010



CONSOLIDATED SILVER BUTTE MINES LTD.

REPORT ON GEOLOGICAL AND GEOCHEMICAL SURVEYS

CLAIMS P-757976 & 977, CHESTER TWP., ONTARIO.

BY: J. Bankowski, B.Sc. Febuary, 1987.

RECEIVED

APR - 3 1987

MINING LANDS SECTION

TABL

Ø10C

PAGE	CONTENTS
1	INTRODUCTION LOCATION AND ACCESS
2	GEOLOGY (CON'T.) GEOLOGY (CON'T.)
56	
APPENDIX 7	tt .
ATTACHED	FIGURE 2 - GEOCHEMISTRY AND GEOLOGY PLAN

INTRODUCTION

A program of geological mapping and geochemical sampling was conducted on claims 757976 and 977, Chester Twp. by J. Bankowski from October 21 to November 1, 1986.

The work was carried out on a grid with 200 foot line spacings and stations every 100 feet along the lines. A total of 90 geochemical samples were collected and sent to Bell-White Laboratories for annalysis (Appendix, P.7-10). A significant portion of the claims are composed of lake, swamp and low, wet ground and could not be sampled.

The two subject claims as well as 4 contiguous claims to the west comprise the "South" Chester group and are currently in good standing and are registered to Consolidated Silver Butte Mines Ltd. of Vancouver.

LOCATION AND ACCESS


Claims 757976 & 977 are located in the SE_4^{1} of NW_4^{1} of SE_4^{1} of Chester Twp., Porcupine Mining Division, District of Sudbury, Ontario (Figure 1, P. 2) and are about mid-way between Sudbury and Timmins.

Access to these claims is poor. A 4-wheel drive truck was used to reach the upper part of Three Ducks Lake. A 14-foot aluminum boat and 5-HP motor were then used to travel to the bottom of the lake and finally, a 1 mile walk was used to reach the claims.

Hwy. 144 passes about 1.5 miles to the east and recently constructed lumber roads by E.B. Eddy pass about 1 mile west of the claims. If further work is to be done on the claim-group, access should be off the lumber roads. A bridge would have to be built over the Mollie River and a trail cut but would seem the easiest way to access the claims.

GEOLOGY

The claims are underlain entirely by late Archean intrusive rocks belonging to the "Granodiorite Clan" classification. Regionally, this large intrusive complex ranges from mafic diorite-granodiorite to acidic alaskite-trondhejemite phases and is migmatitic with abundant inclusions (xenoliths) of Archean volcanic rocks especially with proximity to the intrusive-volcanic contact. The inclusions range from fresh and relatively unaltered to completely digested. Distinction






FIGURE 1-CLAIM LOCATION MAP

GEOLOGY (CON'T)

between volcanic inclusions and mafic phases of the intusive is often difficult due to remelting and assimilation during the migmatitic process especially near the volcanic contact.

The area has been competently mapped by Siragusa (P.2449, 1980) and this is the best reference map of the area currently available.

Three prominent sets of structure are present in the general area. The most prominent set are a series of faults trending at about 150 to 170° azimuth, parallel to the Lake Mesomikenda Fault which has the largest horizontal displacement seen in the area with the east side displaced north about $\frac{1}{4}$ mile. The faults are often occupied by diabase dikes and display little or no displacement.

The next most prominent set are a series of shears trending at about 90 to 120° azimuth. Little horizontal displacement is seen on this set.

The third set is a series of faults trending at about 45° azimuth which hosts several wide quartz-diabase dikes.

Gold mineralization in the area is generally within the shears at 90-120° azimuth and appears to be best developed where the shears are intersected by the faults at 150-170° azimuth often with the presence of in-filling diabase dikes.

Exceptions to the above are known with several gold occurrences on the Murgold claims trending at about 45° azimuth. The gold occurrence on claim 537233 just west of the subject claims trends at about 70° azimuth and is the most southerly of the known occurrences in the area.

The gold mineralization itself appears to consist of two types, a disseminated sulphide type and a fissure type. The disseminated type trends at about 90° azimuth while the fissure type trends at about 120° azimuth.

The disseminated type consists of disseminated chalcopyrite and pyrite around a core of disseminated to massive pyrite, pyrrhotite, chalcopyrite and arsenopyrite with narrow quartz veins carrying the bulk of the gold values.

The fissure type consists of quartz veins with disseminated to massive pyrite, chalcopyrite and arsenopyrite locally with sphalerite especially where better gold values are found. Minor galena was noted by the author on the gold occurrence on claim 537233 just west of the subject claims which is considered a fissure type. A selected grab sample off this occurrence yielded a value of 1.24 oz. Au/T.

GEOLOGY (CON'T.)

The disseminated type gives a very strong ground VLF-EM, I.P. and magnetic response while the fissure type gives no geophysical response. Spatially, the disseminated type such as the Kidd #2 zone-Murgold #20 zone are found close to the volcanic contact while the fissure type such as the Murgold #1 and #3 veins are further away from the volcanic contact.

Within the subject claims 757976&977, the dominant rock appears to be a pink granite which comprises all of 757976 and the northern portion of 757977 (Figure 2). A white granite with apparently less K-feldspar (orthoclase) and more Ca-feldspar (albite-plagioclase) is found on the remainder of 757977. Both phases of the intrusive host several small masses of diorite which may be partially digested volcanic xenoliths or may also be a more mafic phase of the intrusion.

It should be noted that the gold occurrence on claim 537233 just to the west, is hosted in dioritic rock.

Both the white and the pink granites ranged from medium to coarse-grained and phenocrysts of blue quartz "eyes" were noted in outcrop at about L8E-4S. Major components of both are feldspar and quartz with lesser mafic minerals and mica with minor sulphides. The diorite was fine to medium-grained and massive. Sulphide content was also minor.

Overburden on the subject claims is fairly thin and is probably less than 5 feet except in low, swampy areas which may be deeper. The soil appears to be residual in nature and a white sandy soil is developed on a yellow, sandy soil. In areas with thin (0-1 foot) soil, only the white, sandy soil was developed while in deeper soil, both horizons were developed.

No surface expression of the extension of the gold mineralization on claim 537233 was noted on strike on claim 757976 as this projected area is low and swampy with no outcrop. The extension of the mineralization west of the occurrence on 537233 would seem to have more potential as this area is close to what the author believes is a controlling structure (fault) through Three Ducks Lake.

GEOCHEMISTRY

A total of 90 geochemical "soil" samples were collected from claims 757976&977 and sent to Bell-White Laboratories, Haileybury for assay of gold and silver (Appendix, P7-10). Values were plotted and

GEOCHEMISTRY (CON'T.)

contored at 10 ppm intervals for gold (Figure 2). Silver is given in ppm but was only detected at two locations, BL-3E and BL-OE.

The soil is developed into a white, sandy "A" horizon and a yellow, sandy "B" horizon in the deeper sections of the overburden which was a maximum of about five feet in depth where sampled. As such, any gold mineralization should have given a good anomaly. In fact, only two areas were weakly anomalous with the highest value obtained at 18 ppb gold. One area is centered on LOE-2N and the other at L11+40E-4N with high values of 18 and 14 ppb gold respectively.

The values obtained and also the lack of any interesting mineralization noted while mapping the geology leads to the conclusion that no truely anomalous areas exist on the two claims with the possible exception of wet, swampy or low areas where samples were not obtained and the geology uncertain.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The geological mapping and geochemical sampling carried out on claims 757976&977 failed to outline any areas which could be considered interesting and worthy of additional work.

If further work is desired on these claims, the best location appears to be LOE-BL as the high value of 18 ppb gold was obtained near here and the only silver values were also detected here.

The extension of the mineralization on claim 537233 to the west should be examined to find the extension to the west as this direction approaches the fault through Three Ducks Lake and this juncture is considered a favourable area for gold mineralization.

CERTIFICATE

- I, Joseph H. Bankowski, do hereby certify:
- 1 that I am an exploration geologist residing at 606 Sweetwater Place, Mississauga, Ontario;
- 2 that I am a graduate of the University of Western Ontario, 1980 with a B.Sc. (Geology) and also a graduate of Cambrian College, Sudbury, Ontario, 1972 (Geol. Tech.);
- 3 that I have been engaged in the practice of my profession since graduating;
- 4 that I have no interest, direct or indirect, nor do I expect to receive any such interest in the properties or securities of Consolidated Silver Butte Mines Ltd.

Joseph H. Bankowski Geologist (B.Sc.)

Dated: Febuary 27, 1987.

APPENDIX



Bell - White analytical laboratories Ltd.

P.O. BOX 187.

HAILEYBURY, ONTARIO

TEL: 672-3107

Certificate of Analysis

Page 1 of 3

NO. 2198

DATE:

December 18, 1986

SAMPLE(S) OF:

Soils (90)

RECEIVED:

December 1986

SAMPLE(S) FROM:

Mr. J. Bankowski, Mississauga, Ontario

Sample Identification	Gold ppb	Silver ppm
2 E - 1 N	4	ND
2 E - 2 N	8	ND
2E-3N	. 6	ND
2E-2S	10	ND
2E-3S	2	ND
2E-4S	2	ND
2E-5S	4	ND
2E-6S	8	ND
2E-13S	2	ND
2E-6+75S	6	ND
4E-3S	8	ND
4E-4S	2	ND
4E-5S	6	ND
4E-6S	6	ND
4E-7S	8	ND
4E-8S	4	ND
4E-9S	6	ND
4E-13S	6	ND
6E-5N	8	ND
6E-6N_	2	ND
6 E - 7 N	6	ND
6E-8N	6	ND
6E-9N	4	ND
6E-11N	4	ND
6E-12N	4	ND
6E-4S	2	ND
6E-5S	2	ND
6E-6S	2	ND
6E-7S	2	ND
6E-8S	4860224826826684668266444222222	ND

NOTE: ND denotes not detected.

BELL-WHITE ANALYTICAL LABORATORIES LTD.

IN ACCORDANCE WITH LONG-ESTABLISHED NORTH AMERICAN CUSTOM, UNLESS IT IS SPECIFICALLY STATED OTHERWISE GOLD AND SILVER VALUES REPORTED ON THESE SHEETS HAVE NOT BEEN ADJUSTED TO COMPENSATE FOR LOSSES AND JAINS INHERENT IN THE FIRE ABSAY PROCESS.



Bell - White analytical laboratories Ltd.

P.O. BOX 187,

HAILEYBURY, ONTARIO

TEL: 672-3107

Certificate of Analysis

Page 2 of 3

NO.

2198

DATE:

December 18, 1986

SAMPLE(S) OF:

Soils (90)

RECEIVED:

December 1986

SAMPLE(S) FROM:

Mr. J. Bankowski, Mississauga, Ontario

Sample Identification	Gold ppb	Silver ppm
6E-9S	4 2 4 2 4 2 8 6 6 4 2	ND
6E-10S	2	ND
6E-13+12.5S	4	ND
8E-3N	2	ND
8 E - 4 N	4	ND
8E-5N	2	ND
8E-11N	8	ND
8E-12N	6	ND
8E-4S	6	ND
8E-5S	4	ND
8E-6S	2	ND
8E-7S	10 3 4 2 2 2 4 2 4 2 4	ND
8E-8S	3	ND
8E-9S	4	ND
8E-10S	2	ND
8E-11S	2	ND
1 OE - 4 N	2,	ND
10E-5N	4 ·	ND
10E-11N	2	ND
10E-12N	4.	ND
10E-3S	4	ND
10E-4S	2	ND
10E-5S	4	ND
10E-6S	4	ND
10E-7S	4.	ND
10E-8S		ND
10E-9S	2	ND
10E-10S	4 2 2	ND
10E-11S	4	ND
11+40E-2N	4	ND

NOTE: ND denotes not detected.

BELL-WHITE ANALYTICAL LABORATORIES LTD.

De

IN ACCORDANCE WITH LONG-ESTABLISHED NORTH AMERICAN CUSTOM, UNLESS IT IS SPECIFICALLY STATED OTHERWISE GOLD AND SILVER VALUES REPORTED ON THESE SHEETS HAVE NOT BEEN ADJUSTED TO COMPEN-SATE FOR LOSSES AND GAINS INHERENT IN THE FIRE ASSAY PROCESS.



Bell - White analytical laboratories Ltd.

P.O. BOX 187.

HAILEYBURY, ONTARIO

TEL: 672-3107

Certificate of Analysis

Page 3 of 3

2198 NO.

DATE:

December 18, 1986

SAMPLE(S) OF:

Soils (90)

RECEIVED:

December 1986

SAMPLE(S) FROM:

Mr. J. Bankowski, Mississauga, Ontario

Sample Identification	Gold ppb	Silver ppm
11+40E-3N	_4	ND
11+40E-4N	14**	ND
11+40E-5N	2 2 2 4 4 4 2 6 8 4	ND
11+40E-6N	2	ND
11+40E-7N	2	ND
11+40E-8N	4	ND
11+40E-9N	4	ND
11+40E-10N	4	ND
11+40E-11N	2	ND
11+40E-12N	6	ND
11+30E-3S	8	ND
11+30E-4S	4	ND
11+30E-5S	4	ND
11+30E-7S	4 4 4 6 4 -6	ND
11+30E-8S	4	ND
11+30E-9S	6	ND
11+30E-10S	4	ND
11+30E-11S	.6	ND
BL-1E	10	ND
BL-2E	4	ND O.2
BL-3E	6	√0.2
BL0+00-0+00E	4 6 _8	0.4
0 E - 1 N	10	ND
0 E - 2 N	18	ND
0E-2+60N	8	ND
0E-2S	10 2 4 10 6	ND
0E-3S	2	ND
0E-4S	4	ND
0E-5S	10	ND
0E-13S	6	ND

NOTE: ND denotes not detected.

BELL-WHITE ANALYTICAL LABORATORIES LTD.

IN ACCORDANCE WITH LONG-ESTABLISHED NORTH AMERICAN CUSTOM UNLESS IT IS SPECIFICALLY STATED OTHERWISE GOLD AND SILVER VALUES REPORTED ON THESE SHEETS HAVE NOT BEEN ADJUSTED TO COMPEN-SATE FOR LOSSES AND GAINS NHERENT IN THE FIRE ASSAY PROCESS.

De



Bell-White analytical laboratories Ltd.

P.O. BOX 187

HAILEYBURY, ONTARIO
POJ 1KO

TEL: (705) 672-3107

Mr. J. Bankowski 606 Sweetwater P1. MISSISSAUGA, Ontario L5H 3Y3

INVOICE


22251

ORDER NO.

DATE

December 18, 1936

CERTIFICATE NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION	TUDOMA
2198	Dec. 13/36	90 Au @ \$8.50 90 Ag @ \$2.00 90 Sample Preparations @ \$1.25	\$ 765.00 130.00 112.50 376.50 \$1057.50
: .		# 433.50	
		-re: Chester Twp. 757976.#977	,



8	Mi Na
Ontario	1



Report of Work (Geophysical, Geological, Geochemical and Expenditures)

52/87

Instructions: - Please type or print.

- If number of mining claims travers

	Mini		
Type of Survey(s)	Geological and Geochemical	41P125W0060 2.9923 CHESTER	900
Claim Holder(s)	Cons. Silver Butte Mines Lta	•	T-1977
Address	#906-837 West Hastings St.,	Vancouver, B.C.,	V6C 1B6
Survey Company	J. Bankowski	Date of Survey (from & to) 2.1 10 80 1 Day Mo. Yr. Day N	11 86 Total Miles of line Cut
Name and Address	of Author (of Geo-Technical report)		•
	J. Bankowski, 606 Sweetwater	Pl., Miss., Ont.	. L5H 3Y8

J. Ba	nkowski			Day Mo.		11 80 Mo. Yr.	1.2	
Name and Address of Author (o	f Geo-Technical report)			1 087 1 1110.1	··· Uay	MO. 17.		, ,•
J. Ba	nkowski, 60	6 Swee	etwater :	Pl., Miss	ont	L5H	3Y8	
Credits Requested per Each (ms Traversed (l				
Special Provisions	Geophysical	Days per		ing Claim	Expend.		ing Claim	Expend.
-	Geophysical	Claim	Prefix	Number	Days Cr.	Prefix	Number	Days Cr.
For first survey:	- Electromagnetic		p '	757976	R. D			
Enter 40 days. (This includes line cutting)			14.9755525			1350 983		
,	- Magnetometer		P	757977	Q.R		•	<u>.</u>
For each additional survey:	- Radiometric				······································			
using the same grid:	- Other			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Enter 20 days (for each)	- Other							
	Geological	40			٠		•	
		.20						
	Geochemical			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			<u> </u>
Man Days	Geophysical	Days per Claim			1 1906			
Complete reverse side	· crammer - Napageri	Claim		 			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 -
and enter total(s) here	- • Electromagnetic	*******				**	*	
	- Magnetometer			,				
REC	Magnetometer Padiometric			 			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
_	- Radiometric							
MAR 1	6.1982			•			a the teaching of a solution of	
,			*************************************			-	<u> </u>	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Geological							- 1 0 200
M1/1123 17/	TO CENT ON							
	.Assocharpicar;;;		_			_		
Airborne Credits		Days per Claim						1
Alata: Canalal municipas	F1							- : - ·
Note: Special provisions credits do not apply	Electromagnetic				ļ			ļ
to Airborne Surveys.	Magnetometer		1					
,						1		
	Radiometric					COR	DED	
Expenditures (excludes power					R	COR	1	
Type of Work PURCUPINE MINING	DIVISION							
	W 12 11 11					1 1	4007	
Performeblohi Cleimis) 15 U			13.35			MAR 1	f 1801	₩ '
					 	TIMIN -		1
MAR 10	1987							1
MAIN 10	1001							4
Calculation of Expenditure Day	s Credits	Total						
Total Expanditores		s Credits				16.00		
\$	÷ 15 =					Total numb	per of mining	
	با لٽا ل					claims cove	rand by this	~2
Instructions		1 al a a !				report of w	~~ \	
Total Days Credits may be a choice, Enter number of day				or Office Use O	nly	1	man	
in columns at right.			Total Days C Recorded	r. Date Recorded	1	Ming Rec	order	
				ma.	10/87)	G MINING RECO	PRDER
Date Re	cordea Holder or Agent (Signature)	1 120	Date Approved	as Recorded	Branch Dire	ctor	
Feb. $27/87$	Corded Holder or Agent (Nee Xill	seil s	Laken	ent	
			, \					

Certification Verifying Report of Work

I hereby certify that I have a personal and intimate knowledge of the facts set forth in the Report of Work annexed hereto, having performed the work or witnessed same during and/or after its completion and the annexed report is true,

Name and Postal Address of Person Cartifying

15H 3Y8

1 BANKS (1.5K), 606 SWEETWATER PL:, MISSISSAUGA, ONT.

SH 348 (916) 274-5734 Date Certified Described (Certified by Signature)

Feb. 27 /87 7. Bankyank

May 6, 1987

Your File: 52/87 Our File: 2.9923

Mining Recorder
Ministry of Northern Development and Mines
60 Wilson Avenue
Timmins, Ontario
P4N 2S7

Dear Sir:

RE: Notice of Intent dated April 10, 1987 Geological and Geochemical Surveys on Mining Claims P 757976, et al, in Chester Township

The assessment work credits, as listed with the above-mentioned Notice of Intent, have been approved as of the above date.

Please inform the recorded holder of these mining claims and so indicate on your records.

Yours sincerely,

Gary L. Weatherson, Manager Mining Lands Section Mineral Development and Lands Branch Mines and Minerals Division

Whitney Block, Room 6610 Queen's Park Toronto, Ontario M7A 1W3

Telephone: (416) 965-4888

SH/mc

cc: Consolidated Silver Butte Mines Ltd Suite 906 837 West Hastings Street Vancouver, B.C. V6C 1B6 J. Bankowski 606 Sweetwater Place Mississauga, Ontario L5H 3Y8

Resident Geologist Timmins, Ontario Mr. G.H. Ferguson Mining & Lands Commissioner Toronto, Ontario

Encl.



Technical Assessment Work Credits

Dete 2.9923


April 10, 1987

Mining Recorder's Report of Work No. 52/87

File

_		
Recorded Holder	CONSOL IDATED	CILVED DUTTE MINEC LTD
Township or Area		SILVER BUTTE MINES LTD
	CHESTER TOWNS	SHIP
Type of survey and Assessment days cre	d number of dit per claim	Mining Claims Assessed
Geophysical		
Electromagnetic	days	
Magnetometer	days	
Radiometric	days	
Induced polarization	days	
Other	days	
Section 77 (19) See "Mining C	Claims Assessed" column	
Geological	days	
Geochemical	15 days	P 757976 - 77
Man days	Airborne 🗌	
Special provision X	Ground X	
Credits have been reduced coverage of claims.	because of partial	
Credits have been reduced to work dates and figures of		
		·
Special credits under section 7	7 (16) for the following mi	ning claims
de austite have have alleved f	a de fallancia aciaina da	
No credits have been allowed f		
not sufficiently covered by	The survey	misornelia technical data med

The Mining Recorder may reduce the above credits if necessary in order that the total number of approved assessment days recorded on each claim does not exceed the maximum allowed as follows: Geophysical - 80; Geologocal - 40; Geochemical - 40; Section 77(19) - 60.





Ministry of Northern Development and Mines

Geophysical-Geological-Geochemical Technical Data Statement

File	

TO BE ATTACHED AS AN APPENDIX TO TECHNICAL REPORT FACTS SHOWN HERE NEED NOT BE REPEATED IN REPORT TECHNICAL REPORT MUST CONTAIN INTERPRETATION, CONCLUSIONS ETC.

			al and Geochemical	
-			Twp., Gogama ar ea	— MINING CLAIMS TRAVERSED
Claim Holde	r(s) Cons	s. Silve	r Butte Mines Ltd.	List numerically
			Hastings St., Van., B.	<u>c.</u>
Survey Com	pany J.	Bankows	ki, Miss., Ontario	
Author of R	eport J.	Bankows	ki, Miss., Ontario	(prefix) (number)
			ater Pl., Miss., Ont.	P-757976
Covering Date	tes of Surv	ey Octob	er 21 to November 1,198	86
Total Miles o	of Line Cu	approx	(linecutting to office) . 1.2 miles	P- 757977
Total Miles	or Ellic Gu	t <u></u>		-
SPECIAL CREDITS			DAYS per claim	
CREDITS	KEQUES.		Geophysical	
ENTER 40	0 days (inc	cludes	Electromagnetic	
line cutting	g) for first		-Magnetometer	
survey.			-Radiometric	
ENTER 20	•		-Other	
additional same grid.	•	ng	Geological 40	
June grid.			Geochemical 20	
<u>AIRBORNE</u>	CREDITS	Special provi	sion credits do not apply to airborne surveys)	,
Magnetomete	er		neticRadiometric lays per claim)	-
DATE: Fe	buary 2 1987	27. SIGNA	TURE: H. Banksurki Author of Report or Agent	
Res. Geol		Qualif	ications 2.7007	
File No.	<u>veys</u> Type	Date	Claim Holder	RECEIVED
File No.	1 ype	Date	Claim Holder	
ļ	*************			. APR -3 1987
				MINING LANDS SECTION
			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
		ļ		.
				TOTAL CLAIMS 2

GEOPHYSICAL TECHNICAL DATA

GROUND SURVEYS - If more than one survey, specify data for each type of survey

N	Number of Stations	Number	of Readings	
S	tation interval	Line spa	cing	
P	rofile scale			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
C	Contour interval .			
a	Instrument			
	Accuracy - Scale constant			
MAGNETIC	Diurnal correction method			
M	Base Station check-in interval (hours)			
	Base Station location and value		1	
r al	Instrument			
ETIC	Coil configuration			
Z	Coil separation			
MA	Accuracy			
ELECTROMAGNETIC	<u> </u>	Shoot back	☐ In line	☐ Parallel line
DE C	Frequency	(specify V.L.F. station)		
E	Parameters measured			
	Tarameters measured			
	Instrument			
	Scale constant			
IX	Corrections made			
AVITY				
GR	Base station value and location			
	Elevation accuracy			····
	·			
	Instrument			
	Method Time Domain		Frequency Domain	
	Parameters – On time]	Frequency	
×	- Off time		Range	
XII	- Delay time			
STI	- Integration time			
RESISTIVITY	Power			
×	Electrode array			
	Electrode spacing			
	Type of electrode			

INDUCED POLARIZATION

Instrument	SELF POTENTIAL	
RADIOMETRIC Instrument Values measured Energy windows (levels) Height of instrument Size of detector Overburden (type, depth - include outcrop map) OTHERS (SEISMIC, DRILL WELL LOGGING ETC.) Type of survey. Instrument Accuracy. Parameters measured Additional information (for understanding results) AIRBORNE SURVEYS Type of survey(s) Instrument(s) Accuracy. (specify for each type of survey) Accuracy. (specify for each type of survey) Aircraft used Sensor altitude. Navigation and flight path recovery method Aircraft altitude Line Spacing.	Instrument	Range
RADIOMETRIC Instrument Values measured Energy windows (levels) Height of instrument Size of detector Overburden (iype, depth – include outcrop map) OTHERS (SEISMIC, DRILL WELL LOGGING ETC.) Type of survey Instrument Accuracy Parameters measured Additional information (for understanding results) AIRBORNE SURVEYS Type of survey(s) Instrument(s) (upcoffy for each type of survey) Accuracy (upcoffy for each type of survey) Aircraft used Sensor altitude Navigation and flight path recovery method Aircraft altitude Line Spacing	Survey Method	
RADIOMETRIC Instrument Values measured Energy windows (levels) Height of instrument Size of detector Overburden (iype, depth – include outcrop map) OTHERS (SEISMIC, DRILL WELL LOGGING ETC.) Type of survey Instrument Accuracy Parameters measured Additional information (for understanding results) AIRBORNE SURVEYS Type of survey(s) Instrument(s) (upcoffy for each type of survey) Accuracy (upcoffy for each type of survey) Aircraft used Sensor altitude Navigation and flight path recovery method Aircraft altitude Line Spacing		
Instrument Values measured Energy windows (levels) Height of instrument Size of detector Overburden (type, depth - include outcrop map) OTHERS (SEISMIC, DRILL WELL LOGGING ETC.) Type of survey Instrument Accuracy Parameters measured Additional information (for understanding results) AIRBORNE SURVEYS Type of survey(s) Instrument(s) (specify for each type of survey) Accuracy (specify for each type of survey) Aircraft used Sensor altitude Navigation and flight path recovery method Aircraft altitude Line Spacing	Corrections made	
Instrument Values measured Energy windows (levels) Height of instrument Size of detector Overburden (type, depth - include outcrop map) OTHERS (SEISMIC, DRILL WELL LOGGING ETC.) Type of survey Instrument Accuracy Parameters measured Additional information (for understanding results) AIRBORNE SURVEYS Type of survey(s) Instrument(s) (specify for each type of survey) Accuracy (specify for each type of survey) Aircraft used Sensor altitude Navigation and flight path recovery method Aircraft altitude Line Spacing		
Instrument Values measured Energy windows (levels) Height of instrument Size of detector Overburden (type, depth - include outcrop map) OTHERS (SEISMIC, DRILL WELL LOGGING ETC.) Type of survey Instrument Accuracy Parameters measured Additional information (for understanding results) AIRBORNE SURVEYS Type of survey(s) Instrument(s) (specify for each type of survey) Accuracy (specify for each type of survey) Aircraft used Sensor altitude Navigation and flight path recovery method Aircraft altitude Line Spacing	P + DIOMERDIO	
Values measured Energy windows (levels) Height of instrument Size of detector Overburden (type, depth - include outcrop map) OTHERS (SEISMIC, DRILL WELL LOGGING ETC.) Type of survey Instrument Accuracy Parameters measured Additional information (for understanding results) AIRBORNE SURVEYS Type of survey(s) Instrument(s) (specify for each type of survey) Accuracy (specify for each type of survey) Aircraft used Sensor altitude Navigation and flight path recovery method Line Spacing Line Spacing		
Energy windows (levels) Height of instrument Background Count Size of detector Overburden (type, depth – include outcrop map) OTHERS (SEISMIC, DRILL WELL LOGGING ETC.) Type of survey Instrument Accuracy Parameters measured Additional information (for understanding results) AIRBORNE SURVEYS Type of survey(s) Instrument(s) (specify for each type of survey) Accuracy (specify for each type of survey) Aircraft used Sensor altitude Navigation and flight path recovery method Line Spacing		
Height of instrument		
Size of detector	· ,	
Overburden (type, depth – include outcrop map) OTHERS (SEISMIC, DRILL WELL LOGGING ETC.) Type of survey. Instrument Accuracy. Parameters measured. Additional information (for understanding results). AIRBORNE SURVEYS Type of survey(s). Instrument(s). (specify for each type of survey) Accuracy. (specify for each type of survey) Aircraft used. Sensor altitude. Navigation and flight path recovery method. Aircraft altitude. Line Spacing.		•
(type, depth — include outcrop map) OTHERS (SEISMIC, DRILL WELL LOGGING ETC.) Type of survey	Size of detector	
OTHERS (SEISMIC, DRILL WELL LOGGING ETC.) Type of survey	Overburden(type depth	- include outgrop man)
Type of survey	(type, acpair	- mende outcop map/
Instrument	OTHERS (SEISMIC, DRILL WELL LOGGING ETC.	.)
Accuracy	Type of survey	
Parameters measured Additional information (for understanding results) AIRBORNE SURVEYS Type of survey(s) Instrument(s) (specify for each type of survey) Accuracy (specify for each type of survey) Aircraft used Sensor altitude Navigation and flight path recovery method Aircraft altitude Line Spacing	Instrument	
Additional information (for understanding results) AIRBORNE SURVEYS Type of survey(s) Instrument(s) (specify for each type of survey) Accuracy (specify for each type of survey) Aircraft used Sensor altitude Navigation and flight path recovery method Aircraft altitude Line Spacing	Accuracy	
AIRBORNE SURVEYS Type of survey(s) Instrument(s) (specify for each type of survey) Accuracy (specify for each type of survey) Aircraft used Sensor altitude Navigation and flight path recovery method Aircraft altitude Line Spacing	Parameters measured	
AIRBORNE SURVEYS Type of survey(s) Instrument(s) (specify for each type of survey) Accuracy (specify for each type of survey) Aircraft used Sensor altitude Navigation and flight path recovery method Aircraft altitude Line Spacing		
AIRBORNE SURVEYS Type of survey(s) Instrument(s) (specify for each type of survey) Accuracy (specify for each type of survey) Aircraft used Sensor altitude Navigation and flight path recovery method Aircraft altitude Line Spacing	Additional information (for understanding results)	
Type of survey(s)		
Type of survey(s)		
Type of survey(s)		
Type of survey(s)	AIDDODNE CHDVEVC	
Instrument(s)		
(specify for each type of survey) Accuracy		
(specify for each type of survey) Aircraft used Sensor altitude Navigation and flight path recovery method Aircraft altitude Line Spacing	(specify for e	each type of survey)
Aircraft used	Accuracy	
Sensor altitude		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Navigation and flight path recovery methodLine Spacing		
Aircraft altitudeLine Spacing		
·	Travigation and right path recovery method	
·	Aircraft altitude	Line Spacing
		• •


GEOCHEMICAL SURVEY - PROCEDURE RECORD

Numbers of claims from which samples taken 2			
Total Number of Samples 90	ANALYTIC	AL METHODS	2
Type of Sample soil (Nature of Material) Average Sample Weight 500 grams Method of Collection grubboe to "B" horizon		per cent p. p. m. p. p. b.	□ □ Silver □ Gold
Soil Horizon Sampled "B"	Cu, Pb, Zn, Ni, Co		, ,
Horizon Development "A" & "B" Sample Depth approx. 1-2 feet Terrain variable, heavy deadfall	Field Analysis (
Drainage Development Estimated Range of Overburden ThicknessO=5!	Field Laboratory Analysi No. (Extraction Method Analytical Method	S	tests
SAMPLE PREPARATION (Includes drying, screening, crushing, ashing) Mesh size of fraction used for analysis	Reagents Used Commercial Laboratory (Name of Laboratory Extraction Method Analytical Method	sell-White	tests
General	Reagents Used		

AREAS WITHDRAWN FROM DISPOSITION

M.R.O. - MINING RIGHTS ONLY S.R.O. - SURFACE RIGHTS ONLY M.+ S. - MINING AND SURFACE RIGHTS

(R) , SEC. 36/80 19/2/80 S.R.O. 171509



SAND AND GRAVEL

- G QUARRY PERMIT
- (1) M.T.C. PIT No. 1349
- MITC. GRAVEL PIT No. 1649
- (3) M.T.C. GRAVEL PIT No. 1585

FLOODING RIGHTS TO CONTOUR 1200' RESERVED TO ONT. HYDRO, LOC. HY 36, L.O. 7543, FILE 10621.

Forestry operations authing and site

NEVILLE TP. 652613 | 519336 | PAZI 952 | 5-1 549008 | 549007 P \$49111 473737 475786 475786 478734 475735 475708 473707 47/)367 2M -4 M • I M INVERGARRY TP.

LEGEND

HIGHWAY AND ROUTE No. OTHER ROADS TRAILS SURVEYED LINES: TOWNSHIPS, BASE LINES, ETC. LOTS, MINING CLAIMS, PARCELS, ETC. -UNSURVEYED LINES: LOT LINES PARCEL BOUNDARY MINING CLAIMS ETC. **RAILWAY AND RIGHT OF WAY** UTILITY LINES NON-PERENNIAL STREAM FLOODING OR FLOODING RIGHTS SUBDIVISION OR COMPOSITE PLAN RESERVATIONS ORIGINAL SHORELINE MARSH OR MUSKEG MINES TRAVERSE MONUMENT

DISPOSITION OF CROWN LANDS

TYPE OF DOCUMENT	SYMBOL
PATENT, SURFACE & MINING RIGHTS	
", SURFACE RIGHTS ONLY	
", MINING RIGHTS ONLY	
LEASE, SURFACE & MINING RIGHTS	8
", SURFACE RIGHTS ONLY	🗂
" , MINING RIGHTS ONLY	
LICENCE OF OCCUPATION	Y
ORDER-IN-COUNCIL	oc
RESERVATION	
CANCELLED	👁
SAND & GRAVEL	•
NOTE: MINING RIGHTS IN PARCELS PATENTED PRIC 1913, VESTED IN ORIGINAL PATENTEE BY LANDS ACT, R.S.O. 1970, CHAP. 380, SEC. (THE PUBLIC

SCALE: 1 INCH = 40 CHAINS

Ģ	1000	2000 4000	\ 6000 B00
F=			2000
0	200	1000	2000 (2 KM)

TOWNSHIP

M.N.R. ADMINISTRATIVE DISTRICT

GOGAMA

MINING DIVISION

PORCUPINE LAND THILES / REGISTRY DIVISION

SUDBURY



Ministry of Natural

Land Management Resources Branch

Bate MARCH, 1985 Rec'd apr. 4/85 checked L. h.

Number G-3223

200