie
P.O. Box 45
Massey, Ontario
POP 1P0**

**Charles Laundriault
General Delivery
Walford, Ontario
POP2E0**

**Bruce McLeod
Elliot Lake, Ontario**

**Claude Dubrueil
General Delivery
Spanish, Ontario**

**Micheal Burns
General Delivery
Massey, Ontario**

**Brent Patrie
General Delivery
Massey, Ontario
POP 1P0**

**Julien Richer
General Delivery
Massey, Ontario
POP 1P0**

**Henry Grimmard
Spanish, Ontario**

**Ron Bilton
Massey, Ontario**

**Christopher Rivers
General Delivery
Walford, Ontario
POP 2E0**

CERTIFICATE OF QUALIFICATION

I, Daniel Patrie do hereby certify:

1. That I am a Geology and Geophysics Technologist and I reside at Hwy. 17 West, P.O. Box 45, Massey, Ont., Canada, POP 1P0,
2. I graduated from Cambrian College Of Applied Arts and Technology, Sudbury, Ontario, in 1987 with a diploma in Geological Technology with a one year certificate in Geophysics,
3. And I have practiced my profession continuously since graduation, as well as being an active prospector since 1972.
4. That my report on the Chambers property, Chambers Township, Sudbury Mining Division, Ontario, is based on my personal knowledge of the geology of the area, and on a review of published and unpublished information on the property and surrounding area.



Daniel F. Patrie

Geology and Geophysics Technologist (Dipl. T)

May, 1998

Declaration of Assessment Work Performed on Mining Land

Mining Act, Subsection 65(2) and 66(3), R.S.O. 1990

Transaction Number (office use)
W9870.00324
 Assessment Files Research Imaging



31M04SW2008 2.18555 CHAMBERS 900

ERS
 ty of subsections 65(2) and 66(3) of the Mining Act. Under section 8 of the
 to review the assessment work and correspond with the mining land holder.
 ing Recorder, Ministry of Northern Development and Mines, 8th Floor,

PROVINCIAL ARCHIVES
 OFFICE SUDBURY
RECEIVED
 JUN 01 1998
 A.M. P.M.
 218191101112111213141516

Instructions: - For work performed on Crown Lands before recording a claim,
 - Please type or print in ink.

1. Recorded holder(s) (Attach a list if necessary)

Name AG ARMENO MINES & MINERALS INC.	Client Number 103006
Address P.O. BOX 10332, PACIFIC CENTRE	Telephone Number 604-681-1519
1650-609 GRABVILEE ST. VANCOUVER B.C. VZY 1G5	Fax Number 604-681-9428
Name	Client Number
Address	Telephone Number
	Fax Number

2. Type of work performed: Check (✓) and report on only ONE of the following groups for this declaration.

Geotechnical: prospecting, surveys, assays and work under section 18 (regs)
 Physical: drilling, stripping, trenching and associated assays
 Rehabilitation

Work Type L. C., MASSEY, HLEM	Office Use
	Commodity
	Total \$ Value of Work Claimed 47,461
Dates Work Performed From 01 03 98 To 27 05 98 ✓	NTS Reference
Global Positioning System Data (if available)	Mining Division Sudbury
Township/Area CHAMBERS	Resident Geologist District Sudbury
M or G-Plan Number	

Please remember to: - obtain a work permit from the Ministry of Natural Resources as required;
 - provide proper notice to surface rights holders before starting work;
 - complete and attach a Statement of Costs, form 0212;
 - provide a map showing contiguous mining lands that are linked for assigning work;
 - include two copies of your technical report.

3. Person or companies who prepared the technical report (Attach a list if necessary)

Name DAN PATRIE EXPLORATION LTD.,	Telephone Number 705-844-2113
Address P.O. BOX 45, MASSEY, ONT. POP 1P0	Fax Number 705-844-2057
Name	Telephone Number
Address	Fax Number
Name	Telephone Number
Address	Fax Number

RECEIVED
 JUN 01 1998
 1:1566
 GEOSCIENCE ASSESSMENT OFFICE

4. Certification by Recorded Holder or Agent

I, **DANIEL F. PATRIE** (Print Name), do hereby certify that I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this Declaration of Assessment Work having caused the work to be performed or witnessed the same during or after its completion and, to the best of my knowledge, the annexed report is true.

Signature of Recorded Holder or Agent: *Daniel F. Patrie* Date: **MAY 27, 1998**
 Agent's Address: **P.O. BOX 45, MASSEY, ONT. POP 1P0** Telephone Number: **705-844-2113** Fax Number: **705-844-2057**

... must accompany this form.


PART 1 CHAMBERS

Mining Claim Number. Or if work was done on other eligible mining land, show in this column the location number indicated on the claim map.	Number of Claim Units. For other mining land, list hectares.	Value of work performed on this claim or other mining land.	Value of work applied to this claim.	Value of work assigned to other mining claims.	Bank. Value of work to be distributed at a future date.
eg TB 7827	16 ha	\$26, 825	N/A	\$24,000	\$2,825
eg 1234567	12	0	\$24,000	0	0
eg 1234568	2	\$ 8, 892	\$ 4,000	0	\$4,892
1 1212598	1	0	1,200 ✓	0	0
2 1212403	1 x	1,500 ✓	1,200	300	0
3 1230410	2 x	1,200 ✓	3,200	0	0
4 1212338	1 x	1,500 ✓	400	0	1,100
5 1217894	1 x	1,500	400 ✓	0	1,100
6 1174853	2 x	2,400 ✓	800	0	1,600
7 1217896	1 x	1,500	400 ✓	0	1,100
8 1212333	2 x	2,400 ✓	800	0	1,600
9 1212118	3	0 ✓	1,200	0	0
10 1212106	2 x	1,642 ✓	800	842	0
11 1212105	8 x	6,500 ✓	3,200	3,300	0
12 1230402	3	0	2,400 ✓	0	0
13 1212519	3	4,050 ✓	2,400	1,650	0
14 1230208	2	1,600 ✓	1,600	0	0
15 1230209	1	600 ✓	400	200	0
Column Totals			20,400	continued next page	

I, D DANIEL F. PATRIE (Print Full Name), do hereby certify that the above work credits are eligible under subsection 7 (1) of the Assessment Work Regulation 6/96 for assignment to contiguous claims or for application to the claim where the work was done.

Signature of Recorded Holder or Agent Authorized in Writing: *[Signature]* Date: MAY 27, 1998

6. Instructions for cutting back credits that are not approved.
- Some of the credits claimed in this declaration may be cut back. Please check (✓) in the boxes below to show how you wish to prioritize the deletion of credits:
- 1. Credits are to be cut back from the Bank first, followed by option 2 or 3 or 4 as indicated.
 - 2. Credits are to be cut back starting with the claims listed last, working backwards; or
 - 3. Credits are to be cut back equally over all claims listed in this declaration; or
 - 4. Credits are to be cut back as prioritized on the attached appendix or as follows (describe):



Note: If you have not indicated how your credits are to be deleted, credits will be cut back from the Bank first, followed by option number 2 if necessary.

For Office Use Only

Received Stamp	Deemed Approved Date	Date Notification Sent
	Date Approved	Total Value of Credit Approved
Approved for Recording by Mining Recorder (Signature)		

Mining Claim Number. Or if work was done on other eligible mining land, show in this column the location number indicated on the claim map.	Number of Claim Units. For other mining land, list hectares.	Value of work performed on this claim or other mining land.	Value of work applied to this claim.	Value of work assigned to other mining claims.	Bank. Value of work to be distributed at a future date.
eg TB 7827	16 ha	\$26,825	N/A	\$24,000	\$2,825
eg 1234567	12	0	\$24,000	0	0
eg 1234568	2	\$ 8,892	\$ 4,000	0	\$4,892
1 1212302	1	0	400 ✓	0	0
2 1212303	1	0	400 ✓	0	0
3 1212304	1	800	400 ✓	400	0
4 1212305	1	0	400 ✓	0	0
5 1212307	1	800	400 ✓	300	100
6 1212309	1	800	400 ✓	58	342
7 1220152	5	4,500 ✓	2,000	2,500	0
8 1220153	6	3,000 ✓	2,400	50	550
9 1220154	4	5,769 ✓	1,600	0	4,169
10 1220155	4	5,400 ✓	1,600	0	3,800
11 1212522	3	0	1,200 ✓	0	0
12 1222808	1	0	400 ✓	0	0
13					
14					
15					
Column Totals		47,461	32,000	9,600	15,461

I, DANIEL F. PATRIE, do hereby certify that the above work credits are eligible under subsection 7 (1) of the Assessment Work Regulation 6/96 for assignment to contiguous claims or for application to the claim where the work was done.

Signature of Recorded Holder or Agent Authorized in Writing Date
MAY 27, 1998

- 6. Instructions for cutting back credits that are not approved.**
- Some of the credits claimed in this declaration may be cut back. Please check (✓) in the boxes below to show how you wish to prioritize the deletion of credits:
- 1. Credits are to be cut back from the Bank first, followed by option 2 or 3 or 4 as indicated.
 - 2. Credits are to be cut back starting with the claims listed last, working backwards; or
 - 3. Credits are to be cut back equally over all claims listed in this declaration; or
 - 4. Credits are to be cut back as prioritized on the attached appendix or as follows (describes):

Note: If you have not indicated how your credits are to be deleted, credits will be cut back from the Bank first, followed by option number 2 if necessary.

RECEIVED
1156B
GEOSCIENCE ASSESSMENT

For Office Use Only Received Stamp	Deemed Approved Date	Date Notification Sent
	Date Approved	Total Value of Credit Approved
Approved for Recording by Mining Recorder (Signature)		



Ontario

Ministry of Northern Development

PROVINCIAL RECORDING OFFICE - SUDBURY RECEIVED

JUN 01 1998

Statement of Costs for Assessment Credit

Transaction Number (office use)

W9870.00324

CHAMBERS

Personal information collected on this form is obtained under the authority of subsection 6(1) of the Assessment Work Regulation 6/98. Under section 8 of the Mining Act, the information is a public record. This information will be used to review the assessment work and correspond with the mining land records. Questions about this collection should be directed to the Chief Mining Recorder, Ministry of Northern Development and Mines, 6th Floor, 333 Ramsey Lake Road, Sudbury, Ontario, P3E 6B5.

Work Type	Units of Work Depending on the type of work, list the number of hours/days worked, metres of drilling, kilometres of grid line, number of samples, etc.	Cost Per Unit of work	Total Cost
L. C.	66.6	325	\$21,645
MAG	60.6	110	6,666
MAX - MIN	60.6	250	15,150
REPORT + PLOTTING			1,600
Associated Costs (e.g. supplies, mobilization and demobilization).			
MOBILIZATION 8 MEN			1,200
DEMOBILIZATION 8 MEN			1,200
Transportation Costs			
Food and Lodging Costs			
Total Value of Assessment Work			\$47,461

RECEIVED JUN 01 1998 1:566 GEOSCIENCE ASSESSMENT OFFICE

Calculations of Filing Discounts:

1. Work filed within two years of performance is claimed at 100% of the above Total Value of Assessment Work.
2. If work is filed after two years and up to five years after performance, it can only be claimed at 50% of the Total Value of Assessment Work. If this situation applies to your claims, use the calculation below:

TOTAL VALUE OF ASSESSMENT WORK x 0.50 = Total \$ value of worked claimed.

Note:

- Work older than 5 years is not eligible for credit.
- A recorded holder may be required to verify expenditures claimed in this statement of costs within 45 days of a request for verification and/or correction/clarification. If verification and/or correction/clarification is not made, the Minister may reject all or part of the assessment work submitted.

Certification verifying costs:

I, DANIEL F. PATRIE (please print full name), do hereby certify, that the amounts shown are as accurate as may reasonably be determined and the costs were incurred while conducting assessment work on the lands indicated on the accompanying Declaration of Work form as AGENT (recorded holder, agent, or state company position with signing authority) I am authorized to make this certification.

Signature: Date: MAY 27, 1998

Ministry of
Northern Development
and Mines

Ministère du
Développement du Nord
et des Mines



Geoscience Assessment Office
933 Ramsey Lake Road
6th Floor
Sudbury, Ontario
P3E 6B5

Telephone: (888) 415-9846
Fax: (877) 670-1555

September 2, 1998

AG ARMENO MINES AND MINERALS INC.
P.O. BOX 10332, SUITE 1650
PACIFIC CENTRE, 609 GRANVILLE STREET
VANCOUVER, B.C.
V7Y-1G5

Visit our website at:
www.gov.on.ca/MNDM/MINES/LANDS/mlsmnpge.htm

Dear Sir or Madam:

Submission Number: 2.18555

Status

Subject: Transaction Number(s): W9870.00324 Deemed Approval

We have reviewed your Assessment Work submission with the above noted Transaction Number(s). The attached summary page(s) indicate the results of the review. **WE RECOMMEND YOU READ THIS SUMMARY FOR THE DETAILS PERTAINING TO YOUR ASSESSMENT WORK.**

If the status for a transaction is a 45 Day Notice, the summary will outline the reasons for the notice, and any steps you can take to remedy deficiencies. The 90-day deemed approval provision, subsection 6(7) of the Assessment Work Regulation, will no longer be in effect for assessment work which has received a 45 Day Notice. Allowable changes to your credit distribution can be made by contacting the Geoscience Assessment Office within this 45 Day period, otherwise assessment credit will be cut back and distributed as outlined in Section #6 of the Declaration of Assessment work form.

Please note any revisions must be submitted in **DUPLICATE** to the Geoscience Assessment Office, by the response date on the summary.

If you have any questions regarding this correspondence, please contact Steve Beneteau by e-mail at benetest@epo.gov.on.ca or by telephone at (705) 670-5855.

Yours sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Blair Kite".

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY
Blair Kite
Supervisor, Geoscience Assessment Office
Mining Lands Section

Work Report Assessment Results

Submission Number: 2.18555

Date Correspondence Sent: September 02, 1998

Assessor: Steve Beneteau

Transaction Number	First Claim Number	Township(s) / Area(s)	Status	Approval Date
W9870.00324	1212403	CHAMBERS	Deemed Approval	August 30, 1998

Section:

14 Geophysical MAG

14 Geophysical EM

Correspondence to:

Resident Geologist
Sudbury, ON

Assessment Files Library
Sudbury, ON

Recorded Holder(s) and/or Agent(s):

Daniel Patrie
MASSEY, ONTARIO, CANADA


AG ARMENO MINES AND MINERALS INC.
VANCOUVER, B.C.

INDEX TO LAND DISPOSITION

PLAN
 G-3416
 TOWNSHIP

M.N.R. ADMINISTRATIVE DISTRICT
TEMAGAMI
 MINING DIVISION
SUDBURY
 LAND TITLES/REGISTRY DIVISION
NIPISSING

CHAMBERS



IN SERVICE JANUARY 10, 1990

AREAS WITHDRAWN FROM DISPOSITION

- MRO - Mining Rights Only
- SRO - Surface Rights Only
- M + S - Mining and Surface Rights

SYMBOLS

Boundary
Township, Meridian, Baseline
Road allowance; surveyed
shoreside
Lot/Concession; surveyed
unsurveyed
Parcel; surveyed
unsurveyed
Right-of-way; road
railway
utility
Reservation
Cliff, Pit, Pile
Contour
Interpolated
Approximate
Depression
Control point (horizontal)
Flooded land
Mine head frame
Pipeline (above ground)
Railway; single track
double track
abandoned
Road; highway, county, township
access
trail, bush
Shoreline (original)
Transmission line
Wooded area

THIS TOWNSHIP FALLS WITHIN THE TEMAGAMI
 COMPREHENSIVE PLANNING AREA. SPECIAL WORKING
 CONDITIONS MAY APPLY TO EXPLORATION ACTIVITIES.
 FOR MORE DETAILS PLEASE CONTACT:
 DISTRICT MANAGER,
 NORTH BAY DISTRICT
 MINISTRY, NATURAL RESOURCES


NOTES



* JUNE 1, 1994 OPENINGS ONTARIO GAZETTE
 VOL. 127-20 MAY, 1994, PAGE 1575

DISPOSITION OF CROWN LANDS


Patent
Surface & Mining Rights
Surface Rights Only
Mining Rights Only
Lease
Surface & Mining Rights
Surface Rights Only
Mining Rights Only
Licence of Occupation
Order-in-Council
Cancelled
Reservation
Sand & Gravel
LAND USE PERMIT

THE INFORMATION THAT
 APPEARS ON THIS MAP
 HAS BEEN COMPILED
 FROM VARIOUS SOURCES,
 AND ACCURACY IS NOT
 GUARANTEED. THOSE
 WISHING TO STAKE MIN-
 ING CLAIMS SHOULD CON-
 SULT WITH THE MINING
 RECORDER, MINISTRY OF
 NORTHERN DEVELOP-
 MENT AND MINES, FOR AD-
 DITIONAL INFORMATION
 ON THE STATUS OF THE
 LANDS SHOWN HEREON.






RECEIVED
 JUN 01 1998
 GEOSCIENTIFIC ASSESSMENT
 OFFICE




Scale 1:10000
 200 0.0 200 400 600
 (meters)




AG ARMENO MINES AND MINERALS INC.
 MAGNETIC SURVEY
 CHAMBERS TOWNSHIP
 TAMAGAMI, ONTARIO
 REFERENCE FIELD 57050nT
 DATUM SUBTRACTED ONT
 INSTRUMENT SCIENTREX ENVI SYSTEM
 DRAWN BY DAN PATRIE EXPLORATION LTD. MAY1998
 GEOSOFT OASIS MAINTAU



RECEIVED
 JUN 01 1998
 GEOSCIENCE ASSESSMENT
 OFFICE




AG ARMENO MINES AND MINERALS INC.
 HLEM SURVEY
 CHAMBERS TOWNSHIP
 TAMAGAMI, ONTARIO
 IP444
 OP444
 DRAWN BY DAN PATRIE EXPLORATION LTD.
 MAY 1998
 GEOSOFT OASIS MONTAJ 4.1C




AG ARMENO MINES AND MINERALS INC.
HLEM SURVEY
 CHAMBERS TOWNSHIP
 TAMAGAMI, ONTARIO


IP888
 OP888
 DRAWN BY DAN PATRIE EXPLORATION LTD.
 MAY 1998

GEOSOFT OASIS MONTAJ 4.1C





RECEIVED
 JUN 01 1998
 GEOSCIENCE ASSESSMENT
 OFFICE

Scale 1:10000

 (meters)

AG ARMENO MINES AND MINERALS INC.
 HLEM SURVEY
 CHAMBERS TOWNSHIP
 TAMAGAMI, ONTARIO
 IP1777 - - - - -
 OP1777
 DRAWN BY DAN PATRIE EXPLORATION LTD.
 MAY1998
 GEOSOFIT OASIS MONTAJ 4. 1C

CHAMBERS LAKE

NELLUM LAKE


#2 GRID

#1 GRID

TASSE LAKE

CHARLEY LAKE


CYNTHIA TWP.
CHAMBERS TWP.



0m 100 200 300 400 500 1000m



SCALE



DAN PATRIE EXPLORATIONS LTD.
A. G. ARMENO MINES
CHAMBERS TOWNSHIP
SCALE 1:5,000 MAY 1998.
DRAWN BY KIMBERLY ZARICHNEY

