

320055E0083 2.1790 0

Airborne Magnetometer Survey Minedel Mines Limited Ossian Township Property

P. G. LACOMBE

INGÉNIEURS-C

Property:

The property is located in the Northwest corner of Ossian Township, Timiskaming District, Larder Lake Mining Division, Ontario.

The survey covered the following claims in two groups:-

1.	East Group:	No. No.	364894 387706	to to	364899 387709	incl. ⁶ incl. ⁴
2.	West Group:	No. No.	387685 388144	to to	387693 388146	incl. ⁹ incl.3
3.	Patented:	No. No. No.	L-11181 L-11186 L-12716 L-12716 L-11344 12717 12577 12000	to to an 1	11185 11189 d L-12 5891, 1131, 1133, 1999,	incl. ⁵ incl.4 717.8 12716, 11132, 11413, 12020.

The last three numbers being outside the property.

Survey:

The survey covered an area 9 3/4 miles wide in an east-west direction by l_2^1 miles long in a northsouth direction. It consisted of 35 flight lines flown alternately from North to South and next from South to North, totalling 52.5 miles of which 18 miles were flown over the property.

010

Data:

Data of the survey and equipment used is as follows:-

1.	Equipment:	Barringer Research Limited M-123 Magnetometer Systems.
2.	Power:	24 volts.
3.	Cycle:	l gamma.
4.	Cycle Rate	e: 0.6 continuous cycle.
5.	Output Ana	alog: 0 99. gammas.
6.	Spacing:	As per attached location map; Not more than $\frac{1}{4}$ mile. Generally 1/8 mile over the claims.
7.	Height:	500 feet above ground.
8.	Speed:	120 miles per hour.
9.	Chart:	20 gammas per square inch.
10.	Aircraft:	Cessna 180, CF-PKL.
11.	Sensor:	Airborne Model AM-123; AS-104-5, Serial #6252. Cable 100' long.
12.	Operators:	E. Blanchard, H. Blanchard.
13.	Engineers	P.G.Lacombe & Associates.
14.	Consultant	ts: Barringer Research Limited.
15.	Date:	Date of final flight and final survey check: April 12, 1975.

Interpretation:

In the Eastern Group of claims, east-west trending anomalics have been located described as follows:

- A- 2000' long rising sharply above 15 to 50 back ground to 103;
- B- 700' long, wider, rising to 106 gammas;
- C. 850' long rising less sharply above background to 93 gammas.

At the west end of the East Group of claims, Anomalous areas D and E stand above a 70 to 80 gammas background in large masses as follow:

Area D - 1500 ft. long (NS) by 1300 ft. wide to 104 gammas;

Area E - 1000' ft. long by 600 ft. wide to 100 gammas.

These are presumably underlain by larger masses of magnetic to slightly magnetic formations, possibly of volcanic origin. A sharply low intensity area is immediately adjacent to the west on line 21, indicative of sharp geological changes.

The Eastern part of the Patented Claim Group has a highly magnetic background with a series of off-scale readings at the south end of line 21 and over most of line 22. This has been marked as Area F. It either corresponds to a formation of highly contrasting magnetic susceptibility or some local interference. The very low readings over the northern part of line 21 appears to be coupled with this stronger attraction.

From line 23 to line 33, the magnetic intensity rises gradually and uniformly from east to west, with east-west anomalous patterns beginning to appear in the extreme north-west corner under claim 387685, similar to the ones detected under the Eastern Group. It is to be presumed that the whole area is underlain by a similar formation of a homogeneous nature, with depth of overburden possibly shadowing the eastern part gradually.

Conclusions:

Anomalies A, B and C are well defined and require more investigation which should be carried out by ground magnetometer verification followed by geological survey of the area.

The nature of the higher magnetic intensity of areas D and E will be shown by a similar geological survey.

Cause of the sharp rise in Area F must be similarly investigated, particularly the definite series of off-scale readings on line 21. Areas G' and G" appear of secondary significance.

Recommendations:

- 1. To check by ground magnetometer survey the exact characteristics of anomalies A, B, C, D, E and F.
- 2. To complete a reconnaissance geological survey of the property with detailed geological mapping of anomalous areas.

Estimate:

It is estimated that both work can be completed at a cost between \$2500 and \$3500 depending on the accuracy and detail of the work.

Pierre G. Lacombe, Ing. P.G.Lacombe & Associates Consulting Engineers

April 14, 1975.



NOTE:-

- This letter should be added to our report dated April 14, 1975 entitled:

"Airborne Magnetometer Survey Minedel Mines Limited Ossian Township Property"

covering claims 364894 et Al. in • Ossian Township.

May 8, 1975.

Mr. H. Cuomo Minedel Mines Limited 943 Upper Gage Ave. Hamilton, Ont. RECEIVED MAY 2 1 1975 PROJECTS UNIT

Dear Mr. Cuomo:

Referring to the airborne magnetometer survey recently flown over your Ossian Township property, after verification with our geophysicists, we find that the high magnetic readings obtained over the southern part of Line 21 are probably due to the presence of three timber skitters (types of tractors used in timbering operations) whose mass of steel has been picked up by the instrument.

It should be quickly checked on the ground, but chances are the lower part of Line 21 will be quite similar to the northern half.

Should you require line-cutting, geological or ground geophysical mapping and trenching work, we have the crews and equipment to perform same at your convenience, including surface drilling equipment.

Sincerely,

PGL:id

Pierre G. Lacombe, Ing.

RECEIVED.

MAY 21 1975

LANDS ADMINISTRATION BRANCH

1 2.1790



320055E0083 2.1790 OSSIAN

900

GEOPHYSICAL – GEOLO(TECHNICAL DATA STATEMENT

		RECEIVED
TECI	TO BE ATTACHED AS AN APPENDIX TO TECHNIC. FACTS SHOWN HERE NEED NOT BE REPEATED I INNICAL REPORT MUST CONTAIN INTERPRETATION,	AL REPORT N REPORT CONCLUSIONS ETC.
Type of Survey	Airborne Magnetic Survey	PROJECTS UNIT
Township or Area	Ossian Twp, Larder Lake Div.	
Claim holder(s)	Minedel Mines Limited 943 Upper Gage Ave. Hamilton	MINING CLAIMS TRAVERSED List numerically
Author of Report?.	G.Lacombe & Assoviates, Eng.	L-364894 to 364899 incl.
Address P.	O.Box No. 95, Beloeil, Que.	(prefix) (number)
Covering Dates of Surve	ey <u>March 25 - April 15, 1975</u> (linccutting to office)	L-387706 to 387709 incl.
Total Miles of Line cut.		L- 387685 to 387693 incl.
SPECIAL PROVISIO CREDITS REQUEST	DNS DAYS TED Geophysical per claim	1- 388144 to 388146 incl.
ENTER 40 days (incl	- Electromagnetic	
line cutting) for first		
ENTERD 90 dame for a	- Kauometric	
additional survey usir	CachOther	
same grid.	Geological	
	Geochemical	
MagnetometerI	Special provision credits do not apply to airborne surveys) Electromagnetic Radiometric	
DATE: April 15,	1935 RENATURE: Author of Report	18 × 40: 720 - 22:
PROJECTS SECTION	63.22.92 1	33 Junp
Res Geol	.D. Qualifications On this file	1
Previous Surveys	Quanteations	
Checked by	date	
GEOLOGICAL BRANC	СН	
Approved by	date	
GEOLOGICAL BRANC	СН	
Approved by	date	TOTAL CLAIMS22

OFFICE USE ONLY

GEOPHYSICAL TECHNICAL DATA

Number of Stations	Number of Readings		
Station interval			
Line spacing	·		
Profile scale or Contour intervals			
(specify	7 for each type of survey)		
MAGNETIC	• (
Instrument			
Accuracy - Scale constant			
Diurnal correction method			
Base station location			
¢			
ELECTROMAGNETIC			
Instrument			
Coil configuration			
Coil separation	······································	an - 19 ¹⁰	
Accuracy			
Method:	□ Shoot back □ In line	Parallel line	
Frequency	(masife VI V station)		
Parameters measured	(specify v.i.i.r. station)		
GRAVITY			
 Instrument			
Scale constant			
Corrections made			
Base station value and location			
Elevation accuracy			
INDUCED POLARIZATION - RESISTIVITY			
Instrument		a	
Time domain	Frequency domain		
Frequency	Range		
Power	-		
Electrode array			
Electrode spacing			
Type of electrode			



SELF POTENTIAL

Instrument		R	ange
Survey Method			
Corrections made			
RADIOMETRIC Instrument Values measured			
Energy windows (levels)_			and the second
Height of instrument		Background C	ount
Size of detector			
Overburden	(turne der	thinclude outgron man)	
	(type, dep	an a menue ouerop map)	
OTHERS (SEISMIC, DRI	LL WELL LOGGING ET	°C.)	
Type of survey			
Instrument			
Accuracy			
Parameters measured			
Additional information (fo	or understanding results).		
AIRBORNE SURVEYS			
Type of survey(s)	Magnetic)	
Instrument(s)	Barringer M-12	23 System	
Accuracy	(specify fo	or each type of survey)	
A contraction of the second se	Cessna 180	or each type of survey) CF-PKL	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>
Aircraft used	$\frac{0000 \text{ ft}_{200}}{400 \text{ ft}_{2} + (\text{Air})}$	craft at 500')	
Sensor altitude		pographic features.	
	recovery method		
Aircraft altitude	500 Feet.	Line Spacing_	1/8 mile.
Miles flown over total area	a <u>52.5</u>	Over claims or	nly18

GEOCHEMICAL SURVEY - PROCEDURE RECORD

Numbers of claims from which samples taken_____

Total Number of Samples					
Type of Sample(Nature of Material) Average Sample Weight		per cent p. p. m. p. p. b.			
Method of Collection	Cu, Pb, Zn, Ni, Co,	, Ag, Mo,	As,-(circle)		
Soil Horizon Sampled	Others				
Horizon Development	Field Analysis (tests)		
Sample Depth	Extraction Method				
Terrain	Analytical Method	·····			
	Reagents Used				
Drainage Development	Field Laboratory Analysis	:			
Estimated Range of Overburden Thickness	No. (tests)		
	Extraction Method				
	Analytical Method				
	Reagents Used				
SAMPLE PREPARATION	Commercial Laboratory (.		tcsts)		
Mesh size of fraction used for analysis	Name of Laboratory				
	Extraction Method				
	Analytical Method				
	Reagents Used				
General	General				
	3				
}		+			
£					
	Ł				
	,				





