
#### Abstract

In the fall of 1964 a ground magnetic survey was completed over part of an airborne magnetic survey in Eby Township, Ontario.

The values were obtained along picket lines spaced 300 feet apart at 50 foot intervals in the anomaly area.

Three profiles were chosen for detailed interpretation along which individual magnetite bands were investigated for widths and percentage magnetite.

The results are described in a three (3) page report with three (3) bound in profiles and an accompanying map on a scale of 1 inch equals 200 feet.
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## INTRODUCTION

The survey was carried out to detail part of a magnetic anomaly which had been delineated by an aeromagnetic survey in 1962.

## LOCATION AND ACCESS

The previously outlined anomaly was located in Eby Township. It's long axis is approximately $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{W}$ and extended almost across the township, slightly south of $\mathrm{it}^{\prime}$ 's centre line.

Most of the anomaly is easily reached by farm or logging roads from Highway 11.

## GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY

The survey was completed along $N$-S lines spaced 300 feet apart, from lines 7 to 17 of the aeromagnetic survey. (G.E. \& S.L. report 383 T and Dwg. 2414). The determinations were made at 50 foot intervals in the anomaly area and at 100 foot intervals beyond the anomaly.

The results were plotted on a map of scalel inch equals 200 feet and contoured. (Dwg. 2827)

## INTERPRETATION

Three profiles $A B, C D, E F$ across the most interesting parts of the anomaly were used. Each profile indicated that the magnetic body was made up of several
bands of varying percentages of magnetite. Each profile is discussed separately.

PROFILE 'AB'


PROFILE A \& B

|  | Anomaly 1 | Anomaly 2 | Anomaly 3 | Anomaly 4 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Width | 20 ft. | 25 | 40 | 40 |
| Depth | 35 ft. | 45 | 40 | 40 |
| V | 24,000 | 52,000 | 21,000 | 7,000 |
| Vo | 65,000 | 65,000 | 65,000 | 65,000 |
| K | 0.68 | 1.54 | .36 | .12 |
| $\%$ | 42.0 | 96 | 22 | 8 |

$\mathrm{V}=$ Peak anomaly value in gammas
Vo $=$ Earth's magnetic field in gammas
$\mathrm{K}=$ Calculated magnetic susceptibility
$\%=$ Percent magnetite estimated from susceptibility

The main anomaly is made up of three main components of total width 450 feet. A profile using the values from a previous airborne survey show that at the flight elevation of 500 feet the individual magn etite bands are not resolved. The magnetic body appears to be 375 feet wide with an average of 14 percent magnetite.

()

PROFILE C - D

|  | Anomaly 1 | Anomaly 2 | Anomaly 3 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| Width | 40 | 10 | 40 |
| Depth | 40 | 35 | 80 |
| V | 28,500 | 18,500 | 8,500 |
| Vo | 65,000 | 65,000 | 65,000 |
| K | 0.47 | 0.92 | 0.28 |
| $\%$ | 29 | 57 | 17 |

The main anomaly is made up of two components which are not resolved in the previous airborne survey. The total width from the ground survey was 260 feet as compared to 300 from airborne results.

The airborne profile indicated an average percentage magnetite of 13 .


PROFILE E - F

|  | Anomaly 1 | Anomaly 2 | Anomaly 3 | Anomaly 4 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Width | 10 | 20 | 15 | 40 |
| Depth | 35 | 30 | 40 | 60 |
| V | 22,000 | 9,000 | 15,000 | 5,500 |
| Vo | 65,000 | 65,000 | 65,000 | 65,000 |
| K | 0.85 | 0.23 | 0.64 | 0.14 |
| $\%$ | 53 | 14 | 40 | 9 |

The main anomaly is made up of three components. The total width across the three bands is 320 feet as compared to 400 from the airborne data. The average percentage from the airborne results is found to be 12 .

## CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The airborne results indicate total widths of magnetic material which are slightly less than the width across the combined magnetic bands. The average percentage is much lower as would be expected - however the combined widths times percent of the individual bands is much less than the total width times the average percentage from airborne results.

The detailed survey would indicate considerably less tonnage than the airborne results.

A cross section along Profile $A B$ should be drilled to determine how close to reality the percentage determinations are and to assist in estimating tonnages.

## Respectfully submitted, GEOPHYSICAL ENGINEERING \& SURVEYS LIMITED, <br>  <br>  <br> A. R. Clark.

Toronto, Ontario, December 11, 1964.

## Assessment Work Breakdown

1. Type of Survey ......agnetometer.
2. Township or Area .....Eby.
3. Mining claim numbers..........77348, ...77349, $78187, \ldots 78188_{4}, \ldots 8189, \ldots 78190_{4} \ldots 78487, \ldots 78788$. 78789, 79120. $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
4. Number of miles of line cut...... 11.75 . $\qquad$

* 5. Type of instrument used ............. Sharpe, Model MF-1 Fluxgate (............. Used) $\qquad$
* 6. Scale constant or sensitivity ......... 10 gammas on 1000 gamma scale. $\qquad$


8. Summary of days worked (details on reverse side)

Total technical (include consultants, draughting etc.) ..........................................................

 $\qquad$

Assessment days credit per claim
23.6
9. Dated ...........Dec.....1..1.1961.............


1. Technical

| Type of Work | Name \& Address | Dates Worked | Hours | Days |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operator | A. Macbonnell, Timnins | 6-8 Nov. 64. |  | 3 |
| " | A. Clemens, Timmins | 6-8 Nov. 64. |  | 3 |
| Helper | G. Riddler, Timmins | 6-9 Nov. 64. |  | 3 |
| Surveying | G. Loach. Kirkland Lake. | 21-22 Oct. 64. |  | 2 |
| 1 | D. Dekker, Kirkland Lake... | 21-22 act. 64. |  | 2\% |
| " | K. Griffin, Kirkland Lake, | 21-22.31..0ct. 64. |  | 2 |
|  |  | Totals |  | 15! 1 |

## Consultants



Draughtsman, Typing, others (specify)

| Name \& Address | Type of Work | Dates Worked | Hours | Days |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R. Woolham. Timains | Calculating, drafting and Contaur work...sheet. | 9-1.0...No. ${ }^{\text {....1964. }}$ |  | 2 |
| E. Janiec. ${ }_{\text {Jane. Toronto. }}$ | Final..Drafting and...Contour | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 18-20 Nov. 1964. } \\ & 9-10 \text { Dec. } 1964 . \end{aligned}$ |  | $\frac{212}{2}$ |
| E. Pennyleaion. Toronto Typin |  |  |  | $1 / 2$ |
| Totals |  |  |  | 7 |

2. Line-Cutting


