## PROJECT PROPOSAL

## SAS LAKE CLAIM GROUP

INTRODUCTION:
Geological and geophysical work on the property to date indicate that further work is warranted, in the form of diamond drilling, and geophysical surveys on the lakes.

## PROPERTY:

The Sas Lake claim group is situated in Coleman Township (parts of lots 7, 8 and 9; concession VI), just west of the town of Cobalt, Ontario. The claim boundaries and recorded numbers are shown in Figure 1.

## GEOLOGY:

Most of the property is an inlier of Archean felsic and mafic volcanic rocks, covered to the west by gently-dipping conglomerates of the Gowganda Formation (Figure 1). The volcanics are believed to be part of a south-facing, homoclinal sequence; the contact of rhyolite with overlying andesite approximately bisects the claim group. At the contact, in the southwest part of the property, detailed mapping has revealed a unit of rhyolite breccia with minor disseminated pyrite;
it is about 400 feet wide.

The following geophysical surveys have been conducted on parts of the property: magnetics, vertical loop E.M., horizontal shootback (C.E.M.) and induced polarization (I.P.). There are several zones of interest, each related to an E.M. conductor, and indicated on Figure 1.

1) CONDUCTOR A: The cross-over was located at 84W/2l+20S; it was not detected on line 80 W , but remains untested to the west. Associated with the conductor is a positive magnetic anomaly of about 300 gammas. It may lie on the rhyolite/ andesite contact, and for this reason deserves investigation by drilling.
2) CONDUCTOR B: This conductor extends from $80 \mathrm{~W} / 18+20$ s to $84 \mathrm{~W} / 17+00 \mathrm{~S}$, and requires further work to define its western limit. Its position coincides with a broad negative magnetic anomaly of about 150 gammas, and with an envelopping zone of high chargeabilities and low resistivities, about 400 feet wide. The conductor and I.P. zone dip steeply north, and lie within a rhyolite breccia unit. Geophysical interpretation indicates the top of the target to be about 50 feet below the surface. Drilling is recommended.
3) CONDUCTOR C: A strong cross-over was obtained by the vertical loop method at 72W/ $10+50$. The enclosing rocks are rhyolites. Further work on the frozen lake is needed to define its extent.
4) CONDUCTOR $D(?):$ The vertical loop survey suggests a conductor under Sas Lake at about 48W/3s.

## RECOMMENDATIONS:

1. Geophysics: The magnetic and E.M. surveys conducted during the summer of 1971 should be completed over the frozen lakes. The horizontal shootback (C.E.M.) method should be supplemented by the vertical loop method where conductors are indicated. In addition, magnetic and vertical loop surveys are needed to more precisely define the location and extent of conductors $A$ and $B$.
2. 

Drilling: A program of diamond drilling is recommended to test conductors $A$ and. $B$, with provision to investigate other targets revealed by the winter geophysical work. This would require a maximum of 3,000 feet of drilling. The locations of the first four holes are as follows:

COORDINATES
CONDUCTOR HOLE WEST SOUTH BEARING DIP LENGTH (ft)

| B | 1 | $80+20$ | $16+90$ | $195^{\circ}$ | $-60^{\circ}$ | 500 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 2 | $84+00$ | $20+20$ | $180^{\circ}$ | $-60^{\circ}$ | 200 |
| B | $3^{*}$ | $86+00$ | $18+00$ | $15^{\circ}$ | $-40^{\circ}$ | 500 |
| A | $4^{*}$ | $88+00$ | $19+00$ | $180^{\circ}$ | $-60^{\circ}$ | 300 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* Holes 3 and 4 are subject to review in light of results from Holes 1 and 2
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## PROGRESS REPORT

SAS LAKE PROJECT

## INTRODUCTION

Vangulf Exploration Company holds a block of mining claims in Coleman and Bucke Townships, near Cobalt, Ontario. An application was submitted on November 25, 1971, relating to 9 claims in Coleman Township, under the Exploration Assistance Programme of the Ontario Government. This present report describes the work done on the property.

## GEOPHYSICS

Several geophysical surveys were conducted on the property; the work on 1 and was done in 1971 and these surveys were completed on lake ice in January,. 1972.
a) Magnetic Survey

The magnetic survey was conducted with a Scintrex MF-2 fluxgate magnetometer. The survey was well controlled by numerous base stations, so that a resultant accuracy of about $\dot{ \pm}_{20}$ gammas was achieved. Readings were taken at intervals of 50 feet along picket lines. To minimize minor fluctuations, the data were treated statistically by a simple 3 -point rolling average calculation, and these values were contoured on the magnetic survey map.

The isomagnetic lines reveal a greater relief over the southern part of the property, underlajn by andesite, as compared to the northern part, underlain by rhyolite.

## Magnetic Survey

The magnetics are locally of assistance in interpreting the position of the rhyolite/andesite contact, as in the area west of Pretty Lake, and along the narrow western arm of Sas Lake.

The magnetic anomaly at about $52 \mathrm{~W} / 10$ s was drilled in 1964 by Flobelle Mines Limited, and found to be caused by pyrrhotite in andesite and graphitic bands. This is believed to be the cause of the similar anomalies at about 61W/10S and 80W/23s.

The large positive and negative anomalies on Line 68 W do not extend to the adjacent lines. Although the readings on this line were well controlled at a series of base stations immediately before and after reading this line, it is possible that these large values were caused by a brief magnetic storm. Alternatively, the anomaly may be related to the contact of a diabase dike, about 150 feet wide, which lies between Lines 65 W and 68 W in this area.

The discrete positive anomaly at $84 \mathrm{~W} / 21 \mathrm{~S}$ was considered an attractive target for drilling because of its postulated coincidence with the andesite/rhyolite contact and a short E.M. conductor ("A"). Detailed survey work (Lines $82 W$ and $86 W$ ) reduced the size of the anomaly and showed it to be unrelated to conductor A. A narrow, positive magnetic anomaly at the south end of Lines 61W and 65 W may be related to a narrow, cherty interflow band in andesite; it outcrops on the lakeshore at about 68W/ 26 S and strikes parallel to the magnetic trend.

## Magnetic Survey

Near $68 \mathrm{~W} / 10 \mathrm{~S}$, a small magnetic high apparently coincides with conductor $C$. Interpretation from the profile indicates a depth of about 150 feet to the top of this magnetic body. This implies a narrow width, in accord with E.M. interpretation also.

## b) Electromagnetic Surveys

Three types of E.M. surveys were conducted: Vertical loop, horizontal shootback (C.E.M.) and V.L.F.-E.M. (RADEM). The vertical loop method provided the most useful data. Detailed work, at a later date, was performed with a different instrument, at somewhat different frequencies.

Several conductors have been outlined. These have been designated by letter and are shown on the E.M. survey maps. Conductors $A, A '$ and $B$ were tested by diamond drilling. Conductor $C$ has a magnetic expression along part of its length, but the V.L.E.M. profile indicates a narrow width. Magnetic interpretation confirms this width estimate. Conductors $I$ and $K$ are part of the target drilled by Flobelle Mines Limited in 1964, in a north-south section at about 54W. They were found to be sulphide-bearing graphitic bands in andesite. Conductor $E$ is exposed on two shaft dumps as graphitic slate with some pyrite nodules. Conductor $D$ requires further detailed work, but appears to be weak. The RADEM survey on Line 28 W located 2 conductors; these are believed to be parallel bands of dark slate which outcrop on the adjacent island.

A total of 1,155 feet of drilling was completed in December, 1971. Conductors $A$ and $B$ were found to be graphitic horizons within andesite and rhyolite respectively. Conductor $A^{\prime}$ was not adequately explained in drilling, but further consideration of the survey data lead to the conclusion that the anomaly was false. The magnetic anomaly near A is related to disseminated pyrrhotite in andesite.

The drill logs for all 3 holes, SL-1, SL-2 and SL-3 are appended, along with sections through these holes.

## CONCLUSIONS

Most of the geophysical anomalies have been adequately explained, by interpretation or drilling. A small amount of detailed work might be useful in tracing the extensions of conductors $C$ and $K$ and in defining conductor $D$.

No economically significant amounts of any minerals were located in the course of the work on this property.

$\therefore$ GEOPTYSICS

|  | line |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Survey | miles | S/line mile | $S$ |
| Nagnetics | 6.1 | 60 | 366 |
| VLEM | 3.2 | 110 | 352 |
| CEM | 1.6 | 90 | 144 |
| FADEM | 1.8 | 50 | $\frac{90}{}$ |

$$
\frac{Y}{\$} \quad 952.00
$$

(includes detailed work, data plotting, operators' salaries)
2) DRILLINO

1155 feet AQ
Invoices (Brāaley Bros. Ltd.) Nov. 30/71 \$2988.90 Dec. $15 / 71 \frac{4729.85}{7718.75}$

$$
\$ 7,718,75
$$

3) GENERAL EXPENSES

- mobilization \& demob. Toronto-Cobalt ( 600 miles) Nov.25-Dec. 6 and Jan.16-22 @ 15c/mile 180.00
- local travel 310 miles @ $15 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{mile} \quad 46.50$
- core freight storage @ $\$ 10 / \mathrm{mo}$. 30.00 Dec. Feb.
- room \& board: 1 man Nov. 25-Dec. 5 220.00 @ $\$ 20 /$ day

2 men Jan. 16-21
125.00
@ $\$ 25 /$ day
(provided in house rented by Vangulf)
$\$ 601.50$

## Balance Forward $\$ 9,272.25$

4) PROEESSIONAL SERVICES
by D.F. Fisher ( $\$ 100 /$ day
a) geophysics supervision Nov. 27,28;

## days

 jan. 22b) driving supervision Nov. 26,28 ; 8
Dec.5; \& core logging
c) report preparation Nov.23,24, Dec. 10, Feb. 4

TOTAL

4

15 days
$\$ 7,500.00$
$\$ 10,772.25$


APPENDIX: Geophysical Operators

1) Magnetometer: R.S. Chanyi, M. Hlava
2) Vertical Loop E.M.: R.S. Nichols, M. Bordeau
3) C.E.M.: R.S. Nichols, M. Bordeau
4) RADEM: D.F. Fisher
5) Vertical Loop E.M. (detail): D.F. Fisher, M. Bordeau, M. Hlava, D. MacLean
in account with
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मote no.
boulf Exizloration Comparay. 2.323 Yonge strext, roronto 215 , ontaxio.
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Room 1606, Whitney Block Garliament Bulidinge Quennle Park Toronto, Ont.

June 15, 1972

Vangulf Exploration Company 2323 Yonge Street
Toronto 315
Dntario
Attontion: Mr. R. M. G1nn, Manager
Dear Sir:
The duplicate copien of progreac repert on "san Lake Project" covering geophyeical surver and diamond drililng in Coleman Township, submitted under the "Bxploration Aseiatance Programe" have been forwarded to this offioe for filing and are now avallable to the general publio.

One copy is on file in Room 1606. Whitney Blooks Aseesament Work-Research Library, Parliament Bulidinge. Queen's Park, Toronto and the seebnd copy hes been forvarded to the Reeident Geologist. Kirkiand Lake, ortario.

Youre very truly.

O. I. 8tevent Research ofilleer Mining Lende Branch

GTS/1m
c.c. Mr. H. Lovell
ovthrio

Narch 2Sth, 1972

Vanguls Exploration Company 2323 Yonge Straet Toronto 315, ontario

At antions Mr. R.I. Gim, lanagor
Daar Sir:
Enclosed herawith is a checruo in the anount of $\$ 3.590 .75$. This amount represencs the Dopartmont's share of the cost, under the exploration assistance agnoomont, of the actual eponses incurred by you while exporing for minarals in Colenan Tomship betweon November, 1971 and March, 1972.

/ke
Enclosure
H. G. Nathews

Chiof Accountant

```
cc: Rr. G.R. Guillot \(V\) Nr. J.Re bicGinn
```





