Report on an Electromagnetic and Magnetic

Geophysical Survey on the Bell Island,

Mistinikon Lake Section of the British

Matachewan Gold Mines Limited Property

Powell Township, Ontaric



## PROPERTY

This survey covers seven unpatended mining claims of the British Matachewan Gold Mines Limited property, Powell Township, Ontario, MR 50440, MR 50439, MR 40068, MR 40071, MR 40067, MR 269340, and MR 267339.

# LOCATION AND ACCESSIBILITY

This group of claims lies at the northern end of Bell Island in Mistinikon Lake of the West Branch of the Montreal River, Powell Township, Ontario. The property is accessible by gravel highway 2 1/2 miles north of the Town of Matachewan, thence 2 miles by summer road to Mistinikon Lake at a point approximately 1/2 mile south of the south boundary of the group.

#### TOPOGRAPHY

Sixty-five percent of the group is water covered by the west branch of the Montreal River. The shorelines and the northern end of Bell Island comprising the other thirty-five percent of the group have relief of 100 to 200 feet predominated by north-south tending outcropping scarps. The forest cover is predominantly spruce and balsam with the exception of a 20-acre stand of birch near the grid baseline between 4+00E and 14+00E.

#### GEOLOGY

The property is in general underlain by 200' to 1000' thickness of cobalt sediments which dip shallowly to the west and are cut by a major

010

north-south fault underlying the lake on the west side of Bell Island.

Temiskaming volcanics underlie the cobalt sediments and are exposed at the north extreme of the group.

#### **HISTORY**

This group has had limited prospecting only. A minor magnetic reconnaissance survey was also carried out by J. Sherriff in the summer of 1970. The area is included in airborne magnetic map 287G (Matachewan Sheet) 41 - P/15.

#### PRESENT WORK

From <u>December 20 to 23 inclusive, 19</u>70, a reconnaissance geophysical orientation survey was carried out with <u>Scintrex SE 71 (Turam type) electromagnetic and MFI fluxgate magnetic instruments to determine a suitable direction to cut the geophysical survey grid, and to determine the dip direction of conductors, if encountered. From December 28 to January 10, approximately 2 1/2 miles of line were cut on Bell Island by Jim Johnson and Mervin King hampered by rough topography on the island and adverse slush conditions on the lake. Grid chaining on the island and onto the lake was completed by Jacques Robert and Oliver Simard, January 16 to 23, again hampered by adverse slush conditions. Ten miles of geophysical surveying with Scintrex SE71 and MFC were then completed, January 24 to February 10 inclusive, by J. Ward and Jacques Robert. Some operating difficulties were encountered with the SE71 motor generator when temperatures were sub -20° below zero, but these were avoidable by keeping the motor generator in a heated enclosure at the survey site.</u>

### TECHNICAL DESCRIPTION SURVEY METHODS

(a) Scintrex SE71 Electromagnetic Method - (Serial # 009//4 The Scintrex SE71 electromagnetic survey method is essentially similar to the Swedish Turam method. A large single turn loop of #18 HF insulated copper wire of dimensions in the order of 1/2 mile square is energized by a motor generator unit producing approximately 1.5 amperes signals of either 200 Hz, 400 Hz, or 800 Hz. Two receivers joined by a 100-foot reference cable traverse outside of the transmitter loop up to distances of approximately 1/2 mile from the near side of the transmitter loop. The ratio of the signal strengths and phase difference in degrees between the two receivers is measured at each station. The ratios of signal strength between the two receivers are then compared with the ratios expected by theoretical calculation from the transmitters loop size and geometry (i.e. the signals in the receiver nearer the transmitter loop will be stronger by a predictable amount). The deviation of the ratio of the signal strengths read compared to the ratios of the signal strengths expected is then expressed in % ratio and recorded on the survey map midway between the receiver locations. The phase difference is recorded in degrees The % ratios were read to the nearest 1/2% ratio and the phase difference to the nearest 0.1 degree phase.

The SE71 and Turam methods are superior in their depth of survey abilities due to their large transmitter receiver separation, low frequency signals used, smallness of errors in signal strength ratios in rugged terrain, and very high accuracy in out-of-phase measurements.

(b) Sharpe MF1 Fluxgate Magnetometer - (Serial # 90647 \(\sigma\)

The MF1 fluxgate magnetometer measures the vertical magnetic field

variations directly in gammas. Reading divisions are at 20 gamma intervals on the sensitive range (0 - 1000 gammas) used and were read to the nearest 10 gammas. Readings were reduced to a standard datum by correcting for instrument drift at base and tie in stations.

## GEOPHYSICAL INTERPRETATION

- (a) A weakly mineralized shear zone striking N60°W through claims 267340 and 267339 is interpreted as topping 300 feet subsurface and therefore probably lying in the volcanics capped by the cobalt sediments. The strongest conductivity (10 mhos conductivity width) lies between L11E and L17E with an indicated thickness of 100° and a steeply north dip (65° ± 20°). Vertical coil EM checkwork gave less than 2 degrees peak to peak crossover response consistent with the 300-foot depth below surface to the top of the conductor. Probable mineralization associated with conductivities of the order indicated for this conductive zone is roughly 5% sulphides by volume or minor graphitic shearing.
- (b) A second conductive zone striking N20°W through claims 40067 and 40068 is enhanced by good coupling with the east side of the transmitter loop in claims 40066 and 39022. This is a probable shear zone in the cobalt sediments and may be expected to be an extension of the metallic lustre graphite zone encountered in previous drilling by J. Sherriff on the west flank of a mineralized carbonate shear zone immediately to the south of the group.
- (c) The Mistinikon Lake fault under the river on the west side of Bell

  Island also has an enhanced anomaly due to good coupling with a side

of transmitter loop, this time in claim 298185. East-west detailed SE71 electromagnetic traverses (see fig.10) show that virtually all electromagnetic response on line OE is due to traversing the fault anomaly at sub-parallel angles. East-west reconnaissance traverses were read across the fault anomaly at several locations as far as a mile to the south of the group. Depth to top of conductive zone in Mistinikon Fault is indicated at less than 50 feet. The conductivity of the fault mineralization is traceable over the entire length tested but is strongest in the section from the baseline OO south for 3/4 of a mile. Magnetic detailing indicated a possible magnetic correlation of less than 20 gammas with the more conductive sections of the Mistinikon Fault.

- (d) A very weak shallow dipping conductor strikes northwest-southeast through the northeast corner of claim number 50439. It is expected to outcrop in the area 275' west of the #1 post of 50439. Direct negative magnetic correlation of approximately 200 gammas suggests high temperature metamorphism accompanied the formation or emplacement of minor mineralization in an accommodating stratagraphic horizon of the cobalt sediments. Mineralization indicated by the conductivity, if caused by sulphides, is characteristic of roughly 2% sulphides by volume.
- (e) A conductor of indicated 10 mhos conductivity width and probable thickness of 150 feet was detected on the west boundary of claim 50439. Depth to top of this conductor is indicated as 450 feet with dip steeply north and strike north 70° west.

(f) Anomalous conductivity at a depth of roughly 600 feet seems to be indicated in correlation with a 200 gamma magnetic anomaly between Line 8E and L20E approximately 600 feet north of the baseline in claims 50440 and 40068. Anomalous responses from near surface conductors especially shoreline effects and the near surface shear zone at line 20E 4450N, limited traverses with lower frequencies with the transmitter at large distances and the reverse coupling with the transmitter too near the deep conductor make analysis of this possible conductor with present data virtually impossible excepting that it has better conductivity than the near surface conductors.

## RECOMMENDATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS

The proposed drill hole spotted for conductor "A" was field located before all data were plotted and was chosen in part for convenient drill platform terrain. It is now recommended that a sub baseline 200' or 300' long be cut from the proposed collar at right angles to the proposed azimuth of drilling and that the collar of the proposed drill hole be respotted about 300 feet west of its present location. The respotted hole should be at such a dip so as to intersect the conductive zone at -350'. The new location will then permit more economical testing of a broadening of the zone on Line 8E - 20+00N to 23+00N should a second hole be warranted.

Conductors "B" and "C" require lake traverses with induction loops energizing them in an east-west direction to assess their unenhanced apparent conductivity since limited vertical coil EM testing at 10+00S Line 0 indicated scattered sulphides at best.

Conductor "D" in claim #50439 near #1 post should receive limited prospecting to determine the character of the indicated minor amounts of conductive mineralization.

Conductor "E" investigation should be considered only in the light of the findings of conductor "A".

Conductor "F" requires further 200Hz detailing to reduce the response from near surface conductors. The near side of the transmitter loop should be ideally at approximately 10+00S in order to avoid reverse coupling by being too near the deep conductor and, of course, still within the limited range of readability of the 200 Hz signal.

Respectfully submitted,

Toronto, Ontario April 30, 1971 J. T. Ward, P.Eng.



Type A separate form is required for each type of sur  A separate form is required for each type of sur  Township or Area  POWE LL  Chief Line Cutter Or Contractor  Name  MATACHEWAN ONT  Address  Party Chief  JOHN T WARD  Name  9 WILLAMERE DRIVE SCARBOROUGH Address  Consultant  SCARBOROUGH  Address  Address  Address  Address  Address  Coological field mapping by  Name  Address  COVERING DATES
Township or Area POWELL  Chief Line Cutter SIM JOHNSON Name Or Contractor  MATACHEWAN ONT  Address  Party Chief JOHN T WARD  Name  9 WILLAMERE DRIVE SCARBOROUGH Address  Consultant SOHN T. WARD  9 WILLAMERE DRIVE SCARBOROUGH Address  Coological field mapping by  Name  9 WILLAMERE DRIVE SCARBOROUGH Address  COVERING DATES
Chief Line Cutter SIM JOHNSON MINING CLAIMS TRAVERSED List numerically  MATACHEWAN ONT  Address  Party Chief JOHN T WARD  9 WILLAMERE DRIVE SCARBOROUGH Address  Consultant JOHN T WARD  9 WILLAMERE DRIVE SCARBOROUGH Address  Geological field mapping by  Name  9 WILLAMERE DRIVE SCARBOROUGH Address  COVERING DATES  MINING CLAIMS TRAVERSED List numerically  MINING CLAIMS TRAVERSED  List numerically  MOOG 7  4006.7  4006.7  Address  ONE HALF OF 26.733.9  Address
MATACHEWAN ONT Address  Party Chief JOHN T WARD  Name  9 WILLAMERE DRIVE SCARBOROUGH 40068  Address  Consultant JOHN T. WARD  Name  9 WILLAMERE DRIVE SCARBOROUGH 50439, 50440  Address  Geological field mapping by  Name  267340  Address
Party Chief JOHN T WARD  Name  9 WILLAMERE DRIVE SCARBOROUGH Address  Consultant JOHN T. WARD  Name  9 WILLAMERE DRIVE SCARBOROUGH Address  Geological field mapping by  Name  Name  Name  Address  Name  Name  Name  Address  COVERING DATES
ONE HALF OF 267340  OVERING DATES  ONILLAMERE DRIVE SCARBOROUGH  Address  COVERING DATES
Consultant SOHN T. WARD  Name  9 WILLAMERE DRIVE SCARBOROUGH Address  Geological field mapping by  Name  Address  COVERING DATES  Address  40071  50439,50440  ONE HALF OF 267.3.3.9.  Address
Geological field mapping by  Name  Address  ONE HALF OF 267339  Address  COVERING DATES
Name  267340  Address  COVERING DATES
Address  COVERING DATES
COVERING DATES
32/-1
Line Cutting Dec 28/70 Jan 23/71
Field Jan 24/71 Feb 6/7/ Instrument work, geological mapping, sampling etc.
Office Feb 13 /71 April 30/71
RECEIVED
INSTRUMENT DATA JUN 2 3 1971
Make, Model and Type SHARPE MFI FLUXGATE PROJECTS
Scale Constant or Sensitivity 20 GAMMAS PER DIVISION  Or provide copy of instrument data from Manufacturer's brochure.

Radiometric Background Count

Number of Stations Within Claim Group

609 Number of Readings Within Claim Group

Number of Miles of Line cut Within Claim Group

Number of Samples Collected Within Claim Group

CREDITS REQUESTED  Geological Survey	20 DAYS per claim	40 DAYS Includes per claim (Line cuttin	-
Geophysical Survey		Show Check ✓	
Geochemical Survey			

DATE June 16/71 SIGNED John T. War

TOTAL CLAIMS 6 1/2

Send in Duplicate to: FRED W. MATTHEWS

SUPERVISOR-PROJECTS SECTION DEPARTMENT OF MINES & NORTHERN AFFAIRS WHITNEY BLOCK QUEEN'S PARK

TORONTO, ONTARIO

## SUBMISSION OF GEOLOGICAL, GEOPHYSICAL AND GEOCHEMICAL SURVEYS

#### AS ASSESSMENT WORK

In order to simplify the filing of geological, geochemical and ground geophysical surveys for assessment work, the Minister has approved the following procedure under Section 84 (8a) of the Ontario Mining Act. This <u>special provision</u> does not apply to airborne geophysical surveys.

- If, in the opinion of the Minister, a ground geophysical survey meets the requirements prescribed for such a survey, including:
  - (a) substantial and systematic coverage of each claim
  - (b) line spacing not exceeding 400 foot intervals
  - (c) stations not exceeding 100 foot intervals or
  - (d) the average number of readings per claim not less than 40 readings

it will qualify for a credit of 40 assessment work days for each claim so covered. It will not be necessary for the applicant to furnish any data or breakdown concerning the persons employed in the survey except for the names and addresses of those in charge of the various phases (linecutting contractor, etc.). It will be assumed that the required number of man days were spent in producing the survey to qualify for the specified credit.

Each additional ground geophysical survey using the same grid system and otherwise meeting these requirements will qualify for an assessment work credit of 20 days.

A geological survey using the same grid system, and meeting the requirements for submission of geological surveys for maximum credits will qualify for an assessment work credit of 20 days. If line cutting has not previously been reported with any other survey and is reported in conjunction with the geological survey a credit of 40 days per claim will be allowed for the survey.

Similarly, a geochemical survey using the same grid system with the average number of collected samples per claim being not less than 40 samples, and meeting the requirements for the submission of geochemical surveys for maximum credits, will qualify for an assessment work credit of 20 days. If line cutting has not previously been reported with any other survey and is reported in conjunction with the geochemical survey a credit of 40 days per claim will be allowed for the survey.

Credits for partial coverage or for surveys not meeting requirements for full credit will be granted on a pro-rata basis.

If the credits are reduced for any reason, a fifteen day Notice of Intent will be issued. During this period, the applicant may apply to the Mining Commissioner for relief if his claims are jeopardized for lack of work or, if he wishes, may file with the Department, normal assessment work breakdowns listing the names of the employees and the dates of work. The survey would then be re-assessed to determine if higher credits may be allowed under the provisions of subsections 8 and 9 of section 84 of the Mining Act.

If new breakdowns are not submitted, the Performance and Coverage credits are confirmed to the Mining Recorder at the end of the fifteen days.























