

41P06NE2011 2.206

NORTH WILLIA

010



GEOLOGICAL REPORT


ON

ROY LACARTE PROPERTY NORTH WILLIAMS TOWNSHIP

DISTRICT OF LARDER LAKE, ONTARIO

NTS 41 P/06NE

BY E.M.BAŠA



October 31, 2000



TABLE OF CONTENTS

11P06NE2011 2.2067

NORTH WILLIAMS

010C

Table of Co	ontents
Summary	
Property De	escription and Access
	eology 5
Local Geole	ogy
	ologies 5
	eture
	eralization
	ts9
	dations
	of Qualifications
Assav certif	ficates Appendix I
I IOT OF FI	
LIST OF F	GURES
T: 1	I anation Man
Figure 1	Location Map
Figure 2	Claim Map back pocket
Figure 3	Geology of Property back pocket
T TOTAL OF DE	и от од
LIST OF PI	<u> 10108</u>
D1 . 1	
	6
Photo 4	9

SUMMARY

A mapping program was completed for Roy E. LaCarte on the Roy LaCarte property in September/October 2000. The ground was staked in March 2000 and transferred to Roy LaCarte in August, 2000. A stripping program uncovered approximately 48,984 square feet (4551 m²) of outcrop. Several areas were trenched to a depth of 15 feet without reaching outcrop. These were subsequently backfilled. The exposed rocks were washed and prospected and sampled across faults and mineralized zones. Samples were assayed for multiple element and metallics. Two days were spent in the field mapping the geology and structure.

North Williams Township has no published map of its geology. From compilation maps, the predominant rock types here are Precambrian age, mafic to intermediate volcanic flow with some interflow tuff units, Huronian sediments and Keweenawan age Nipissing gabbro. There has been some faulting evidenced by an offset of the tuff unit. One small area of presumably Huronian quartzite was seen but is suspected to be float at the base of the overburden. Huronian quartzite and pebble conglomerate have been exposed on other properties in the vicinity. This is of interest as it has been found to be both copper- and gold bearing.

South of the property is a small active vein-type barite mine which has been a small producer this year. The barite property has been under development since the mid-90's.

Nearby claims were staked by Mr. A. LaCarte in response to EM anomalies on Norman Lake, to the west of this claim block, identified by OGS airborne maps released in 1990. While prospecting the area with a small EM devise (unknown model), Mr. A. LaCarte identified an anomaly on this property (presented by chalcopyrite mineralization upon stripping) which he subsequently staked then transferred to the current holder (A.LaCarte, pers.comm.).

There is significant mineralization in the form of chalcopyrite and pyrite along with hematite and specular hematite. There is abundant quartz in the system evidenced as veins, sweats and fracture fillings, particularly close to the fault trace. This fault traverses the stripped area in a disjointed pattern apparently controlled by the fracture sets observed throughout the exposed outcrop.

PROPERTY DESCRIPTION AND ACCESS

The property lies approximately 110 km due north of Sudbury, 110 km due south of South Porcupine and approximately 170 km west of New Liskeard (Figure 1). Road access to the property is by well-traveled Bay Lumber gravel road south from highway 560 to Chrysler Lake in Ogilvie Township. From here a logging road in good condition heads eastwards then north of Norman Lake to the Hydro line. Head south on the Hydro line a short distance and turn east (left) onto the bush road into the property.

The Roy LaCarte property consists of one claim (L1239256) of 12 claim units, in the northeast corner of North Williams Township (G-3694)(Figure 2). The claim is covered with 0m to over 5m of overburden consisting of sand and gravel. The author visited only the outcrop exposed by stripping.




Figure 1. Property Location Map

PAST WORK

No previous work has been reported on this property.

REGIONAL GEOLOGY

North Williams Township lies within the Southern structural province near the boundary with the Superior province. The only published geology of this area was found on the Ontario Geological Survey's Geological Compilation Series Map 2361 - Sudbury-Cobalt sheet. The area to the north and east has been remapped recently by the Ontario Geological Survey.

This area is near the western margin of the Cobalt sedimentary basin bounded on the west by granite. The sedimentary rocks here belong to the Middle Precambrian age Cobalt Group of the Huronian Supergroup. Nearby are both Gowganda Formation pebble conglomerates as well as Lorrain Formation quartzites. Nipissing gabbro is also present to the east and south of the claim group. The area is covered by substantial and varying amounts of Quaternary glacial sand and gravel deposits. There have not been any volcanic rocks mapped here previously due to the overburden cover.

Structurally, there is only one large fault in the vicinity of North Williams Township. The Sturgeon River Fault runs northwestward just east of the area. A second, smaller fault runs in a curved northeasterly direction but is only shown to extend approximately 5 km from Norman Lake to the top of the township. The continuation of this fault is not mapped on the compilation map sheet to the north.

The airborne Mag and EM maps, released in 1990 by the OGS, indicate a small EM anomaly just west of the claims at Norman Lake (pers. comm. A. LaCarte, Oct.2000). Mr. A.LaCarte, who has adjoining property, prospected the area with a small EM devise (unknown model) and identified an anomaly on this property (presented by chalcopyrite mineralization upon stripping).

LOCAL GEOLOGY

Lithologies

Two lithologies were mapped on this property. The volcanic flows are fine grained, mafic to intermediate flows. Portions of the flows are porphyritic and much of the rock is amygdaloidal. Some pillow selvages were identified clearly and others were rather obscure. None were complete. In the northeastern portion of the stripping, the amygdules showed a foliation, probably related to one of the prominent fracture patterns. The second lithology is a finely banded volcanic ash tuff (Photo 1). A single band, approximately 6m in width, was identified in the western half of the exposed rock. This band has been offset by the main fault, which runs through the outcrop.



Photo 1. Vertically dipping tuff unit. Width of view is approximately 30-40cm.

There is one small exposure of an unknown rock type about 15m SSW of the eastern tuff unit. It may be a basal breccia unit of overlying Huronian conglomerate which infills depressions. It is very fine grained with both large and small angular fragments as well as some small rounded fragments (matrix?) (Photo 2). The other possibility is a mafic intrusive with xenoliths. The author's opinion leans towards the sedimentary basal breccia due to its small extent and lack of chill margin.



Photo 2. Exposure of uncertain lithology. Note contact to the left of centre at pencil. Note number and size variance of either breccia fragments or xenoliths.

Structure

Several prominent fracture sets are observed with varying intensities throughout the property. One fault runs discontinuously throughout the length of the outcrop. The fault is well exposed in the western half of the exposure due to the significant offset and exposed breccia formation along its length. The orientation of the fault appears to change in several places. These changes in orientations are assumed to be controlled by the local fracture patterns. The most prominent set measures 010 ° - 016 ° azimuth and dips from 70 ° west to vertical. A fault striking 016 ° dipping 72 ° west in the southeastern portion is part of this set. A secondary set measures 285 ° to 300 ° with no measured dip. This second set appears to occur only southeast of the fault. Quartz is associated with this second set and occurs as fracture fillings and sweats. At the eastern end of the northeastern trench there are two quartz stringer zones ranging from 5cm to 30cm in width with pyrite and possibly chalcocite mineralization. A third fracture zone in that area has randomly oriented aplitic dyklets associated with it.

The primary structural feature here is the fault, which runs throughout the stripped area. Nowhere is the actual fault visible due to abundant rubble filling the depressed area, forming a "ditch". Breccia formation is evident in several locations, particularly west of the tuff unit. Shattered rock infilled with quartz can be seen adjacent to the fault at Photo site 3. In this vicinity abundant quartz is seen as 2cm to 10cm thick lenses warping over the gently dipping outcrop surface (roughly striking 230° and dipping 35° to the southeast). This may be the general strike and dip of the fault in this vicinity. The offset of the fault is evidenced by the tuff unit east and west of the fault. It shows approximately 25m sinistral (left-handed) strike-slip displacement. No indication of vertical movement can be determined. The fault takes a jog near the centre of the map area and another is assumed to the northeast as no further evidence is seen in outcrop and by the distribution of outcrop and low area the fault may resume its NNE trend.




Photo 3. Exposure adjacent to fault with fractures infilled with quartz.

Mineralization

Mineralization appears to be concentrated near the fault, as there is virtually no mineralization in the westernmost exposure. Mineralization near the fault consists of quartz, chalcopyrite, chalcocite (?), pyrite, specular hematite, hematite and cobalt. The mineralization seems to be

strongest in the area where the fault jogs and strikes ~240 °. Quartz lenses are abundant on the northwest side of the fault in this area. Significant amounts of chalcopyrite and cobalt are noted here as well. Southeast of the fault the quartz is in smaller stringer form and may be associated with the fracture set striking 285° to 300°. The fracturing here is quite intense. Chalcopyrite is the predominant metallic mineral with pyrite and some cobalt locally (Photo 4).



Photo 4. Note chalcopyrite mineralization associated with quartz stringers.

ASSAY RESULTS

One prospecting sample (no. 4) was taken prior to major stripping and assayed for Au, Ag, Pt and Pd and sent for ICP multi-element analysis. The sample had visible chalcopyrite and cobalt. Results showed 4582ppm (0.46%) Cu and 95ppm Co. Only silver showed a significant presence of 17.2 ppm. Of the three samples taken after stripping was completed, all were in proximity to the fault and all had only ICP multi-element analysis. Sample no.2 has elevated silver (13.8ppm), cobalt (260ppm) and copper (2916ppm) values. The sample is also slightly elevated in bismuth, molybdenum, arsenic and nickel. Sample no.1 is also only slightly elevated in silver, arsenic, copper and zinc. Sample no.3 has significantly lower values for these metals yet is quite elevated in zinc whereas the other two samples are low in zinc. These assays in themselves are significant in that they indicate there are metal bearing fluids in the system and further sampling should attempt to identify the controlling factors to direct future exploration.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Systematic sampling for sulphides and wholerock analyses across the fault zone would give an indication of mineralization zoning, if any, and alteration associated with the fault. A good look at the fault in the area of mineralization would be invaluable - either by hand trenching or blasting. This approach would also allow for fresh surface samples and analyses. If the fault assays show promising results, a geophysical survey to delineate the fault extensions, and any additional kinks, would be worthwhile before any additional stripping. A second fault to the north of the main fault, exposed in the western exposure may warrant some follow up work to identify its geometry and extent. Look for irregularities along its strike.

Elevated silver and cobalt values are interesting due to the geological environment here. From compilation maps we know we are close to the Keewatin-Huronian unconformity, as in Cobalt. We also have the proximity of the Nipissing gabbro, as in Cobalt. These conditions are key in locating potential silver-bearing veins in the Cobalt camp. If more information were available with respect to the Nipissing gabbro contact and its geometry, there may be silver potential associated with this area. More research, regarding how this environment is affected by being near the margins of the Cobalt basin, would be insightful. Structural information would be invaluable to this end.

REFERENCES

Card, K.D. and Lumbers, S.B. 1974. Sudbury-Cobalt Geological Compilation Series Map 2361; Ontario Geological Survey. Scale 1"= 4 miles

Pyke, D.R., Ayres, A.D., and Innes D.G. 1971. Timmins-Kirkland Lake Geological Compilation Series Map 2205; Ontario Geological Survey. Scale 1"= 4 miles.

STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS

- I, Elaine Basa, of the town of Haileybury, in the Province of Ontario hereby certify as follows concerning my report on the Roy LaCarte property in North Williams Township, dated October 31, 2000:
 - 1. I graduated from Carleton University in 1985 with a degree of Bachelor of Science, Honours Geology
- 2. I have worked continuously in the mining industry for the past 15 years
- 3. The attached report is a product of:
 - a) data provided to me by Roy LaCarte
 - b) maps identified in the reference section of this report
 - c) verbal communication with Archie LaCarte
- 4. I do not have any direct or indirect interest in the property described.

Elaine Basa

Dated in Haileybury, Ontario, on October 31, 2000

APPENDIX I

Assay Certificates

Swastika Laboratories Ltd.

1 Cameron Avc., Swastika, Ontario, POK 1TO

Tel: (705) 642-3244 Fax: (705) 642-3300

Report No

0W3407 R

Date

Oct-27-00

Attention: R. Lacarte

Project: North Williams

R. LACARTE

Sample: Rock

MULTI-ELEMENT ICP ANALYSIS

Aqua Regia Digestion

Sample Number	Ag ppm	AI %	As ppm	Ba ppm	Be ppm	Bi ppm	Ca %	Cá ppm	Co ppui	Cr ppm	Cu ppm	Pe %	K %	Mg %	Min	Ma ppm	Na %	Ni ppm	ppm P	Pb Pb	Sb ppm	Sc ppm	Sn pper	Sr ppen	77 %,	V ppm	bbon M	un ld A	Zn ppm	i Pl
• 1	4.2	1.02	130	10	<0.5	<\$	0.33	r. 1	40	176	1907	3.79	0.03	0.91	400	2	8.04	24	550	360	<5	4	<10	,	0.15	56	<10	5	143	
3 2	13.8	0.90	555	30	<0.5	40	0.97	< 1	260	109	5619	3.44	0.13	0.80	305	16	0.04	65	720	370	<5	4	<10	4	0.09	49	<10	7	56	
: 7	0.8	1.52	36	30	<0.5	<5	0.58	4	45	75	355	5.28	0.14	1.76	655	< 2	0.08	31	B4D	724	<5	4	<10	23	0.21	87	<10	11	1240	

TOTAL F.04

Up to 100 ppm Cr contamination due to sample grinding.

A .5 gm sample is digested with 5 ml 3:1 HCl/HNO3 at 95c for 2 hours and diluted to 25ml with D.I.1120.

Swastika Laboratories Ltd.

1 Cameron Ave., Swastika, Ontario, P0K 1T0

Tel: (705) 642-3244 Fax: (705) 642-3300

Report No : 69

: 0W2250 R

Date

Aug-03-00

iject:

nple: Rock

LACARTE

ention: R. Lacarte

MULTI-ELEMENT ICP ANALYSIS

Aqua Regia Digestion

th Williams #1 16.2 0.96 260 10 <0.5 75 0.86 <1 95 522 4582 3.79 0.04 0.87 390 16 0.04 51 640 130 5 3 <10 6 0.10 53 <10 7 7

(4)

aple nber

> η. Θ ω

Telephone (705) 642-3244

Fax (705) 642-3300

Signed: J. Hull



Swastika Laboratories Ltd

Assaying - Consulting - Representation

Geochemical Analysis Certificate

0W-2250-RG1

Company:

R. LACARTE

Date: JUL-19-00

Project:

Aπ_B:

R. Lacarte

We hereby certify the following Geochemical Analysis of 1 Rock samples submitted JUL-14-00 by .

Sample	Au	Αu	Check	Ag	Pt	Pd	Multi
Number	PPB		PPB	PPM	PPB	PPB	Element
North Williams #1 (4)	36	7	34	17.2	<	<5	Results to follow

One assay ton portion used.

Certified by

1 Cameron Ave., P.O. Box 10, Swastika, Ontario P0K 1T0 Telephone (705) 642-3244 Fax (705) 642-3300

9

PROSPECTORS LOG:
ROY LACARTE ..client # 300341
Assessment Filings:

RE; North Williams twp Claim # 1239256 (12 units) P06NE2011 2.20674 NORTH WILLIAMS

020

Daily Log:		t.	
:June 16/2000 Start work: :	941 Cat Track Dozer- \$75.00hr with of Link Belt Hydraulic Backtoe-1 3/4 yard with operator		.00 hr with
	Float-Cat Bulldozer to site in North	Williams	\$600.00
:June 17/2000	Float-Link Belt Backhoe to site North Total Mobilization	ı Williams _	\$880.00 \$1,480.00
:June 19/2000	Walk machines (2) into site area begin site preparation-stripping	8hrs at \$75 8hrs at \$11	0.00
:June 20/2000	begin stripping area #1 begin site clearing/both machines	Total for d 8hrs x\$75.0 8hrs x\$110.	0 0 00
:June 21/2000	Trenching #1 Trench both machines includes stripping		00)\$1,480.00
:June 22/2000	Trenching #1 Trench both machines includes stripping)\$ 1 480 00
:June 23/2000	Trenching & stripping both mahcines	8Hrs " " 8hrs " ")\$ 1,480.00
:June 26/2000	Trenching-Stripping #1Trench both machines	8hrs " " " 8hrs " ")\$ 1,480.00
:June 27/2000	Trenching and Stripping #1 Trench both machines	8hrs " ")\$ 1,480.00
:June 28/2000	Trenching and stripping #1 Trench both machines	8hrs " " 8hrs " ")\$ 1,480.00
:June 29/2000	Trenching and stripping #1 Trench and start of Trench #2 both machines	8hrs " " 8HRS)\$ 1,480.00
:June 30/2000	Trenching and stripping Trench #2 both machines	8hrs " " 8hrs " ")\$1,480.00
:July 3/2000	Trenching and stripping Trench #2 both machines	8hrs " " " 8h rs " ")\$1,480.00
TOTAL THIS PAGE	3	\$16,280.00 \$ 1,480.00	THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO SERVICE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO SERVICE

PROSPECTORS LOG CONT'D RE: NORTH WILLIAMS TWP. CLAIM # 1239256

CHAIN # 12392	,		
:July 4/2000	Trenching Stripping Trench #3 * Test Pit both machines	8hrsx\$ 8hrsx\$	75.00)\$1,480.00 110.00
:July 4/2000	Test Pits & Trench # 3 Trenching and stripping both machines	8hrs "	")\$1,480.00
:July. 5/2000	Test Pits & #3Trench Trenchin g and stripping both machines	8hrs "	")\$1,480.00
:July24/2000	Trenching & stripping Trench #3 both machines	8hrs "8hrs "	")\$1,480.00
;July25/2000	Trenching & Stripping Trench #3 both machines	8hrs " 8hrs "	")\$1,480.00
:July 26/2000	Trenching & Stripping <u>Trench #4</u> both machines	8hrs "8hrs "	")\$1,480.00
:July27/2000	Trenching south Exstension #1 Trench both machines	8hrs "	")\$1,480.00
:July28/2000	Trenching -Tests Pits both machines	8hrs " 8hrs "	")\$1,480.0 0
:July31/2000	Stripping & Trenching <u>Trench #5</u> both machines	8hrs " 8hrs "	")\$1,480.00
:Aug 1 /2000	Trenching & Stripping Trench #5 both machines	8hrs "8hrs "	")\$1,480.00
:Aug 2 /2000	Trenching & Stripping Trench #5 both machines	8hrs " 8hrs "	")\$1,480.00
:Aug 3 /2000	Trenching & Stripping Trench #5 both machines	8hrs " 8hts "	")\$1,480.00
:Aug 4 /2000	Trenching & Stripping Trench #5 both machines	8hrs " 8hrs "	")\$1,480.00
:Aug14 /2000	Trenching and extensive site leveling and preparation for viewing both machines	8hrs "8hrs "	")\$1,480.00
:Aug15 /2000	Extension of Trenches both machines	8hrs "8hrs "	")\$1,480.00
:Aug16 /2000	Extension of Trenches both machines	8hrs "8hrs "	",)\$1,480.00
:Aug17 /2000	Extension of Trenches both machines	8hrs " 8hrs "	")\$1,480.00
TOTAL THIS: PA		\$25	5,160.00
Demobilization Hydraulic Back	n of Equipment back to Englehart hoe	φ.	000 00
المونات ما ساست الدار		.\$	880.00

PROSPECTORS LOG CONT'D RE: NORTH WILLIAMS TWP CLAIM # 1239256

,			
;Aug 29/2000 Site-Washing of rock \$150.00 per dayper man -\$ 50.00 per day for pump -51enths of hose at \$7.00	rental		\$300.00 \$ 50.00 \$ 35.00 \$385.00
:Aug 30/2000 Site-washing of rock Demobilization of Cat Bul	" "		\$385.00 \$600.00 *
:Aug 31/2000 Site-Washing of rock	11 11	11	\$385.00
:Sept 1/2000 Site-Washing of rock	17	11	\$385.0 0
:Sept 4/2000 Site-Washing of rock	11 71	**	\$385.00
:Sept17/2000 Site-Washing of rock	11 11	11	\$385,00
:Sept18/2000 Site-Washing of rock	11 11	11	\$385.00
:Sept19/2000 Site-washing of rock TOTAL THIS PAGE *Demobilization of bulldozer back to E	ng Leha	u rt	\$385.00 \$3,080.00 600.00

ACCOUNTING TOTALS:

Mobilization & demobilization \$ 2,960.00

TOTAL ASSESSMENT WORK PREFORMED \$47,480.00 carry fwd to accounting form for filing.

 ${\tt PROSPECTORS} \ \ {\tt COMMENTS:...} {\tt see mapping and Geological support comments attached.}$

TRENCH # 1.... preformed by Elaine Basa-recognized Geologist to Scale:

See MAPS+REPORTS ATTACHED.

TRENCH # 2....

HAPS + REPORTS ATTACHED

TRENCH # 3....

MAPS + REPORTS ATTACHED

TRENCH # 4...

MAPS + REPORTS ATTACHED.

TRENCH # 5....

MAPS + REPORTS - ATTACHED



Ministry of Northern Development and Mines

Declaration of Assessment Work Performed on Mining Land

Mining Act, Subsection 65(2) and 66(3), R.S.O. 1990

Transaction Number (office use)

WOO 80.
Assessment Files Research Imaging



41P06NE2011

0241 (03/97)

2.20674

NORTH WILLIAMS

900

Instructions: - For work performed on Crown Lands before recording a claim, use form 0240

y of subsection 65(2) and 66(3) of the Mining Act. Under section 8 of the Mining Act the assessment work and correspond with the mining land holder. Questions about the Northern Development and Mines, 3rd Floor, 933 Ramsey take Road, Sudbur

- Please type or print	CINTOK.			
1. Recorded holder(s) (Attach:	a list if necessary)	5)	2067	^
Name Roy 20 Code		F. 9	Client Number 30034/	
Address	PROVINCE	AL RECORDING	Talanhana Number	h (\ (2 \)
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	E HARK REC	SUDBURY EIVED	544-3369 Fax Number	9 CEIL (705)
PoJ /HO	- NOV	0 1 2000	Client Number	
	A.M. /∶⊋∂	om not		and the second s
Address	[il & [a linii]	12 1 2 3 4 5 6	Telephone Number	r
			Fax Number	
			4	
2. Type of work performed: Ch	ieck (✓) and report on only (ONE of the following	g groups for this o	declaration.
Geotechnical: prospecting, s assays and work under section		nysical: drilling strip enching and associ		Rehabilitation
Work Type MECHANICAL STRIPP.	2010 1 1	•		Office Use
THE STATE	1007+ TENCHING.		Commodity	
			Total \$ Value of Work Claimed	47.480.00
Dates Work From Performed Day /b Month 06		09 2000 . Month Year	NTS Reference	
Global Positioning System Data (If available)	Township/Area NORTH WI	Winters.	Mining Division	KMOER LAKE
	M or G-Plan Number 3694		Resident Geolog	KARDER LAKE ist Kirkland Lake
- complete a - provide a n	oper notice to surface rights and attach a Statement of Comap showing contiguous mire copies of your technical rep	holders before star osts, form 0212; ning lands that are l	ting work;	ng work;
3. Person or companies who p	prepared the technical ren	ort (Attach a list if	necessary)	
Name	nepared the technical repo	or (Allacira not in	Telephone Numbe	r
Address			Fax Number	
Name			Telephone Numbe	
•				•
Address	1 0		Fax Number	
Name			Telephone Numbe	r
Address			Fax Number	•
4. Certification by Recorded H I. Lo La Carte (Print Name) this Declaration of Assessment Wo completion and, to the best of my k	, do hereby ork having caused the work	to be performed or		Ige of the facts set forth in me during or after its
Signature of Recorded Holder or Agen				Date Oct 31/2000
Agent's Address	!	Telephone Numb	er	Fax Number

NOV 0 1 2000

GEOSCIENCE ASSESSMENT
OFFICE



orm.		LIMAG	11 140	The second second	• "	
vork wes mining la column ti	Plaim Number. Or if done on other eligible and, show in this ne location number	Number of Claim Units. For other mining land, list hectares.	Value of work performed on this claim or other mining land.	Value of work applied to this claim	Value of work assigned to other mining claims.	Bank. Value o to be distribute at a future date
	on the claim map.	10.5-	A 20.025			(NESEAN
og	1B 7827	16 ha	\$26,825	N/A	\$24,000	\$2,825
9	1234567	12	0	\$24,000	0	0
g	1234568	: 2 ,.	\$ 8,892	\$ 4,000	0	\$4,892
<u> </u>	(0.2.0				4	4 (
	1239256	12/	47.480.00	0	-	47.48
-						
-						
		!				
		_		COMMAND AND STREET AS A SECOND STREET		
3		<u>.</u>				
0						
1						
2						
3		· ·				
4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
15						47.48
	Column Totals	12	47.480.00	0		47.47
	or LA CARTE	;	, do l	nereby certify tha	t the above work cred	
	(Print F	ull Name)				
subsec	tion 7 (1) of the Assess		ion 6/96 for assigni	ment to contiguor	us claims or for applic	cation to the clai
subsec where t	tion 7 (1) of the Assess he work was done.	ment Work Regulat	ion 6/96 for assigni	ment to contiguor	us claims or for applic	cation to the clai
subsec where t	tion 7 (1) of the Assess	ment Work Regulat	ion 6/96 for assign	ment to contiguor		cation to the clai
subsective to the subsection of the subsection o	tion 7 (1) of the Assess he work was done. of Recorded Holder or Age struction for cutting to the credits claimed in the the deletion of credits	of Authorized in Writing back credits that are this declaration mass:	Date e not approved. by be cut back. Plea	િદ્ધ કા/સ્ટ ise check (✓) in t	he boxes below to sh	ow how you wis
subsective to the subsection of the subsection o	tion 7 (1) of the Assess he work was done. of Recorded Holder or Age struction for cutting to the credits claimed in the the deletion of credits 1. Credits are	nt Authorized in Writing pack credits that are this declaration mass:	Date of approved. by be cut back. Plea on the Bank first, foll	Se check (✓) in to	he boxes below to sh or 3 or 4 as indicated	ow how you wis
subsective to the subsection of the subsection o	tion 7 (1) of the Assess the work was done. of Recorded Holder or Age struction for cutting to the credits claimed in the the deletion of credits 1. Credits are	of Authorized in Writing back credits that are this declaration mass:	Date e not approved. by be cut back. Plea on the Bank first, foll ting with the claims	se check (✓) in to owed by option 2 listed last, worki	he boxes below to sh or 3 or 4 as indicated ng backwards; or	ow how you wis
subsective to the subsection of the subsection o	tion 7 (1) of the Assess he work was done. of Recorded Holder or Age struction for cutting to the credits claimed in the the deletion of credits are a. Credits are 3. Credits are	ack credits that are to be cut back equals t	Date e not approved. by be cut back. Plea in the Bank first, foll ting with the claims	Se check (✓) in to owed by option 2 listed last, working listed in this deci	he boxes below to sh or 3 or 4 as indicated ng backwards; or	ow how you wis
subsective to the subsection of the subsection o	tion 7 (1) of the Assess he work was done. of Recorded Holder or Age struction for cutting to the credits claimed in the the deletion of credits are a. Credits are 3. Credits are	ack credits that are to be cut back equals t	Date e not approved. by be cut back. Plea in the Bank first, foll ting with the claims	Se check (✓) in to owed by option 2 listed last, working listed in this deci	he boxes below to sh or 3 or 4 as indicated ng backwards; or aration; or	ow how you wis
subsective to signature to sign	tion 7 (1) of the Assess he work was done. of Recorded Holder or Age struction for cutting to the credits claimed in the the deletion of credits are a. Credits are 3. Credits are	ment Work Regulated in Writing wack credits that are this declaration mass: e to be cut back from the to be cut back start to be cut back eque to be cut back as part of the c	Date of not approved. The proved pro	ise check (<) in to owed by option 2 isted last, working listed in this declarated appendix	he boxes below to she or 3 or 4 as indicated ng backwards; or aration; or or as follows (describ	d.
subsective to signature to sign	tion 7 (1) of the Assess he work was done. of Recorded Holder or Age struction for cutting to of the credits claimed in e the deletion of credits 1. Credits are 2. Credits are 4. Credits are	ment Work Regulated in Writing wack credits that are this declaration mass: e to be cut back from the to be cut back start to be cut back eque to be cut back as part of the c	Date of not approved. The proved proved provided the provided the control of the provided the control of the provided the control of the provided th	use check (<) in too owed by option 2 ilisted last, working listed in this declarated appendix dedits will be cut be	he boxes below to she or 3 or 4 as indicated ng backwards; or aration; or or as follows (describe ack from the Bank firs	ow how you wis
subsective to signature to sign	tion 7 (1) of the Assess he work was done. of Recorded Holder or Age struction for cutting to of the credits claimed in e the deletion of credits 1. Credits are 2. Credits are 3. Credits are 4. Credits are flyou have not indicated ollowed by option numbers.	ment Work Regulated in Writing wack credits that are this declaration mass: e to be cut back from the to be cut back start to be cut back eque to be cut back as part of the c	Date of not approved. The proved proved provided the provided the control of the provided the control of the provided the control of the provided th	ise check (<) in to owed by option 2 isted last, working listed in this declarated appendix	he boxes below to she or 3 or 4 as indicated ng backwards; or aration; or or as follows (describ	ow how you wis
subsect where the signature of the signa	tion 7 (1) of the Assess he work was done. of Recorded Holder or Age struction for cutting to of the credits claimed in e the deletion of credits 1. Credits are 2. Credits are 3. Credits are 4. Credits are flyou have not indicated ollowed by option numbers.	ment Work Regulated in Writing wack credits that are this declaration mass: e to be cut back from the to be cut back start to be cut back eque to be cut back as part of the c	Date The not approved. The proved by be cut back. Please the Bank first, following with the claims prioritized on the attraction to be deleted, creating the prioritized of the attraction to be deleted.	use check (<) in too owed by option 2 ilisted last, working listed in this declarated appendix dedits will be cut be	he boxes below to she or 3 or 4 as indicated ng backwards; or aration; or or as follows (describe ack from the Bank first	ow how you wis

NOV 0 1 2000

GEOSCIENCE ASSESSMENT OFFICE



Ministry of Northern Development and Mines

Statement of Costs for Assessment Credit

Transaction Number (office use)
W0080. 00411

Personal information collected on this form is obtained under the authority of subsection 6 (1) of the Assessment Work Regulation 6/96. Under section 8 of the Mining Act, this information is a public record. This information will be used to review the assessment work and correspond with the mining land holder Questions South this collection should be directed to a Provincial Mining Recorder, Ministry of Northern Development and Mines, 3rd Floor, 933 Ramsey Lake Road, Sulbury, Ontario, P3E 6B5.

Work Type	Units of work Depending on the type of work, list the number of hours/day worked, metres of drilling, kilometres of grid line, number of samples, etc.	Cost Per Unit of work	Total Cost
MECHANICAL TRENCHING.	224 hours	\$ 110 . a AT with operator.	34.640
LINK BELT HYDRAULIC BACKTO	£	ATTO OPERATOR.	97.070
MECHANICAL STRIPPING 941 CAT / TRACK BULL BOZER	224 hoves	at 75.00 hg with orchat of.	16.80. w
SitE-POWER WASHING		W 41 -0	5
HONDA 2" PUMP/ 995 OPERATE	PUMPRENHAL SO. DPER DAT	at \$ 150.00 pen dans	2,400.0
	SLENGTHS OF HOSE 7. Weach	7	400. 280.
Associated Costs (e.g. supplie	s, mobilization and demobilization).		
MOBILIZATION	BULL DOZER	60D. 10	
1000131477000	: BACKHOE	880.N	
DE HOBILIZATION	BULL DOZER- E	GLEHART. 600.00	
	: BACKHOE to Engle	1981. 880.	·
Total Cost of MOBIL	ization DE HOBILIZATION		2.960.
	ortation Costs		
Food and	Lodging Costs		
	Total V	/alue of Assessment Work	47.480.0
Calculations of Filing Discounts:			
2. If work is filed after two years and to	ormance is claimed at 100% of the above Tol up to five years after performance, it can only situation applies to your claims, use the calc	be claimed at 50% of the Total	
TOTAL VALUE OF ASSESSMENT W	ORK 64/A x 0.50 =	Total \$ value of w	orked claimed
	to verify expenditures claimed in this statem on. If verification and/or correction/clarification		a request for er may reject all
Certification verifying costs:			
I, ROTLA CARTE (please print full name)	, do hereby certify, that the amounts sh	own are as accurate as may re	easonably

(recorded holder, agent, or state com

I am authorized to make this certification

KECOADED HOLDER
(recorded holder, agent, or state company position with signing authority)

be determined and the costs were incurred while conducting assessment work on the lands indicated on the accompanying

0212 (03/97)

Declaration of Work form as

RECEIVED

NOV 0 1 2000

GEOSCIENCE ASSESSMENT
OFFICE

Ministry of Northern Development and Mines Ministère du Développement du Nord et des Mines



December 4, 2000

ROY EARL LACARTE BOX 431 LOT 4 CON 5 DACK TWP. ENGLEHART, ONTARIO P0J-1H0 Geoscience Assessment Office 933 Ramsey Lake Road 6th Floor Sudbury, Ontario P3E 6B5

Telephone: (888) 415-9845 Fax: (877) 670-1555

Dear Sir or Madam: Submission Number: 2.20674

Status

Subject: Transaction Number(s): W0080.00411 Approval

We have reviewed your Assessment Work submission with the above noted Transaction Number(s). The attached summary page(s) indicate the results of the review. WE RECOMMEND YOU READ THIS SUMMARY FOR THE DETAILS PERTAINING TO YOUR ASSESSMENT WORK.

If the status for a transaction is a 45 Day Notice, the summary will outline the reasons for the notice, and any steps you can take to remedy deficiencies. The 90-day deemed approval provision, subsection 6(7) of the Assessment Work Regulation, will no longer be in effect for assessment work which has received a 45 Day Notice. Allowable changes to your credit distribution can be made by contacting the Geoscience Assessment Office within this 45 Day period, otherwise assessment credit will be cut back and distributed as outlined in Section #6 of the Declaration of Assessment work form.

Please note any revisions must be submitted in DUPLICATE to the Geoscience Assessment Office, by the response date on the summary.

If you have any questions regarding this correspondence, please contact JIM MCAULEY by e-mail at james.mcauley@ndm.gov.on.ca or by telephone at (705) 670-5880.

Yours sincerely,

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY

Lucille Jerome

Acting Supervisor, Geoscience Assessment Office

Lucille Jerome

Mining Lands Section

Work Report Assessment Results

Submission Number:

2.20674

Date Correspondence Sent: December 04, 2000

Assessor: JIM MCAULEY

Transaction

First Claim

Number

Township(s) / Area(s)

Status

Approval Date

W0080.00411

1239256

NORTH WILLIAMS

Approval

November 29, 2000

Section:

Number

10 Physical PSTRIP

At the discretion of the Ministry, the assessment work performed on the mining lands noted in this work report may be subject to inspection and/or investigation at any time.

Correspondence to:

Resident Geologist Kirkland Lake, ON Recorded Holder(s) and/or Agent(s):

ROY EARL LACARTE ENGLEHART, ONTARIO

Assessment Files Library

Sudbury, ON

