

32L04SW9368 2.3251 WEST OF SUNDAY LAKE

010

ELECTROMAGNETIC SURVEY

on the

GOLD SHIELD SYNDICATE PROPERTY

Aree west of Sunday Leke, Onterio

RECEIVED

MAR 1 1 1980 MINING LANDS SECTION

Timmins, Dotario, March 1, 1980. R. J. Bradshaw P. Eng., Geologist.

INTRODUCTION

An electromagnetic survey has been cerried out on a group of 26 claims part of the Gold Shield Syndicate holdings (R. J. Bradshaw) in the Area west of Sunday Lake, Onterio. During the period February 2 to 10, 1980, a grid was established and the survey work was completed.

Four miles to the east, Dome and Campbell Red Lake are currently undertaking underground development work on the large Amoco gold deposit.

PROPERTY, LOCATION AND ACCESS

Seven contiguous lake claims P508544 to 508547 inclusive, and P508586 to 508588 inclusive were recorded February 1, 1978. Adjoining claims P539537 to 539575 inclusive, a total of 19, were recorded July 6, 1979. All of the claims are recorded in the name of R. J. Bradshaw.

Situated north of Hopper Lake, the property is 122 miles northeast of Timmins, Onterio.

The claim group is presently accessible by float or skiequipped sircraft.

PREVIDUS WORK

The seven lake claims were covered by a magnetic survey by the Gold Shield Syndicate in March, 1978.

Noranda completed magnetic and vartical loop electromagnetic surveys on the land claims on 1975.

Four miles to the east Amoco has outlined a gold deposit of 10 million tons averaging 0.20 oz. per ton.

GEDLOGY

The regional geology of the area is based on published government seromagnetic maps for the area (maps 2369G and 2370G) and recently published preliminary geological map P2242 by the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources.

For the most part the area surveyed is underlain by schistose, amphibolite, mafic metavolcanic rocks which form the faulted nose of a major regional anticlinal structure tranding seat.

Lean iron formation, hosting the Amoco gold deposite, is magnetic and conductive, and forms a marker horizon for the major enticlinal structure.

The most prominent faults trend north-northeast.

ELECTROMAGNETIC SURVEY RESULTS AND INTERPRETATION

The Ronka EM 16 electromagnetic survey data is plotted and profiled on the accompanying plan at a scale of one inch to four hundred feet. The instrument and survey method are described in the Appendix to this report.

A number of fairly well defined conductive zones occur on the lend portion of the claims; on the lake, however, indications of conductivity are weak and poorly defined probably because of the presence of lake bottom clays. The location of conductive zones coupled with the Noranda magnetic survey indicate the presence of two northerly tranding faults as shown on the accompanying plan.

Conductor A in the north of the erea surveyed is well defined and in part coincides with a magnetic high, suggesting the presence of magnetic sulphides.

Conductor 8, although well defined, lacks good correlation with a magnetic anomaly.

In the north half of the property, conductor C, trending east-northeast, varies in definition and strength. Between Lines 24 and 32 West, the well defined conductor coincides with a lenticular magnetic high suggesting the presence of a magnetic sulphide-pyrrhotite and perhaps pyrits.

Along Line 24 South, conductor D exhibits generally weak discontinuous conductivity without significant magnetic correlation.

Conductor E is generally weak and discontinuous. At two locations, however, crossing Lines 16 West and 28 West the conductor coincides with isolated magnetic highs, suggesting the presence of pyrrhotite.

Other indications of conductivity on the property are weak, discontinuous and poorly defined, without magnetic correlation. For the most part they are interpreted to represent features at the overburden sub-bedrock interface.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

A number of conductors interpreted to represent sulphide

- 3 -

mineralization have been detected on the property. These include A and portions of C and E. To determine whether or not gold is associated with this mineralization trenching and diamond drilling will be required.

Prior to implementing such a programme, however, it is adviseable to compile all of the magnetic and electromagnetic data on the 72 claims, at a scale of one inch to four hundred feet, to assist a reinterpretation of the geological atructure and establish priorities for drilling and trenching.

		_	Respectfully	submitted,
		40 PROFESSION AL	SHIELD GEOPHY	SICS LIMITED,
			2-20	
Timmins,	Ontario,	R.J. BRADSHAW	A. J. Bradsha	w.c. W, P. Eng.,
March 1,	1980.	TROLINCE OF ONTANIO	Geologist.	



OFFICE USE ONLY

]



GEOPHYSICAL – GEOLC TECHNICAL DA



32104589368 2.3251 WEST OF SUNDAY LAKE

900

TO BE ATTACHED AS AN APPENDIX TO TECHNICAL REPORT FACTS SHOWN HERE NEED NOT BE REPEATED IN REPORT TECHNICAL REPORT MUST CONTAIN INTERPRETATION, CONCLUSIONS ETC.

Type of Survey(s)	Electroma	agnetic		
Township or Area	Area west	t of Sunday Lake		MINING CLAIMS TRAVERSED
Claim Holder(s)	R. J. Bre	adshaw		List numerically
		· 		
Survey Company	Shield Ge	sophysics Limited		P508544
Author of Report	R. J. Bra	adshaw		508545
Address of Author	P. D. Box	<u>(630, Timmins, On</u>	tario_	EDGE/C
Covering Dates of Surve	ey Fabruary	<u>2-10, 1980</u>		
Total Miles of Line Cut	6.6			508547
Did Sir	st survey	1 - magnetic -	in 1978	508586 ^{1/} 2
SPECIAL PROVISIO	NS ,	, DA	YS	->>) 508587 1/2
CREDITS REQUEST	TED	Geophysical per c	laim	
ENTER 40 days (in a	lud oc	Electromagnetic(2		ep1
line cutting) for first	luucs	-Magnetometer		
survey.		-Radiometric		
ENTER 20 days for e	each	-Other		
additional survey usir	ng	Geological		
same grid.		Geochemical		
AIRBORNE CREDITS	(Special provision	credits do not apply to airborne	surveys)	
Magnetometer]	Electromagneti	c Radiometric .		
	(cinci uays		ل ل	
DATE: March 1, 19	80_SIGNATU	IRE: Author of Report or	Agent	
	4			
L	· <u>D</u> ·	10 1700	1	
Res. Geol.	Qualificat	tions $\frac{(1)}{12}$	1 m	
Previous Surveys		the file		
File No. Type	Date	Claim Holder	······	
	••••••			
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	
	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			
	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••	
			••••••	
				TOTAL CLAIMS 6

GEOPHYSICAL TECHNICAL DATA

GR	OUND SURVEYS - If	more than one survey, sp	ecify data	for each type	e of survey	
NI	mher of Stations	350		Number of	Desdinge	350
Nui Stoi	tion interval	100 •		_Number of	Readings	400°
Dro	file coole	1" = 40%	EM	_Line spacin	Ig	100
	atour interval		<u></u>			
001			<u></u>			·····
, I	nstrument					110
Ă A	Accuracy – Scale const	ant			· ·	
N I	Diurnal correction meth	nod				
W E	Base Station check-in ir	nterval (hours)	. <u></u>			
E	Base Station location ar	nd value			• · •	
-				r	× •	
				*		
<u>o</u> l I	nstrument	Ronka EM 16				
(ET	Coil configuration	Vertical				
AGN (Coil separation	infinite				
WO	Accuracy	+ or - 1%				<u></u>
N IR	Method:	X Fixed transmitter	🗆 Sh	oot back	🗆 In line	🗖 Parallel line
Dari I	Frequency	17.8 Khz Gut	ler, Ma	Lns		
교	Parameters measured	vertical field &				
-						
I	nstrument					
. 5	Scale constant					
VII (Corrections made					
AV -					<u></u>	
I GR	Base station value and l	ocation				
_						:
I	Elevation accuracy		······································			
I	Instrument					·
<u> </u>	Method 🗖 Time Dor	nain		🗀 Fre	equency Domain	
I	Parameters – On time .			Fre	equency	
X	– Off time			Ra	nge	
IIV	– Delay tim	1C	······			
ISTI	– Integratic	on time				
LESI	Power			_		
	Electrode array					
1	Electrode spacing			·····	•	
]	Type of electrode					

INDUCED POLARIZATION



OFFICE USE ONLY

Ministry of Natural Resources

Filc_

.

GEOPHYSICAL – GEOLOGICAL – GEOCHEMICAL TECHNICAL DATA STATEMENT

TO BE ATTACHED AS AN APPENDIX TO TECHNICAL REPORT FACTS SHOWN HERE NEED NOT BE REPEATED IN REPORT TECHNICAL REPORT MUST CONTAIN INTERPRETATION, CONCLUSIONS ETC.

Type of Survey(s)	Electromagnetic	
Township or Area	Area west of Sunday	Leke MINING CLAIMS TRAVERSED
Claim Holder(s)	R. J. Bradshaw	List numerically
Survey Company	Shield Geophysics L	imited P539557
Author of Report	R. J. Bradshaw	539558
Address of Author	P. D. Box 630, Timm	ing, Ontario
Covering Dates of Surv	ey February 2-10, 19	<u>30 </u>
Total Miles of Line Cu	19,5	539560
		539561
SPECIAL PROVISIO	ONS	DAYS 539562
CREDITS REQUES	TED Geophysical	per claim
ENTER 40 days (inc	Electroma	$\operatorname{netic}_{\operatorname{40}} \operatorname{/40}_{\operatorname{1}} \operatorname{1}_{\operatorname{2}}$
line cutting) for first	Magnetom	eter539564
survey.	-Radiometr	c539565
ENTER 20 days for	each –Other	
additional survey usi	ng Geological_	539566
same grid.	Geochemica	539567
AIRBORNE CREDITS	(Special provision credits do not ap	ply to airborne surveys) 539568
Magnetometer	Electromagnetic R (enter days per claim)	adiometric 539569
DATE: March 1, 1	980 SIGNATURE:	-odkar 539570
	Auìh	f of Report or Agent 539571
Res Geol	Qualifications	3,13234
Previous Surveys	Quanteriors	this file 539573
File No. Type	Date Clair	Holder 539574
		ESOFOF 4 AO
		539575
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	······	19
	•••••••••••••••••	TOTAL CLAIMS

GEOPHYSICAL TECHNICAL DATA

GROUND SURVE	<u>CYS</u> – If more than one survey, sp	pecify data for each type of survey	
Number of Station	1030	Number of Predings	1050
Station interval	1001	Line spacing	4001
Profile scale	1" = 40% EM	Line spacing	
Contour interval	N.A.		
		······	
Instrument			
Accuracy – Sca	le constant	• •	
Diurnal correct	ion method		
Base Station ch	eck-in interval (hours)		
Base Station loc	cation and value	• •	
		, · ·	
		· -	
Instrument	Ronka EM 16		
Coil configurati	on Vertical		
Coil separation	infinite		
Accuracy	+ or - 1%		
Method:	X Fixed transmitter	□ Shoot back □ In line	Parallel line
ر Frequency	17.5 Khz Cutler.	Maine	
되 Parameters mea	sured vertical field and	quadrature	
Instrument			
Scale constant .			
Corrections ma	de		
	11		
Base station val			
Elevation accur	acy		
Instrument			
Method 🔲 T	ime Domain	🗔 Frequency Domain	
Parameters – O	n time	Frequency	
× −0	off time	Range	
— D	elay time		
IIS – Ir	ntegration time	······	
Power	J		
Electrode array			
Electrode spaci	ng		
Type of electro	de		
*7Pc or ciccito	WY		······································

INDUCED POLARIZATION i f





ea ዾ



LEGEND

Measurement station along picket line *3/ In-phase reading (%) plotted to left /**
Quadrature reading (%) plotted to right Profile scale: 1" = 40% in-phase profile Conductor - well defined, weaker INSTRUMENT: Bonka EM 16; No. 36

ELECTROMAGNETIC SURVEY GOLD SHIELD SYNDICATE AREA WEST OF SUNDAY LAKE, ONTARIO by SHIELD GEOPHYSICS LIMITED SCALE

lan 6,80

FEBRUARY

FEET

1980

