

32L045W9367 2.5366 WEST OF SUNDAY LAKE

010

GEOLOGICAL REPORT

ON THE

FAULT LAKE PROPERTY

Astronometry and a state of

DETOUR LAKE AREA

PORCUPINE MINING DIVISION

ONTARIO

RECEIVED JAN 24 1983 MINING LANDS SECTION

for

Global Energy Corporation

Connaught, Ontario, Canada October, 1982 D.T. Hillier, B.Sc. (U.B.C.)

Qual Carcingd



32L04SW9367 2.5366 WEST OF SUNDAY LAKE

Ø10C

TABLE OF CONTENTS

page

Summary	1
Introduction	1
Location & Access	1
Topography & Vegetation	4
Previous Work	4
Survey Method	5
Regional Geology	6
Property Geology	10
Conclusions & Recommendations	11

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	1	Locati	on Sketch	• • • • • • • • •	2
Figure	2	Claim	Sketch		3

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1Table of Formations 8

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A Schedule of Claims12

LIST OF MAPS

Map #1 Geological Survey Map (in back folder)

REFERENCES

SUMMARY:

During July and August, 1982, a geological survey was performed on nineteen (19) claims in the northern part of the Detour Lake Area, District of Cochrane, Ontario. Ground geophysical surveys have also been carried out with the results still pending. It is recommended that targets produced from the geophysical surveys be examined more closely.

-1.

INTRODUCTION:

A detailed geological survey was carried out on a group of nineteen (19) claims in the Detour Lake Area during July and August, 1982. The claim numbers are P-553684, P-555176 to P-555190 inclusive, P-553691, P-553692, P-553671 and are recorded in the name of Ingamar Explorations Limited.

These claims are located approximately six (6) kilometers west of the Amoco-Campbell Red Lake - Dome gold deposit.

Detail ground geophysical surveys consisting of Apex Max-Min II horizontal loop and induced polarization were carried out during September, 1982.

LOCATION:

The claim group is located at 50⁰ O1'N latitude and 79⁰ 48'W longitude, or approximately 140 kilometers northeast of Cochrane, within 18 kilometers of the Quebec -



-3-Fig. 2 Claim Map

						•
	P555180	PSSSI79	P555178	P555177	P555176	
	8555181	P555182	PSS5183	P555184	PSSSIBS	
	PISSSIDO	PS55189	P555188	P555187	PSSSIBb	
		P553691	PS53692	P553684	P553671	
FAU				1.		\setminus
LAK	KE -		λ			



Ontario boundary. La Sarre is located 130 kilometers to the south-south-east. The property is accessible in the winter by means of a winter access road from La Sarre. The property will also be accessible from Cochrane during both the summer and winter when the highway that is presently under construction is completed. At the present time access to the property is by float plane landing on Fault Lake on the west side of the property.

-4-

TOPOGRAPHY & VEGETATION:

The topography of the claim group is generally low lying ground with occasional small hills where rock outcrops. Most of the north half of the property is flat lying muskeg swamp with scattered small spruce trees and occasional grassy, floating bogs. The southern half and the northern-most part of the property is covered with 2" - 6" diameter spruce trees with occasional patches of muskeg.

Fault Lake is located on the west side of the property and is joined by a five (5) meter wide creek to another lake in the middle of the property on the southern boundary.

PREVIOUS WORK:

Mineral exploration in the general Detour Lake-Sunday Lake region was minimal prior to 1974. In October, 1974, Amoco Petroleum Company Limited discovered a gold ore deposit situated approximately six (6) kilometers east of the property which is the subject of this report. By 1976, the main Amoco deposit had been outlined by drilling and was reported to contain a minimum of ten million tons averaging 0.20 oz gold per ton. In August 1979, Dome Mines and Campbell Red Lake Mines jointly announced that they would finance 50 percent of the development costs of the Amoco deposit and would operate the resultant mine. By 1981 the tonnage of the deposit had increased to 28 million tons averaging .125 oz per ton.

In 1976 the subject property was part of an 80 - claim group held by Noranda Mines Ltd. Noranda Mines Ltd. carried out magnetometer and dual-frequency vertical loop electromagnetic surveys and located two conductive zones on the ground. No further assessment work was filed.

On April 9, 1980 the nineteen (19) claims which are the subject of this report were staked by Adrien Beaudoin and on June 11, 1980 all interest in the claims was transferred to Ingamar Explorations Limited, Cedar Hill, Connaught, Ontario. The above mentioned claims are being held in trust for Global Energy Corp. of Vancouver, B.C.

SURVEY METHOD:

The survey was conducted by D. Hillier during July and August, 1982. Rock outcrops were tied into a grid system by walking along the lines which were cut at 400' intervals (base line azimuth 120°). Where rock outcrop was found between the lines the outcrop was followed and mapped. Most of the outcrop was slightly covered with 1" - 6" of moss and this was cleared off before the mapping was done. Major topographic features

-5-

and claim posts were tied into the grid system as accurately as possible.

REGIONAL GEOLOGY:

The sequence of rocks in the Detour Lake Area consists of Pre-Cambrian mafic to felsic metavolcanics and metasediments of the Abitibi Greenstone Belt. The metavolcanics and metasediments of this area were later intruded by both felsic and mafic plutons and dykes.

The mafic metavolcanics are fine grained and have well preserved primary structures. The main lithologic types are as follows; flows, tuffs, pillow flows with autoclastic breccia, lapilli-breccia to pyroclastic breccia and porphyritic flows.

The felsic to intermediate units are not exposed in outcrop and have been delineated using diamond drill hole logs and ODM-GSC aeromagnetic maps. Chemically all the felsic to intermediate metavolcanics are calc-alkaline rhyolites and dacites with minor tholeiitic dacite.

The clastic metasediments are found stratigraphically above and below the metavolcanics. Diamond drill hole logs also show that they are interbedded with metavolcanics.

The metamorphosed mafic and ultramafic intrusive rocks consist of gabbro, porphyritic gabbro and amphibolite. These occur as feeder dykes and sills. The ultramafic intrusive rocks are not exposed on the surface. The felsic to intermediate intrusive rocks are mainly quartz monzonites that are occasionally pegmatitic.

The metavolcanic-metasedimentary rocks of the northern supracrustal belt in the Detour Lake Area lie at or within the nose of a fold structure which extends west from the main body of the Abitibi volcanic belt in Quebec. The northern supracrustal belt is isoclinally folded into an anticline and a series of antiforms and synforms. The emplacement of the Detour Lake diorite warped the fold axes and induced minor folding in the metavolcanics surrounding the body. The anticline found north of Detour and Lower Detour Lakes plunges gently to the northwest at about 45 degrees. The location of the axis of the anticline is delineated by lithologic changes found in diamond drill logs and opposing pillow tops found on the north and south limbs.

Major faults have not been proposed for the map area because the amount of outcrop is insufficient and the overburden too deep for such interpretation.

Foliation in the Detour Lake Area tends to parallel bedding and is defined by the planar orientation of platy and acicular minerals such as biotite, chlorite, muscovite and amphibole and by flattening of clasts and pillows.

The rocks in the Detour Lake Area have undergone regional and contact metamorphism, ranging from upper greeschist to almandine amphibolite facies. (see Reference #1)

-7-

TABLE 1 - TABLE OF FORMATIONS FOR THE BURNTBUSH-DETOUR LAKES AREA

PHANEROZOIC CENOZOIC QUATERNARY RECENT Swamp, stream and lacustrine deposits PLEISTOCENE Till, clay, sand, and gravel deposits

Unconformity

PRECAMBRIAN

LATE PRECAMBRIAN (PROTEROZOIC) Mafic Intrusive Rocks Quartz Diabase

Intrusive Contact

EARLY PRECAMBRIAN (ARCHEAN)

Mafic to Intermediate Intrusive Rocks

Diorite

Felsic to Intermediate Intrusive Rocks

Quartz monzonite, granodiorite, granite, quartz diorite, feldspar porphyry, quartz-feldspar porphry, gneiss, pegmatite, felsite, trondjemite

Intrusive Contact

Metamorphosed Mafic and Ultramafic Intrusive Rocks Gabbro, amphibolite, porphyritic gabbro, ultramafic rocks (not exposed)

Intrusive Contact

Metasediments

Chemical Metasediments

Ironstone, chert

Clastic Metasediments

Wacke, arenite, arkose, calc-silicate rocks, grit, fine-grained to very fine grained graphitic metasediments and tuffs, schist

-8-

TABLE 1 cont'd

ль У

METAVOLCANICS

Felsic to Intermediate Metavolcanics

Flow, tuff, lapilli-tuff, pyroclastic breccia, tuff-breccia, porphyryitic flows.

Mafic to Intermediate Metavolcanics

Flow, tuff, lapilli-breccia-pyroclastic breccia, amphibolite, pillowed and porphyritic flows, pillow breccia

.

PROPERTY GEOLOGY:

From the outcrop exposed, basic to intermediate volcanics underly most of the property. The volcanics have undergone regional and contact metamorphism ranging from greenschist facies on the eastern part of the property and amphibolite-garnet facies on the western part.

On the eastern side the volcanics have retained much of their original structure. Pillow lavas can be seen and measured. Mafic tuffs can be found interbedded with the volcanics and can be easily recognized by thin alternating light and dark layers. In places these tuffs seem to be siliceous and contain minor pyrite and pyrrhotite. On the eastern most part of the property felsic dykes occur and are up to 1 1/2' wide and 50 to 200' long. Most of the volcanics in this area are highly chloritized.

Foliation on the eastern side of the property tends to be constant, striking at approximately 100° and dipping steeply to the north. Shear zones can be seen on the eastern outcrops and have the same strike as the foliation.

Small quartz veins can be found over most of the property filling fractures in the volcanics.

The grade of metamorphism increases westward reaching amphibolite-garnet facies on the western-most side. Original structures are rare due to the high grade of metamorphism. The foliation strikes at approximately 070° to 080° and dips steeply to the north.

-11-

Minor pyrite and pyrrhotite can be found at some outcrops with gossanous zones indicating their presence.

On the very western-most side of the property migmatite occurs. This migmatite is probably a contact migmatite with the granitic source lying somewhere further west. The migmatite is comprised of a metavolcanic host rock and a granitic component consisting of alkali feldspar and quartz. The contact of the migmatite and the metavolcanics is gradational.

CONCLUSIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS:

The property in question lies in the same volcanic belt as the Amoco-Campbell Red Lake - Dome gold mine and should therefore be prospected with a similar type of deposit in mind. With such a small percentage of outcrop on the property the best areas pinpointed from the geophysical surveys should be cleard off with a bulldozer and trenched. The rock samples from these trenches should be assayed for gold and possibly copper. If the geophysical results pinpoint a good target and the assays are encouraging, the property should go into the diamond drill stage of the exploration survey.



.

SCHEDULE OF CLAIMS

NAME OF	STAKER	DATE	AND TI	ME STA	ED	RECORDING DATE	CLAIM NUMBER
Adrien B	eaudoin	March	14/80	8 : 00	am	April 9/80	P-555176
H	H	11		10:00	am	11	P - 555177
Ħ	n	11		12:00	am	H	P-555178
H		ti		2:00	рm	ti	P - 555 179
н	n	11		4:00	рm	н	P-555180
Ħ		March	15/80	8:00	am	11	P-555181
11	11	11		10:00	am	11	P-555182
11		11		12:20	рm	11	P-555183
11	11	91		2:25	рm	11	P-555184
11	11	11	.e	4:30	рm	11	P-555185
11	11	March	16/80	8:00	am	11	P-555186
H	11	"		10:15	am	11	P-555187
н	11	п		12:30	рM	11	P-555188
11	11	н		2:30	bw	n	P-555189
11	11	n		4:30	рm	11	P-555190
11	11	March	17/80	8:30	am	**	P-553691
† †	**	11		11:00	am	*1	P-553692
11	11	11		2:00	pm	11	P-553684
11	1 1	11		4:30	pm	11	P-553671

All interest in the claims was transferred to Ingamar Explorations Ltd. on June 11, 1980. The claims are being held in trust for (bal Energy Corporation.



Reference #1. Johns G.W. 1982: Geology of the Burntbush-Detour Lakes Area, District of Cochrane, Ontario, Geol. Survey Report 199, p22-48. Accompanied by Map 2453, Scale 1:100,000 (1cm to 1 km).

CERTIFICATE

I, Donald Hillier of Vancouver, British Columbia, hereby certify that:

- 1. I hold a Bachelor of Science Degree in Geology from the Unversity of British Columbia, having graduated in May 1981.
- 2. I have practised my profession in exploration continuously since graduation.
- 3. I have based conclusions and recommendations contained in this report on knowledge of the area, my previous experience, and on results of field work conducted on the property during the months of July and August, 1982, which was carried out under my overall supervision.
- 4. I hold no interest, directly or indirectly in this property other than professional fees, nor do I expect to receive any interest in the property or in Ingamar Explorations Limited, or any of its subsidiary companies.

Donald Hillier, B.Sc. Geologist

Connaught, Ontario, Canada

-14-



32L045W9367 2.5366 WEST OF SUNDAY LAKE

020

GEOPHYSICAL REPORT

on the

FAULT LAKE PROJECT DETOUR LAKE

for

GLOBAL ENERGY CORPORATION

RECEIVED JAN 24 1983

MINING LANDS SECTION

by Rayan Exploration Ltd. North Bay, Ontario September, 1982

į,

R.J. Meikle

Qual 2 3860

TABLE OF CON

any and a subsection of the su



020C

•

;

		Page .
1.	Introduction	• 1
2.	Location and Access	2
3.	Survey Parameters	4
4.	Induced Polarization Survey	5
5.	Personnel	6
6.	Geology	7
7.	Results	8
8.	Induced Polarization Survey	9
9.	Conclusions	10
10.	Recommendations	11
	Certificate	12
	Certificate	13

LIST OF MAPS

Map No.	Content
l	Horizontal Loop 1777 H7
2	Horizontal Loop 444 H7
3	I.P. Chargeability 'a' = 200'
4	Apparent Resistivity 'a' = 200'
5	I.P. Chargeability 'a' = 50'
6	Apparent Resistivity 'a' = 50'
7	Completion Map

I. INTRODUCTION

A Max-Min electromagnetic survey and an Induced Polarization survey were carried out between August 23 to September 14, 1982 by Rayan Exploration Ltd. for Global Energy Corporation, Vancouver, Canada. The work was done on a contract basis.

The purpose of the survey was to compliment a previous VLF, mag survey by MPH Consultants Ltd. (see report by D. Jones, May 1981). It was felt that the I.P. would outline possible disseminated sulphides which could host gold in this area.

This report describes the surveys done and the results, with recommendations and conclusions.

Ĩ

2. LOCATION AND ACCESS

The property is located in the west of Sunday Lake township in the Porcupine Mining Division, District of Cochrane. It consists of 19 contiguous unpatented mining claims numbered 555176 - 555190 inclusive and 552691, 553692, 553684, and 553671.

The property is approximately 140 klm north-east of Cochrane. At the survey time, access was via fixed wing from Cochrane to Fault Lake which is on the property. Winter access from La Sarre P.Q. was possible but an all weather road from Cochrane is expected to be completed by October of 1983.

.5



4. SURVEY PARAMETERS

Horizontal Loop E.M. Survey

A total of 15 line miles were surveyed using an Apex Max-Min II instrument in the Maximum-coupled mode. A coil separation of 500 ft. was used and two frequencies, 1777 Hz & 444 Hz, were read. The survey area was relatively flat, therefore not requiring secant chained lines. Both In-phase and Out-of-phase values are plotted mid-way between the transmitter and receiver coils. The values are plotted on grid plan maps at a horizontal scale of 1 inch = 200 ft. The vertical scale is 1 inch = 20% for both I.P. and O.P. readings. The Max-Min data is presented on two maps, one for each frequency read.

-4

5. INDUCED POLARIZATION SURVEY

A total of 15 line miles of I.P./Resistivity survey were ran with , approximately 0.83 miles repeated in detail.

The method used was Time Domain with a Pole-Dipole electrode array. The transmitter was a Phoenix IPT-I console with a 2.0 KVA motor generator. A Crone (Newmont type) receiver was used. Stainless steel electrodes were used for both current nositions while porous pots with copper sulphide were used for the receiver (Potential) electrodes. Two different infinity electrode locations were used with both being remote from the survey with negligible influence.

The entire grid was surveyed with an 'a' spacing of 200 ft reading N=I & N=2. Some anomalies were detailed with an 'a' spacing of 50 ft reading N=I & N=2. A 2 second pulse time was used for the survey.

5

Both chargeability and Aparrent Resistivity were recorded and are presented on 4 grid maps at a scale of I" = 200 ft. The values are plotted mid-way between the current electrode and the middle of the potential dipole. This could shift slightly under certain geological conditions. However in the author's experience in the area this is probably the best method.

PERSONNEL

 $\left[\right]$

The following personnel were involved with this project:

R.J. Meikle	North Bay, Ontario
B.P. Belanger	North Bay, Ontario
T.G. Howards	North Bay, Ontario
R. Mathieus	North Bay, Ontario
Y. Starsyk	North Bay, Ontario
D. Crowley	Timmins, Ontario

. 6

7. GEOLOGY

The geology of the survey area is beyond the scope of this report and will be dealt with in a separate report upon completion of geological mapping and trenching.

Unfortunately, the survey area is till covered and outcrop is at a minimum. Some trenching is being done on and as close as possible to some of the geophysical anomalies. No assays have been received at the time of this writing.

8. RESULTS

Max-Min Survey

The Max-Min Horizontal Loop survey showed very little relief. . However there are 4 weakly anomalous areas which are discussed below.

<u>HEM # 1</u> - This is a very weak quadrature only anomaly which runs from 28e - 44e. There is no I.P. or Resistivity anomaly as coiated with it. There is no magnetic correlation.

<u>HEM # 2</u> - This is a very weak quadrature anomaly running from 48e to the east boundary. It lies within a unit of higher chargeability with background resistivity values. There is a magnetic low over this anomaly.

<u>HEM # 3</u> - This is the only HEM anomaly with an In-phase response, the best part being on L48w @ 5+50s. The anomaly has a near coincident VLF response. It lies in an area of higher chargeability and a corresponding resistivity high. It correlates with a magnetic low. HEM # 4 - This is a short, weak, quadrature anomaly on the southern flank of a chargeability anomaly. It has a coincident . VLF response. It appears to be the southern contact of a geological unit which is outlined by the chargeability and corresponding change in magnetic suseptability. 9. INDUCED POLARIZATION SURVEY

Anomaly 'A' - This anomaly has a chargeability high and lies on the southern flank of a resistivity high. There is no coincident EM anomaly and the magnetic survey stops short of this anomaly. Anomaly 'B' - This anomaly could be an extension of 'A'. It is a chargeability high and correlates well with a unit of higher magnetic susceptability. There is a VLF anomaly on the southern flank of the anomaly. The setting is indicitive of a mafic tuff (non-magnetic) to the south and a massive basalt unit north of this which is magnetic and more chargeable. The VLF anomaly on the south flank of the chargeable zone could indicate sulphides on the contact between the two above mentioned geological units. Anomaly 'C-D' - This anomaly parallels 'B' and has a very similar signature. Also, there is a weak Max-Min quadrature anomaly coincident with the VLF anomaly on the assumed contact zone. This could indicate a higher sulphide content. While not as pronounced as 'B', there is a magnetic high coincident with the chargeable zone.

9

<u>Anomaly 'E'</u> - This anomaly has a coincident mag, I.P. and E.M. anomaly with a resistivity high. The cause could be disseminated sulphides in a massive basalt unit. The mag response is indicitive of pyrrhotite mineralization.

9 cont.

<u>Anomaly 'F'</u> - This anomaly appears to lie in the same zone of high chargeability and high resistivity. However, there is no VLF or E.M. correlation.

<u>Anomaly 'G'</u> - This anomaly lies to the south of HEM anomaly # 3. It has a higher chargeability and slightly higher resistivity. There is no coincident mag or E.M. response.

<u>Anomaly 'H'</u> - This anomaly has a slightly higher than background chargeability and a higher resistivity. There is a spotty VLF correlation with the southern flank of the zone which again could be a contact with the more massive basalt causing the higher chargeabilities.

10. CONCLUSIONS

The I.P. and Max-Min surveys outlined several zones. For the most part, the I.P. anomalies are characterized by high chargeability and high resistivities with flanking EM anomalies to the south. In some cases the chargeability could be caused by the basalt. However, the VLF-EM response on the assumed southern contacts could be caused by sulphides.

Anomaly 'E' is interesting because of a coincident (pyrrhotite type) magnetic high and coincident VLF and Max-Min response. Both anomaly E & F could be caused by disseminated pyrrhotite in the basalt unit. HEM # 3 anomaly is also a priority target due to the better Max-Min response indicating a possibility of more stringer type sulphide mineralization. The anomalies run parallel to each other and have a similar geophysical signature.

10

11. RECOMMENDATIONS

While there is no "Detour Type" geophysical response on the property surveyed, there are several zones which could be caused by sulphides. These should be explained by drilling and or trenching. Anomalies B, D, E and F would be the priority targets with anomaly 'E' the most interesting. More trenching should be carried out where feasable even if only for geological control.

The geophysics done to date, seems adequate and the targets are defined well enough for drilling purposes.

'n

CERTIFICATE



I, Robert Middleton of Timmins, Ontario hereby certify that:

- I hold a Bachelor of Science (1968) and Master of Science (1969) degree in Applied Geophysics from Michigan Technology University, Houghton, Michigan.
- 2) I am familiar with survey work carried out by Rayan Exploration Ltd. and have reviewed the interpretational report by R.J. Meikle for the Global Energy Corporation and find that the work was carried out correctly in a professional and satisfactory manner. The technical aspects of this survey are correct and meet the specifications required to properly evaluate the property.
- 3) I hold no interest, directly or indirectly in this project or property and have reviewed the work done purely out of professional and acedemic interest.

Robert Middleton P. Eng.

Timmins, Ontario, Canada

CERTIFICATE



I, Raymond Meikle of North Bay, Ontario hereby certify that:

- 1) I hold a 3 yr Technologist Diploma from the Haileybury School of Mines, Haileybury, Ontario.
- 2) I have based conclusions and recommendations contained in this report on knowledge of the area, my previous experience, and on the results of the field work conducted on the property during Aug-Sept, 1982 which was carried out under my overall supervision.
- 3) I hold no interest, directly or indirectly in this property other than professional fees, nor do I expect to receive any interest in the property or in Global Energy Corp. or any of it's subsidiary companies.

North Bay, Ontario, Canada

R.J. Meikle

Ministry of Ben	port of Work -5	5517	16				
Ontario Natural (Geo	ophysical, Geological, chemical and Expendi	#47 tures)	_ #	32L045W9367 2.	5366 WEST OF	SUNDAY LAKE	900
			The Minin	g Act		Do not use shaded are	ays Ur." colume cas below.
Type of Survey(s)	JEM WWN	1000	UNED	Flageril	Township	or Area	Cont & Grand
Claim Holder(s)	Ser Mar or		1	1		Proportions therein	North
JICGHINHIS Addross	7.× pu 1,147	1070-5	<u> </u>	151)		7 836	
CEDITE H Survey Company	12h CONI	intled.		Date of Survey	(from & to)	Total Miles	s of line Cut
RAYAN' KAplin	HTICH KTA.			23 (Day Mo,	Yr. Day	4) 5 X Mo. Yr.	
Name and Address of Author (c K. 1111, 1KAK	of Geo-Technical report) NURTH-BAY		ſ	NON HILL	E B	VAN B.C.	
Credits Requested per Each (Claim in Columns at 🖊	ight	Mining C	laims Traversed (List in nume	rical sequence)	
Special Provisions	Geophysical	Days per Claim	N Prefix	Aining Claim Number	Expend, Days Cr,	Mining Claim Prefix Num	ber Days 1
Enter 40 days, (This	- Electromagnetic	2.0	1.12	555176	V		
includes line cutting)	- Magnetometer			635111	V	DRATCH	INED
For each additional survey:	- Radiometric			388.178	\checkmark		
Enter 20 clays (for each)	- Other			355179	V	NOV 1	6,18,862
	Geological	22		555180	\checkmark		INS SECTION
	Geochemical			333181	\checkmark	MINING CAR	THE STUDY
Man Days	Geophysical	Days per Claim		565 182	\checkmark		
Complete reverse side and enter total(s) here	- Electromagnetic			5551413			
	- Magnetometer			535181	$\overline{}$	2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	- Radiometric			535165	V		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	- Other			55518-6	V		
	Geological			45451611	\checkmark		
	Geochamical			5.15.16343			
Airborne Credits		Days per Claim		555189			·····
Note: Special provisions	Electromagnetic			-5:5190	J		
credits do not apply to Airborne Surveys,	Magnetometer			r. 3191	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$		
	Radiometric			553192		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	······································
Expenditures (excludes pow	er stripping)			3.3.48.4		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	···· ··· · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Type of Work Performed	NULL PLA			KK3671			X
Performed on Claim(s)						cle d	N
	119		to to	COBDI	TD	0,00	e⁄i i
1:1:	·			14 CO. IX. 14. 1 !		Neto	
Calculation of Expenditure Day	Dav	Total s Credits		NOV 1 2 198	2	0	
S has a set of the	÷ 15] =	1	Naca	ot Mai			alou f
				[]] INO,	*****	claims covered by the report of work.	is 19
Total Days Credits may be a choice. Enter number of day	pportioned at the claim h s cradits par claim select	older's ed		For Office Use C	 Dnly∫		
in columns at right,]	Recorder	S Cr. Date Recorded	1/82	Mining Dissorder	Jord
Date Re	corded Holder or Agent (Signature)	120	Date Approved	s Recorded	Branch Dimetor	
Certification Verifying Repo	<u>mark Cost</u> ort of Work	<u></u>				Regional Minir	ng.Recorder
I hereby certify that I have a	a personal and intimate k	nowledge of	the facts set	forth in the Report	of Work anne	xed hereto, having peri	formed the work
Or witnessed same during am Name and Postal Address of Per	a/or after its completion	and the anno	exed report i	5 1100.			
feldur is is it	HIPASHISD		••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	·····			

2.5366

1984 06 13

Your File: 421 Our File: 2.5366

Mr. Bruce Hanley Mining Recorder Ministry of Natural Resources 60 Wilson Avenue Timmins, Ontario P4N 287

Dear Sir:

RE: Notice of Intent dated May 10, 1984. Geological Survey on Mining Claims P 555176 et al in the Area of West of Sunday Lake.

The assessment work credits as listed with the above mentioned Notice of Intent, have been approved as of the above date.

Please inform the recorded holder of these mining claims and so indicate on your records.

Yours sincerely,

S.E. Yundt Director Land Management Branch

Whitney Block, Room 6643 Queen's Park Toronto, Ontario M7A 1W3 Phone: (416) 965-6918

D. Kinvig:sc

- cc: Ingamar Explorations Ltd Cedar Hill Connaught, Ontario PON 1A0
- cc: Mr. G.H. Ferguson Mining & Lands Commissioner Toronto, Ontario
- cc: Resident Geologist Timmins, Ontario

1984 05 28

Your File: 421 Our File: 2.5366

Mr. Bruce Hanley Mining Recorder Ministry of Natural Resources 60 Wilson Avenue Timmins, Ontario P4N 287

Dear Sir:

RE: Notice of Intent dated May 10, 1984. Geophysical (Electromagnetic) Survey on Mining Claims P 555176 et al in the Area of West of Sunday Lake.

The assessment work credits as listed with the above mentioned Notice of Intent, have been approved as of the above date.

Please inform the recorded holder of these mining claims and so indicate on your records.

Yours sincerely,

S.E. Yundt Director Land Management Branch

Whitney Block, Room 6643 Queen's Park Toronto, Ontario M7A 1W3 Phone: (416) 965-69

D. Pichette:sc

cc: Ingamar Explorations Ltd Cedar Hill Connaught, Ontario PON 1A0

- cc: Mr. G.H. Ferguson Mining & Lands Commissioner Toronto, Ontario
- cc: Resident Geologist Timmins, Ontario

 \checkmark



Ministry of

Resources

Natural

Work Credits

Date 1984 05 10 File 2.5366

Mining Recorder's Report of Work No. 42

Recorded Holder	INGAMAR EXPLORATIONS LTD	
Township or Area		

WEST OF SUNDAY LAKE AREA

Type of survey and number of Assessment days credit per claim	Mining Claims Assessed
Geophysical	
Electromagnetic days	P 555176 to 92 inclusive 553684
Magnetometer days	553671
Radiometric days	
Induced polarization days	
Other days	
Section 77 (19) See "Mining Claims Assessed" column	
Geological days	
Geochemical days	
Man days 🗌 🛛 Airborne 🗖	
Special provision 🖾 Ground 🗖	
Credits have been reduced because of partial coverage of claims.	
Credits have been reduced because of corrections to work dates and figures of applicant.	
Special credits under section 77 (16) for the following n	nining claims
No credits have been allowed for the following mining c	laims
not sufficiently covered by the survey	Insufficient technical data filed
FOR ELECTROMAGNETIC ON	LY
P 555176 to 92 inclusi 553684 553671	ve
The Mining Recorder may reduce the above credits if peop	ssary in order that the total number of approved assessment days recorded on

each claim does not exceed the maximum allowed as follows: Geophysical - 80; Geological - 40; Geochemical - 40; Section 77 (19) - 60:

828 (83/6)



may 25/84

Your file: 421

Our file:

2.5366

Mr. Bruce W. Hanley Mining Recorder Ministry of Natural Resources 60 Wilson Avenue Timmins, Ontario P4N 2S7

Dear Sir:

1984 05 10

Enclosed are two copies of a Notice of Intent with statements listing a reduced rate of assessment work credits to be allowed for a technical survey. Please forward one copy to the recorded holder of the claims and retain the other. In approximately fifteen days from the above date, a final letter of approval of these credits will be sent to you. On receipt of the approval letter, you may then change the work entries on the claim record sheets.

For further information, if required, please contact Mr. F.W. Matthews at 416/965-6918.

Yours very truly,

S.E. Yundt

Director Land Management Branch

Whitney Block, Room 6643 Queen's Park Toronto, Ontario M7A 1W3 Phone: 416/965-1316

D. Pichette:mc Encls.

cc: Ingamar Explorations Limited Cedar Hill Connaught, Ontario PON 1A0

cc: Mr. G.H. Ferguson Mining & Lands Commissioner Toronto, Ontario

845



Ministry of Natural Resources Notice of Intent for Technical Reports 1984 05 10 2.5366/421

An examination of your survey report indicates that the requirements of The Ontario Mining Act have not been fully met to warrant maximum assessment work credits. This notice is merely a warning that you will not be allowed the number of assessment work days credits that you expected and also that in approximately 15 days from the above date, the mining recorder will be authorized to change the entries on his record sheets to agree with the enclosed statement. Please note that until such time as the recorder actually changes the entry on the record sheet, the status of the claim remains unchanged.

If you are of the opinion that these changes by the mining recorder will jeopardize your claims, you may during the next fifteen days apply to the Mining and Lands Commissioner for an extension of time. Abstracts should be sent with your application.

If the reduced rate of credits does not jeopardize the status of the claims then you need not seek relief from the Mining and Lands Commissioner and this Notice of Intent may be disregarded.

If your survey was submitted and assessed under the "Special Provision-Performance and Coverage" method and you are of the opinion that a re-appraisal under the "Man-days" method would result in the approval of a greater number of days credit per claim, you may, within the said fifteen day period, submit assessment work breakdowns listing the employees names, addresses and the dates and hours they worked. The new work breakdowns should be submitted direct to the Lands Management Branch, Toronto. The report will be re-assessed and a new statement of credits based on actual days worked will be issued.

REGISTERED

January 30, 1984

ः सन्दर्भः स्वर्थः स्वर्थः

Our File: 2.5366

Ingamar Explorations Limited Cedar Hill Connaught, Ontario PON 1A0

Dear Sirs:

RE: Geophysical (Electromagnetic) Survey submitted on Mining Claims P 555176 et al in the Area of West of Sunday Lake

Enclosed is a copy of our letter dated August 4, 1983 and a copy of your letter dated October 6, 1983, requesting additional information for the above-mentioned survey.

Unless you can provide the required data by February 10, 1984, the mining recorder will be directed to cancel the Electromagnetic work credits recorded on November 12, 1982.

For further information, please contact Mr. F.W. Matthews at (416)965-1380.

Yours very truly,

J.R. Morton Acting Airector Land Management Branch

Whitney Block, Room 6643 Queen's Park Toronto, Ontario M7A 1W3 Phone: (416)965-1380

R. Pichette:mc

cc: Mining Recorder Timmins, Ontario

Encl.

August 4, 1983

2.5366

Ingamar Explorations Limited Cedar Hill Connaught, Ontario PON 1A0

Dear Sirs:

17 W.L.

Ì.

RE: Geophysical (Electromagnetic] and Geological Survey on Mining Claims P 555176 et al in the area west of Sunday Lake.

Returned herein are the eight plans (in duplicate) for the above mentioned survey. Please sign all copies of the maps. Also, the geological map must be coloured, and the EM maps must have the raw readings plotted.

When returning this material, please quote file 2.5366.

For further information please contact Mr. F.W. Matthews at 416/965-1380.

Yours very truly,

E.F. Anderson Director Land Management Branch

Whitney Block, Room 6450 Queen's Park Toronto, Ontario M7A 1W3 Phone: 416/965-1380

S. Hurst:sc

Encls:

cc: Mining Recorder Timmins, Ontario



INGAMAR EXPLORATIONS LIMITED



CEDAR HILL CONNAUGHT, ONTARIO PON 140 TEL. (705) 433-3551 or (705) 264-3100 TELEX 067-81502

Octobers: 16, , 1983

E.F. Anderson, Director Land Management Branch Whitney Block, Room 6450 Queen's Park Toronto, Ontario M7A 1W3

SUBJECT: Geophysical (Electromagnetic) and Geological Survey on Mining Claims P555176 et al in area west of Sunday Lake. Your File 2.5366

Dear Sir:

As requested in your letter of August 4, 1983 we are returning the geological maps which have been coloured and signed. We have forwarded the EM maps to Rayan Exploration, North Bay, Ontario to have them plot the raw readings. Rayan will be forwarding them directly to you.

Thank you for your cooperation.

Sincerely, INGAMAR EXPLORATIONS LIMITED

a fittore

Irma Hibbard, Vice-President Enc. IH/ab

. . 8 **C**861 (c) to the

*Global

Ministry of Geotechnical 3.5366 Natural Report Resources Approval Intario 1.1.4183 **Mining Lands Comments** teneral and a constance Barbon Fo: Geophysics Comments - Em map ment house readings plitte Signature May 11/83 Approved Wish to see again with corrections To: Geology - Expenditures Comments Map should be colored . & herunse or March 2 2/83 Chutse Approved Wish to see again with corrections To: Geochemistry Comments Date Signature Approved Wish to see again with corrections To: Mining Lands Section, Room 6462, Whitney Block. (Tel: 5-1380)

1983 01 31

2.5366

Mining Recorder Ministry of Natural Resources 60 Wilson Avenue Timmins, Ontario P4N 2S7

Dear Sir:

We have received reports and maps for a Geophysical (Electromagnetic) and a Geological Survey submitted under Special Provisions (credit for Performance and Coverage) on Mining Claims P 555176 et al in the Area West of Sunday Lake.

This material will be examined and assessed and a statement of assessment work credits will be issued.

Yours very truly,

E.F. Anderson Director Land Manag**eme**nt Branch

Whitney Block, Room 6450 Queen's Park Toronto, Ontario M7A 1W3 Phone: 416/965-1380

DW:sc

cc: Ingamar Explorations Limited Connaught, Ontario Attn: M. Hibbard. 421



200

HOPPER LAKE G-1636

.			· · · ·																					
				0																				
				•	P 63354 62835 62835	P 13 63354 P 30 62835	P 2 62835 P 4 62835 P	P 5 62836 P 6 62835	50 6283	61 62 450 P 62 62450 P	P 524471 P 1524451	P 524476 P 524457	524475 P 524438	P 524474 P 524459 P	P 52447 524460 P	524472 524461 524461	5244 P 52446	71 52447 2 52446	P 524469 524464	P 524468 P 524465 P 524465	P 524467 P 524466	P 524591	524593	P 52459 P 52458
ĺ	P 633701	P 633545	P 633546 — — — P	628349 P 628348 P 633544	628 351 628352 628352 6663049	62835	3 62835 P 9 6630 P 8 663047	7 62835 40 66304 P 66304	B 62 83	663 62450 P 42 66304 P 45 66304	0 524455 P 3 52443 P 4 524433	5 524454 P 7 524438 P 7 524438	4 524453 P 5 524436 P 5 524436 P 2 524431	524452 P 524437 P 524437	524451 P 524438 P 524429	524450 P 524439 P 524428	524449 P 524440 P 524440	9 524448 P 524441 524441 P 524441 P	524447 P 524442 P 524425	524446 P 524443 P 524424	524448 P 524444 524423	P 524568 P 524567	P 524569 P 524566	P 52457 P 524569
P 3695	P 633696	P 633697	P 633698	P 663050	663051)	2 66305	P 66305	P 66305	P 663056	P .	P 524413	P 524414	524415	P 5/24416	P 524417	P 524418	P 524419	P 524420	P 524421	P 524422	524542 	524543 P	524544 P
P 3693	P 633692	P 633691 ^C 	663064	P 663063	663062	66306	663 Q	663059	e6305	8 663057	5244II	P 524410	P 524409	P 524408	52 4407	P 524406	P 524 40	P 5 524404	P 524403	P 524383	P 524382	524541 P 524516	524540 P 524517	524539 P 524518
P 3688 P	P 633689 P	P 633690 P	P 663065 P	P 663066 P	56(1835 	561834 P	561833 	561832	561831	561830 5	P	663169 P	663168 P	663167 P	539575	P 524606	524607	P 524378 P	P 524379 	P 524380	524381 360	P 524515	P 524514	P 524513
8970 	628971 	628972 	561880 	561836 P	56 18 (37	561838 	561839 ——— P	561840 P	561841 P	561842 P	508555 P	508554	508553	508552	539574 P	524605	524604	524377	524376	924375 P	524374 	P 524494	P 524495	P 524496
28967 P	628966 	561882 P	P	561848	561 8 47	P	P 561852	P 561853	P 508559		P 1	P 5395/68	P 539567	P 539566		539569 P	555100	555179 P	55 5 78	5 56/ 77	555176	P 524493	P 524492	P 524491
8964 P	628965	P	P	p	p	P	P	P 576951	P 584111	P 584100	P	5395 63	P 53956/2	P 539561	P 539560	539564 P 539559		55 1 /82	95183	P	555185	P 421837	P 421830	 P 42103
P	561887	P	P	p	P	P	P	P	P 584110	P 584101	584098 P 584099		P 150,8550	P 508549	P 539558	P	5551 90 P 508547	5551 9 9	553 1 88	553684	555186	P 421838	P 421310	P 42131
61893	561894 	561895	561896)	561858	P	P	P	P 576953	P 584109	P 584102	P 741505	P 652596	P 508542	P 50854 3	P 508544	539557 P 508545	P 50854	¢6 201	P 429746	P 429747	553671		P 421320	CLM
61)900 	561899 	P	561897	D61865	P	P 561868	P 561869	P 576954	584108	p. 584103	P 652594	P 652595	P 508584	2508585	P 508586	P 508587	508588	4297 90	P 42975) C L M	P 429752 228	F 429749	P 421841 P	421326	421326
P	1 561908	P 561909 561912		P 561873	P 561872	P 561871	P 561870	P 576955	P 584107	P 584104	P 652591	P 652592	P 652593	508 592	P 508591	P 508590	608589	429739	P 429757	P 429755	P 429753	P 421842 4	P 21330	P 421331
P 61921	P 56192\2	P 561923	P 561924	P 561874	P 561875	P 561876	P 561877	P 576956	P 584106	584105	65259 7	P 652588	P 652589	P 5085/99	P 508600	P 508601	508602	P429760	P 429758	P 429756	U P 429754	P 421643 42	P P	213 36
P 61932	P 561931	5 61930		·		⊥ -	لہ		52	52915)5	530308	P 30307	P 652590	5026\06	P 508605	P 508604	P 508603 	Р 577565	P 577566	P 577 567	586856	P 586743	P	P 58684
<u> </u>	54'			53'			52'			529156 51'	530304	530305	530306 50 ⁴	595002	508609	49'508610	508611	577570	48'577569	577568	586855 47	586744		

×

KATTAWAGAMI RIVER G-1639



	the second	ND INTERMEDIATE METANOLCANICS Iou ofing 3,5366	(feldspar phenocrysts) TAVOLCANICS (vertical, inclined, dip unknown) in plunge in sheared zone op (arrow) from pillows ock outcrop ck outcrop	keg direction of flow soundary tion (pyrite, pyrrhotite) dical boundary (observed, assumed)	post witness post	No. 19 17 17
A CERT	Rohal	T C F C F N D F IC TO I D TUT: I C F OW LO I D TUT: I C F OW LO C F OW C C F OW LO C F	E FELSIC ME C Foliation E FELSIC ME E Foliation C Fol	Arec of mus Arec of mus Hill Hill Froperty Froperty I Creek with Froperty I Creek with Froperty I Creek with Froperty I Creek with	22-005 24-005 32-755 32-755	Scotte
30 + 00 4 26 + 00 4 26 + 00 4 27 + 50 4 28 + 00 4						

.

.





-1 .* +1 0 7 0 -2 - 0 -2 4 -2 20 +/ ¥ 0 Fritten and 0 7 0 -2 ~ (

+2 * 0 - L 0 🐈 - 1 +2 x1 0 +1 2 0 0 + -1 +2 2 0 +2 1 0 0 🛃 +1 \$ 0 + * OKO +) 🖈 0 0 100 -2 m 0 0 5 0 +) 🕺 o -2 10 -1 0 D X D +1 1 +1 7 0 -2 4-1 0 4 0 -1 -0 1 +2 -2 -1

55578

网络小小牛小 机试试用 医颈脑室 化二甲基乙腈 化丁烯二乙二乙酮 化试试剂

+1 +1 1 0 +1 +2 D +] +1 😃 0 +/ > 0 +1 * +1 \$ 0 0 0 12 +1 -1 0 7 0 0 ٥ 0 7

+) · +1 +1 + 0 D - + | 0 14 +2 0 1/2 +/



STRUCTURE S

0:0:0

32L04SW9367 2.5366 WEST OF SUNDAY LAKE 240

•

· · ·

.

726

718 718

.

H, CH, G

4 18 F

555.77

555185

.

555178

LINE STORAGE RESERVED AND A REPORT OF THE REPORT OF THE

A CALLER AND A COMPANY AND A CALLER AND A CALL

14 00 n

.

٠

a para tan ANOMALY

555185 ANOMALY B ANOMALY C

0 1 0 -2 NO -2. -2 - 2

-1 + 0 -1 0 0 4 0 0 4 0 0 1 0 0 % 0 -1 * -1 0 1 0 0 20 o 🛱 D o ko 0 0 +1 +1

+1 ×

0 🛊

+

+ +

0 🕴

0 1

· 0 4

+1 -1 -1 - { 0 0 σ +1 1 0 +1 -0 + + + 0 o le o 0 0 4 +1 0 1 0 0 12 +2 +/ 0 0 0

~1 - (0 0 🕺 +1 3 0 그는 소리 0 ·* +/ -2 0 -1 --2

VERTICAL SCALE : 1"=20% 1 0

GLOBAL ENERGY CORPORATION 2.5366

1

えんけら

4 GOS 6 C L 9 00 s

99.00 s

Net Net Se

i.

4**9** - 9 (* 5

16 OC 4

0**8**.008

30 00 s

32 005

34 00 s

I.P. CHARGEABILITY

a 🔤 🕈 72Z

٥a

I

2.5366

14 00 n

553692

•

.

1

I AKP

•

•

.

٠

•

2 00 5

- 4**4** 1 1 1 1

on NO s

...

A 4- 1.

. . . .

`

GLOBAL ENERGY CORPORATION 2.5366

.

Ø

I.P. ANOMALY

MAX MIN ANOMALY AXIS

VLF EM ANOMALY AXIS (see MP4 report - D.Jones)

