W9460.00015



41P14NW8600 2.15358 SOTHMAN

010



MINING LANDS BRANCH

2.15358

REPORT ON

MAGNETIC SURVEY

BUDD LAKE CLAIMS

SOTHMAN TOWNSHIP HALLIDAY DOME PROJECT

NTS: 41-P/14

PROJ # 8188

NOVEMBER 1993

.

Anal.#: 2.2289. TIMMINS GEOPHYSICS LTD.

SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

A magnetic survey was carried out for Falconbridge Limited on their Budd Lake claims in Sothman Township during October, 1993.

A magnetic high anomaly along the east side of the survey area maps a narrow north-south stiking ultramafic flow or sill. Magnetic low anomalies over sediments on the property reflect intrusives below the sediments. The source of two magnetic high trends through the middle of the property are also believed to be within the stratigraphy beneath the flat lying sediments. It is recommended that a drill hole test the anomaly centered at 260 West on Line 200 South.



Ø10C

.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

.

,

page

ii

SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS	i
INTRODUCTION	1
GENERAL GEOLOGY	1
PREVIOUS WORK	4
SURVEY DESCRIPTION	5
MAGNETIC RESULTS	6
REFERENCES	9

LIST OF MAPS

1. MAGNETIC RESULTS (BACK POCKET)

.

•

,

•

LIST OF FIGURES

	1	page
1.(a) LOCATION MAP	•••	2
(b) CLAIM MAP	•••	2
2. COLOUR IMAGE OF TOTAL MAGNETIC FIELD	• • •	7

LIST OF TABLES

				pa	ge
					,
1.	SUMMARY OF	PREVIOUS	WORK		

INTRODUCTION

A magnetic survey was carried out for Falconbridge Limited on their Budd Lake claims in Sothman Township during October of 1993.

The property is located approximately 63 kilometres south of the city of Timmins (Figure 1(a)) in the Porcupine Mining Division. The town of Matachewan is located 50 kilometres to the east. The property is accessed from an old logging road which branches off the Papakomeka Lake Road (Pine Street South) at Sinclair Lake.

The surveys covered part of two contiguous claims comprising fourteen 40 acre claim units in the east central portion of Sothman Township (Figure 1(b). The claims are numbered as follows:

CLAIM #	# OF UNITS	DESCRIPTION
P1189682	12	Sothman Twp.
P1189683	2	Sothman Twp.

The survey was carried out by the author of this report.

GENERAL GEOLOGY

The geology of Sothman Township was mapped by E. M. Abraham in 1951 (Abraham, 1953) and briefly mentioned in a report of the Grassy River area by Gledhill (1926) and a report on Halliday and Midlothian Townships by Bright (1970).

The property is located on the west flank of the Halliday Dome, a felsic







Figure I(b) : Claim Map

structure which trends east-west through the center of Sothman and Halliday Townships and halfway through Midlothian Township (Bright, 1970). Concordant ultramafic sills and flows are located along the west, northwest and south perimeter of the dome close to the contact between the felsic volcanics and underlying intermediate volcanics. A large granite stock underlies most of Nursery Township to the west of Sothman Township.

A non-economic nickel deposit was discovered in the southeast corner of Sothman Township by Dominion Gulf Company in 1953. The deposit is located along the footwall contact of a sill-like ultramafic body on the south margin of the Halliday Dome. This deposit was the topic of two B.Sc. theses (Schneeberg, 1969 and Watkins, 1972) and the geology and genesis of the deposit is discussed, along with other Archean nickel deposits, by Coad (1979), Eckstrand (1972), Kilburn etal (1969) and Naldrett etal (1971).

The Budd Lake property was most recently mapped by Manville Canada Inc. in 1985 (Evelegh, 1986). The central and northern parts of the survey area are occupied by flat lying Cobalt sediments which form north-south trending ridges. Keewatin intermediate to felsic metavolcanics are located along the east side of the survey area directly to the west and south of Budd Lake. A narrow band of ultramafic strikes north-south between the sediments and the volcanics.

The Edleston fault zone strikes northeast through the very northwest corner of the survey area. Other northeast to north striking faults were interpreted in the Manville survey, based on topography and geological evidence.

Much of the previous work was concentrated on gold mineralization found in carbonate zones within the volcanics to the southwest of Budd Lake and in carbonate zones associated with a feldspar porphyry in the northwest corner of the survey area.

PREVIOUS WORK

The following is a description of previous work which has been filed for assessment (Table 1).

In 1944, gold was discovered on the property by R. Edleston and between 1944 and 1947, Buffalo Ankerite Gold Mines Limited carried out an exploration program over a group of 37 contiguous claims, four of which were located in Nursery Township. The program included a geological survey, stripping, trenching and 12 diamond drill holes totalling 3050 feet; the best intersection ran .20 oz/ton gold over 8.5 feet.

In 1951, R. Edleston restaked 24 of the claims, after they reverted to the Crown, and optioned them to Preston East Dome Mines Ltd. This company also carried out a program which included geological mapping, stripping, trenching

YEAR	COMPANY	GEOPHYSICS	DRILL HOLES	ASSESSMENT FILE	
1946	BUFFALO ANKERITE GOLD NINES LTD	GEOL	DDH-1 to 12	T-2074	
1951	PRESTON EAST DONE OPTION		DDH-1 to 7	1-2121	
1960	EMPIRE EXPLORATIONS	************************************	DDH-1,2	T-2183	
1965	CONSOLIDATED MNG & SMELT of CAN LTD.			T-2184	
1973	ECSTALL NINING LINITED		\$-51-1	T-2290	
1983	MANVILLE CANADA INC.	MAG, VLEN, GEOL		1-2293	

Table 1. Summary of previous assessment work.

and drilling seven holes for a total of 2268 feet.

In 1960, Empire Explorations filed the results from two holes drilled to the south of Budd Lake.

In 1965, Consolidated Mining and Smelting Co. of Canada Limited held 18 claims, centered to the north of Budd Lake. A magnetic and 'long wire' Turam EM survey was run on west northwest oriented lines spaced every 400 feet. The magnetic survey was run with a vertical field fluxgate magnetometer.

In 1973, Ecstall Mining Limited (Texasgulf Inc.) ran magnetic and HLEM surveys along north-south lines spaced every 300 feet. The magnetic readings were taken with an Elsec 592 total field proton precession magnetometer and the HLEM survey was run with a Geonics EM-17 with a coil separation of 300 feet and frequency of 1600 Hz. One drill hole (S-51-1) was sunk to test a magnetic high; the hole ended in serpentinite in which some spinifex texture was noted.

In 1983, Manville Canada Inc. staked 11 claims surrounding Budd Lake. In 1985, this company ran geological, magnetic, vertical loop electromagnetic (VLEM) and radiometric surveys along north-south lines spaced every 400 feet. Some stripping, trenching and drilling was also carried out on the carbonate zones to the southwest of Budd Lake. In 1987, the claims were optioned to Quote Resources Inc. who ran magnetic and induced polarization (IP) surveys along north-south and east-west detail lines, spaced every 200 feet.

SURVEY DESCRIPTIONS

A north-south base line, designated 500 East, was established just to the west of Budd Lake and east-west grid lines were cut to the west of the base line every

100 metres from 0 South to 1200 South. A tie line was also cut at 0 West and all of the lines were picketed every 20 metres.

The magnetic readings were taken every 10 metres along the grid lines with a Scintrex IGS-2/MP-4. This instrument is a proton precession magnetometer which measures the earth's total magnetic field to an accuracy of 0.1 gammas. Diurnal variations were monitored every 12 seconds with a Scintrex MP-3 base station magnetometer. A total of 1132 readings were taken along 11.2 kilometres of line.

MAGNETIC RESULTS

The magnetic results are posted and contoured every 250 nT on Map 1 at a scale of 1:5000. A colour image of the total magnetic field is given in Figure 2 at a scale of 1:10,000.

A linear north-south trending magnetic high anomaly on the east side of the survey area maps a narrow unit of ultramafics between volcanics to the east and sediments to the west. This anomaly extends from 300 East on Line 200 South to 350 East on Line 1200 South. The magnitude of the anomaly increases from north to south; on Line 200 South it is approximately 1300 nT above background whereas on Line 1100 South it is approximately 5500 nT above background. This decrease in amplitude from south to north may be due to a decrease in size of the unit or a decrease in the magnetite content from south to north. Three 'breaks' or discontinuities occur in the anomaly, at 900 South, 700 South and 500 South. These may be due to faulting and/or alteration or the presence of later dikes which have cut the ultramafic. The break and apparent offset of the magnetic anomaly on Line 700 South is on strike with a north northeast striking

hornblendite dike, mapped to the west of Budd Lake in the Manville geological survey. The break is also on strike to a linear magnetic low anomaly to the southwest, which may map the continuation of the dike beneath the sediments.

The volcanics to the west and south of Budd Lake have a much lower magnetic susceptibility than the ultramafics, except on Lines 200 and 300 South. The short linear magnetic high at approximately 435 East on these lines is likely due to pyrrhotite mineralization associated with the lead-zinc showing on the property. The isolated anomaly at 380 East on Line 300 South may have a similar source.

The small magnetic low anomaly centered at 280 West on Line 0 coincides with a feldspar porphyry which is known to occur at this location. The oval shaped magnetic low at the west end of Lines 300 to 900 South may also reflect a felsic intrusive, beneath the sediments, which is related to the granite stock to the west in Nursery Township. The magnetic expression over the rest of the sediments is much higher, up to 1000 nT above background; the highest field over the sediments are two oval shaped anomalies centered at 200 West and 20 East on Line 300 South. These anomalies are part of two concentric high trends around the magnetic low. A possible interpretation is that they represent alteration halos on the edge of the granite.

1)ec. 13, 1993

glas /ord-y

DATE

REFERENCES

ABRAHAM, E. M. 1953: Geology of Sothman Township; Ontario Department of Mines, Vol LXII, Part 6, 1953, 34p. Accompanied by Map 1953-3 at a scale of 1 inch to 1000 feet.

BRIGHT, E. G. 1970: Geology of Halliday and Midlothian Townships, District Dept. Mines. GR 79, of Sudbury and Timiskaming, Ont, Dept, Mines, GR 79, 33p. Accompanied by Map 2187 at a scale of 1 in to 1/2mile.

COAD, P. R.

1979: Nickel Sulphide Deposits Associated with Ultramafic Rocks of the Abitibi Belt and Economic Potential of Mafic-Ultramafic Intrusions; Ontario Geological Survey, Study 20, 84p.

ECKSTRAND, O. R.

1972: Ultramafic Flows and Nickel Sulphide Deposits in the Abitibi Orogenic Belt; p.75-81 in Report of Activities, Part A, Can. Geol. Surv., Paper 72-1.

EVELEGH, F. J.

- 1986: Report on Geological and Geophysical Surveys, Budd Lake Claims, Sothman Township, Larder Lake Mining Division, Province of Ontario; Manville Canada Inc., Assessment Report, Timmins File 2293.
- GLEDHILL, T. L.
 - 1927: Grassy River Area, District of Sudbury, Ontario; Ontario Department of Mines Report 35, p.57. Accompanied by Map 35j at a scale of 1 in to 1.5 miles.

NALDRETT, A. J., GASPARRINI, E. L.

1970: Archean Nickel Sulphide Deposits in Canada: Their Classification, Geological Setting and Genesis with some suggestions as to Exploration; Geol. Soc. Aust., Special Pub., No. 3, p.201-226.

0.G.S.

1972: Mineral Deposits Circular 18, Gold Deposits of Ontario, Part 2, 1972, p.84.

SCHNEEBERG, E. P.

1969: Petrology and Geochemistry of an Ultramafic Intrusion in Sothman Township, Ontario; unpub. BSc Thesis, Carlton Univ., 32p.

WATKINS, J. J. 1972: The Sothman Sill Nickel Deposit; unpub. BSc Thesis, Queens Univ., 32p.





41P14NW8600 2.15358 SOTHMAN

900

Ministry ofMinistèreNorthern DevelopmentDéveloppeand Mineset des Min

Ministère du Développement du Nord et des Mines Mining Lands Section Geoscience Approvals Office 933 Ramsey Lake Road 6th Floor Sudbury, Ontario P3E 6B5

Telephone: (705) 670-5853 Fax: (705) 670-5863

Our File: 2.15358 Transaction #: W9460.00015

April 14 , 1994

Mining Recorder Ministry of Northern Development and Mines 60 Wilson Avenue 1st Floor Timmins, Ontario P4N 2S7

Dear Sir/Madam:

Subject: APPROVAL OF ASSESSMENT WORK CREDITS ON MINING CLAIMS P1189682 AND P1189683 IN SOTHMAN TOWNSHIP

The assessment work credits for Geophysics filed under Section 14 of the Mining Act Regulations have been approved as outlined in the original submission.

The approval date is March 24, 1994.

If you have any questions regarding this correspondence, please contact Lucille Jerome at (705) 670-5855.

Yours sincerely, "

Roncashid.

Ron C. Gashinski Senior Manager, Mining Lands Section Mining and Land Management Branch Mines and Minerals Division

KR/ls

cc: Resident Geologist Timmins, Ontario /Assessment Files Library
Toronto, Ontario

¥

.

	ł
	1
	,
Ontario	

Ministry of Northern Development and Mines

Report of Work Conducted After Recording Claim

ſ	4.L.
Transaction Number	10015

Mining Act

Personal information collected on this form is obtained under the authority of the Mining Act. This information will be used for correspondence. Questions about this collection should be directed to the Provincial Manager, Mining Lands, Ministry of Northern Development and Mines, Fourth Floor, 159 Cedar Street, 1535 Sudbury, Ontario, P3E 6A5, telephone (705) 670-7264. ð 2

Instructions: - Please type or print and submit in duplicate.

- Refer to the Mining Act and Regulations for requirements of filing assessment work or consult the Mining Recorder.
- A separate copy of this form must be completed for each Work Group.
- Technical reports and maps must accompany this form in duplicate.

- A sketch, showing the claims the work is assigned to, must accompany this form.

Recorded Holder(s)	BRIDGE LIF	UTE D			Client No.	679
Address P.O. Box	1140,571 5	IONETA Ave,	Timmins, Ont	P4N 7H	Telephone No	267-1188
Mining Division	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Township/Area	MAN		M or G Plan I	No.
Dates Work Performed	From: SEPT	15, 1943	то: 🤇)0715	1, 1993	>

Work Performed (Check One Work Group Only)

\square	Work Group	Туре			
~	Geotechnical Survey	Inecutting, HEEM, NAG Geophysical Survey			
Γ	Physical Work, Including Drilling				
	Rehabilitation	BECEIVED	RECORDED _		
	Other Authorized Work	MAR 2 3 1994	FEB - 8 1994		
	Assays	MINING LEUX DIS HEASING	Receipt		
	Assignment from Reserve				

4,80% Total Assessment Work Claimed on the Attached Statement of Costs \$

Note: The Minister may reject for assessment work credit all or part of the assessment work submitted if the recorded holder cannot verify expenditures claimed in the statement of costs within 30 days of a request for verification.

Persons and Survey Company Who Performed the Work (Give Name and Address of Author of Report)

Name	Address
Doug Londry	547 LOACH'S ROAD, SUDBURD, ONTARIO PSEZR
B.F. PIGEON CONTRACTING BRICE PIECON	P.O. Box 460, SCHUMACHER, ONT PONIGO

(attach a schedule if necessary)

Certification of Beneficial Interest * See Note No. 1 on reverse side

report were recorded in the current holder's name or held under a beneficial interest Teb 8, 1994 Doug MCX	I certify that at the time the work was performed, the claims covered in this work	Date	Recorded Holder or Agent (Signature)
by the current recorded holder. Teb 8, 1994 Doug VI 5	report were recorded in the current holder's name or held under a beneficial interest		in max
	by the current recorded holder.	1008,1994	Doughton

Certification of Work Report

i certify that I have a personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this Work report, having performed the its completion and annexed report is true.	e work or witnessed same during and/or after
Name and Address of Person Certifying	
Dove McLAUGHUN, P.O. Box 571 NonerA Ave.	Throwins, On 1410 7H9
Totopone No. (105) 267-1188 Teb9, 1994 Copiliad By (Signature) Teb9, 1994	KC)
For Office Use Only	IS COMPANY
Total Value Cr. Recorded Date Recorded FEB. 8th /94 Deemed Approval Date MAY 9th /94 Date Approved Date Approved Date Approved	FEB 8 1991 C (d): 20 pm 842
	PORCUPINE KINING DIVISION



 $\langle \pmb{\pi}_j \rangle$

0241 (03/91)



.

and Mines

inistère du veloppement du Nord al des mines

Statement of Costs for Assessment Credit

État des coûts aux fins du crédit d'évaluation

Mining Act/Loi sur les mines



53

Personal information collected on this form is obtained under the authority of the Mining Act. This information will be used to maintain a record and ongoing status of the mining claim(s). Questions about this collection should be directed to the Provincial Manager, Minings Lands, Ministry of Northern Development and Mines, 4th Floor, 159 Cedar Street, Sudbury, Ontario P3E 6A5, telephone (705) 670-7264. Les renseignements personnels contenus dans la présente formule sont recueillis en vertu de la Loi sur les mines et serviront à tenir à jour un registre des concessions milères. Adresser toute question sur la collece de ces renseignements au chef provincial des terrains miniers, ministère du Développement du Nord et des Mines, 159, rue Cedar, 4^e étage, Sudbury (Ontarlo) P3E 6A5, téléphone (705) 670-7264.

2. Indirect Costs/Coûts Indirects

** Note: When claiming Rehabilitation work indirect costs are not allowable as assessment work. Pour le remboursement des travaux de réhabilitation, les

coûts indirects ne sont pas admissibles en tant que travaux d'évaluation.

Туре	Description			Amount Montant	Totals Total global
Transportation Transport	Туре				
	m	****			1
		RI	COR	DED]
		F	EB - 8	1994	
					Į
Food and Lodging Nourriture et hébergement	L	Recent	ECEI	# . D	
Mobilization and Demobilization Mobilisation et démobilisation		MA	R 2 3	994	
	Total	partiel	des couti	Indirecte	
Amount Allowable Montant admissible	(not grea) (n'excé	iter than dant pas	20% of Dir 20 % des	ect Costs) coûts directs;	
Total Value of Assessment Credit Value tots (Total of Direct and Allowable d'évaluation indirect costs) (Total des costs)			sie du crédit en pûts directs edmissibles		

Note : Le titulaire enregistré sera tenu de vérifier les dépenses demandées dans le présent état des coûts dans les 30 jours suivant une demande à cet ellet. Si la vérification n'est pas effectuée, le ministre peut releter tout ou une partie des travaux d'évaluation présentés.

Remises pour dépôt

- 1. Les travaux déposés dans les deux ans suivant leur achèvement sont remboursés à 100 % de la valeur totale susmentionnée du crédit d'évaluation.
- 2. Les travaux déposés trois, quatre ou cinq ans après leur achèvement sont remboursés à 50 % de la valeur totale du crédit d'évaluation susmentionné. Voir les calculs cl-dessous.

Valeur totale du crédit d'évaluation Evaluation totale demandée × 0,50 =

Attestation de l'état des coûts

J'atteste par la présente :

que les montants indiqués sont le plus exact possible et que ces dépenses ont été engagées pour effectuer les travaux d'évaluation sur les terrains indiqués dans la formule de rapport de travail ci-joint.

Et qu'à titre de _____je suis autorisé (titulaire enregistré, représentant, poste occupé dans la compagnie)

à faire cette attestation.

Date AUT 9,144 Nota : Dans cette formule, lorsqu'il désigne des personnes, le mascuiln est utilisé au sens neutre.

1. Direct Costs/Coûts directs

Туре	Description	Amount Montant	Totais Totai globai
Wages Salaires	Labour Main-d'oeuvre		
	Field Supervision Supervision sur le terrain		建制修
Contractor's and Consultant's Fees Droits de l'entrepreneur et de l'expert- conseil	Inecution	3,410	
	HIEM, MAG	1,396	
	Surrys		4,806
Supplies Used Fournitures utilisées	Туре		
Equipment Rental Location de matérie!	Туре		
			7.1
Total Direct Costs Total des coûts directs			4,806

Note: The recorded holder will be required to verily expenditures claimed in this statement of costs within 30 days of a request for verification. If verification is not made, the Minister may reject for assessment work all or part of the assessment work submitted.

Filing Discounts

- Work filed within two years of completion is claimed at 100% of the above Total Value of Assessment Credit.
- Work filed three, four or five years after completion is claimed at 50% of the above Total Value of Assessment Credit. See calculations below:

Total Value of Assessment Credit	Total Assessment Claimed			
× 0.50 🛥				

Certification Verifying Statement of Costs

I hereby certify:

that the amounts shown are as accurate as possible and these costs were incurred while conducting assessment work on the lands shown on the accempanying Report of Work form.

2 multiple I am authorized 2 G C that as (Recorded Holder, Agent, Position In Company)

FEB

,12',30 pm

PORCUPINE MULING DIVISION

51

to make this certification

0212 (04/91)

S



≶ NAMHTOS

<u>רר</u>

THE INFORMATION THAT APPEARS ON THIS MAR HAS BEEN COMPILED FROM VARIOUS SOURCES AND ACCURACY IS NOT GUARANTEED. THOSE WISHING TO STAKE MIN ING CLAIMS SHOULD CON SULT WITH THE MINING RECORDER, MINISTRY OF NORTHERN DEVELOP MENT AND MINES, FOR AD DITIONAL INFORMATION ON THE STATUS OF TH LANDS SHOWN HEREON.



200



S.

S

Ľ





