

RECEIVED

OCT - 71981

MINING LANDS SECTION

VLF-EM SURVEY

DYMENT-KIDSTON GROUP "A"

Jomi Minerals and Expediting Ltd. Tarzwell, Ontario October 2, 1981

L.M.Dyment Tarzwell Ontario



MENT-KIDETON

SUMMARY

In the month of July and the beginning of August, 1981, a Radem VLF-EM survey was carried out over two claims (565134 & 565135) in Teck Twp., Larder Lake mining division. These two claims are part of a twenty-five claim group referred to as Dyment-Kidston group A.

LOCATION AND ACCESS

Claim 565135 is located on the Teck-Lebel boundary, two miles directly south of Kirkland Lake. Claim 565134 is directly west of claim 565135. In the summer of 1981, a baseline was continued from the entire claim group (group A) western boundary at Hwy 112 and Murdock Creek, to the Teck-Lebel boundary at claim 565135 to give good control for the geophysical survey and to provide useful access to the extreme eastern end of the claim group.

PREVIOUS WORK

There is no record of work filed on this ground in the Kirkland Lake District Geologist's assessment files. The ground, however, was held for years by one of the old-time, well-respected prospectors of the area, Dennis Duffy. Rumor has it that gold values were obtained by Mr. Duffy on this ground.

SURVEY METHOD AND INSTRUMENT DATA

The geophysical survey was conducted over compass and chain lines at 400 ft. intervals with stations every 100 ft. As previously mentioned, a baseline was cut, chained and picketed for good control. The township line between Teck and Lebel has also been surveyed and cut out at that point providing even greater control. The instrument used in the survey is a Crone Radem.

Electromagnetic prospecting methods rely on the measurement of the secondary field generated by conducting bodies in the ground when subjected to a primary electromagnetic field. The Radem VLF-EM method is a passive instrument operating in the very low radio frequency range (17.8-22.3 Khz.), which utilizes powerful radio transmitters at various locations throughout the world as the source of the primary electromagnetic signal. The radiation from these transmitters contains both electric and magnetic components which may energize subsurface conductors which in turn will create secondary fields.

In order to obtain maximum coupling with conductive zones, a transmitting station which is roughly on strike with the general geological structure was selected.

The VLF-EM system has proved to be an extremely useful mapping tool in locating faults, shear zones, geological contacts and other conductors due to massive sul-

phides and/or graphite, etc. Its limitations are more evident in areas of flat-lying, highly conductive overburden material, where the relatively high EM frequencies may be severely attenuated, causing not only a loss of depth penetration but inaccurate conductivity estimates as well. Conversely, the high frequency has the advantage of being able to detect the more poorly conductive (disseminated) zones of mineralization which may not be seen with the lower frequency EM systems. In areas of relatively rough topographic relief, steep hills and valleys may give rise to cross-overs which are not necessarily due to changes in ground conductivity. These effects may be minimized by filtering the regional topographic trend from the VLF profiles, or, more simply, by contouring the filed strength values obtained.

GEOLOGY OF THE AREA

From the Teck geology map (ODM 1945-1) you could not arouse much interest in this area of Teck Twp. In the opinion of Bill Gerrie, however, then consultant for Iso Uranium (circa 1950) working on the neighboring property (Dane Copper) in Lebel Twp, the information on the ODM Lebel sheet was more complete and accurate than that on the south east corner of Teck Twp. The author of this report is in complete agreement with Mr. Gerrie on this point. In traversing the two claims in question during

the geophysical survey, a real geological smorgasbord was observed: Beefstring spinifex, Komatiitic conglomerate, peridotite dikes, massive quartz (three separate locations), altered ultramafics, massive sulphides, tourmaline-lead-chalcopyrite mineralization. Obviously the ground must be properly mapped geologically as it certainly isn't just "pink and green".

GEOPHYSICAL OBSERVATIONS

- A) Although topographically suspect because of swampy conditions in the vicinity of this anomaly, the author believes it to be a shear zone. It displays a fairly long lineament on the far south east portion of Teck air photo (59-4805).
- B & C) Excellent conductors with good field strength correlation. Noticeable magnetic attraction in the area of the cross-overs. Believed to be extension of massive sulphides surface showing to the west of #4 post of claim 565134.
- D) Small shear zone noted in pits and trenches at the location of this anomaly.
- E) Cross-overs on L76E and 80E located in centre of beaver pond. At line 84E the cross-over is in the vicinity of an ultramafic dike striking

north-south. It would appear to be a conductive overburden anomaly but further prospecting in the neighborhood of 84E and along the township line should be carried out.

CONCLUSION

During the winter field season lines should be cut and a magnetometer survey done. Although the regional strike according to ODM 1945-1 shows north-south orientation, enough evidence was found of some structural trends east-west both in the EM survey and from field observation. Following completion of the magnetometer survey, coupled with the results of this EM survey, a follow up with proper geological mapping can then most usefully be done.

Certificate

I, Leslie Michael Dyment, residing in the township of Marquis, Ontario, and having a mailing address Jomi Minerals & Expediting Ltd., RR#1, Tarzwell, Ontario, do hereby certify:

- (1) That I am a Mining Technician having taken the two year course at Haileybury School of Mines, Haileybury, Ontario,
- (2) That I have been employed in all phases of mining exploration and development for 19 years,
- (3) That I did personally accumulate and set forth
 the facts and knowledge in the accompanying report and
 maps,
- (4) That the accompanying report is true.

Dated January 22, 1981 Tarzwell, Ontario

slie Michael Dyment



837 (5/79)

Ministry of

GEOPHYSICAL – GEC TECHNICAL



42A01SE0023 2.4182 TECH

900

OCT - 7 198

TO BE ATTACHED AS AN APPENDIX TO TECHNICAL REPORT FACTS SHOWN HERE NEED NOT BE REPEATED IN REPORT TECHNICAL REPORT MUST CONTAIN INTERPRETATION, CONCLUSIONS ETC.

Type of Survey(s)	VLF-	EM	&	Z
Township or Area Claim Holder(s)	Touchyn	e Kidston	MINING CLAIMS TRAVE. List numerically	₹ RSED
Author of Report Address of Author Covering Dates of Sur	RRH, rvey -Tuly	TARZWEN, Ont A) (linecutting to office) (baseline only)	(prefix) (nur	
	STED acludes ac each sing S (Special provision Electromagne	Geophysical —Electromagnetic 20 —Magnetometer	DEGET VE	
DATE: Oct. 2/				
Res. Geol Previous Surveys File No. Type	Qualifid Date	cationsClaim Holder	—	
-/-		L.D		
		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	TOTAL CLAIMS 2	

GEOPHYSICAL TECHNICAL DATA

GROUND SURVEYS - If more than one survey, specify data for each type of survey Station interval. 100' Line spacing 400' Profile scale $1/n = 40^{\circ}$ Contour interval Instrument _____ Accuracy - Scale constant _____ Diurnal correction method _____ Base Station check-in interval (hours)_____ Base Station location and value _____ Instrument VLF Crone-RAJem ECTROMAGNETIC Coil configuration Vertical Accuracy Dip Angle I'2% Fuld Strength + 2% Cutter, Mairo 17.84Z
(specify V.L.F. station) ☐ Parallel line ☐ In line Method: Frequency____ Parameters measured Tiht Angle, Field Strength Instrument _____ Scale constant _____ Corrections made _____ Base station value and location _____ Elevation accuracy____ Instrument _____ ☐ Frequency Domain Parameters – On time ______ Frequency _____ - Off time _____ Range ____ RESISTIVITY - Delay time _____ - Integration time Electrode array Electrode spacing _____ Type of electrode _____

INDUCED POLARIZATION







