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SUMMARY:

During July and August, 1982, a geological survey was performed on nineteen (19) claims in the northern part of the Detour Lake Area, District of Cochrane, Ontario. Ground geophysical surveys have also been caried out with the results still pending. It is recommended that targets produced from the geophysical surveys be examined more closely.

INTRODUCTION:

A detailed geological survey was carried out on a group of nineteen (19) claims in the Detour Lake Area during July and August, 1982. The claim numbers are P-553684, P-555176 to P-555190 in=lusive, P-553691, P-553692, P-553671 and are recorded in the name of Ingamar Explorations Limited.

These claims are located approximately six (6) kilometers west of the Amoco-Campbell Red Lake - Dome gold deposit.

Detail ground geophysical surveys consisting of Apex Max-Min II horizontal loop and induced polarization were carried out during September, 1982.

LOCATION:

The claim group is located at $50^{\circ} 01^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ latitude and $79^{\circ} 48^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ longitude, or approximately 140 kilometers northeast of Cochrane, within: 18 kilometers of the Quebec -

Figure 1 Location Map



Ontario boundary. La Sarre is located 130 kilometers, to the south-south-east. The property is accessible in the winter by means of a winter access road from La Sarre. The property will also be accessible from Cochrane during both the summer and winter when the highway that is presently under construction is completed. At the present time access to the property is by float plane landing on Fault Lake on the west side of the property.

## TOPOGRAPHY \& VEGETATION:

The topography of the claim group is generally low lying ground with occasional small hills where rock outcrops. Most of the north half of the property is flat lying muskeg swamp with scattered small spruce trees and occasional grassy, floating bogs. The southern half and the northern-most part of the property is covered with 2" - 6" diameter spruce trees with occasional patches of muskeg.

Fault Lake is located on the west side of the property and is joined by a five (5) meter wide creek to another lake in the middle of the property on the southern boundary.

PREVIOUS WORK:

Mineral exploration in the general Detour LakeSunday Lake region was minimal prior to 1974. In October, 1974, Amoco Petroleum Company Limited discovered a gold ore deposit situated approximately six (6) kilometers east of the property which is the subject of this report.

By 1976, the main Amoco deposit had been outlined by drilling and was reported to contain a minimum of ten million tons averaging 0.20 oz gold per ton. In August 1979, Dome Mines and Campbell Red Lake Mines jointly announced that they would finance 50 percent of the development costs of the Amoco deposit and would operate the resultant mine. By 1981 the tonnage of the deposit had increased to 28 million tons averaging . 125 oz per ton.

In 1976 the subject property was part of an 80 - claim group held by Noranda Mines Ltd. Noranda Mines Ltd. carried out magnetometer and dual-frequency vertical loop electromagnetic surveys and located two conductive zones on the ground. No further assessment work was filed.

On April 9, 1980 the nineteen (19) claims which are the subject of this report were staked by Adrien Beaudoin and on June 11, 1980 all interest in the claims was transferred to Ingamar Explorations Limited, Cedar Hill, Connaught, Ontario. The above mentioned claims are being held in trust for Global Energy Corp. of Vancouver, B.C.

SURVEY METHOD:

The survey was conducted by $D$. Hillier during July and August, 1982. Rock outcrops were tied into a grid system by walking along the lines which were cut at $400^{\prime}$ intervals (base line azimuth $120^{\circ}$ ). Where rock outcrop was found between the lines the outcrop was followed and mapped. Most of the outcrop was slightly covered with $1^{\prime \prime}$ - $6^{\prime \prime}$ of moss and this was cleared off before the mapping was done. Major topographic features
and claim posts were tied into the grid system as accurately as possible.

## REGIONAL GEOLOGY:

The sequence of rocks in the Detour Lake Area consists of Pre-Cambrian mafic to felsic metavolcanics and metasediments of the Abitibi Greenstone Belt. The metavolcanics and metasediments of this area were later intruded by both felsic and mafic plutons and dykes.

The mafic metavolcanics are fine grained and have well preserved primary structures. The main lithologic types are as follows; flows, tuffs, pillow flows with autoclastic breccia, lapilli-breccia to pyroclastic breccia and porphyritic flows.

The felsic to intermediate units are not exposed in outcrop and have been delineated using diamond drill hole logs and ODM-GSC aeromagnetic maps. Chemically all the felsic to intermediate metavolcanics are calc-alkaline rhyolites and dacites with minor tholeiitic dacite.

The clastic metasediments are found stratigraphically above and below the metavolcanics. Diamond drill hole logs also show that they are interbedded with metavolcanics.

The metamorphosed mafic and ultramafic intrusive rocks consist of gabbro, porphyritic gabbro and amphibolite. These occur as feeder dykes and sills. The ultramafic intrusive rocks are not exposed on the surface.

The felsic to intermediate intrusive rocks are mainly quartz monzonites that are occasionally pegmatitic.

The metavolcanic-metasedimentary rocks of the northern supracrustal belt in the Detour Lake Area lie at or within the nose of a fold structure which extends west from the main body of the Abitibi volcanic belt in Quebec. The northern supracrustal belt is isoclinally folded into an anticline and a series of antiforms and synforms. The emplacement of the Detour Lake diorite warped the fold axes and induced minor folding in the metavolcanics surrounding the body. The anticline found north of Detour and Lower Detour Lakes plunges gently to the northwest at about 45 degrees. The location of the axis of the anticline is delineated by lithologic changes found in diamond drill logs and opposing pillow tops found on the north and south limbs.

Major faults have not been proposed for the map area because the amount of outcrop is insufficient and the overburden too deep for such interpretation.

Foliation in the Detour Lake Area tends to parallel bedding and is defined by the planar orientation of platy and acicular minerals such as biotite, chlorite, muscovite and amphibole and by flattening of clasts and pillows.

The rocks in the Detour Lake Area have undergone regional and contact metamorphism, ranging from upper greeschist to almandine amphibolite facies. (see Reference \#1)

PHANEROZOIC
CENOZOIC
QUATERNARY
RECENT
Swamp, stream and lacustrine deposits
PLEISTOCENE
Till, clay, sand, and gravel deposits

Unconformity
PRECAMBRIAN
LATE PRECAMBRIAN (PROTEROZOIC)
Mafic Intrusive Rocks
Quartz Diabase

Intrusive Contact
EARLY PRECAMBRIAN (ARCHEAN)
Mafic to Intermediate Intrusive Rocks Diorite

Felsic to Intermediate Intrusive Rocks
Quartz monzonite, granodiorite, granite, quartz diorite, feldspar porphyry, quartz-feldspar porphry, gneiss, pegmatite, felsite, trondjemite

Intrusive Contact
Metamorphosed Mafic and Ultramafic Intrusive Rocks Gabbro, amphibolite, porphyritic gabbro, ultramafic rocks (not exposed)

## Intrusive Contact

Metasediments
Chemical Metasediments
Ironstone, chert
Clastic Metasediments
Wacke, arenite, arkose, calc-silicate rocks, grit, fine-grained to very fine grained graphitic metasediments and tuffs, schist

## TABLE 1 cont'd

## METAVOLCANICS

Felsic to Intermediate Metavolcanics Flow, tuff, lapilli-tuff, pyroclastic breccia, tuff-breccia, porphyryitic flows.
Mafic to Intermediate Metavolcanics
Flow, tuff, lapilli-breccia-pyroclastic breccia, amphibolite, pillowed and porphyritic flows, pillow breccia

## PROPERTY GEOLOGY:

From the outcrop exposed, basic to intermediate volcanics underly most of the property. The volcanics have undergone regional and contact metamorphism ranging from greenschist facies on the eastern part of the property and amphibolite-garnet facies on the western part.

On the eastern side the volcanics have retained much of their original structure. Pillow lavas can be seen and measured. Mafic tuffs can be found interbedded with the volcanics and can be easily recognized by thin alternating light and dark layers. In places these tuffs seem to be siliceous and contain minor pyrite and pyrrhotite. On the eastern most part of the property felsic dykes occur and are up to $1 / 2^{\prime}$ wide and 50 to $200^{\prime}$ long. Most of the volcanics in this area are highly chloritized.

Foliation on the eastern side of the property tends to be constant, striking at approximately $100^{\circ}$ and dipping steeply to the north. Shear zones can be seen on the eastern outcrops and have the same strike as the foliation.

Small quartz veins can be found over most of the property filling fractures in the volcanics.

The grade of metamorphism increases westward reaching amphibolite-garnet facies on the western-most side. Original structures are rare due to the high grade of metamorphism. The foliation strikes at approximately $070^{\circ}$ to $080^{\circ}$ and dips steeply to the north.

Minor pyrite and pyrrotite can be found at some outcrops with gossanous zones indicating their presence.

On the very western-most side of the property migmatite occurs. This migmatite is probably a contact migmatite with the granitic source lying somewhere further west. The migmatite is comprised of a metavolcanic host rock and a granitic component consisting of alkali feldspar and quartz. The contact of the migmatite and the metavolcanics is gradational.

## CONCLUSIONS \& RECOMMENDATIONS:

The property in question lies in the same volcanic belt as the Amoco-Campbell Red Lake - Dome gold mine and should therefore be prospected with a similar type of deposit in mind. With such a small percentage of outcrop on the property the best areas pinpointed from the geophysical surveys should be cleard off with a bulldozer and trenched. The rock samples from these trenches should be assayed for gold and possibly copper. If the geophysical results pinpoint a good target and the assays are encouraging, the property should go into the diamond drill stage of the exploration survey.

```
S CHEDULE OF CLAIMS
```

| NAME OF | F STAKER | date and time staked |  |  | RECORDING DATE | CLAIM NUMBER |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adrien B | Beaudoin | March | 14/80 | 8:00 am | April 9/80 | P-555176 |
| " | " | " |  | 10:00 am | " | P-555177 |
| " | " | " |  | 12:00 am | " | P-555178 |
| " | " | " |  | 2:00 pm | " | P-555179 |
| " | " | " |  | 4:00 pm | " | P-555180 |
| " | " | March | 15/80 | 8:00 am | " | P-555181 |
| " | " | " |  | 10:00 am | " | P-555182 |
| " | " | " |  | 12:20 pm | " | P-555183 |
| " | " | " |  | 2:25 pm | " | P-555184 |
| " | " | " |  | 4:30 pm | " | P-555185 |
| " | " | March | 16/80 | 8:00 am | " | P-555186 |
| " | " | " |  | 10:15 am | " | P-555187 |
| " | " | " |  | 12:30 pm | " | P-555188 |
| " | " | " |  | 2:30 pm | " | P-555189 |
| " | " | " |  | 4:30 pm | " | P-555190 |
| " | " | March | 17/80 | 8:30 am | " | P-553691 |
| " | " | " |  | 11:00 am | " | P-553692 |
| " | " | " |  | 2:00 pm | " | P-553684 |
| 11 | " | " |  | 4:30 pm | " | P-553671 |

All interest in the claims was transfered to Ingamar Explorations Ltd. on June 11, 1980. The claims are being held in trust for bal Energy Corporation.

Reference \#1. Johns G.W. 1982: Geology of the Burntbush-Detour Lakes Area, District of Cochrane, Ontario, Geol. Survey Report 199, p22-48. Accompanied by Map 2453, Scale 1:100,000 (1cm to 1 km ).

## CERTIEICATE

1, Donald Hillier of Vancouver, British Columbia, hereby certify that:

1. I hold a Bachelor of Science Degree in Geology from the Unversity of British Columbia, having graduated in May 1981.
2. I have practised my profession in exploration continuously since graduation.
3. I have based conclusions and recommendations contained in this report on knowledge of the area, my previous experience, and on results of bield work conducted on the property during the months of July and August, 1982, which was carried out under my overall supervision.
4. I hold no interest, directly or indirectly in this property other than propessional bees, nor do 1 expect to receive any interest in the property or in Ingamar Explorations Limited, or any of its subsidiary companies.


Donald Hillier, B.Sc. Geologist
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I. INTRODUCTION

A Max-Min electromagnetic survey and an Induced Polarization
survey were carried out between August 23 to September 14,
$19 \$ 2$ by Rayan Exploration Ltd. for Global Energy Corporation,

Vancouver, Canada. The work was done on a contract basis.

The purpose of the survey was to compliment a previous VLF, mag survey by MPH Consultants Ltd. ( see report by D. Jones, May 1981 ). It was felt that the I.P. would outline possible disseminated sulphides which could host gold in this area.

This report describes the surveys done and the results, with recommendations and conclusions.

## 2. LOCATION AND ACCESS

The property is located in the west of Sunday Lake township in the Porcupine Mining Division, District of Cochrane. It consists of 19 contiguous unpatented mining claims numbered $555176-555190$ inclusive and 552691, 553692, 553684, and 553671.

The property is approximately 140 klm north-east of Cochrane. At the survey time, access was via fixed wing from Cochrane to Fault Lake which is on the property. Winter access from La Sarre P.Q. was possible but an all weather road from Cochrane is expected to be completed by October of 1983.


## 4. SURVEY PARAMETERS

Horizontal Loop E.M. Survey

A total of 15 line miles were surveyed using an Apex Max-Min II instrument in the Maximum-coupled mode. A coil separation of 500 ft . was used and two frequencies, $1777 \mathrm{~Hz} \& 444 \mathrm{~Hz}$, were read. The survey area was relatively flat, therefore not requiring secant chained lines. Both In-phase and Out-of-phase values are plotted mid-way between the transmitter and receiver coils. The values are plotted on grid plan maps at a horizontal scale of 1 inch $=200 \mathrm{ft}$. The vertical scale is 1 inch $=20 \%$ for both I.P. and O.P. readings. The Max-Min data is presented on two maps, one for each frequency read.

## 5. INDUCED POLARIZATION SURVEY

A total of 15 line miles of I.P./Resistivity survey were ran with apnroximately 0.83 miles repeated in detail.

The method used was Time Domain with a Pole-Dipole electrode array. The transmitter was a Phoenix IPT-I console with a 2.0 KVA motor generator. A Crone ( Newmont type ) receiver was used. Stainless steel electrodes were used for both current nositions while porous pots with copner sulphide were used for the receiver ( Potential ) electrodes. Two different infinity electrode locations were used with both being remote from the survey with negligible influence.

The entire grid was surveyed with an 'a' spacing of 200 ft reading $N=I \& N=2$. Some anomalies were detailed with an 'a' spacing of 50 ft reading $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{I}$ \& $\mathrm{N}=2$. A 2 second pulse time was used for the survey.

Both chargeability and Aparrent Resistivity were recorded and are presented on 4 grid maps at a scale of $I^{\prime \prime}=200 \mathrm{ft}$. The values are plotted mid-way between the current electrode and the middle of the notential dipole. This could shift slightly under certain geological conditions. However in the author's experience in the area this is probably the best method.

## PERSONNEL

The following personnel were involved with this nroject:

| R.J. Meikle | North Bay, Ontario |
| :--- | :--- |
| B.P. Belanger | North Bay, Ontario |
| T.G. Howards | North Bay, Ontario |
| R. Mathieus | North Ray, Ontario |
| Y. Starsyk | North Bay, Ontario |
| D. Crowley | Timmins, Ontario |

## 7. GEOLOGY

The geology of the survey area is beyond the scone of this renort and will be dealt with in a sevarate redort upon completion of geological manping and trenching.

Unfortunately, the survey area is till covered and outcrop is at a minimum. Some trenching is being done on and as close as possible to some of the geoohysical anomalies. No assays have been received at the time of this writing.

## 8. RESULTS

Max-Min Survey

The Max-Min Horizontal Loop survey showed very little relief. However there are 4 weakly anomalous areas which are discussed below.

HEM \# l - This is a very weak quadrature only anomaly which runs from $28 \mathrm{e}-44 \mathrm{e}$. There is no I.P. or Resistivity anomaly ascociated with it. There is no magnetic correlation.

HEM \# 2 - This is a very weak quadrature anomaly running from 48 e to the east boundary. It lies within a unit of higher chargeability with background resistivity values. There is a magnetic low over this anomaly.

HEM \# 3 - This is the only HEM anomaly with an In-phase response, the best part being on $L 48$ w @ $5+50$ s. The anomaly has a near coincident VLF response. It lies in an area of higher chargeability and a corresponding resistivitv high. It correlates with a magnetic low.

HEM \# 4 - This is a short, weak, quadrature anomaly on the southern flank of a chargeability anomaly. It has a coincident -

VLF response. It appears to be the southern contact of a geological unit which is outlined by the chargeability and corresnonding change in magnetic susentability.

## 9. INDUCED POLARIZATION SURVEY

Anomaly 'A' - This anomaly has a chargeability high and lies on the southern flank of a resistivity high. There is no coincident EM anomaly and the magnetic survey stops short of this anomaly. Anomaly 'B' - This anomaly could be an extension of 'A'. It is a chargeability high and correlates well with a unit of higher magnetic susceptability. There is a VLF anomaly on the southern flank of the anomaly. The setting is indicitive of a mafic tuff ( non-magnetic ) to the south and a massive basalt unit north of this which is magnetic and more chargeable. The VLF anomaly on the south flank of the chargeable zone could indicate sulphides on the contact between the two above mentioned geological units. Anomaly 'C-D' - This anomaly parallels ' $B$ ' and has a very similar signature. Also, there is a weak Max-Min quadrature anomaly coincident with the VLF anomaly on the assumed contact zone. This could indicate a higher sulphide content. While not as pronounced as ' $B$ ', there is a magnetic high coincident with the chargeable zone.

Anomaly 'E' - This anomaly has a coincident mag, I.P. and E.M. anomaly with a resistivity high. The cause could be disseminated sulphides in a massive basalt unit. The mag response is indicitive of pyrrhotite mineralization.

Anomaly 'F' - This anomaly appears to lie in the same zone of high chargeability and high resistivity. However, there is no VLF or E.M. correlation.

Anomaly 'G' - This anomaly lies to the south of HEM anomaly \# 3. It has a higher chargeability and slightly higher resistivity. There is no coincident mag or E.M. response.

Anomaly 'H' - This anomaly has a slightly higher than background chargeability and a higher resistivity. There is a spoty VLF correlation with the southern flank of the zone which again could be a contact with the more massive basalt causing the higher chargeabilities.

## 10. CONCLUSIONS

The I.P. and Max-Min surveys outlined several zones. For the most part, the I.P. anomalies are characterized by high chargeability and high resistivities with flanking EM anomalies to the south. In some cases the chargeability could be caused by the hasalt. However, the VLF-EM response on the assumed southern contacts could be caused by sulnhides.

Anomaly ' $E$ ' is interesting because of a coincident (pyrrhotite type ) magnetic high and coincident VLF and Max-Min response. Both anomaly E \& F could be caused by disseminated pyrrhotite in the basalt unit. HEM \# 3 anomaly is also a priority target due to the better Max-Min response indicating a possibility of more stringer tyoe sulphide mineralization. The anomalies run parallel to each other and have a similar geophysical signature.
11. RECOMMENDATIONS

While there is no "Detour Type" geophysical response on the
property surveyed, there are several zones which could be
caused by sulphides. These should be explained by drilling
and or trenching. Anomalies B, D, E and F would be the priority
targets with anomaly 'E' the most interesting. More trenching
should be carried out where feasable even if only for
geological control.

The geophysics done to date, seems adequate and the tareets are defined well enough for drilling purnoses.

## CERTIFICATE

I, Robert Middleton of Timmins, Ontario hereby certify that:

1) I hold a Bachelor of Science (1968) and Master of Science (1969) degree in Applied Geophysics from Michigan Technology University, Houghton, Michigan.
2) I am familiar with survey work carried out by Rayan Exploration Ltd. and have reviewed the interpretational report by R.J. Meikle for the Global Energy Corporation and find that the work was carried out correctly in a nrofessional and satisfactory manner. The technical aspects of this survey are correct and meet the snecifications required to pronerly evaluate the property.
3) I hold no interest, directly or indirectly in this oroiect or property and have reviewed the work done ourely out of professional and acedemic interest.


## CERTIPICATE

I, Raymond Meikle of North Bay, Ontario hereby certify that:

1) I hold a 3 yr Technologist Diploma from the Haileybury School of Mines, Haileybury, Ontario.
2) I have based conclusions and recommendations contained in this report on knowledge of the area, my previous experience, and on the results of the field work conducted on the nroperty during Aug-Sent, $19 \$ 2$ which was carried out under my overall supervision.
3) I hold no interest, directly or indirectly in this property other than professional fees, nor do I expect to receive any interest in the property or in Global Energy Corp. or any of it's subsidiary companies.

North Bay, Ontario, Canada R.J. Meikle

# Report of Work t 555176 <br> (Geophysical, Geological, $\forall 41$ Geochemical and Expenditures) 4 <br> 900 <br> <br> \section*{The Mining Act} <br> <br> \section*{The Mining Act} <br> - Do not use shaded areas below. 



Certification Verifying Report of Work
I hereby certify that i have a personal and intimate knowledge of the facts set forth in the Report of Work annexed hereto, having performed the work

same and Postal Andes, of lemon Certifying

```
Mr. Bruce Manley
Mining Recorder
Ministry of Natural Resources
60 Wilson Avenue
Timuins, ontario
P4N 2S7
Dear Sir:
RE: Notice of Intent dated May 10, 1984.
    Geological Survey on Mining claims
    p 555176 et al in the Area of West of
    Sunday Lake.
```

The assessment work credits as listed with the above mentioned Notice of Intent, have been approved as of the above date.

Please inform the recorded holder of these mining claims and so indicate on your records.

Yours sincerely,
S.E. Yundt

Director
Land Marriages nt Branch
Whitney Block, Room 6643
Queen's Park
Toronto, Ontario
MIA LW
Phone: (416) 965-6918
D. Kinvig:sc
cc: Ingamar Explorations Ltd Cedar Hill Connaught, Ontario YON LAO
cc: Mr. G.H. Ferguson Mining \& Lands Commissioner Toronto, Ontario
cc: Resident Geologist
Rimming, Ontario

Mr. Bruce Manley
Wjnjing Recorcier
Ministry of Natural Resources
60 Wilson Avenue
Timmins, Ontario
14N 2S"
Doar Sir:
RE: Notice of Intent dated May 10 , 1984. Geophysical (Electromagnetic) Survey on Mining claims $p 555176$ ot al in the Area of West of Sunday lake.

Whe assessment work credits as listed with the above mentioned hotice of Intent, have been approved as of the above date.

Please inform the secorded holder of these mining clajms and so indicate on your records.

Yours sincerely,
S. W. Yundt

Disector
Sanci Management Hanch
Whitncy 3ilook, Room 6643
Queen's park
'roronto. Ontario
N7n 1 1W3
Phone: (4j6) 965-69
D. Pichette:sc
co: Ingamar Explorations It d Cedar Hill
? Comatight, Ontario Pon 170
co: Mr. G. H. kerguson Minimg \& Lancis Commissioner foronto, ontario

| Recorded Holder | INGAMAR EXPLORATIONS LTD |
| :--- | :--- |
| Township or Area | WEST OF SUNDAY LAKE AREA |

$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|}\hline \text { Type of survey and number of } \\ \text { Assessment days credil per claim }\end{array}\right]$

Special credits under section 77 (16) for the following mining claims

No credits have been allowed for the following mining claims
not sufficiently covered by the survey $X$ Insufficient technical data filed

## FOR ELECTROMAGNETIC ONLY

P 555176 to 92 inclusive
553684
553671

The Mining Recorder may reduce the above credits if necessary in order that the total number of approved assessment days recorded on each claim does not exceed the maximum allowed as follows: Geophysical - 80; Geological - 40; Geochemical - 40; Section 77(19)—60: 828 (83/6)

Your file:
421
19840510
Our file:
2.5366

Mr. Bruce W. Manley
Mining Recorder
Ministry of Natural Resources
60 Wilson Avenue
Timmins, Ontario
PAN 2S7
Dear Sir:

Enclosed are two copies of a Notice of Intent with statements listing a reduced rate of assessment work credits to be allowed for a technical survey. Please forward one copy to the recorded holder of the claims and retain the other. In approximately fifteen days from the above date, a final letter of approval of these credits will be sent to you. On receipt of the approval letter, you may then change the work entries on the claim record sheets.

For further information, if required, please contact
Mr. F.W. Matthews at 416/965-6918.
Yours very truly,
S.E. wundt

Director
Land Management Branch
Whitney Block, Room 6643
Queen's Park
Toronto, Ontario
MFA 1W3
Phone: 416/965-1316
D. Pichette:mc

Encls.

## Cedar Hill <br> Connaught, Ontario POS TAO

cc: Ingamar Explorations Limited
cc: Mr. G.H. Ferguson
Mining \& Lands Commissioner Toronto, Ontario

Ministryof
Natural Resources

Notice of Intent
for Technical Reports

19840510
2.5366/421

An examination of your survey report indicates that the requirements of The Ontario Mining Act have not been fully met to warrant maximum assessment work credits. This notice is merely a warning that you will not be allowed the number of assessment work days credits that you expected and also that in approximately 15 days from the above date, the mining recorder will be authorized to change the entries on his record sheets to agree with the enclosed statement. Please note that until such time as the recorder actually changes the entry on the record sheet, the status of the claim remains unchanged.

If you are of the opinion that these changes by the mining recorder will jeopardize your claims, you may during the next fifteen days apply to the Mining and Lands Commissioner for an extension of time. Abstracts should be sent with your application.

If the reduced rate of credits does not jeopardize the status of the claims then you need not seek relief from the Mining and Lands Commissioner and this Notice of Intent may be disregarded.

If your survey was submitted and assessed under the "Special Provision-Performance and Coverage" method and you are of the opinion that a re-appraisal under the "Man-days" method would result in the approval of a greater number of days credit per claim, you may, within the said fifteen day period, submit assessment work breakdowns listing the employees names, addresses and the dates and hours they worked. The new work breakdowns should be submitted direct to the Lands Management Branch, Toronto. The report will be re-assessed and a new statement of credits based on actual days worked will be issued.

## REGISTERED

January 30, 1984
Our File: 2.5366

```
Ingamar Explorations Limited
Cedar Hill
Connaught, Ontario
PON IAO
```

Dear Sirs:

RE: Geophysical (Electromagnetic) Survey submitted on Mining Claims P 555176 et al in the Area of West of Sunday Lake

Enclosed is a copy of our letter dated August 4, 1983 and a copy of your letter dated October 6, 1983, requesting additional information for the above-mentioned survey.

Unless you can provide the required data by February 10, 1984, the mining recorder will be directed to cancel the Electromagnetic work credits recorded on November 12, 1982.

For further information, please contact Mr. F.W. Matthews at (416)965-1380.

Yours very truly,
J.R. Morton

Acting airector
Land Management Branch
Whitney Block, Room 6643
Queen's Park
Toronto, Ontario
M7A IW3
Phone: (416)965-1380
R. Pichette:mc
cc: Mining Recorder
Timmins, Ontario
Encl.

Ingamar Explorations Limited
Cedar Hill
Connaught, Ontario
POW 1 AD

Dear Sirs:
RE: Geophysical (Electromagnetic and Geological Survey on Mining Claims $P 555176$ et al in the area west of Sunday Lake.

Returned herein are the eight plans (in duplicate) for the above mentioned survey. Please sign all copies of the maps. Also, the geological map must be coloured, and the EM maps must have the raw readings plotted.

When returning this material, please quote file 2.5366 .
For further information please contact Mr. F.W. Matthews at 416/965-1380.

Yours very truly,
E.F. Anderson

D1 rector
L. and Management Branch

Whitney Black, Room 6450
Queen's Park
Toronto, Ontario
:17A 1 1 3
Phone: 416/965-1380

S. Hurst: SC

Encl:
cc: Mining Recorder
Timmins, ontario

INGAMAR EXPLORATIONS LIMITED CEDAR HILL CONNAUGHT, ONTARIO PAN TAO TEL. (705) 433-3551 or (705) 264-3100<br>TELEX 067-81502

October: 6, , 1983

```
E.F. Anderson, Director
Land Management Branch
Whitney Block, Room 6450
Queen's Park
Toronto, Ontario
M7A IW3
```

SUBJECT: Geophysical (Electromagnetic) and Geological Survey on Mining Claims P555176 et al in area west of Sunday Lake. Your File 2.5366

Dear Sir:
As requested in your letter of August 4, 1983 we are returning the geological maps which have been coloured and signed. We have forwarded the EM maps to Rayan Exploration, North Bay, Ontario to have them plot the raw readings. Rayan will be forwarding them directly to you.

Thank you for your cooperation.
Sincerely, INGAMAR EXPLORATIONS LIMITED


Irma Hibbard, Vice-President
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To: Geochemistry

$\square$ To: Mining Lands Section, Room 6462, Whitney Block.

Mining Recorder Ministry of Natural Resources 60 Wilson Avenue Timmins, Ontario P4N 2 S7

## Dear Sir:

We have received reports and maps for a Geophysical (Electromagnetic) and a Geological Survey submitted under Special Provisions (credit for Performance and Coverage) on Mining Claims P 555176 et al in the Area West of Sunday Lake.

This material will be examined and assessed and a statement of assessment work credits will be issued.

Yours very truly,
E.F. Anderson

Director
Land Management Branch
Whitney Block, Room 6450
Queen's Park
Toronto, Ontario
M7A 1W3
Phone: 416/965-1380
DW:SC
cc: Ingamar Explorations Limited Connaught, Ontario
Attn: M. Hibbard.
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