

Quantec Geoscience Inc. P.O. Box 580, 101 King Street Porcupine, ON P0N 1C0 Phone (705) 235-2166 Fax (705) 235-2255

Quantec Geoscience Inc.

Geophysical Survey Interpretation Report



Quantec

2.21427

010

Regarding theFIXED LOOP TRANSIENT ELECTROMAGNETICand TOTAL FIELD MAGNETIC SURVEYSover the HUTT 12 PROJECT,HUTT Twp., ON,on behalf ofFALCONBRIDGE LTD.,Timmins, ON

QGI QGI QGI QGI QGI

DEastcott DMacGillivray JMLegault November, 2000 Project QG-131



6 .

41P14NE2007 2.21427 010C

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	INTRO	DDUCTION	. 3
2.	GENE	RAL SURVEY DETAILS	.4
	2.1	LOCATION	.4
	2.2	ACCESS	.5
	2.3	SURVEY GRIDS	.5
	2.4	SURVEY CLAIMS	.5
3.	SURV	EY WORK UNDERTAKEN	. 6
	3.1	GENERALITIES	.6
	3.2	Personnel	.6
	3.3	SURVEY SPECIFICATIONS	.6
	3.4	SURVEY COVERAGE	.7
	3.5	INSTRUMENTATION	.7
	3.6	PARAMETERS	.8
	3.7	MEASUREMENT ACCURACY AND REPEATABILITY	.8
	3.8	DATA PRESENTATION	.9
4.	INTER	RPRETATION AND CONCLUSION	11
	4.1	Overview	11
	4.2	SURVEY RESULTS	11
5.	CONC	LUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	13

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX A: STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS APPENDIX B: THEORETICAL BASIS AND SURVEY PROCEDURES **APPENDIX C: PRODUCTION LOG** APPENDIX D: OPERATOR COMMENTS APPENDIX E: INSTRUMENT SPECIFICATIONS APPENDIX F: TEM ANOMALY TABLE APPENDIX G: LIST OF MAPS APPENDIX H: PLAN MAPS AND SECTIONS

LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES

4
9
17
7
8
8
9
10
24

QG-131 - November, 2000

1. INTRODUCTION

.

- QGI Project No: QG-131
- Project Name: Hutt 12 Project
- Survey Period: October 16th to October 21st 2000
- Survey Types: Fixed In-Loop Transient EM, Total Magnetic Field
 - Client: FALCONBRIDGE LTD.
- Client Address
 P.O Box 1140, Kidd Creek Minesite
 Timmins, ON, Canada
 P4N 7H9
- Representatives: Dean Rogers
 Sharon Taylor
- Objectives:
 - a) Using surface TEM, to detect deeply buried conductors relating to massive sulphides from surface to 250-350m depths. The Off-Loop profiling configuration was chosen based on its line-km efficiency and its ability to optimally energize targets which are generally subvertical to steeply dipping.
 - b) Using ground magnetics to map lithology and structure, and to characterize possible TEM conductive responses.
- Report Type:

Summary interpretation, suitable for assessment

2. GENERAL SURVEY DETAILS

- 2.1 LOCATION
 - Township: Hutt Twp.
 - Province: .
 - Country:
 - Nearest Settlement: .
 - NTS Map Reference #:

Town of Matachewan (40km east)

Ontario

Canada

42 A/3



Figure 1: General Location of the Hutt Project.

2.2 ACCESS

	Base of Operations:	Saw Mill Café, Semple Twp., ON
	Mode of Access:	4x4 Truck
	Property Access:	Approx. 7km east from the base of operations to hydro lines, then south approximately 7.5km.
2.3	SURVEY GRIDS	
	Coordinate Reference System:	Local exploration grid
	UTM Reference:	Grid 0E/0N = 485,658mE / 5,310,135mN (Line Direction ϕ = N-15.3degW)
	• Established:	prior to survey execution, by client
	Line Direction	Approx. 344.5 degrees East (Astronomic)
	Line Separation:	100 metres
	• Station Interval:	20 metres-TEM, 10 metres-TFM
	Method of Chaining:	Metric, slope distance
2.4	SURVEY CLAIMS	

• Claims Covered by Survey¹ 1227824 1227825 1236371 1236372

¹ Claim numbers and UTM reference from digital DWG basemap (Hutt_Top.DWG), provided by Falconbridge (09/00).

3. SURVEY WORK UNDERTAKEN

3.1 GENERALITIES

	Survey Dates:	October 16 th to October 21 st 2000
	Survey Period:	6 days
	• Survey Days (read time):	3 days
	Survey Coverage:	<u>TEM</u> =3.58 Line-kilometers <u>TFM</u> =11.4 Line-kilometers
3.2	PERSONNEL	
	Project Manager:	David MacGillivray, Porcupine, ON
	Technicians	Denis Pressault, Notre Dame du Nord, QB
3.3	SURVEY SPECIFICATIONS	
	3.3.1 TEM Survey	
	Configuration:	Off-loop profiling
	Output Power Stage:	Low Power
	Dimension:	3 Component (X,Y and Z)
	Loop Size and Location:	600 x 800 metres, 14+00E-22+00E & 0+00S-6+00S
	Line Interval:	100 metres
	Sampling Interval:	20 metres
	3.3.2 Magnetic Survey	
	• Method:	Base Station, Diurnal Drift Corrected
	Configuration:	Total Magnetic Field profiling
	Line Interval:	100 metres
	Sampling Interval:	10.0 metres
	Magnetic Datum:	approx. 56,000nT
	Base Station Location:	13+50E, 7+00S
	Base Station Sampling:	10 seconds

QG-131 - November, 2000

3.4 SURVEY COVERAGE

Loop	LINE	SOUTHERN EXTENT	NORTHERN EXTENT	TOTAL (m)
1	14+00E	15+00S	6+00S	900
1	15+00E	15+00S	5+00S	1000
1	16+00E	15+00S	8+20S	680
1	17+00E	15+00S	5+00S	1000
			Total	3580

Table I: Fixed Loop TEM Survey Coverage.

LINE	SOUTHERN EXTENT	NORTHERN EXTENT	TOTAL (m)
14+00E	5+00S	15+00S	1000
15+00E	5+00S	15+00S	1000
16+00E	5+00S	15+00S	1000
17+00E	5+00S	15+00S	1000
18+00E	5+00S	15+00S	1000
19+00E	5+00S	15+00S	1000
20+00E	5+00S	15+00S	1000
21+00E	5+00S	15+00S	1000
22+00E	5+00S	15+00S	1000
TIE-LINES			
15+00S	14+00E	22+00E	800
10+00S	14+00E	22+00E	800
5+00S	14+00E	22+00E	800
		Total	11400

Table II: Ground TFM Survey Coverage.

3.5 INSTRUMENTATION

3.5.1 TEM Survey

- Receiver: Geonics Digital Protem + 3D-3 coil (200 m² effective area)
- Transmitter: Geonics EM-37(2.8 kW output)
- Power Supply: Geonics EM-37

3.5.2 Magnetic Survey

Magnetometers: Scintrex-EDA model OMNI IV proton-precession
 (Base and mobile)

3.6 PARAMETERS

Pulse repetition frequency:	30Hz
Gain: (x,y,z)	1 to 5
Integration time:	15sec
Approximate Loop Sizes:	600mx800m,
Current:	15.5 Amps,
Tum-off times:	378 µs
Gate positions	80-6136us (see Appendix D)
Synchronization mode:	Crystal

Table II: System Parameters for TEM Survey

• Coil Conventions: (see Appendix C)

COMPONENT	COIL ORIENTATION
Z	Positive Up (Vertical)
X	Positive North and Horizontal
Y	Positive West (left) and Horizontal, according to right hand rule

Table III: Coil Conventions for TEM Survey.

- Measured Parameters: dB_{XYZ}/dt (millivolts)
- **Data Reduction**²: nanoVolts/Ampere-metre² (using Geonics DATEM[™] software)

3.7 MEASUREMENT ACCURACY AND REPEATABILITY

3.7.1 TEM Survey

- Number of Repeats per Station: 0-4
- Number of Repeats per Day: approx. 15
- Number of Repeats per Grid: approx.15
- Average Repeatability: 0-5% in early channels
- Worst Repeatability: 6%

3.7.1 Magnetic Survey

- Number of Repeats per Station: 0 to 5
- Number of Repeats per Day: 5 to 30
- Number of Repeats per Grid: 20 to 30

² Equivalent to Crone units of nanoTesla/second normalized to a unit current.

- Average Repeatability: ±4 nT (low-mod gradients)
- Worst Repeatability: ± 150 nT (high gradients)

3.8 DATA PRESENTATION

3.8.1 TEM Survey

- Plan maps: 1) Posted/contoured plan map of X-Component (Ch. 5) TEM Field (1:5000)
 2) Interpretation plan over X-Component (Ch. 5) Contours (1:5000)
- Cross-Sections: Interpreted multi-component TEM profiles (Ch. 5) for L16+00E (1:5000).
- Profiles:

Profile Format	4-Axis (see Fig. 2)
# of Profiles:	16
Horizontal Map Scale:	1:5000
Vertical Profile Scales:	Varies to best display data for each component (see profiles in Appendix G)
Components Profiled:	3D survey: Total Field, ³ X, Y and Z

Table IV: Surface TEM Profile Specifications.



Figure 2: 4-Axis Surface TEM Profile Format.

- Digital Data: Daily raw files and processed data (Geosoft .XYZ format) on 3.5 inch HD (1.44 Mbytes) diskettes
- <u>a)</u> raw data dump files, according to acquisition date (DDMMYY.RAW i.e. 210299.raw) Geonics Digital Protem format (refer to Protem manual)
- b) Reduced XYZ ASCII data files, according to line number and component (i.e. 11900ek.xyz where, k=component Z, X, Y or T for Total Field).

³ TF = SQRT { $(dB_X/dt)^2 + (dB_Y/dt)^2 + (dB_Z/dt)^2$ }, using Quantec GeoparseTM

Column 1: N-S Line/E-W Station number (metres) or UTM EW (for plan maps) Column 2: E-W Station/N-S Line number (metres) or UTM NS (for plan maps) Column 3: Primary pulse (millivolts) or Station number (metres - for plan maps) Column 4: Channel 1 secondary rate of decay of TEM field (nanoVolt/ampere*m²) Column 5: Channel 2

Column 23: Channel 20 secondary rate of decay of TEM field (nanoVolt/ampere m²)

3.7.1 Magnetic Survey

Plan maps: 1) Posted of

Posted contour plan map of Total TFM Field (1:5000)
 Posted profile plan map of Total TFM Field (1:5000)

Plan Map Types:	Posted/Contoured and Posted/Profiled Total Magnetic Field
Map Scale:	1:5000
Grid Cell Size:	10 meters
Gridding Method:	Random
Contour Interval:	100, 500, 2000 nanoTesia
Profile Scale	100 nanotesla/cm

Table V: Plan Map Specifications for TFM Survey

- Digital Data: Daily raw files and processed data (Geosoft .XYZ format) on 3.5 inch HD (1.44 Mbytes) diskettes
- <u>c)</u> raw data dump files, according to acquisition date and instrument (DDMMYY.MAG, i.e. DAY19.mag for mobile and DAY19.bas for base-station) OMNI-IV format (refer to manual)

d) Reduced XYZ ASCII data files, according to property

(i.e., hutt.xyz).

Column 1: UTM E-W Position (metres)

Column 2: UTM N-S Position (metres)

Column 3: Station (metres NS or EW)

Column 4: Diurnally-corrected Total Magnetic Field (nanotesla)

4. INTERPRETATION AND CONCLUSION

4.1 OVERVIEW

At the request of **Falconbridge Ltd.**, the following interpretation summarizes the results of ground geophysical surveys over the **Hutt 12 Project**, in Hutt Twp., 60km south of Timmins, obtained in October, 2000 by Quantec Geoscience Inc. The surveys consisted of time-domain electromagnetic surveys, using the surface Fixed Off-Loop profiling (FLTEM) technique, and ground magnetics. The survey objectives were to provide ground follow-up to anomalies identified in previous airborne geophysical surveys, which are potentially associated with volcanogenic massive sulphide deposits, and which remain undiscovered due to their depth of burial.

The transient electromagnetic (TEM) and magnetic (TFM) surveys at the **Hutt 12** property were designed to detect and delineate conductors, relating to massive sulphides, to depths up to or exceeding 150-250 metres. The surface Fixed Loop TEM technique was chosen based on its deep penetration and rapid reconnaissance characteristics - with the Off-Loop technique selected for its ability to detect subvertical to moderate dipping conductor geometries. However, the TEM portion of the surveys was curtailed due to strong anthropogenic electromagnetic interference from the NNW-SSE trending powerline which cross-cuts the survey area, along L1500E – resulting in only 4 of nine profiles surveyed and the results questionable (see Appendix C+D). The TFM magnetics were chosen to provide a lithologic and structural mapping capability, and to characterize the nature of mineralization associated with potential TEM conductors. In contrast with the TEM survey, except for L1500E, the magnetic results were shown relatively unaffected to the powerline – with good quality and repeatability.

The bedrock geology at **Hutt 12** is not well known at the grid scale, owing to the blanket of overburden cover, but existing compilations (ref. Pyke et al., <u>Timmins Kirkland Lake Geological Compilation</u>, ODM/MNR Map 2205, 1971) indicate that the property is underlain by ENE to NE trending, intermediate to mafic volcanics, mixed with felsic pyroclastic intercalations. Structurally, the area is cross-cut by NNW-SSE and NE-SW oriented, regional tectonic faults which host Mattachewan Swarm and late-Precambrian diabases, and also form well-defined topographic lineaments – notably controlling the Grassy River which surrounds the claim group. The property contains no known mineral deposits, however, a copper occurrence is indicated south-east of the survey area (IBID). The full extent of exploration on the property is not fully known by the authors, however, airborne magnetic and electromagnetic survey results were used in situating the grids for the follow-up in the present surface TEM study. Previous FLTEM surveys in Hutt Township were undertaken on adjoining grids (ref. QCI Project C454, <u>Falconbridge Ltd., FLTEM Surveys on Hutt Twp. Property</u>, October, 1999).

4.2 SURVEY RESULTS

The interpreted TEM anomalies and corresponding axes are identified on the interpretation plan (see also Appendix F), along with possible faults, geologic/geomagnetic contacts, magnetic lineaments and zones of high magnetic susceptibility, which are cross-hatched. The major NNW-SSE powerline which transects the western edge of the property is the strongest influence on the TEM results on the property – as shown on the TF-component plan, where elevated secondary fields and reversed polarities for H_Y and H_Z are obtained along L14E-16E. In contrast, however, the X-component is least affected, presumably due to its null-coupling with the powerline-source, and in early-mid channels, which benefits from better signal to noise, provides the best indicator for bedrock conductivity. Irregardless, however, the transient electromagnetic surveys over **Hutt 12** do not appear reveal no late-channel conductors of significance on the property, which might relate to semi-metallic, massive sulphide mineralization of exploration interest. In fact, the weak early-channel signal levels (avg. 50 nV/Am²), indicative of high bulk resistivities, suggest shallow or resistive glacial overburden cover and low porosity bedrock, which are consistent with the intermediate to felsic volcanic lithology. More significantly, the weak and erratic late-channel EM fields indicate an absence of large area, high conductance bodies within detectable depths (50-250m).

Indeed of the relatively small number of coherent TEM responses identified on the (Axis A, B, C), all

QG-131 - November, 2000

are of characteristically weak quality, corresponding either to:

a) <u>Moderate area (<100m wavelength) but early channel/short time-constant (6-10ch)</u> features, consistent with fault-fracture zones – either overburden filled or clay-rich low-conductivity thickness structures. These include **Axis A**, which encompasses 1-2 weak to questionable ESE axis occurring near the transmit-loop edge, from L15E/575S to L17E/775S. Although it is partly coincident with a narrow magnetic lineament, its discordance suggests a fault-like source – possibly controlling the probable Mattachewan Swarm dyke. **Axis B** is also discordant, ESE trending but lies within a magnetic-high adjacent to the geomagnetic contact, which is interpreted to potentially represent a mafic to felsic volcanic contact. Extending from L14E/900S to L17E/1000S, this weak quality conductor also coincides with a TFMinterpreted structure, and could easily be fault-related, it could also potentially represent a weakly mineralized exhalative zone – lying at 50m depths, with a +100m vertical extent, as shown on the interpreted multi-component section for L16E (see Appendix H).

b) <u>Mid-late channel (12-16ch) but small area (<50m wavelength)</u> conductors, consistent with weakly mineralized volcanic units or faults, i.e. near-surface features with limited vertical extent and low exploration interest. These are also ESE trending and include **Axis B'**, which a weak to questionable conductor, which extends across the mafic-felsic volcanic contact, from L15E/1075S to L17E/1200S; and **Axis C** which lies furthest south, from L14E/1375S to L16E/1500S, and is poorly resolved. Neither of these minor responses exhibits significant magnetism, yet these parallel weak, narrow magnetic lineaments, which likely represent fault-infilling Mattachewan dykes.

5. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The surface Transient Electromagnetic and ground Magnetic profiling surveys over the **Hutt 12 Proj**ect did not identify geophysical signatures consistent with possible massive sulphide deposits of exploration significance – in fact, in spite of the noticeable effects of a grid-transecting powerline, the absence of coherent late-channel TEM responses of sufficient size/depth extent indicates that the property does not host favourable potential for large py-po-cp sulphide bodies within 150m depths. Coherent TEM responses are limited to either: a) early channel features (**Axes A+B**) indicative of poor conductivitythickness and are more easily ascribed to overburden or fault-like sources; or b) small area conductors (**B'-C**), which describe bodies of limited depth extent and, hence, low exploration interest. Of the weak quality responses, only the magnetic high nature of **Axis B** and its position along an inferred volcanic contact might make this moderate-area, poor quality conductor a possible low priority target as a weakly mineralized exhalative zone. The ground magnetics have identified a well-defined ENE-trending geomagnetic contact, along which **Axis B** is situated, as well as an overprinting, ESE pattern of narrow magnetic high lineaments which likely identify fault-infilling Mattachewan Swarm diabases. Several ESE-WNW fault structures are also interpreted. Nevertheless, based on the present the FLTEM and Magnetic results, however, no targets can be specifically recommended for diamond-drilling.

We recommend that these data be combined with the existing geoscientific database and the results carefully considered prior to proceeding to the next exploration stage. In spite of the unsuccessful TEM measurements, consideration should nevertheless be given in expanding the current area of coverage using additional offset loops. Irregardless, because TEM is inherently designed to detect large-area, metallic-type sulphides, the presence or absence of sphaleritic or disseminate sulphide bodies cannot be ruled out on the property. As a result, deep penetrating Induced Polarization, preferably using Gradient-Realsection, could therefore prove useful in establishing a sulphide-source and in identifying poorly conductive Zn-rich targets – including the validation of **Axis B**. Following diamond-drilling, 3D Borehole TEM is recommended in order to establish the size and geometry of possible massively mineralized intersections, or to detect other zones lying within a +150m radius – thereby extending the depth of penetration below 150m. Borehole IP may also prove useful in delimiting the extent, and direction of matrix to disseminate mineralization, using both peripheral and radial-directional arrays. Borehole physical property work should be used to cross-correlate the geologic and geophysical signatures. Finally, these results should be combined into a common earth model, using GOCAD, in order to provide better corroboration between the measured physical parameters and the geology.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED QUANTEC GEOSCIENCE INC.

13F.DM

David MacGillivray Project Manager

Kevin Blackshaw Project Supervisor

Porcupine, ON

QG-131 - November, 2000

David Eastcott Technical Services



Jean M Legault, P.Eng. Dir. Technical Services

APPENDIX A

STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS

I, Jean M. Legault, declare that:

- 1. I am a consulting geophysicist with residence in Waterdown, Ontario and am presently employed in this capacity with Quantec Geoscience Inc. of Waterdown, Ontario.
- 2. I obtained a Bachelor's Degree, with Honors, in Applied Science (B.A.Sc.), Geological Engineering (Geophysics Option), from Queen's University at Kingston, Ontario, in Spring 1982.
- 3. I am a registered professional engineer, since 1985, with license to practice in the Province of Ontario (#90534542).
- 4. I have practiced my profession continuously since May, 1982, in North-America, South-America and North-Africa.
- 5. I am a member of the Association of Professional Engineers of Ontario, the Prospectors and Developers Association of Canada, and the Society of Exploration Geophysicists.
- 6. I have no interest, nor do I expect to receive any interest in the properties or securities of **Falconbridge Ltd**.
- 7. I have reviewed the survey results and the maps contained, which I have interpreted and evaluated in this report. The statements made represent my professional opinion based on my consideration of the information available to me at the time of writing this report.

Porcupine, Ontario November, 2000

Jean M. Legault, P.Eng. Chief Geophysicist Dir. Technical Services Quantec Group

QG-131 - November, 2000

APPENDIX A

STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS

I, David Eastcott, hereby declare that:

- 1. I am a geophysical technologist with residence in South Porcupine, Ontario and am presently employed in this capacity with Quantec Geoscience Ltd. of Waterdown, Ontario.
- 2. I have practiced my profession continuously since 1996, in Canada, the United States, Mexico and Mongolia.
- 3. I have no interest, nor do I expect to receive any interest in the properties or securities of **Falconbridge Ltd.**
- 4. I am the co-author of logistics portion of this report, and prepared the final map products included. The statements made in this report represent my professional opinion based on my consideration of the information available to me at the time of writing this report.

Porcupine November, 2000

Rutet

David Eastcott Staff Geophysicist, QGI

APPENDIX A

STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS

I, David MacGillivray, declare that:

- 1. I am a geophysical operator, with residence in Porcupine, ON, and am currently employed by Quantec Geoscience Inc. of Waterdown, Ontario.
- 2. I have continuously been employed in this field since August of 1996 in Canada, Cuba, Mexico, Mongolia and Panama.
- 3. I have no interest nor do I expect to receive any interest in the properties or securities of Falconbridge Limited.
- 4. I was the project manager and was responsible for the data acquisition, validation and plotting in the field. I am the author of this logistical report. The statements made in this report represent my professional opinion based on my consideration of the information available to me at the time of writing this report.

Porcupine, ON September, 2000

MAG

David MacGillivray Geophysical Operator

QG-131 - November, 2000

APPENDIX B

THEORETICAL BASIS AND SURVEY PROCEDURES

TEM SURFACE PROFILING

TEM profiling is conducted on lines either adjacent to (Off-Loop mode) or surrounded by (In-Loop mode) a large fixed rectangular transmit loop. Current is passed through the loop which following the Turn-Off, produces a primary magnetic field (H) both inside and outside (Figure 9). This primary field induces a vortex current pattern, which energizes conductors and which in turn create their own secondary magnetic field (Bs). The rate of change of the decaying secondary magnetic flux (dBs/dt) is measured as the vertical (Hz), in-line horizontal (Hx) and/or cross line horizontal (Hy) vector components on surface using an air-core sensor coil. These measurements of the TEM decay (20 log-time slices) are taken during the "Off-Time", using a 30 cycle/sec, base repetition rate.

In keeping with the industry standard, the primary field is always considered positive up inside the loop and negative down outside. Similarly, for secondary EM fields, the receiver coil is oriented positive vertical up for the Hz component. The convention for In-Loop surveys, has the in-line component, Hx oriented either positive east (for grid EW lines) or north (for grid NS lines). The Off-Loop survey convention differs, with the receiver coil orientation for Hx pointing positive away from the transmit loop (for EW or NS lines). Finally, the sign convention in all cases, has the Hy component pointing positive orthogonal to the left of the Hx, according to the right-hand-rule.



Figure B1: Primary field sign convention for TEM surveys.

At the end of each survey day, the stored data are transferred to a microcomputer where they corrected for the turn-off time, loop area, system gain and current, and converted from millivolts to nanoVolts per ampere meter squared or nanoVolts per meter squared. The data are then transferred to disk for storage and processing. Report quality field plots are generated on site, using a 24-pin printer in order to monitor the data characteristics and to provide a preliminary interpretation capability. The following equations govern the transient EM response for buried plate-like conductive bodies¹

Target Response to Transmitter Current Waveform:

$$emf = \frac{1}{\tau} e^{-t/\tau}$$

where: $t = fixed$ time
 $e = exponential$ decay

 τ = time constant of conductor

Equation B1: Conductor Response to the Transient EM Waveform

The time constant of the response is alternatively defined as the slope of the lin-log decay curve (Geonics) or, more exactly, as the time channel where the amplitude of the decay collapses to 37% (1/e) of its maximum value. Both τ and the analogous decay strength (ie., the number of anomalous channels above background), are commonly used as indicators of conductor quality. This relationship between decay-strength and the conductivity-thickness can easily be demonstrated in the following equation for a vertically dipping conductive sheet:

$$\tau = \frac{\sigma\mu th}{\pi^2} \text{ for a thin plate}$$

where $\sigma = \text{conductivity of target}$
 $\mu = \text{magnetic susceptibility}$
 $t = \text{thickness of plate}$
 $h = \text{vertical extension of plate}$

thereby giving, for an infinite vertical sheet:

$$\sigma t = \frac{\pi^2}{\mu h} \tau \approx \frac{\tau}{0.31} \text{ mhos / metre (siemens)}$$

Equation B3 Conductivity Thickness

From these equations and relationships, it therefore becomes obvious of the common use of the anomaly strength of decay as a simple, rule-of thumb indicator of the relative conductivity-thickness product for TEM surveys.

In addition, the total secondary field is calculated using the three components (Hx, Hy and Hz) in the following formula

$$Htot = \sqrt{Hx^2 + Hy^2 + Hz^2} nanoVolt / Am^2.$$

Equation B4: Transient EM Total Secondary Field

¹ From Geonics Limited, <u>EM-37 TEM System Design Parameter</u>, Mississauga, Ont., 1982.

APPENDIX B

SURVEY PROCEDURES AND GENERAL THEORY

Magnetics

Base station corrected Total Field Magnetic surveying is conducted using at least two synchronized magnetometers of identical type. One magnetometer unit is set in a fixed position in a region of stable geomagnetic gradient, and away from possible cultural effects (moving vehicles) to monitor and correct for daily diurnal drift. This magnetometer, given the term 'base station', stores the time, date and total field measurement at fixed time intervals over the survey day. The second, remote mobile unit stores the coordinates, time, date, and the total field measurements simultaneously. The procedure consists of taking total magnetic measurements of the Earth's field at stations, along individual profiles, including Tie and Base lines. A 2-meter length staff is used to mount the sensor, in order to optimally minimize localized near-surface geologic noise. At the end of a survey day, the mobile and base-station units are linked, via RS-232 ports, for diurnal drift and other magnetic activity (ionospheric and spheric) corrections using internal software.

APPENDIX C

PRODUCTION LOG

QUANTEC GEOSCIENCE INC. 101 King Street Porcupine, Ontario (705) - 235 - 2166 Client: Client Representative: Project Supervisor: Project Supervisor: Project Manager: Project #: Project Title: Project Location: Survey Type: Sampling Interval: Survey Type:

Falconbridge Limited Sharon Taylor & Dean Rogers Jeff Warne David MacGillivray QG-131 Hutt Property Hutt Twp. LPTEM OFF-LOOP PROFILING 20 metres Total Field Magnetics 10 metres October 16th to October 21st, 2000

Sampling Interval: Survey Date:

Date	Description	Line	Min Extent	Max Extent	Total Survey (metres)
	······································				
16-Oct	Performed maintenance to the J6				
	Packed equipment and purchased supplies				
	Discussed logistics with Jeff Warren				
	Mob to Saw Mill Café				
17-Oct	Located grid, we can drive to the grid, J6 is not				
	required, Established 600 x 800 metre loop	1			
	14+00E to 22+00E, 0+00S to 6+00S				
18-Oct	Survey-TEM	14+00E	6+00S	15+00S	900
	Data is very noisy	15+00E	15+00S	5+00S	1000
	Power line interfering with the loop, turn off time				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is fluctuating, 2 hrs lost		1		
	con't survey	17+00E	5+00S	15+00S	1000
	Some hunters decided to remove half the loop	16+00E	15+00S	8+20S	<u>680</u>
	for us, survey suspended, unable to check turn				
	off time				3580

Date	Description	Line	Min Extent	Max Extent	Total Survey (metres)
19-Oct	Reinstalled loop, turn off time still fluctuating				
	Established 150 x 150 metre loop away from	+			
	the power line, equip, works fine	<u> </u>			
	Moved loop edge from line 14+00E to line 16+00E		+		
	so the loop is all on one side off the power line				
	Turn off time is still fluctuating. Returned to				
	camp to retrieve mag units. Began Mag survey		1		
	while waiting for the turn off time to settle down		1		
	MAG Line	17+00E	5+00S	15+00S	100
	Base station @13+50E and 7+00S		1		100
	Standby rate for TEM				
20-Oct	Tested EM gear in the morning and throughout				
20 000	the day still fluctuating				
		22+00E	5+005	15+005	100
	Base station @13+50F and 7+00S	21+00E	5+005	15+005	100
		20+00E	5+005	15+005	100
		19+00E	5+00S	15+00S	100
		18+00E	5+00S	15+00S	100
		16+00E	5+00S	15+00S	100
		15+00E	5+00S	15+00S	100
		14+00E	5+00S	15+00S	100
	1241-1242-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-	5+00S	14+00E	22+00E	80
		10+00S	14+00E	22+00E	80
	······································	15+00S	14+00E	22+00E	80
					10400
21-Oct	Tested equip the power line is still interfering				
	Survey suspended until a meeting with Falcon-		+		<u> </u>
	bridge to determine if alternate loop locations	<u> </u>			
	away from the power line might satisfy their	 			
	exploration objectives. Removed loop and				
	demob to Timmins, 1/2 day Standby Rate				

APPENDIX D

OPERATOR COMMENTS

Due to interference from the powerline with the loop (Tx turn-off time fluctuations, increased and decreased in frequency throughout the day), the surface TEM component of the survey was suspended. Tried alternate loop locations to test the equipment and see if a steady turn off time could be achieved (outlined in detail in the production log) with no success. As a result, only 4 of 8 profiles were completed. Unlike the TEM, the ground magnetic surveys proceeded normally – except for L1500E, which was immediately below the powerline and was visibly distorted and eliminated from contour/profile plots, entirely.

David MacGillivray Project Manager pers. comm., 10/00

APPENDIX E

INSTRUMENT SPECIFICATIONS

GEONICS LIMITED Digital Protem Receiver

Digital Protem Ground Transient Electromagnetic System Technical Specifications

Receiver

Measured Quantity:	Time rate of decay of magnetic flux along 3 axes		
Sensors: 1. (L.F.): 2. (H.F.): 3. (3D-3): 4. (3D-1):	Air-cored coil of bandwidth 60 kHz; 100 cm diameter Air-cored coil of bandwidth 850 kHz; 100 cm diameter Three orthogonal component sensor; simultaneous operation Three orthogonal component sensor; sequential operation		
Time channels:	20 geometrically spaced time gates for each base frequency gives range from 6 μ sec to 800 msec.		
Repetition Rate: (Base Frequency)	0.3 Hz, 0.75, 3, 7.4, 30, 75 or 285 Hz for 60 Hz power-line networks		
Synchronization:	 reference cable. high stability (oven controlled) quartz crystals. (Switch selectable) 		
Integration time:	2, 4, 8, 15, 30, 60, 120, 240 sec.		
Calibration:	Internal self calibration External Q coil calibration (optional)		
Keyboards:	Two 3 x 4 matrix sealed key pads with positive tactile feedback		
Gain:	Automatic or manual control		
Dynamic Range:	23 bits (132 dB)		
Display Quantity:	(1)Table of time rate of decay of magnetic flux (dB/dt)(2)Curve of rate of decay of magnetic flux (dB/dt)(3)Table of apparent resistivity (ρ_a)(4)Curve of apparent resistivity (ρ_a)(5)Profile of dB/dt(6)Real time noise monitor(7)Calibration curve(8)Data acquisition statistics (real time)		
Storage:	Solid state memory with capacity for over 3000 data sets		
Display:	8 lines by 40 character (240 x 64 dot) graphic LCD		
Data Transfer:	Standard RS-232 communications port.		

QG-131 - November, 2000

Processor:

CMOS 68HC000 8 MHz CPU

Receiver Battery: 12 volts rechargeable battery for 8 hours continuous operation. 6 hours in XTAL mode

Receiver Size:34 x 38 x 27 cmReceiver Weight:15 kg

Operating Temp.: -40[°]C to +50[°]C

Transmitters: (1) Geonics TEM47 (2) Geonics TEM57 (3) Geonics TEM37

GATE	2	285/237.5 H	z		75/62.5 Hz			30/25 Hz		GATE
1	6.000	6.813	1.625	32.00	35.25	6.500	80.00	88.13	16.25	1
2	7.625	8.688	2.125	38.50	42.75	8.500	96.25	106.9	21.25	2
3	9.750	11.13	2.750	47.00	52.5	11.00	117.5	131.3	27.5	3
4	12.50	14.19	3.375	58.00	64.75	13.50	145.0	161.9	33.75	4
5	15.88	18.07	4.375	71.5	80.25	17.50	178.8	200.6	43.75	5
6	20.25	23.06	5.625	89.00	100.3	22.50	222.5	250.6	56.25	6
7	25.88	29.44	7.125	111.5	125.8	28.50	278.8	314.4	71.25	7
8	33.00	37.56	9.125	140.0	158.3	36.50	350.0	395.6	91.25	8
9	42.13	47.94	11.63	176.5	199.8	46.50	441.3	499.4	116.3	9
10	53.75	61.13	14.75	223.0	252.5	59.00	557.5	631.3	147.5	10
11	68.50	77.94	18.88	282.0	319.8	75.50	705.0	799.4	188.8	11
12	87.38	99.38	24.00	357.5	405.5	96.00	893.8	1014	240.0	12
13	111.4	126.7	30.63	453.5	514.8	122.5	1134	1287	306.3	13
14	151.7**	166.4	29.38	576.0	654.3	156.5	1440	1636	391.3	14
15	181.1	206.0	49.88	732.5	832.3	199.5	1831	2081	498.8	15
16	231.0	262.8	62.63	932.0	1059	254.5	2330	2648	636.3	16
17	294.6	335.2	81.25	1187	1349	325.0	2966	3373	812.5	17
18	375.9	427.7	103.6	1512	1719	414.5	3779	4297	1036	18
19	479.5	545.6	132.1	1926	2190	528.5	4815	5475	1321	19
20	611.6	695.9	168.5	2455	2792	674.0	6136	6978	1685	20
21*	780.1			3129			7821			21*

Table VI:Digital Protem Gate Locations

- * End of Gate 20
- ** A Gap of 9.7 µsec exists between Gate 13 and Gate 14 in the micro-frequency range/

This Table applies to both synchronization modes regardless of which of TEM37, TEM47 and TEM57 transmitters is used, provided that correct Tx model is selected in Header (2.4).

Note: 7.5/6.25 and 0.75/0.625 Hz proportional to 75/62.5 Hz 3/2.5 and 0.3/0.25 Hz proportional to 30/25 Hz

APPENDIX E

INSTRUMENT SPECIFICATIONS

GEONICS LIMITED EM-37 TRANSMITTER

EM-37 Transmitter Technical Specifications

Current Wave form:	bipolar square wave.
Repetition Rate:	3Hz, 7.5Hz or 30Hz in countries using 60Hz power line frequency; 2.5Hz, 6.25Hz or 25Hz in countries using 50Hz power line frequency; all six base frequencies are switch selectable.
Turn-off Time(t):	fast linear turn-off maximum of 450 μ sec. at 30 amps into a 300x600 meter loop. Decreases proportionally with current and the root of the loop area to a maximum of 20 μ sec. Actual value of t read on front panel meter.
Transmitter Loop:	any dimensions from 40x40 meters to 300x600 meters maximum at 30 amps. Larger dimensions at reduced current. Transmitter output voltage switch adjustable for smaller loops. Value of loop resistance read from front panel meter; resistance must be greater than 1 ohm on lowest setting to prevent overload.
Protection:	circuit breaker protection against input over voltage; instantaneous solid state pro- tection against output short circuit; automatically resets on removal of short circuit. Input voltage output voltage and current indicated on front panel meter.
Output voltage:	24 to 160 volts (zero to peak) maximum
Output power:	2800 watt maximum
Motor generator:	5 HP Honda gasoline engine coupled to a 120 volt, three phase, 400 Hz alternator. Approximately 8 hours continuous operation from built-in fuel tank.
	Component Dimensions and Weights
Transmitter Console :	20 by 42 by 32 cm, 20 kg

GPU: 44 by 32 by 21 cm, 65 kg

APPENDIX E CONTRACTOR OF A CON

INSTRUMENT SPECIFICATIONS:

GSM-19

(from GSM-19 Overhauser Magnetometer Operating Manual)

Weather proof case

Dimensions:	Console 223 mr diameter cylinde	n x 69 mm x 240 mm Sensor 170 mm x71mm er			
Weight:	Console 2.1 kg; Sensor 2.2 kg (staff included)				
Operating temperature:	-40°C to 60°C	-40°C to 60°C			
Power supply:	12V 1.9 Ah sealed lead acid battery				
Power Consumption:	2 Ws per readin	2 Ws per reading			
Resolution:	0.01 nT	-			
Relative Sensitivity:	0.02 nT				
Absolute Accuracy:	0.2 nT				
Range:	20,000 to 120,000 nT				
Gradient Tolerance:	Over 10,000 nT/m				
Operating Modes:	Base station - Walking-	time/date reading stored 3 to 60 sec time/date reading stored at coordinates of fiducial with 0.5 to2 sec. cycle time			
Memory Capacity:	Base station- Walking-	43,000 readings standard 131,000 readings			
Data transfer:	Serial link @ 300 to 19200 baud; remote control capability through serial link @ 19200 baud				

APPENDIX F

TEM ANOMALY TABLE

		#			
LINE	STATION	CHANNELS	DEPTH	QUALITY	COMMENTS
1400E	1375S	12	60	Weak	Subvertical
_1400E	1300S	18	40	Questionable	Subvertical (no Z)
1400E	900S	16	20	Weak	Subvertical
1500E	1412S	16	60	Weak	Subvertical
1500E	1250S	16	8	Weak	Subvertical
1500E	1075S	16	20	Questionable	Subvertical (Z reverse polarity)
1500E	950S	16	60	Weak	Subvertical
1500E	575S	16	60	Questionable	Subvertical (Z reverse polarity)
1600E	1500S	16	60	Weak	Subvertical
1600E	1150S	16	40	Weak	Subvertical
1600E	1050S	18	60	Weak	Subvertical
1600E	950S	8	100	Weak	Subvertical
1700E	1450S	8?	40	Questionable	Subvertical (no Z)
1700E	1200S	8	40	Questionable	Subvertical (no Z)
1700E	1000S	6	50	Weak	Subvertical
1700E	775S	8	40	Weak	Subvertical
1700E	700S	6	100	Weak	Subvertical

APPENDIX G

LIST OF MAPS

• Surface LPTEM Profiles: <u>Multi-Channel 4-Axis Profile Plots</u>: showing time rate of decay of the secondary electromagnetic field, for X, Y Z and Total Field components, 1:5000 scale, ch. 1-20 divided according to 4 vertical (linear) axes, nanoVolts per Ampere-metre².

Drawing #s=QG131-4AXIS-K-Line#, where K=Z, X, Y, TF (Total Field).

LOOP	SURVEY LINES	# LINES	# PLOTS
1	14+00E to 17+00E	4	16
	TOTALS	4	16

• TFM Magnetic Plans: <u>Posted Profiles and Contour Plan Maps</u> showing Total Field magnetic component, at 1:5000 scale, overlain onto UTM topographic base, units of nanotesla.

NO.	DESCRIPTION	DRAWING NUMBER
1	TFM Total Magnetic Field Contour Map	# QG131-HUTT12-MAGCONT-TF-HUTT 12
2	TFM Total Magnetic Field Profile Map	QG131-HUITT12-MAGPROF-TF-HUTT 12
	TOTALS	2

LPTEM Plan Maps: <u>Posted/Contoured Plan Maps</u>, of early-channel (5) TEM secondary EM fields (TF or X Component), with Interpretation overlay, UTM translated and rotated, and overlain onto digital topographic claim basemap⁴, 1:5000 scale, in units of nanoVolts per Ampere-metre².

NO.	DESCRIPTION	DRAWING NUMBER
1	TEM Total Field Component (Ch 5) Contour Map	QG-131-HUTT12-TEM-CONT-ROT-5TF
2	TEM X Component (Ch 5) Contour Map	QG-131-HUTT12-TEM-CONT-ROT-5X
3	TEM X Component (Ch 5) and Interpretation Map	QG-131-HUTT12-TEM-INT-ROT-5X
	TOTALS	3

 LPTEM Interpreted Cross-Sections: <u>Stacked Profiles</u> of Early-channel (ch 5) Multicomponent (TF, Hz, Hy, Hx) TEM secondary EM fields, along profile trace, including interpreted cross-section with conductors, and comments, at 1:5000.

NO.	DESCRIPTION	DRAWING NUMBER
1	Line 16+00E Channel 5 Interpreted Cross-Section	QG1131-HUTT12-TEM-Multicomp-1600E-CH5-I
	TOTALS	1

TOTAL MAPS = 22

⁴ The UTM reference and rotation angle used for the XYZ translation onto digital topographic base and claim maps supplied by Falconbridge Ltd. (HUTT_TOP.DXF; S.Taylor, email comm., 10-2000) were: $X_0 = 485,658$ mE / $Y_0 = 5,310135$ mN and $\phi = N-015.3^{\circ}$ W

APPENDIX H

PLAN MAPS AND SECTIONS

QG-131 - November, 2000





i

l

1

i

i

1

Ì

E — X Component Hutt 12
ale 1:5000 50 100 150 200 (meters)
BRIDGE LIMITED PROPERTY t Twp., ON
DOP PROFILING SURVEY romagnetic Field (dB/dt)
30 Hz (50% duty cycle) 600 x 800 metres 14+00E to 22+00E, 6+00S to 0+00S 15.5 Amps e: 378 us
20 metres nanoVolt/A+m2 Hz - positive up Hx - positive south Hy - positive west
October 2000 Rx = Digital Protem (3x20 Channels) & Geonics 3D Coil (3x200m2) Fx = Geonics EM-37 (2.8 kW)
veyed & Processed by: TEC GEOSCIENCE INC. NO. 0G-131-44XIS-X-17+00E



00E — Y Component Hutt 12
Scale 1:5000 50 100 150 200
(meters)
DNBRIDGE LIMITED UTT PROPERTY Hutt Twp., ON
-LOOP PROFILING SURVEY Dectromagnetic Field (dB/dt)
cy: 30 Hz (50% duty cycle) 600 × 800 metres 14+00E to 22+00E, 6+00S to 0+00S 15.5 Amps f Time: 378 us
20 metres nanoVott/A+rm2 stion. Hz - positive up Hx - positive south Hy - positive west
October 2000 Rx = Digital Protem (3x20 Channels) & Geonics 3D Coil (3x200m*2) Tx = Geonics EM 37 (2.8 kW)
Surveyed & Processed by: ANTEC CEOSCIENCE INC. G. NO. QG-131-4AXIS Y-17+00E



	ĺ
OE – Total Field	
Hutt 12	
ale 1:5000	
50 100 150 200	
(meters)	
BRIDGE LIMITED	
T PROPERTY	
t Twp., ON	
OOP PROFILING SURVEY	
tromagnetic Field (dB/dt)	
30 Hz (50% duty cycle) 600 × 800 metres 14+00E to 22+00E, 6+00S to 0+00S	
15.5 Amps	
ne: 378 us	
20 metres	
nanoVott/A+rm2	
Hz - positive up	
Hy - positive west	
October 2000	
Rx = Digital Protem (3x20 Channels)	
& Geonics 3D Coil (3×200m²2)	
Ix = Geonics EM - 37 (2.8 kW)	
veyed & Processed by: TRC CRASCIENCE INC	
NO OG-131-4AXIS-TE-17+00E	











5+00E – Z Component Hutt 12 Scale 1:5000 0 50 100 150 200 (meters)
CONBRIDGE LIMITED HUTT PROPERTY Hutt Twp., ON
ED-LOOP PROFILING SURVEY y Electromagnetic Field (dB/dt)
guency: 30 Hz (50% duty cycle) 600 x 800 metres n: 14+00E to 22+00E, 6+00S to 0+00S rent: 15.5 Amps n-Off Time: 378 us
20 metres nanoVolt/A+m2 ientation: Hz – positive up Hx – positive south Hy – positive west
October 2000 : Rx = Digital Protem (3x20 Channels) & Geonics 3D Coil (3x200rrr2) Tx = Geonics EM-37 (2.8 kW)
Surveyed & Processed by: QUANTEC GEOSCIENCE INC. DWG. NO. QG-131-4AXIS-Z-15+00E



Ì

ļ

+00E – X Component
Hutt 12
Scale 1:5000
0 50 100 150 200
(meters)
CONBRIDGE LIMITED
HUTT PROPERTY
Hutt Twp., ON
ED-LOOP PROFILING SURVEY
/ Electromagnetic Field (dB/dt)
uency: 30 Hz (50% duty cycle) 600 x 800 metres 600 x 80 metres 60 metres </td
20 metres nanoVott/A∗rm2 entation: Hz – positive up Hx – positive south Hy – positive west
October 2000 Rx = Digital Protem (3x20 Channels) & Geonics 3D Coil (3x200rm2) Tx = Geonics EM-37 (2.8 kW)
Surveyed & Processed by: DUANTEC GEOSCIENCE INC.
DWG. NO. QG-131-4AXIS-X-15+00E



i

+00E – Y Component
Hutt 12
Scale 1:5000 0 50 100 150 200
(meters)
CONBRIDGE LIMITED HUTT PROPERTY Hutt Twp., ON
ED-LOOP PROFILING SURVEY Dectromagnetic Field (dB/dt)
uency: 30 Hz (50% duty cycle) 600 x 800 metres n: 14+00E to 22+00E, 6+00S to 0+00S ent: 15.5 Amps -Off Time: 378 us
20 metres nanoVolt/A*m*2 entation: Hz - positive up Hx - positive south Hy - positive west
October 2000 Rx = Digital Protern (3x20 Channels) & Geonics 3D Coil (3x200m*2) Tx = Geonics EM-37 (2.8 kW)
Surveyed & Processed by: QUANTEC CEOSCIENCE INC. DWG. NO. QG-131-4AXIS-Y-15+00E



)0E - Total Field
Hutt 12
cale 1:5000
50 100 150 200
(meters)
BRIDGE LIMITED
T PROPERTY
tt Twp., ON
OOP PROFILING SURVEY
tromagnetic Field (dB/dt)
.30 Hz (50% duty cycle)
600 x 800 metres
14+00E to 22+00E, 6+00S to 0+00S
15.5 Amps ne:
20 metres
nanoVolt/A+m²
n: Hz - positive up
Hx - positive south
Hy - positive west
October 2000 Ry - Diaital Postam (3v20 Chappah)
K = Digital Protein (0.20 Chamber) & Georges 3D Coll (3x200m ²)
$Tx = \underline{Geonics} EM-37 (2.8 kW)$
rveyed & Processed by:
TEC GEOSCIENCE INC.
NO. QG-131-4AXIS-TF-15+00E



i.



Component
000
0 150 200
)
LIMITED ERTY ON
OFILING SURVEY
ic Field (dB/dt)
30 Hz (50% duty cycle) 600 x 800 metres 2+00E, 6+00S to 0+00S 15.5 Amps 378 us
20 metres nanoVolt/A+mr2 Hz – positive up Hx – positive south Hy – positive west
October 2000 Protem (3x20 Channels) onics 3D Cail (3x200mr2) Geonics EM-37 (2.8 kW)
DSCIENCE INC. 1-4AXIS-X-14+00E



Component 2
5000 00 150 200 3)
ERTY ON
ROFILING SURVEY tic Field (dB/dt)
30 Hz (50% duty cycle) 600 x 800 metres 22+00E, 6+00S to 0+00S 15.5 Amps 378 us
20 metres nanoVolt/A+m*2 Hz - positive up Hx - positive south Hy - positive west
October 2000 al Protem (3x20 Channels) eonics 3D Cail (3x200mr2) Geonics EM-37 (2.8 kW)
Processed by: OSCIENCE INC. 31-4AXIS-Y-14+00E



lotal Wald
0000 00 150 200
LIMITED ERTY ON
OFILING SURVEY tic Field (dB/dt)
30 Hz (50% duty cycle) 600 x 800 metres 2+00E, 6+00S to 0+00S 15.5 Amps 378 us
20 metres nanoVolt/A+m*2 Hz - positive up Hx - positive south Hy - positive west
October 2000 Protem (3x20 Channels) onics 3D Cail (3x200rm2) Geonics EM-37 (2.8 kW)
<i>Processed by:</i> DSCIENCE INC. 1-4AXIS-TF-14+00E

Ministry of Northern Development and Mines

Date: 2001-JUL-24

Ministère du Développement du Nord et des Mines



GEOSCIENCE ASSESSMENT OFFICE 933 RAMSEY LAKE ROAD, 6th FLOOR SUDBURY, ONTARIO P3E 6B5

FALCONBRIDGE LIMITED SUITE 1200, 95 WELLINGTON STREET WEST TORONTO, ONTARIO M5J 2V4 CANADA Tel: (888) 415-9845 Fax:(877) 670-1555

Submission Number: 2.21427 Transaction Number(s): W0160.30131

Dear Sir or Madam

Subject: Approval of Assessment Work

We have approved your Assessment Work Submission with the above noted Transaction Number(s). The attached Work Report Summary indicates the results of the approval.

At the discretion of the Ministry, the assessment work performed on the mining lands noted in this work report may be subject to inspection and/or investigation at any time.

If you have any question regarding this correspondence, please contact LUCILLE JEROME by email at lucille.jerome@ndm.gov.on.ca or by phone at (705) 670-5858.

Yours Sincerely,

1 C Gdi

Ron Gashinski Supervisor, Geoscience Assessment Office

Cc: Resident Geologist

Falconbridge Limited (Claim Holder)

Dean Rogers (Agent)

Assessment File Library

Falconbridge Limited (Assessment Office)



HUTT

41P14NE2007 2.21427



Work Report Summary

Tra	insaction No:	W0160.	30131		S	tatus:	APP	ROVED			
Re	cording Date:	2001-M	AY-18		Work Done	from:	2000	-OCT-16			
Ap	proval Date:	2001-Jl	JL-23			to:	2000	-OCT-21			
Cli	ent(s):										
	1306	79 F.	ALCONBRIDO	GE LIMITED							
Su	rvey Type(s):										
			EM		LC			MAG			
w	ork Report Det	ails:									
Cla	aim#	Perform	Perform Approve	Applied	Applied Approve	Ass	sign	Assign Approve	Reserve	Reserve Approve	Due Date
Ρ	1227824	\$1,270	\$1,270	\$1,644	\$1,644		\$0	0	\$0	\$0	2001-MAY-22
Ρ	1236371	\$6,774	\$6,774	\$6,400	\$6,400	\$	374	374	\$0	\$0	2002-MAY-20
Ρ	1236372	\$423	\$423	\$423	\$423		\$0	0	\$0	\$0	2001-MAY-20
		\$8,467	\$8,467	\$8,467	\$8,467	\$	374	\$374	\$0	\$0	
Ex	ternal Credits:		\$0								
Re	serve:		\$0 Res	erve of Work	: Report#: W0	160.30	131				
			\$0 Tota	I Remaining							

Status of claim is based on information currently on record.



r - 1000 f Verie Tult: 1 (0005) 415 10045 Tult: 1 (0027) 570 10144

Lond information Ontaria



	A CONTRACTOR OF
A Remain	
WW AUCUMENTER	
pricey	
and the second se	
1	
	and and a subscription of a subscription of the second
2	
2	214 27; HUTT 12 GRID
2	214 27. HUTT 12 GRID
2	214 27. HUTT 12 GRID
2	HUTT 12 GRID Scale 1:5000
2	HUTT 12 GRID Scale 1:5000
2	HUTT 12 GRID Scale 1:5000 100 0 100 200 (meters)
2	HUTT 12 GRID
2	HUTT 12 GRID 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10
2	HUTT 12 GRID 10 0 10 0 100 0 100 200 (meters)
2	HUTT 12 GRID Scale 1:5000 100 0 100 200 (meters) FALCONBRIDGE LIMITED
	HUTT 12 GRID Scale 1:5000 0 100 0 100 0 100 0
	HUTT 12 GRID D D D D D D D D D D D D D
2	HUTT 12 GRID MUTT 12 GRID Mutt 12 GRID Mutt 12 PROPERTY
	MUTT 12 GRID10010010010010010
2	HUTT 12 GRID Scale 1:5000
2	HUTT 12 GRID
2	HUTT 12 GRID MUTT 12 GRID Market 1:5000 M
	HUTT 12 GRID Description
	HUTT 12 GRID Description Description <
	<section-header> <section-header> HUTT 12 GRID</section-header></section-header>
	<section-header> <section-header> Mutu a gala d gala a gala a gala a gala d gala d gala a gala a gala a gala</section-header></section-header>
	<section-header></section-header>
	<section-header></section-header>
	<section-header></section-header>
	<section-header></section-header>
	<section-header><section-header></section-header></section-header>
	<section-header><section-header></section-header></section-header>
	<section-header> HUTT 12 GRID Decision Scale 1: 5000 10 10 10</section-header>
2	<section-header> Antificient in the set set of the set set set of the set set of the set set of the set set set set set set set set set se</section-header>
2	<section-header> Antification Seale 1: 5000 0 0 00 0 0 00 0 0 00 0 0 00 0 0 00 0 0 00 0 0 00 0 0 00 0 0 00 0 0 00 0 0 00 0 0 00 0 0 00 0 0 00 0 0 00 00 0 0 00 00 0 0 0 00 00 0 0 0 00 00 0 0 0 0 00 00 0 0 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</section-header>
	<section-header> Purtra carato burtra carato <t< td=""></t<></section-header>
2	<section-header> Anternational and and and and and and and and and and</section-header>
	<section-header> And and a strengthy and strengthy and a strengthy and a strengthy and a streng</section-header>
	<section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header>



2.4

	the second s
10	
100 0000,0000,000	
	V
15	
U.	
	The second s
20	
have	
	100
	HUTT 12 GRID
	20.00
	Scale 1:5000
	(meters)
	Hutt Two ON
	GROUND MAGNETIC SURVEY TOTAL MAGNETIC FIELD PROFILES (Base Station Corrected)
	Field Datum: 56000 nanotesla
	Field Declination: 74degN Field Declination: 11degW
	Diurnal Correction: Base Station (10 sec/cyc) Base Station Position: 43555/2005
	Station Interval: 20 metres
	Postings: nanotesla=Left/Top;Right/bottom=Station Posting Base Level: 57000 nanotesla
	Profile Base Level: 57000 nanotesia
	Vertical Profile Scale: 1000 nanotesla per cm
	Survey Date: October, 2000
	Instrumentation: Terraplus-EDA OMNI-IV System (Proton-precession)
	QGI - DMacGillivray
	Surveyed & Processed by: QUANTEC GEOSCIENCE INC
d by Falconbridge (10-00).	DWG. NO. QG131-HUTT12-MAGPROF-TF-HUTT 12 GRID



HUTT 12 GRID 2 4 27 **X COMPONENT - CHANNEL 5**

Scale 1:5000

100

200

(meters)

Hut	t Twp., ON
LPTEM FIXED-LO	OP PROFILING SURVEY
X Componen	t Contour Map - Ch 5
Secondary Elect	romagnetic Field (dB/dt)
Transmitter Frequency:	30 Hz (50% duty cycle
Transmitter Loop Size:	600m × 800n
Transmitter Loop Location:	1400E/600S, 2200E/05
Transmitter Current:	15.5 Amp
Turn-Off Time:	378 u
Station Interval:	20 metre
Contour Interval:	2, 10, 50 nanoVolt/A*m^:
Grid Cell Size:	17.5 n
Postings:	X Comp, Ch 5 TEM Field
Receiver Coil Orientations:	Hz - positive u
	Hx - positive sout
	Hy - positive eas
Survey Date:	October, 200
Instrumentation:	Rx = Digital Protem (3x20 Channels
	& Geonics 3D Coil (3x200m ²
	Tx = Geonics EM-37 (2.8 kW





HUTT

41P14NE2007 2.21427





12		
		N
		4
		NI
guessar		
5		
0		
D		the second s
-		
sana -		
-	HU	JTT 12 GRID
	HU TOTAL FIELD C	JTT 12 GRID OMPONENT - CHANNEL 5
	HU TOTAL FIELD C	JTT 12 GRID OMPONENT - CHANNEL 5 Scale 1:5000
	HU TOTAL FIELD C S	JTT 12 GRID OMPONENT - CHANNEL 5 Scale 1:5000 100 200
		UTT 12 GRID OMPONENT - CHANNEL 5 Scale 1:5000 0 100 200 (meters)
		DTT 12 GRID OMPONENT - CHANNEL 5 Scale 1:5000
	HU TOTAL FIELD C S 100 FALCON HUTT	UTT 12 GRID OMPONENT - CHANNEL 5 Scale 1:5000 0 100 200 (meters) NBRIDGE LIMITED 12 PROPERTY
	HU TOTAL FIELD C S 100 FALCON HUTT HI	UTT 12 GRID OMPONENT - CHANNEL 5 Scale 1:5000 0 100 200 (meters) NBRIDGE LIMITED 12 PROPERTY utt Twp., ON
	HU TOTAL FIELD C S 100 FALCON HUTT HI LPTEM FIXED-L	UTT 12 GRID OMPONENT - CHANNEL 5 Scale 1:5000 0 100 200 (meters) NBRIDGE LIMITED 12 PROPERTY utt Twp., ON LOOP PROFILING SURVEY
	HU TOTAL FIELD C S 100 FALCON HUTT HU LPTEM FIXED-U TOTAL FIELD Co Socondary Elo	UTT 12 GRID OMPONENT - CHANNEL 5 Scale 1:5000 0 100 200 (meters) NBRIDGE LIMITED 12 PROPERTY utt Twp., ON OOP PROFILING SURVEY mponent Contour Map - Ch 5
	HU TOTAL FIELD C S 100 FALCON HUTT HI LPTEM FIXED-U TOTAL FIELD CO Secondary Ele Transmitter Frequency:	UTT 12 GRID OMPONENT - CHANNEL 5 Cale 1:5000 0 100 200 (meters) UBRIDGE LIMITED 12 PROPERTY utt Twp., ON OOP PROFILING SURVEY mponent Contour Map - Ch 5 ctromagnetic Field (dB/dt)
	HU TOTAL FIELD C S 100 FALCON HUTT HI LPTEM FIXED-U TOTAL FIELD CO Secondary Ele Transmitter Frequency: Transmitter Loop Size:	UTT 12 GRID OMPONENT - CHANNEL 5 Cale 1:5000 0 100 200 (meters) UBRIDGE LIMITED 12 PROPERTY utt Twp., ON OOP PROFILING SURVEY mponent Contour Map - Ch 5 ctromagnetic Field (dB/dt) 30 Hz (50% duty cycle) 600m x 800m
	HU TOTAL FIELD C S 100 FALCON HUT HU LPTEM FIXED-U TOTAL FIELD CO Secondary Ele Transmitter Frequency: Transmitter Loop Size: Transmitter Loop Location:	UTT 12 GRID OMPONENT - CHANNEL 5 Cale 1:5000 100 200 (meters) NBRIDGE LIMITED 12 PROPERTY utt Twp., ON SOOP PROFILING SURVEY mponent Contour Map - Ch 5 ctromagnetic Field (dB/dt) 30 Hz (50% duty cycle) 600m x 800m 1400E/600S, 2200E/0S
	HU TOTAL FIELD C S 100 FALCON HUTT HI LPTEM FIXED-L TOTAL FIELD CO Secondary Ele Transmitter Frequency: Transmitter Loop Size: Transmitter Loop Size: Transmitter Loop Location: Transmitter Current:	DTT 12 GRID OMPONENT - CHANNEL 5 Cale 1:5000
	HU TOTAL FIELD C S 100 FALCON HUT H LPTEM FIXED-U TOTAL FIELD CO Secondary Ele Transmitter Loop Location: Transmitter Loop Location: Transmitter Current: Turn-Off Time: Station Interval:	DTT 12 GRID OMPONENT - CHANNEL 5 Cale 1:5000
	HU TOTAL FIELD C S 100 FALCON HUT HI LPTEM FIXED-L TOTAL FIELD CO Secondary Ele Transmitter Frequency: Transmitter Loop Size: Transmitter Loop Size: Transmitter Loop Size: Transmitter Current: Transmitter Current: Turn-Off Time: Station Interval: Contour Interval:	DTT 12 GRID OMPONENT - CHANNEL 5 Cale 1:5000
	Hu TOTAL FIELD C S 100 FALCON HUT Hu LPTEM FIXED-L TOTAL FIELD CO Secondary Ele Transmitter Frequency: Transmitter Loop Size: Transmitter Loop Size: Transmitter Loop Size: Transmitter Current: Transmitter Current: Turn-Off Time: Station Interval: Contour Interval: Contour Interval: Grid Cell Size:	UTT 12 GRID OMPONENT - CHANNEL 5 Cale 1:5000 100 200 (meters) UBRIDGE LIMITED 12 PROPERTY utt Twp., ON OOP PROFILING SURVEY mponent Contour Map - Ch 5 ctromagnetic Field (dB/dt) 30 Hz (50% duty cycle) 600m x 800m 1400E/600S, 2200E/0S 15.5 Amps 378 us 20 metres 5, 20, 100 nanoVolt/A*m^2 17.5 m
	Hu TOTAL FIELD C I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	DTT 12 GRID OMPONENT - CHANNEL 5 Cale 1:5000 0 10 200 (meters) DBRIDGE LIMITED 12 PROPERTY UT Twp., ON OOP PROFILING SURVEY mponent Contour Map - Ch 5 ctromagnetic Field (dB/dt) 00m x 800m 1400E/600S, 2200E/0S 15.5 Amps 378 us 20 metres 5, 20, 100 nanoVolt/A*m^2 17.5 m
	Hu TOTAL FIELD C S 100 FALCON HUT C FALCON HUT Hu C CAL COTAL FIELD CO Secondary Ele Transmitter Loop Location: Transmitter Loop Location: Transmitter Loop Location: Transmitter Current: Transmitter Current: Transmitter Current: Contour Interval: Contour Int	DTT 12 GRID OMPONENT - CHANNEL 5 Cale 1:5000
	Hu TOTAL FIELD C I I I I I I I I I I I I I	DTT 12 GRID OMPONENT - CHANNEL 5 Cale 1:5000 100 200 (meters) DBRIDGE LIMITED 12 PROPERTY Utt Twp., ON OOP PROFILING SURVEY mponent Contour Map - Ch 5 ctromagnetic Field (dB/dt) 30 Hz (50% duty cycle) 600m x 800m 1400E/600S, 2200E/0S 15.5 Amps 378 us 20 metres 5, 20, 100 nanoVolt/A*m*2 17.5 m TF Comp, Ch 5 TEM Field Hz - positive east
	Hu TOTAL FIELD C IO IO FALCON HUT A FALCON HUT Hu A LPTEM FIXED-L TOTAL FIELD CO Secondary Ele Iransmitter Frequency: Iransmitter Loop Location: Transmitter Loop Location: Transmitter Current: Iransmitter Current: Iurn-Off Time: Station Interval: Contour Int	DTT 12 GRID OMPONENT - CHANNEL 5 Cale 1:5000 100 200 (meters) DBRIDGE LIMITED 12 PROPERTY utt Twp., ON OOP PROFILING SURVEY mponent Contour Map - Ch 5 ctromagnetic Field (dB/dt) 30 Hz (50% duty cycle) 600m x 800m 1400E/600S, 2200E/0S 15.5 Amps 378 us 20 metres 5, 20, 100 nanoVolt/A*m^22 17.5 m TF Comp, Ch 5 TEM Field Hz - positive up Hx - positive south Hy - positive east
	Hu TOTAL FIELD C I I I I I I I I I I I I I	DTT 12 GRID OMPONENT - CHANNEL 5 Cale 1:5000 0 100 200 (meters) DBRIDGE LIMITED 12 PROPERTY UT Twp., ON OOP PROFILING SURVEY mponent Contour Map - Ch 5 ctromagnetic Field (dB/dt) 30 Hz (50% duty cycle) 600m x 800m 1400E/600S, 2200E/0S 15.5 Amps 378 us 20 metres 5, 20, 100 nanoVolt/A*m*2 17.5 m TF Comp, Ch 5 TEM Field Hz - positive up Hx - positive up Hx - positive up Hx - positive up
	Hu TOTAL FIELD C I I I I I I I I I I I I I	DTT 12 GRID OMPONENT - CHANNEL 5 Cale 1:5000 100 200 (meters) DERIDGE LIMITED 12 PROPERTY ABRIDGE LIMITED 12 PROPERTY AUT Twp., ON OOP PROFILING SURVEY mponent Contour Map - Ch 5 ctromagnetic Field (dB/dt) 000m x 800m 1400E/600S, 2200E/0S 15.5 Amps 378 us 20 metres 5, 20, 100 nanoVolt/A*m^22 17.5 m TF Comp, Ch 5 TEM Field Hz - positive east 0ctober, 2000 Rx = Digital Protem (3x20 Channels) & Geonics 3D Coil (3x200m^2) Tx = Geonics EM-37 (2.8 kW)