

.

010

T-5019

Suite 905, 121 Richmond Meret West, Toronto, Canada, MSH 2K1, Tekphone (+16) 869-0010

REPORT ON AN

AIRBORNE MAGNETIC AND VLF-EM SURVEY RATHBUN, MACKELCAN & SCADDING TOWNSHIPS SUDBURY MINING DIVISION, ONTARIO

for

FLAG RESOURCES LTD.

RECEIVED

COT > 0 1985

by

MINING LANDS SECTION

TERRAQUEST LTD. Junt. 2.8305 Toronto, Canada

October 29, 1985

TERRAOUEST LTD





•

Ø10C

۰.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page					
1.	INTRODUCTION	1					
2.	THE PROPERTY	1					
з.	GEOLOGY	2					
4.	SURVEY SPECIFICATIONS	2					
	 4.1 Instruments 4.2 Lines and Data 4.3 Tolerances 4.4 Photomosaics 	2 3 4 4					
5.	DATA PROCESSING	4					
6.	INTERPRETATION	5					
	6.1 General Approach 6.2 Interpretation						
7.	7. SUMMARY 7						
	LIST OF FIGURES						
Fig Fig Fig	g. 1 - General Location Map g. 2 - Survey Area Map g. 3 - Sample Analogue Data						
LIST JF MAPS IN JACKET							
No. No. No. No.	T-5019-1, Total Magnetic Field T-5019-2, Vertical Magnetic Gradient T-5019-3, VLF-EM Survey T-5019-4, Interpretation						

TERRAQUEST LTD.

Sume 905, 121 Richmond Secret West, Toronto, Canada, MSH 2K1, Telephone (+16) 869 (0010

1. INTRODUCTION

This report describes the specifications and results of a geophysical survey carried out for Flag Resources Ltd. of 1250-550 6th Ave., Calgary, Alta., T2P OS2 by Terraquest Ltd., 905 - 121 Richmond St. W., Toronto, Canada. The field work was performed on June 3, 1985 and the data processing, interpretation and reporting from June 4 to October 29, 1985.

The purpose of a survey of this type is two-fold. One is to prospect directly for anomalously conductive and magnetic areas in the earth's crust which may be caused by, or at least related to, mineral deposits. A second is to use the magnetic and conductivity patterns derived from the survey results to assist in mapping geology, and to indicate the presence of faults, shear zones, folding, alteration zones and other structures potentially favourable to the presence of gold and base-weight concentration. To achieve this purpose the survey area was systemet cally traversed by an aircraft carrying geophysical instruments along parallel flight lines spaced at even intervals, 100 meters above the terrain surface, and aligned so as to intersect the regional geology in a way to provide the optimum contour patterns of geophysical data.

2. THE PROPERTY

The property is located in Rathbun, Mackelcan and Scadding townships, in the Sudbury Mining Division of Ontario about 40 air-kilometres northeast of Sudbury, on the east side of Lake Wanapitei. The area is readily accessible by logging roads from the south.

The latitude and longitude are 46 degrees 47 min., and 80 degrees 37 min. respectively, and the N.T.S. reference is 411/10 and 15.

The claim numbers are as follows:

ERRAOUEST LTD.

s.	577356-577376	(21)
s.	585332-535348	(17)
ς.	585583-585589	(7)
s.	595875-595888 🖌	(14)
s.	808905-808914	(10)
s.	808922-808926	(5)
s.	808928-808941 /	(14)
s.	808989-809002 /	(14)
s.	809096-809156	(61)
s.	826221-826270	(50)
s.	830611-830613 🗸	(3)

total claims 216

١





3. GEOLOGY

Map References

1. Map 2009: Maclennan and Scadding Townships. scale 1:31,680, O.D.M.,1961

-2- .

2. Map 2361: Sudbury-Cobalt, Geological Compilation. scale 1:253,440, O.G.S. 1977

Only the Scadding Township area has been mapped in detail by the provincial government; for the remaining area reference is made to the large scale compilation map.

Three stratigraphic units underlie the area. From south to north, up stratigraphic succession, these units are the Mississagi, Gowganda and Lorrain Formations, all composed primarily of sandstones, siltstones, conglomerates and argillites.

All sedimentary units are intruded by the generally gabbroic Nipissing Diabase unit. Exposures in central and eastern Ratbun Township have been mapped as hornblende gabbro, metagabbro and amphibolite. Regionally beyond the survey area this unit also incorporates pyroxene gabbro, pyroxenite and granophyre.

An open synclinal axis and numerous late stage regional faults trend to the northwest; minor earlier faults trend to the northeast.

Nine zones of mineralization occur within the map area and include platinum-palladium sulphides, copper, nickel, gold and silver. These zones have been identified on the interpretation map:

- (1) Rathbun Lake Deposit
- (2) Crystal Gold Mine
- (3) Mondoux Mine
- (4) Last Chance Mine
- (5) Boot Lake Showing
- (6) St. Thomas Showing
- (7) Jess Lake Gold Zone
- (8) No. 1,2,3 and Campsite Gold Zones
- (9) Lake Structure Discovery Hole (1984)

4. SURVEY SPECIFICATIONS

4.1 Instruments

The survey was carried out using a Cessna 182 aircraft, registration C-FAKK, which carries a magnetometer and a VLF electromagnetic detector.

TERRAQUEST LTD.



Suite 905, 121 Richmond Street West, Toronto, Canada, MSH 2K1, Telephone (+16) 869-4010

-3-The magnetometer is a proton precession type with the sensor element mounted in an extension of the right wing tip. It's specifications are as follows: Resolution: 0.5 gamma Accuracy: One gamma Cycle time: One second 20000 - 100000 gammas in 23 overlapping Range: steps Gradient tolerance: Up to 5000 gammas per meter Model: GSM-8BA Manufacturer: GEM Systems Inc., 105 Scarsdale Rd., Don Mills, Ontario, M3B 2R5 The VLF-EM unit uses three orthoganol detector coils to measure (a) the total field strength of the time-varying EM field and (b) the phase relationship between the vertical coil and both the "along line" coil (LINE) and the "cross-line" coil (ORTHO). The LINE coil is tuned to a transmitter station that is ideally positioned at right angles to the flight lines, while the ORTHO coil transmitter should be in line with the flight lines. It's specifications are: Accuracy: 1% Reading interval: 1/2 second Model: TOTEM 2A Manufacturer: Herz Industries, Toronto The VLF sensor is mounted in the left wing tip extension. Other instruments are: King KRA-10A Radar altimeter UDAS-100 data processor with Digidata nine track tape recorder, manufactured by Urtec Ltd., Markham, Ontario. Geocam video camera and recorder for flight path recovery. manufactured by Geotech Ltd., Markham, Ontario. 4.2 Lines and Data a) Line spacing: 200 meters : b) Line direction: 360 degrees c) Terrain clearance: 100 meters d) Average ground speed: 156 km/hr. e) Data point interval: 42 meters Magnetic: VLF-EM: 21 meters f) Tie Line interval: 4 Enlometers g) Channel 1 (LINE): NSS Annapolis, 21.4 kHz h) Channel 2 (ORTHO): NLK Seattle, 24.8 kHz i) Line km over total survey area: 650 🗸 j) Line km over claim groups: 475 🗸

ERRAOI/EST LTD



4.3 Tolerances

a) Line spacing: Any gaps wider than twice the line spacing and longer than 10 times the line spacing were filled in by a new line.
b) Terrain clearance: Portions of line which were flown above 125 meters for more than one km were reflown if safety considerations were acceptable.
c) Diurnal magnetic variation: Less than twenty gammas deviation from

-4- .

a smooth background over a period of two minutes or less as seen on the base station analogue record.

d) Manoeuvre noise: Approximately +/-5 gammas.

4.4 Photomosaics

For navigating the aircraft and recovering the flight path, mosaics of aerial photographs were made from existing air photos. In order to provide a semi-controlled base the photos were laid down on a topographic map which had been photographically adjusted to the photo scale. The laydown was then photographed and printed at the final map scale.

5. DATA PROCESSING

Flight path recovery was carried out in the field using a video tape viewer to observe the flight path as recorded by the Geocam video camera system. The flight path recovery was completed daily to enable reflights to be selected where needed for the following day.

The magnetic data was levelled in the standard manner by tying survey lines to the tie lines. The IGRF was not been removed. The total field was contoured by computer using a program provided by Dataplotting Services Inc. To do this the final levelled data set is gridded at a grid cell spacing of 1/4 the flight line spacing.

The vertical magnetic gradient is computed from the total field data using a method of transforming the data set into the frequency domain, applying a transfer function to calculate the gradient, and then transforming back into the spatial domain. The method is described by ω number of authors including Grant, 1972 and Spector, 1968.

 Grant, F.S. and Spector A.; 1970; Statistical Models for Interpreting Aeromagnetic Data; Geophysics, Vol. 35
 Grant, F.S.; Review of Data Processing and Interpretation Methods in Gravity and Magnetics; Geophysics, August 1972.
 Spector, A.; Spectral Analysis of Aeromagnetic maps; unpublished thesis; University of Toronto, 1961.

TERRAQUEST LTD.

T E R R A O U E S T DTE 09 01 85 TH 12 28 20# BY: H.H. ACFT C-FAKK PN 8437 FLTN 051

FROG. VER. 280184-GRAD. SURALT 1000



FIGURE 3. SAMPLE OF ANALOGUE DATA

The VLF data was treated automatically so as to normalize the non conductive background areas to 100 (total field strength) and zero (quadrature). The algorithms to do this were developed by Terraquest and will be provided to anyone interested by application to the company.

All of these dataprocessing calculations and map contouring were carried out by Dataplotting Services Inc. of Toronto.

INTERPRETATION

6.1 General Approach

To satisfy the purpose of the survey as stated in the introduction, the interpretation procedure was carried out on both the magnetic and VLF data. On a local scale the magnetic gradient contour patterns were used to outline geological units which have different magnetic intensity and patterns or "signatures". Where possible these are related to existing geology to provide a geological identity to the units. On a regional scale the total field contour patterns were used in the same way.

Faults and shear zones are interpreted mainly from lateral displacements of otherwise linear magnetic anomalies but also from long narrow "lows". The direction of regional faulting in the general area is taken into account when selecting faults. Folding is usually seen as curved regional patterns. Alteration zones can show up as anomalously quiet areas, often adjacent to strong, circular anomalies that represent intrusives. Magnetic anomalies that are caused by iron deposits of ore quality are usually obvious owing to their high amplitude, often in tens of thousands of gammas.

VLF anomalies are categorized according to whether the phase response is normal, reverse, or no phase at all. The significance of the differing phase responses is not completely understood although in general reverse phase indicates either overburden as the source or a conductor with considerable depth extent, or both. Normal phase response is theoretically caused by surface conductors with limited depth extent.

Areas showing a smooth response somewhat above background (ie. 110 or so) are likely caused by overburden which is thick enough and conductive enough to saturate at these frequencies. In this case no response from bedrock is seen.

TERRAQUEST LTD.

Suite 905, 121 Richmond Street West, Toronto, Canada, MSH 2N1, Telephone (+16) 869-0010

6.2 Interpretation

The total magnetic field data map has a relief of approximately 1900 gammas forming a major north-south gradient across 20 kilometres.

All the sedimentary stratigraphic units as mapped geologically do not appear to possess a significant magnetic response, therefore the magnetic mapping can only include the Nipissing Diabase geological unit and basement rocks. Sune 905, 121 Richmond Street West, Toronto, Canada, MSH 2K1, Telephone (+16) 869-40010

The narrow highly magnetic trends on the vertical gradient magnetic map are indicated as unit 4 on the interpretation map and represent the magnetic phases of the Nipissing Diabase geological unit. Three dike systems trend approximately 130 degrees across the centre of the map area. Immediately to the north, numerous systems trend appproximately 145 degrees and appear to originate from a large body of the magnetic phase of the Nipissing Diabase unit underlying the sediments to the north.

The southern portion of the survey area possesses north trending, weaker-magnetic units which may be either weaker phases of the Nipissing Diabase Unit or magnetic units within the basement rocks.

The magnetically background areas have been assigned to unit 3 and represent weakly magnetic basement rocks and very weakly magnetic phases of the Nipissing Diabase unit. The latter may have important economical significance whether these areas are related to genetically weakly magnetic intrusives that are different from unit 4, or possibly to alteration zones that are characterized by magnetic depletion. In either case they may represent an idea, host for gold mineralization.

The dominant northwest trending regional faulting is at a low angle to the magnetic units and therefore is not readily apparent by magnetic mapping techniques. Despite this hinderance a few northwest trending faults are identified in the centre of the map area. Numerous northeast to east trending faults create minor displacements of the magnetic units.

The VLF-EM technique generally reponds to all significant conductors whether they be located in the sedimentary rocks, intrusives or overburden. Broad VLF-EM conductor zones coincident with most of the lakes indicate the presence of conductive clayey infill. There are several instances where landward extensions of these conductors ax's do not appear to be related to obvious overburden effects. The conductor axis at the St. Thomas showing exemplifies this aspect. The large continuous dike trending across the area is coincident with a strong VLF-EM conductor axis and may represent

TERRAOUEST LTD.

conductive gouge material or sulphides. All conductor axes parallel to magnetic units, and those that are displaced by or terminated against faults have potential for graphite or sulphide mineralization and should be investigated by IP or ground EM.

7. SUMMARY

A combined magnetic and VLF-EM survey has been done on the survey area at a data density of approximately 1.6 km. per mineral claim. The magnetic data has been used to modify and update the existing geology and has shown a number of new contacts and faults. A number of VLF-EM conductor axes were found of which some are believed to be have potential sulphide origin and have been recommended for additional investigation.

TERRAQUEST / LTD

Charles Q. Barrie, M.Sc. Geologist

'ERRAOUEST LTD.



Nuite 905, 121 Richmond Mreet West, Toronto, Canada, MSH 2K1, Telephone (+16) 969-0010

5. All conductor axes parallel to splaced by or terminated against sulphide mineralization and 1 EM.

rvey has been done on the survey ly 1.6 km. per mineral claim. The and update the existing geology s and faults. A number of VLF-EM me are believed to be have en recommended for additional



0.4 85 (Northeastern Keym.) 354 M.R. MACKELCAN TWP. M.840 0.5/85 (Northeastern Regin) SR. HM.R 0:1138 (Hurtheastern Region) SR. ataR. 10 9 8 6 5 3 5435 11461 30314 896176 Sho176 Sbo177 525175 585335 883/7 583179 | Sesiao coorsi 122 54356 585339 الموهون 005106 005185 006150 096r/89 585338 5961691 854155 585(34z 585343 505105 605196 1505107 274 354354 585341 595201 585344 585347 585346 585345 11 392 505209 1595208 154150 25415 7 893210 \cup 585589 58534# 5. 812660 | 812668 59522 RUINDUN 374584 585583 585588 577570 27371 ------05329 654/5 595228 59522 1549162 5776 9 1 577430 595234 595236 595236 585384 585587 101 595233 54157 59523/ 595238 5. 35746 1. 35248 398244 138243 595242 1895696 3002(21 500200 595251 57-527 08530 51/2855 W012 5. 4 5 5 IV -111, 01 1/2 184688 HO 877330 327333 377330 Bowland. OGR 54 37 1 22 () L. GR. 57 an.550 854328 854329 80, **/**•` ¥Q2586 588134 90,939B 625961 2542751354374 Let : A char GRISG 625959 625960 588129 RATHBUN ·WP { 82626 LT 192 🙆 \mathcal{V} GR 40







File No

41115NE0019 0026 MACKELCAN

900

2.8580

Mining Lands Section

Control Sheet

Ċ



MINING LANDS COMMENTS:

·····
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
< Mackeloan, Rathburn
Scalling
·. ·
Signature of Assessor
Date



10.

Ministry of Northern Affairs and Mines

	P110
	2.8580
Date	Mining Recorder's Report of
1985 12 13	85-53

Township or Area Notices Typet of survey and marked of Area RATHBUN & SCADDING TOWNSHIPS Typet of survey and marked of Area Mining Claims Assessed Geophysical 20 days Magnetometer 20 days Rediometric 20 days Rediometric 20 days Rediometric 20 days Section 77 (19) See "Mining Claims Assessed" column Geophemical days Geophemical days days Geophemical Geophemical days days Geophemical days Section 77 (19) See "Mining Claims Assessed" column Geophemical days Geophemical days Geophemical Goord Gays Geophemical days Geophemical days Special oracits have been reduced because of corrections rowick dass and figures of applicant. figures of applicant. figures of applicant. Yo eredits have been allowed for the following mining claims insufficient technool das filed insufficient day recorded on each claim days recorded on each claim dayseseconded on each claim days reconded on each claim d			Recorded Holder
Type of Norvey and number of Automate of Automa		D¢	Township or Area
Autistance days credit per claim Mining Listing AutoBate Copphysical 20 days Magnetometer 20 days Rediometric days S 808905 to 14 inclusive Induced polarization days Other days Section 77 (19) See "Mining Claims Assessed" column Geochemical days Man days days Geochemical days Man days days Geochemical days Man days Gound Quert make been reduced because of partial coverage of claims. Credits have been reduced because of corrections to work dates and Figures of applicant. ipecial credits under section 77 (16) for the following mining claims insufficient sechnical data filed So credits have been allowed for the following mining claims insufficient sechnical data filed So credits have been allowed for the following mining claims insufficient sechnical data filed The Min" & Recorder may reduce the above credits if necessary in order that the total number of approved assessment day recorded on each claim data filed The Min" & Recorder may reduce the above credits if necessary in order that the total number of approved assessment day recorded on each claim dat	l		Type of survey and number of
Electromagnetic		Mining Claims Assessed	Assessment days credit per claim Geophysical
Magnetometer 20 days Rediometrice days Induced polarization days Other days Section 77 (19) See "Mining Claims Assessed" column Geochemical days Geochemical days Geochemical days Geochemical days Special provision Graund © Credits have been reduced because of partial coverage of claims. Graund © Credits have been reduced because of corrections to work dates and figures of applicant. special credits under section 77 (16) for the following mining claims Special credits under section 77 (16) for the following mining claims			Electromagnetic 20 days
Rediometric		S 808905 to 14 inclusive	Magnetometer 20 days
Induced potenzation			Radiometric days
Other			Induced polarization days
Section 77 (19) See "Mining Claims Assessed" column Geological Geochemical Man davs Credits have been reduced because of corrections to work dates and figures of applicant. ippecial credits under section 77 (16) for the following mining claims ippecial credits have been allowed for the following mining claims do credits have been allowed for the following mining claims insufficient technical data filed			Other days
Geological			Section 77 (19) See "Mining Claims Assessed" volumn
Geochemical			Geological days
Man davs Airborne II Special provision Ground Image: Special provision Ground of the provision Image: Special provision Ground of the provision Image: Special provision Image: Special provision Image: Special provision Image: Special provision Image: Special provision Special provision			Geochemical days
Special provision Ground Credits have been reduced because of partial coverage of clarms. Credits have been reduced because of corrections to work dates and figures of applicant. Special credits under section 77 (16) for the following mining claims Special credits under section 77 (16) for the following mining claims Special credits have been allowed for the following mining claims Special credits have been allowed for the following mining claims No credits have been allowed for the following mining claims Insufficient technical data filed The Min' g Recorder may reduce the above credits if necessary in order that the total number of approved assessment days recorded on each claim down exceed the maximum allowed as follow: Geophysical -80; Geologocal -40; Geochemical -40; Section 77(19) -60.			Man days 🗍 Airborne 🗶
Credits have been reduced because of partial Credits have been reduced because of corrections To work dates and figures of applicant. Special credits under section 77 (16) for the following mining claims Special credits under section 77 (16) for the following mining claims No credits have been allowed for the following mining claims No credits have been allowed for the following mining claims The sufficiently covered by the survey Insufficient technical data filed			Special provision
Credits have been reduced because of corrections to work dates and figures of applicant. Special credits under section 77 (16) for the following mining claims Vo credits have been allowed for the following mining claims No credits have been allowed for the following mining claims No credits have been allowed for the following mining claims No credits under section 77 (16) for the following mining claims The Min' g Recorder may reduce the above credits if necessary in order that the total number of approved assessment days recorded on each claim dos record the maximum allowed as follows: Geophysical - 80; Geologocal - 40; Geochemical - 40; Section 77(19) - 60.			Credits have been reduced because of partial coverage of claims.
Special credits under section 77 (16) for the following mining claims No credits have been allowed for the following mining claims			Credits have been reduced because of corrections to work dates and figures of applicant.
Special credits under section 77 (16) for the following mining claims No credits have been allowed for the following mining claims In not sufficiently covered by the survey Insufficiently covered by the survey Insufficient technical data filed			
Special credits under section 77 (16) for the following mining claims Vo credits have been allowed for the following mining claims Image: mot sufficiently covered by the survey Image: mot sufficient technical covered by the survey			
No credits have been allowed for the following mining claims I not sufficiently covered by the survey I insufficient technical data filed The Min' g Recorder may reduce the above credits if necessary in order that the total number of approved assessment days recorded on each claim doe exceed the maximum allowed as follows: Geophysical - 80; Geologocal - 40; Geochemical - 40; Section 77(19) - 60.			Special credits under section 77 (16) for the following r
No credits have been allowed for the following mining claims Insufficiently covered by the survey Insufficient technical data filed Insufficiently covered by the survey Insufficient technical data filed			
No credits have been allowed for the following mining claims not sufficiently covered by the survey insufficient technical data filed The Mining Recorder may reduce the above credits if necessary in order that the total number of approved assessment days recorded on each claim doe exceed the maximum allowed as follows: Geophysical - 80; Geologocal - 40; Geochemical - 40; Section 77(19) - 60.			
No credits have been allowed for the following mining claims not sufficiently covered by the survey insufficient technical data filed The Min ¹ g Recorder may reduce the above credits if necessary in order that the total number of approved assessment days recorded on each claim doe exceed the maximum allowed as follows: Geophysical - 80; Geologocal - 40; Geochemical - 40; Section 77(19) - 60.			
No credits have been allowed for the following mining claims Insufficiently covered by the survey Insufficient technical data filed Insufficiently covered by the survey Insufficient technical data filed			
Insufficient technical data filed insufficient technical data filed insufficient technical data filed The Min ¹ g Recorder may reduce the above credits if necessary in order that the total number of approved assessment days recorded on each claim doe exceed the maximum allowed as follows: Geophysical - 80; Geologocal - 40; Geochemical - 40; Section 77(19) - 60.			No credits have been allowed for the following mining o
The Mining Recorder may reduce the above credits if necessary in order that the total number of approved assessment days recorded on each claim doe exceed the maximum allowed as follows: Geophysical - 80; Geologocal - 40; Geochemical - 40; Section 77(19) - 60.		achnical data filed	not sufficiently covered by the survey
The Mining Recorder may reduce the above credits if necessary in order that the total number of approved assessment days recorded on each claim doe exceed the maximum allowed as follows: Geophysical - 80; Geologocal - 40; Geochemical - 40; Section 77(19) - 60.			
The Mining Recorder may reduce the above credits if necessary in order that the total number of approved assessment days recorded on each claim doe exceed the maximum allowed as follows: Geophysical - 80; Geologocal - 40; Geochemical - 40; Section 77(19) - 60.			
The Mining Recorder may reduce the above credits if necessary in order that the total number of approved assessment days recorded on each claim doe exceed the maximum allowed as follows: Geophysical - 80; Geologocal - 40; Geochemical - 40; Section 77(19) - 60.			
The Mining Recorder may reduce the above credits if necessary in order that the total number of approved assessment days recorded on each claim doe exceed the maximum allowed as follows: Geophysical - 80; Geologocal - 40; Geochemical - 40; Section 77(19) - 60.			
The Min [®] g Recorder may reduce the above credits if necessary in order that the total number of approved assessment days recorded on each claim dow exceed the maximum allowed as follows: Geophysical - 80; Geologocal - 40; Geochemical - 40; Section 77(19) - 60.		·	
exceed the maximum allowed as follows: Geophysical - 60; Geologocal - 40; Geochemical - 40; Section 77(19) - 60.	pes not	total number of approved assessment days recorded on each claim doe	The Min ¹ g Recorder may reduce the above credits if necessary
128 (85/9)		sochemical - +0; Section / /(13) + 00.	228 (85/9)

Ministry of	Technical Assessment	File 2.0500
and les	Work Credits	Date Mining Recorder's Report
		1985_12_1385-59, 8
Recorded Holder		
fownship or Area	ALBERT E. JENJME JR.	
Type of suprey a	MACLENNAN, RATHBUN &	SCADDING TOWNSHIPS
Assessment days c	redit per claim	Mining Claims Assessed
Electromagnetic	20 days	5 808922 to 26 inclusive 808928 to 41 inclusive 808989 to 9002 inclusive
Magnetonieter	days	809096 to 156 inclusive
Radiometric	days	
Induced polarization	deys	
Other	days	
Section 77 (19) See "Mining	Claims Assessed'' column	
Geological	days	
Geochemical	days	
Man days	Airborne 🔀	
Special provision	Ground	
Credits have been reduce coverage of claims.	d because of partial	
Credits have been reduce to work dates and figure	d because of corrections s of applicant.	
pecial credits under section	77 (16) for the following mining of	faims
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	-	
to credits have been allowed	for the following mining claims	
not sufficiently covered	by the survey 🗌 insufi	licient technical data filed

•

a dia ang sin

Ministry of Technical Asse Northern Attairs and Mines Work Credits	essment	Date 1985 12 13	File 2.858 Mining Recorder's Repor Work No. 85-92
EDWARD_JEROME			
RATHBUN & SCADDING	G_TOWNSHIPS		
Type of survey and number of Assessment days credit per claim		Mining Claims Assessed	
Geophysical Electromagnetic20	_ day ,		
Magnetometer	- days	S 826221 to 70 i 830611 to 13 i	nclusive nclusive
Radiometric	_ days		
Induced polarization	_ days		
Other	- davs		
Section 77 (19) See "Mining Claims Assessed" col	սան		
Geological	_ Clavs		
Geochemical	_ clays		
Man days 🗍 🛛 Airborn	ne 🗶		
Special provision	d 🗋		
 Credits have been reduced because of partial coverage of claims. 			
Credits have been reduced because of correctic to work dates and figures of applicant.	ons		
pecial credits under section 77 (16) for the foll	lowing mining claims		
to credite have been allound for the following	mining claims		
not sufficiently covered by the survey	insufficient te	chnical data filed	
×			

,

828 (85/9)

aug 2 785-53 - Please type or print. H number of mining claims traversed exceeds space on this form, attach a list. **Report of Work** Instructions: Amistry of Hatural (Geophysical, Geological, Resources Only flays credits calculated in the "Expenditures" section may be entered in the "Expend. Days Cr." columns. Geochemical and Expenditures) **Mining Act** Do not use shaded areas below. (M-1093) (M-1091) (M-1093) (ATH & J.) J SCADDING (-... MAG/VLF HIRBORNE BERT E SERVINE, JR 34458 124 ST. BOX 491, HANMER ON THIS PJM IYD Day Mo. Yr. 03 06 85 AQUEST LTD ĨE Mining Claims Traversed (List in numerical sequence) redits Requested per Each Claim in Columns at right secial Provision Mining Claim Number Days per Claim Mining Claim Expend. Days Cr. Expend. Days Cr. Geophysical Pretix Pretix Number For first survey: 808905 Electromagnetic Enter 40 days, (This includes line cutting) 1.1 808906 Magnetomete ... t.j. Rediometric For each additional survey. sing the same grid: 808908 Other Enter 20 days (for each) - ----808309 Gentogical Grochemical 808310 An Days Days per Claim 808911 Geophysical Complete reverse side 519808 Electromagnetic and enter total(s) here 808913 Magnetometer đ. 808914 Radiometric R) Other -----Geological Ð Georhemical -REC interne Credita Days per Claim े. े0 1985 Note: Special provisions Electromagnetic SLUTION credits do not apply Mignetometer to Airborne Surveys 40 1.1 Linu 3 MIAN Rad-ometric ie denter xpenditures (excludes power stripping) ÷. ype of Work Performed ť. ¥, eforment on Claim(s) nk 1 1 Iculation of Expenditure Days Credits Total Days Cindits े दि Total Expenditures + | 15 \$ 61 mining z Total number claims coverage report of wor by this 10 Total Days Credits may be apportioned at the claim holder's For Office Use Only choice. Enter number of days crodus per claim selected in columns at right. A Cr. Date Recorded albert Agent (Signatu JUNE 28/85 ertification Verifying Report of Work thereby certify that there a personal and intimate knowledge of the facts set forth in the Report of Work annexed hereto, having performed the work or witnessed same during and/or after its completion and the annexed report is true. nd Postal Address of Person Certifying Bux Date car JERME, ALGERT 491 <u>م ترکید (</u> Ē. \leq albert Jum 140 20/05 NE 362 (81.9)

#85-59 FILE 5- 808922 3580 Ministry.of **Report of Work** Please type or print If number of mining claims traversed Natural (Geophysical, Geological, exceeds space on this form, attach a list. ources 0 Only days credits calculated in the "Expenditures" section may be entered in the "Expend. Days Cr." columns Geochemical and Expenditures) Ontario Do not use shady than below Mining Act 10 01 11 ype of Surveyis f. lad Claim Holde Licence (34438 Add POM 14 Don Lanner D Miles of line Cut ms conto Mining Claims Araversed (List in numerical sequence) Credits Requested per Each Claim in Columns at right Special Provisions Days per Claim Mining Claim Mining Claim Expend. Days Cr Expand. Days C; Geophysical Prefix Pretix Number Number For first survey: 808 989 Electromagnetic <u>808 922</u> 81 80 Enter 40 days. (This includes line cutting) - Magnetometer 990 923 0 80 - Radiometric 1 924 4 991 8 8 For each additional survey: using the same grid 992 11 925 1 · Other 11 11 0 Enter 20 days (for each) Ŭ R IN 11 11 993 926 Geological 0 10 11 Geochemical 8 0 Man Days Days par Claim N 11 lh 11 80 Geophysical 928 11 Complets (pretty fighty) and enter mestico bare ECEIVE 11 11 11 729 8 lectromagnetic \sim 80 930 " " 9 11 D Magnetometer ۱(7 £C. 11 11 8 Rediometric JUL 0 9 1985 80 1 Other 1 " 999 1 1 732 0 A.1. h.M. 7.8.9.10-11,12, 1,2,3.4 Segrence 80900 11 11 933 Ъ 0 Þ Geochemical 8 a 934 8 809001 0 u. Airborne Credits Claim 11 93. B ĸ 809002. Note: Special provisions i Electromagnetic 40 ŧ۸. BU i C credits do not apply 13 Magnetometer 40 to Airborne Surveys 70 RECEIVED \boldsymbol{u} Radiometric " Expenditures (excludes power stripping) 11/1 93 <u>]]]]</u> - 5 103 Type of Work Performed 4 1 940 8 Ň MINING LANDS GERIZIT Performed on Claim(s) 11 11 94<u>1</u> 8 2 H 50% M Calculation of Expenditure Dass Credits Total Days Credits Total Expenditures S 15 = ÷ Total number mining 3 claims covered report of wor by this ラ nstructions Total Days Credits may be apportioned at the claim holder's For Office Use Only choica. Enter number of days credits per claim selected in columns at right. 115.0 Date Holog or An A A 64 3185 ertification Verifying of Work Report eby certify that I have a personal and intimate knowledge of the facts set forth in the Report of Work annexed hereto, having performed the work witnessed same during and/or after its completion and the annexed report is true. eurde (5 TA an. S.W C 1250 e. TZPOSZ

#85-67 PS 1 of FILE 5. 80909(0 13,1 Servi, FC type or Mihistry of **Report of Work** print Instructions number of mining claims traversed Natural (Geophysical, Geological, ceeds space on this form, attach a list Resources Geochemical and Expenditures) Note Only days credits calculated in the "Expenditures" si n the "Expend section may be entr ed. Days Cr. Colum columns Mining Act (ñr 1092 -1071 Type of Surveyis) Claim Holder(s) C'34458 ox 491 beris POM19 Dev | Mo 91 E, MSH2KI / **s**u Credits Requested per in Columns at right Mining Claims Traversed (List in numerical sequence) Claim Mining Claim Special Provisions Days per Claim Mining Claim Prefix I Numbe Expend Dave C' Expend. Days Cr. Geophysical Prefix For first survey 5 Electromegnetic 809096 80 809 120 80 Enter 40 days (This includes line cutting) Magnetomete 80 -59N1 809097 8U Radiometric 84 80 For each additional survey 809078 809/22 using the same grid 80 Other 8\$ 809123 809099 Enter 20 days (for each) Geologica 809100 80 809124 8à Geochemica 80 809125 80 809112 Mar Davs Days pe C.a.m Geophysics 80912 809113 80 C) Complete reverse side Electromagnetic 809114 809127 80 20 and enter totalis! here SUCEURY NINING DI Mai 809115 809128 80 RECEIVE 0 809116 809129 Y/ JUL 2 9 1995 Oth 809117. 809130 8 RQ Georogica 80 809/18 809131 80 19 9 10 11 12 1 2 Detocherica 809119 8/0 804132 рÓ TTATE A 100 Devs pe Claim 809/53 809102 80 80 Note Special provisions 40 809/34 Electromagnetic E09103 80 credits do not apply 40 **H** () 810 809135 to Airborne Surveys Magnetometer 809104 Rediometric 809/05 80 509/36 80 Expenditures (excludes power stripping) 8 809/06 809137 X Type of Work Performed 809/38 80 809/07 8% Performed on Ciarm's 809/39 50 0 809108 80 809140 809104 180 809/4/ 80 809110 CA cuiation of Expenditure Davis Credits Tota Davs Credits 809/42 80 809 | | | Total Expenditures 230 S 15 ber of mining ered by this Ξ ÷ · uction (con Total Days Credits may be apportione the claim holde For Office Use Only choice Enternumber of in columns at right ravs cress to pr A millerater MACHV: Λ on Veritying Report of Work 2× 4/8. hereby certify that I have a personal and intimate knowledge of the facts set forth in the Report of Work annexed hereto, having performed the work or witnessed same during and or after its completion and the annexed report is true Same and Postal Address of Person Certifying Copor atte 1250- 550- 6chan. 8.9. Date Certified TOPOSZ L & \$ 181 1362 (81/9

2 42 Ministry of + Report of Work Instructions: -Please type or print Y If number of mining claims traversed exceeds space on this form, attach a list Natural (Geophysical, Geological, Resources Only days credits calculated in the "Expenditures" section may be entered in the "Expend Days Cr." columns Geochemical and Expenditures) Note Ontario - Do not up shaded eren belon Mining Act Type of Surveyis Claim Holder(s) ernel (\$4458 Address Cox 491, Harney Ortonis PG4140. Survey Co s of line 3, to 85 4, No 85 Name and Ad nt, MSH241 965 6 Mining Claims Traversed (List in numerical sequence) Credits Requested per Each Claim in Columns at right Special Provision Mining Claim Expend. Days Cr. Mining Claim Days per Claim Expend Days Cr. Geophysics P. ... Prefix For first survey. Electromagnetic 809143 80 Enter 40 days, IThis includes line cutting? Magnetometer 80 809144 Raniomietric 809145 810, For each additional survey using the same grid Other 80 809/46 Enter 20 days (for each) Geological 809/47 R Geochemical 809148 ٢0 Mar Days Days per Claim 809/49 Geophysical Complete reverse side Electromagnetic 809/50 FÓ and enter totalist here 804151 Magnetometer 80 Radiometric 809/52 80 0110 80 809153 80 Geological \$09154 Geochemica 809155 0 Airborne Creats Days per Claim 897/56 40 Note Special provision Electromagnetic credits do not apply Magneto meter 809101* to Airborne Surveys 40 **Radiometric** Expenditures (excludes power stripping) Type of Work Performed SUDEURY Performer on Claimis' RECEIV ED -jul 2-9 1985 curation of Expenditure Days Credits Total Days Credits s.12 Total Expenditures 11.10 S 15 Ξ ÷ Ż Totel number claims covered by report of work tructions Total Davs Credits may be apportioned at the claim holde For Office Use Only choice. Enter number of in columns at right ts per c'a Days Cr Date Rec 0100 9 0 a Ò Dete A ature 1:10 324 The Cellification Verify in Heport of Work I hereby certify that I have a personal and intimate knowledge of the facts set forth in the Report of Work annexed hereto, having performed the work or witnessed same during and or after its completion and the annexed report is true 1250-550-64001 ADDIESS OF PERSON CENTIFYING ally TZPOSZ 15.0 la24/8 0

#85-	92		ىلانىۋەت <u>ت</u> ەتەمەر يىر			Fice	E S- 8:	26221,
A Manasiry of Rep	ort of Work				Instructions	- Please ty	ipe or print	and trainer
Resources IGeo	physical, Geological,	*110 me 1	1 K		Nata	Pacepola	space on this form	m, attach a list
Ontario	inennun anu expenui		, in the second se		19079 ·	"Expend in the	itures" section d "Expend Dave	nay be entered Or " entered
—			Minin	g Act		- Do not u	se shaded areas bi	low
Type of Survey(s)					Townshy Dat	11-107	A SCAA	1090
Claim Holder(s)		Ċ	7/ 170	6		Prospeci	or's Licence No	UINT-
EDWAR	DEROM	ت		~ 、		(32301	
207 A PALLA	TERRALE	540	RIJAL	NITA BIA	PSAS	182		
Survey Company	J.F. Warren			Date of Surve	y (from & to)	~/	Total Miles of I	ne Cut
TEPPAQLEST	LIMITED			S y 1	85 07.	A. Dr.	/ ₁	
Credits Requested per Each (Claim in Columns at r	ight	Mining C	laims Traversed	(List in nur	nerical sequ	uence)	
	Geophysical	Clarm	Profix	Number	Expend. Devs Cr.	Profix	Number	Expend Days Cr.
For Tirst survey: Enter 40 days, (This	Electromagnetic		S	821221-21	incl	1		
includes line cutting)	- Magnetometer			82(221-26	Ind	4		
For each additional survey	- Radiometric		Ţ	92/122-21	1 Lacht	A.		
using the same grid	- Other			821151 13		a la		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Enter 20 Days (for each)	Geological		с с л ана ла	+0-7 <i>U</i> -944-9-	-yneif			
	Geochemical	·	* • •	· · · · · · · · ·		.		
Man Days	Geophysical	Days per		29K8.()			•	
Complete reverse side	Element and a second	Claim))	4040		18 - Jacqueller making a 1988 4 - 4	
and enter total(s) here	Electromagnetic						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Magnetometer						••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
	- Radiometric	l		i }				
	- Other	l) ,	
	Geological		, .			.	; ,	
	Geochemical			REC	CELVE	⁻ b	• •	
Airborne Credits	H	Days per Claim						
Note: Special provisions	Electromagnetic	40				Ú.		
credits do not apply to Airborne Surveys.	Magnetometer	40		Mitter i				
	Rediometric			•		non	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Expenditures (excludes pow	er stripping)	<u>ن</u> ـــــ						•
Type of Work Performed				SII	DAURY	-	+	
Performed on Claim(s)	<u> </u>			REC				· Dr.
					<u>]</u>	- P)	NIN MARK
				SEP	- 1 -9-1985	14	- ser	
Calculation of Expenditure Day	S Credits	Total		A.M.		P.M.		
Total Expenditures		s Credits	l	1110111	17,1,2,3	416-	<u> </u>	
	+ [15] = [P		Total nu claims c	overed by/this	57
Instructions Total Days Credits may be apportioned at the claim holder's Ear Office Lice Only								
choice. Enter number of days credits per claim selected in columns at right								
Date A Recorded Holder or Agent Brookurer 1 124 Date Approved 25 Hecolded - Stinct Orector								
Leat 12kt Cthole on 140								
Certification Verifying Report of Work								
I hereby certify that I have a personal and intimate knowledge of the facts set forth in the Report of Work annexed hereto, having performed the work or witnessed same during and/or after its completion and the annexed report is true.								
Name and Postal Address of Pe	rson Cartifying			1			1.20	
Kar	10 xourse	0(198	5/2	sild b	250-	550-	- 6 The	
ter	love ali	TER	052	Unto Cortuin	גא כ <i>ו ד</i>		MOL	
1362 (81/9)	my and			~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
						•	•	

- AND / CC) - 0 02 776 7 Please type or print If number of mining claims traversed excercls space on this form, attach a list Report of Work withy of Inat Natural traversest (Geophysical, Geological, Resources Only days credits calculated in the "Expenditures" section may be entered in the "Expendit Days Cr." columns Geochemical and Expenditures) Mining Act Do not use shaded areas below pe of Surveyis ñ Thack Leag off A Ka unx Claim Holderis errines (1985) Fini T1615 TRPOS2 barry, a Date of Survey (from & got bev 1940 1945 | Dev | No | VI. Total Miles of line Cut Su Name MSH 2KI 90 Credits Requested per Each Claim in Columns at right Mining Claims Traversed (List in numerical sequence) Mining Claim Number Days per Claim Expend. Days Cr. Expend Days Cr Special Provisions Mining Claim Geophysical Pretin Pretin Number For first survey - Electromegnetic Enter 40 days (This includes line cutting) Magnetometer Radiometric For each additional survey using the same grid Other Enter 20 days (for each) Geological Geochemical MAN DAYS Days pe Claim Geophysical Complete reverse side Electromagnetic and enter total(s) here 1-Magnetometer 2 - Radiometric - Other SUDBURY Geological -Ð E V ECEI Geochemical j 1 R Airborne Credits 01- 1-7 1995 Days per Claim Note: Special provisions Electromagnetic 19 M credits do not apply 71819110.1111211121314 5,6 Megnetometer to Airborne Surveys 19 14111 Radiometric Expenditures (excludes power stripping) Type of Work Performed Performed on Claim(s) Calculation of Expanditure Days Credits Total Days Credits Total Expenditures \$ 15 Total number of mining claims covered by this report of work. ÷ <u> 125</u> DITUCTION Total Days Credits may be apportioned at the claim holder's For Office Use Only choice. Enter number of days credits per claim selected in columns at right. otal Days Ci 350 ecorde 2 2 Date Anony 9-T! r 12.06 /8 g S Certification Verifying Repor of Work I hereby certify that I have a personal and intimate knowledge of the facts set forth in the Report of Work annexed hereto, having performed the work or witnessed same during and/or after is completion and the annexed report is true and Postal Address A Person ina Resources (1985) CAU 052 1362 (81/9)

tellord relits "ichedule" a" 577180-85 incl (6)-228 38 days/claim 38 11 " 228 & 577228-33 inal (6)-152 \$ 577244-47 inel (4) 38 " 11 836 38 " L 577282-303 infall 988 38 - 73 1577377-402 ind(6) 152 38 4577429- 32 inel (4) 38 " 3040 A 595172 -25/ind (80)-342 11 38 \$577188 -96 mil (9). 38 /1 11 11 190 \$ 577236 - 40 incl (3) 38 /1 . .76 \$ 577252 - 53 inel (2): 11 11 608 21 38 2577327 - 42 inel (16) 152 π 2577421 - 24 inel (4)-38 646 1 28 - 205 isel (17)-1586189 38 Ò n 11 38 1529/59 - 78 inel (10) 1577277 - 81 inel (57 190 i C 38 760 10 ю 38 \$585662 - 81 inel (20) 76 H 11 ,ι 78 A586,596-97 inel (2) ĸ 152 11 38 \$586722-25 ind (4) 4 11 1102 38 A 586747 - 75 ind (\mathcal{A}) N 152 н 38 L529/81 - 84 incl (4) 38 11 38 (l)A 5857.19 1520 " 10 38 - (40)-.152 A 585719-58 in n 11 38 (4) A-586706-09 inst 11 152 11 38 (4)-1586738-41 isel λ. 38 10 38 11 $(1)^{-}$ 4 1586784-1 10 798 38 (zt) 1 #571756-16m 1. 646. 11 38 4 1585-132-14 in " 266 11 I(38 \$58558-811 11 4 532 38 £395875-85 14,592 lains



85-08-2, Date

M5H 2K1

Copies:

Albert E. Jerome Jr. 695 Emily Street Box 491 Hanmer, Ontario POM 1YO Terraquest Ltd Suite 905 121 Richmond Street West Toronto, Ontario

SIO hatu tand Management Branch Ĭ **D**I

R

Flag Resources Limited Suite 1250 550 - 6th Avenue S.W. Calgary, Alberta T2P 0S2

Mining Recorder Sudbury, Ontario

1333 (85/02)

Report of Work #53

REGISTERED

August 21, 1985

Albert E. Jerome Jr. 695 Emily Street Box 491 H@nmer, Ontario POM 1YO

Dear Sir:

RE: Mining Claims S 808905, et al, in Rathburn & Scadding Townships

I have not received the reports and maps (in duplicate) for the Geophysical Airborne (Magnetometer) Survey on the Above-mentioned claims.

As the assessment "Report of Work" was recorded by the Mining Recorder on July 2, 1985 the 60 day period allowed by Section 77 of the Mining Act for the submission of the technical reports and maps to this office will expire on August 31, 1985.

If the material is not submitted to this office by August 31, 1985, I will have no alternative but to instruct the Mining Recorder to delete the work credits from the claim record sheets.

For further information, please contact Mr. Arthur Barr at (416)965-4888.

Yours sincerely,

S.E. Yundt Director Land Management Branch

Whitney Block, Room 6643 Queen's Park Toronto, Ontario M7A 1W3 Phone:(416)965-4888

A. Barr:mc

cc: Mining Recorder Sudbury, Ontario Encl.

FLAG RESOURCES LIMITED SUITE 1250, 550 - 6TH AVENUE S.W. CALGARY, ALBERTA, CANADA T2P 0S2 TELEPHONE (403) 262-8883 TELEX 03-825-684 FLG. RES alegodd 185 AM. R. Pickette, administrator Mining Land Management, Land Mining Breach, Apinistic of Hatewaker or Room 5610 Wither Block, Ouers Pack, Loconte, Onlaris. MTHINS Pear lir application is kereby made by Alaglerour is (1955) Limited for a 60 day extension from Letters, to fila word regort on an EM and Magnetic fine, completed by Terraquest ft, on the following Togy mining claims: £ 808905-14 (10) L 809096-101 (6) 1 808922-26 (5) 1809112-19 (8) L 808928-41 (12) L.809102 -11 (10) L 808 989-002 (14) L 809 120- 56 (37) The claims are located in Rathfun Lowriting as shown on the enclosed map. We have also eacloxed a coper of over contrail with Deviagener yours truly, Marder Head President.

AIRBORNE GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY CONTRACT

T-5019

The following specifications set out the detail of work to be carried out by Terraquest Limited (Contractor) 1214-111 Richmond St. West, Toronto, Ontario M5H 2G4 for Flag Resources Ltd., 1250 - 550 6th Ave, S.W., Calgary, Alta. T2P OS2 (Client).

1. GENERAL

The Contractor hereby undertakes on the terms and conditions hereinafter contained, to use its best efforts to perform for the Client an airborne geophysical survey (hereinafter referred to as the "Survey").

2. SERVICES

The services to be provided by the Contractor in connection with the Survey shall include the preparation of mosaics and other data prior to flying, the flying itself and all supervision thereof and the preparation and delivery to the Client of the documents specified in Section 13 of this agreement.

3. SURVEY AREA

The Survey area will entail approximately 650 line km of combined airborne magnetic and electromagnetic surveying the Rathburn-Scadding Twp. Claim Group, in the Province of Ontario as outlined in Figure 1.

4. TIMING

4. <u>TIMING</u> The Survey shall commence about mid April to early May and completed as soon as environmental conditions and serviceability of equipment permits.

Final drafted E.M. and magnetic maps will be completed and delivered to the Client within 8 weeks of completion of survey flying.

EQUIPMENT 5.

The survey instruments to be provided by Contractor for the purpose of the Survey are:

- A Herz Totem 2A dual frequency V.L.F. electromagnetic system a) installed in a pod assembly attached to a Cessna 182 fixed wing aircraft.
- GEM Systems GSM-8BA proton precession airborne magnetometer. b)
- Urtec UDAS 100 data acquisition system with chart recorder for c) analogue data presentation and 9-track tape recorder for digital data storage.
- Geotech Datacam Video flight path camera with intervalometer and d) fiducial marking system.
- Radar Altimeter and other necessary navigational and radio e } communication equipment.
- GEM Systems GSM-8BA proton precession base station magnetometer f) with analogue chart recorder.

- 2 -

g) All consumables (chart paper and magnetic video cassettes).

6. PERSONNEL

The contractor will supply experienced personnel to execute the Survey, viz: operator/navigator, pilot and dataman and such personnel as necessary to subsequently reduce, compile and report on the data.

7. DATA RECORDING

During the course of the Survey the following data are to be recorded:

a) Digital

i) The V.L.F. E.M. data, magnetic data, fiducial records, altimeter readings and time will be recorded digitally.

b) Analogue

- i) The V.L.F. total field and vertical quadrature component.
- ii) Total magnetic field strength recorded, at one second intervals, at two different scales (nominally, 200 and 2000 nT full scale).
- iii) A record of terrain clearance as provided by the radar altimeter.
 - iv) A video tape record of the terrain passing below the aircraft as obtained by the Datacam tracking camera.
 - v) Time markers impressed synchronously on the video tape and analogue records.

8. ACCEPTABLE DATA AND SURVEY PROCEDURES

Acceptable survey data and procedures will adhere to the specifications set out below and subsequently in sections 9, 10 and 11.

- a) Survey flights will be discontinued when persistently unacceptable data are obtained on 3 consecutive lines.
- b) Reflights will be performed over those portions of lines where specified criteria are not met.

9. FLYING SPECIFICATIONS

It is Contractor's responsibility to ensure that the aircraft crew strives to maintain the following specifications. However, pilot's decision as to safe operating conditions will be binding and reflights need not be undertaken where such conditions produce unacceptable data.

a) The Survey flight direction will be North-South.

- b) Survey flight lines will
 - i) be spaced at 200 metre intervals
 - ii) not deviate from the intended flight path so as to form a gap larger than twice the line spacing for 1 km or more.

- c) Magnetic tie lines will be flown perpendicular to the survey lines at 4 km intervals, preferably where local magnetic relief is subdued.
- d) The aircraft will fly at an airspeed of 156 km/hr or less.
- e) Aircraft terrain clearance will be smoothly maintained at 100 metres or less and will not exceed 125 metres over a distance of 1 kilometre.
- f) Navigation will be done visually on photo mosaics of the survey area.
- g) The survey crew will be grounded during periods when wiurnal activity exceeds 20nT over 2 minute period.

10. CALIBRATION OF SURVEY INSTRUMENTS

The altimeter will be calibrated periodically during the survey. The E.M. base level will be established at a high altitude prior to each flight.

11. DATA QUALITY

- a) The V.L.F. data will exhibit persistent peak-to-peak electronic noise of less than 4% at a time constant of 1 second. Sporadic noise bursts from atmospheric disturbances will be not more than 1 per kilometre.
- b) Peak-to-peak noise on the magnetic record will be less than 3nT.
- c) The altitude of the aircraft, over flat terrain, will be recorded with an accuracy of plus/minus 10%.
- d) The output of the base station magnetometer will be recorded at a time rate and amplitude scale sufficient to define short term magnetic disturbances (nominally, 2 second intervals and analogue chart scale of 1 cm = 10nT).
- e) All analogue data will be legibly recorded. Flight path video will display useable clarity. Fiducial correlations will be maintained.
- f) Magnetic levelling to correct for diurnal variation will be carried out in the standard manner utilizing the tie line intersections with the traverse lines.

12. DATA RECOVERY AND ACCESS

- a) A flight path map, based on the navigator's manual fiducials and verified by the flight path video tape will be completed as the survey progresses.
- b) The Client shall maintain the option to inspect the data in the field and select alternative specifications at their expense, provided adequate prior notification is given to the Contractor.
- c) Digital data tapes will be shipped immediately to Toronto and tape contents listed to ensure requisite fidelity and completeness. Once it has been determined that acceptable digital records have been secured, editing and computer processing of E.M. and aeromagnetic data will be initiated.

13. DATA PRESENTATION

13.1 Specifications

- a) All maps will be at a scale of 1:20,000
- b) Base maps are comprised of photomosaics with flight lines and fiducials.

. 13.2 Delivery Items

a) Analogue traces and flight logs from data acquisition system.

- b) Photomosaics showing flight path recovery.
- c) Total magnetic field contours on a greyflex base map (with 4 paper copies).
- d) Total magnetic field contours on colour Applicon plot (1 copy).
- e) Calculated vertical magnetic gradient contours on a greyflex base map (with 4 paper copies).
- f) Calculated vertical magnetic gradient contours on a colour Applicon plot (1 copy).
- g) Total field contours (2%) of VLF data with quadrature profiles drawn along flight lines on a greyflex base map (with 4 paper copies).
- h) A report (4 copies) giving equipment specifications, operational statistics, survey techniques and assessment work interpretation identifying significant conductors, structural features and geological units derived from the magnetic pattern.

14. INSURANCE AND LIABILITY

- a) Terraquest Ltd. provides either directly or indirectly insurance coverage for personnel, equipment and damages arising out of the carrying out of the Survey.
- b) Terraquest Ltd. agrees to save and keep harmless the Client from and against all damages, costs and expenses which the Client may sustain, suffer or incur by reason of any act of omission of Terraquest Ltd. in connection with the performance of the Survey.
- c) When the Survey data is to be used for assessment credit Terraquest Ltd. is not held responsible or liable for the completion and filing of the Report of Work Form for the Ministry of Natural Resources. Terraquest Ltd. is willing to assist the client in this aspect.

15. CHARGES

The Client agrees to pay the Contractor for its services described herein as follows:

a) A total fee of \$22,750.00 for acceptable airborne survey coverage, encompassing flying, flight line recovery, compilation of E.M. and aeromagnetic data, and drafting for the survey area. This is equivalent to a rate of \$35.00 per line kilometer of survey area.

16. PAYMENT

Payment of the above fee will be made as follows:

- b) Payable immediately upon completion of the survey flying.....\$7,580.00

Exclusive title to the Survey results shall not pass until full payment has been made to the Contractor for its services rendered.

Date:

Accepted:/ /

Terraquest Ltd. (Contractor)

Date:

Accepted: ' Flag Resources

(1485) Lanited 16.4.

- 5 -









• • •

of claims should read 482 and the total survey mileage over these claims is 265 line miles (427 line kilometers). This is equivalent to 20 VLF days and 20 Magnetometer days per claim (total 40 days per claim).

Yours

Charles Q. Barrie Vice-president

CQV:mes

61

cc: Flag Resources Ltd.

1.

. . .



November 25, 1985

Ċ

RECEIVED

1999 2 8 1985

Milling Lands Section

Ministry of Natural Resources, Land Management Branch, Whitney Block, Room 6610, Queen's Park, Ontario. M7A 1W3

Attention: Mr. D.R. Kinvig, Mining Lands Section

Re: Claim amendment to Geo-technical Report, Flag Resources Ltd. Sudbury Mining District, Townships of Mackelcan, Rathbun and Scalding

Dear Mr. Kinvig:

The following claims were surveyed and reported on during our airborne Mag and VLF survey and should be included for assessment purposes. Due to a mix-up between Flag Resources and M.N.R., these were not filed at the Mining Recorder's office; this is currently being done by Flag Resources Ltd.

The claims to be added are

538642	(1)
577356 - 577376	(21)
585332 - 585348	(17)
585583 - 585589	(7)
595875 - 595888	(14)

TOTAL

60 claims

I trust this meets with your approval.

Yours truly; Frech V.

-482 claims + 60 claims = 542 claim -Total mileage = 265 lined miles

Charles Q. Barrie, Vice-president

265 ×40 ÷542 = 19.56 => 20 da.s

CQB:mes

May

cc: Flag Resources Ltd. 1250 - 550 6th Avenue, S.W., Calgary, Alberta. T2P 052

Suite 905, 121 Richmond Street West, Toronto, Canada, MSH 2K1, Telephone (416) 869-0010



Ministry of Natural Resources

Dec. 30/85

. 1985 12 13

Your File: 85-59, 85-67,85-53,85-92 Our File: 2.8580

Mining Recorder Ministry of Northern Development and Mines 199 Larch Street Sudbury, Ontario P3E 5P9

Dear Sir:

Enclosed are two copies of a Notice of Intent with statements listing a reduced rate of assessment work credits to be allowed for a technical survey. Please forward one copy to the recorded holder of the claims and retain the other. In approximately fifteen days from the above date, a final letter of approval of these credits will be sent to you. On receipt of the approval letter, you may then change the work entries on the claim record sheets.

For further information, if required, please contact Mr. R.J. Pichette at 416/965-4888.

Yours sincerely,

S.E. Yundt
Director
Land Management Branch

Whitney Block, Room 6643 Queen's Park Toronto, Ontario M7A 1W3

SK DK/mc

. . -

Encls.

cc: Albert E. Jerome, Jr. 695 Emily Street Box 491 Hanmer, Ontario POM 1YO

> Flag Resources (1985) Limited Suite 1250 550 6th Avenue SW Calgary, Alberta T2P OS2

Edward Jerome 207 Apollo Terrace Sudbury, Ontario P3A 3B3

Mr. G.H. Ferguson Mining & Lands Commissioner toronto, Ontario



Ministry of Natural Resources Notice of Intent for Technical Reports 1985 12 13 2.8580/85-59, 85-67, 85-53, 85-92

An examination of your survey report indicates that the requirements of The Ontario Mining Act have not been fully met to warrant maximum assessment work credits. This notice is merely a warning that you will not be allowed the number of assessment work days credits that you expected and also that in approximately 15 days from the above date, the mining recorder will be authorized to change the entries on his record sheets to agree with the enclosed statement. Please note that until such time as the recorder actually changes the entry on the record sheet, the status of the claim remains unchanged.

If you are of the opinion that these changes by the mining recorder will jeopardize your claims, you may during the next fifteen days apply to the Mining and Lands Commissioner for an extension of time. Abstracts should be sent with your application.

If the reduced rate of credits does not jeopardize the status of the claims then you need not seek relief from the Mining and Lands Commissioner and this Notice of Intent may be disregarded.

If your survey was submitted and assessed under the "Special Provision-Performance and Coverage" method and you are of the opinion that a re-appraisal under the "Man-days" method would result in the approval of a greater number of days credit per claim, you may, within the said fifteen day period, submit assessment work breakdowns listing the employees names, addresses and the dates and hours they worked. The new work breakdowns should be submitted direct to the Land Management Branch, Toronto. The report will be re-assessed and a new statement of credits based on actual days worked will be issued. 1986 01 03

Mining Recorder Ministry of Northern Development and Mines 199 Larch Street Sudbury, Ontario P3E 5P9

Dear Sir:

RE: Notice of Intent dated December 23, 1985 Geophysical (Electromagnetic & Magnetometer) Surveys on Mining Claims S 808905, et al, in Maclennan, Rathbun & Scadding Townships

The assessment work credits, as listed with the above-mentioned Notice of Intent, have been approved as of the above date.

Please inform the recorded holder of these mining claims and so indicate on your records.

Yours sincerely,

S.E. Yundt Director Land Management Branch

Whitney Block, Room 6643 Queen's Park Toronto, Ontario M7A 1W3 Phone:(416)965-4888

DK/mc

cc: Albert E. Jerome Jr. Hanmer, Ontario
Flag Resources (1985) Limited Mr. G.H. Ferguson Calgary, Alberta Resident Geologist Sudbury, Ontario
Encl.
Edward Jerome Sudbury, Ontario
Edward Jerome Sudbury, Ontario



MACKELCAN-0026 #1-3

LOCATED IN THE MAP CHANNEL IN THE FOLLOWING SEQUENCE (X)



FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SEE MAPS:

MACKELCAN-0026 #4-5

Problem Page

The original page in this document had a problem when scanned and as a result was unable to convert to Portable Document Format (PDF).

We apologize for the inconvenience.

Problème de conversion de page

Un problème est survenu au moment de balayer la page originale dans ce document. La page n'a donc pu être convertie en format PDF.

Nous regrettons tout inconvénient occasionné par ce problème.









