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MAX Min II, I.P., GRAVITY, MAGNETIC SURVEYS<br>BY<br>COMINCO LTD.<br>ON THE<br>RECEIVEn<br>Allerston - Darling - meunier claims wiar is ic,<br>(alamo petroleum - rosario resources canada ltd.) WHITNEY TOWNSHIP PORCUPINE MINING DIVISION

by<br>R.S. Middleton, P.Eng.<br>Rosario Resources Canada Ltd.<br>Suite 310 - 55 Yonge St.<br>Toronto, Ontario M5E 1 J 4

INTRODUCTION
The following report sumarizes ground geophysical surveys carried out by COAINCO Limited in 1977 on claims held by Rosario Resources Canada ltd. in Whitney Township, Ontario. The majority of this report (Survey methods and interpretation) has been extracted from an internal Comicco report by J. Hayles, February 1978. Diamond drilling logs for the anomalies outlined in this report have been submitted separately for assessment credit. In addition COMINCO contracted an airborne survey of the property (Dighem and magnetics) which has been filed separately.

Location and Property Description
Whitney Township is in the Porcupine Mining Division of Ontario, approximately six miles east of the town of Timmins. Plate 1 shows the relative position of the claims to the town of Porcupine. The property is located within the Municipality of Timmins in the southwestern portion of Whitney Township bordered approximately by the Township line to the south, Concession III and one half line to the north, Lot 10 line to the west and Lot 5 line to the east. Fiftyseven contiguous claims form the Allerston option group. Nineteen claims have been brought to lease at the time of writing on Allerston claims. The Meunier option consists of two claims and the Darling option consists of 23 patent claims. In addition Rosario held 9 unpatent claims tied onto the Darling claims which were covered by the COMINCO ground survey.



| P. | 413433 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 413434 | Con. II, Lot $8, \mathrm{SW}^{\frac{1}{4}}$. |

## TOTAL

(Allerston) $\quad 57 \mathrm{claims}$

## Meunier Claims

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { P. } \begin{array}{ll}
452637 \\
451063 & \text { Con. III, Lot } 5, \\
\text { Con. III, Lot } 8, & \operatorname{NW}^{\frac{1}{4}}, \\
\text { SW }
\end{array} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}, ~ S \frac{1}{2}
\end{array}
$$

Alamo - Rosario Claims P. 482870-482878 incl.

## Darling - Wittficld Claims: 23 patented

including P. 19899
19900
19901

Purpose of the Survey
Detailed Max Min II EM Surveying was done by COMINCO to delineate the most conductive portions of some I.P. anomalies previously outlined by ROSARIO and to locate airborne conductors outlined by a 1977 Dighem Survey.

Previous Work
Early prospecting (around 1910) for gold was done on the property. Search for base metals started in the 1960's. The following work has been done on the Allerston portion of the property: "Canadian Lencourt Mines Limited (ODM Assessment File No. 63.2218, Drill Report No. 22, Whitney Twp.) had work done for them by Watts, Griffis \& McQuat Ltd. of Toronto in 1967 on the northern part of the present property. This work consisted of 11.9 miles of line cutting, geological mapping, trenching and sampling. Geophysics included a ground magnetometer and electromagnatic survey. Huntec Limited of Toronto conducted an induced polarization survey over the property as well. Continental Diamond Drilling Limited drilled five holes totalling 1,003 feet. Anomalous silver values were obtained from the sulphide iron formation in the area.

Noranda Mines Limited (ODM Assessment File No. 63.2466, Drill Report No. 10 , Whitney Twp.) performed line cutting totalling 21.5 miles and conducted a ground magnetometer and electomagnetic survey over the area. Noranda drilled two holes in 1969 and 1970 totalling 896.8 feet.

In 1966 Canadian Nickel (INCO) (ODM Drill Report No. 12, Whitney Twp.) drilled one hole on claim P. 420081 of 400.0 feet and one hole on claim P. 420083 of 358.0 feet for a total of 758.0 feet.

Ralph Allerston (ODM Drill Report No. 19, Whitney Twp.) drilled one hole on claim P. 55291 totalling 112.0 feet in 1965.

In 1969 Oro Mines Limited (ODM Assessment File No. 63.2675, Dri11 Report 25 , Whitney Twp.) optioned the property

They contracted Kenneth H. Darke, Consulting Geologist of Timmins to conduct an exploration program. Canadian Aero Mineral Surveys Limited (ODM Assessment File No. 63.2730, Whitney Twp) flew 217.0 miles of airborne magnetic and electromagnetic information.

Tri-J Mineral Surveys Limited did a ground magnetic and electromagnetic survey over a cut grid on the property. Bradley Brothers Diamond Drilling Limited drilled nine holes on the property in 1970 for Oro Mines totalling 4370.0 feet. On behalf of Oro Mines Limited, Dolmage Campbell \& Associates Limited of Vancouver, B.C conducted a petrographic study of the magnetic bearing rock obtained from diamond drilling. Elemental analysis of selected drill core sections were made by Technical Services Laboratories for $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, and CaO and Mgo. Follow up work on the same selected core samples was done in 1976 by X-Ray Assay Laboratories Limited for Alamo Petroleum (Rosario) for $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$.
K. H. Darke submitted a summary of diamond drilling results in 1971 to Oro Mines, and the logs plus sample descriptions are included in a geological report by R. Middleton, P. Bowen et al (1976).

In April, 1974 and November, 1974, K. H. Darke wrote two reports entitled "Summary Comments on the R. E. Allerston Talc-Magnesite Prospect Whitney Township, Ontario" and "Summary Report on the R. E. Allerston Talc-Magnesite Deposit Whitney Township, Ontario", respertively.

In 1973, R. E. Allerston submitted two samples from surface outcrop to the Ontario Division of Mines for mineralogy and elemental analysis.

In 1964 Union Carbide took a bulk sample from the north talcmagnesite zone at approximately 1 ine $6+75 E / 13+80 \mathrm{~N}$ and sent it to Ottawa for metallurgical testing at the Mines Branch. Report IR65-4, Mines Branch Investigation Report by F. H. Hartman was issued Tanuary 25, 1965.

In 1976 Rosario carried out detailed geological mapping and sampling of the Allerston claims and also completed a complete ground magnetic survey on the Meunier, Darling and Alamo claims. Portions of Allerston's property and the Darling, Meunier, Alamo groups were covered with IP - Resistivity. VLF EM was done on sections of Allerston's claims. All of this data was filed in 1977 at the ODM.
on the Darling claims (approximately $1200^{\prime}$ in total) in August Scptember 1977. Airborne magnetic and electromagnetic surveys were also flown for COMINCO in 1977 over the eastern part of the property.

Personnel \& Survey Dates
The IP survey was done between June 14 and June 24,1977 by Cominco personnel. G. Burton, H. Claridge, M. Claridge, R. Holroyd, M. Wilson and Mr. Lanoix. The HLEM, magnetic and gravity surveys were done by J. Hayles and Mr. Wilson between July 5 and August 6, 1977 with Lemporary helpers, A. Carpenter and J. Kong.

## Statistics

In 1977 ground work by Cominco consisted of 48.4 miles of horizontal loop EM ( 2480 Rds. x 2 freg.$), 4.9$ miles of induced polarization, 3.4 miles of gravity and 3.2 miles of magnetic surveys. The BLEM survey covers much the same area as the 1976 magnetic survey by Rosario Resources. Many of the 1970 Canadian Aero Service conductive zones were covered. Induced polarization was done to test certain areas along the north portion of the property. Gravity profiles were run over certain HLEM conductors and magnetic surveys were done to fill in an area neglected in 1976.
A 254 line mile airborne $E M$ and magnetic survey was also done over a large portion of the Alamo (Rosario) property by the high resolution Dighem Ltd. system under contract to Cominco. Unfortunately the airborne survey could only be scheduled for August 9, 1977 after the ground geophysics was complete. The airborne survey extends further east and south than the properties.
The following section is taken from J. Hayles report:

## GEUPIIYSICS

Ground Geophysics
Methods:
(i) Horizontal Loop EM

Two Max Min II EM units were used for the work. Readings were taken at 444 and 1777 Hz with a 300 foot separation between transmitter and receiver coils. The reference cable was kept laut at each station to help assure proper separation. The
lines were well cut out in most areas but pickets were often
out +5 feet and were missing in some areas. Most of the grid is flat within +10 feet over 500 feet horizontally so coil orientation was no problem. Inphase noise is believed to be within +1 percent for the whole survey. Gain and phase mixing of each instrument was checked about every 4 days. Gain was +1 percent and phase mixing was within $\pm 5$ percent each time.
(ii) Magnetic Survey

A Barringer Research Ltd. GM-122 total field magnetometer was used for the survey. This instrument is sensitive to one nano Tesla (= one gamma). Four lines, 4200 feet long, were surveyed by Cominco Ltd. to fill in a gap between previous surveys. A base station loop method was used to monitor beomagnetic change and linear drift corrections with time were applied to reduce the data. The 1977 results were reduced to the same approximate level as the 1976 work. The 1976 work by Rosario Resources used a vertical field magnetometer tied to an O.D.M. magnetic base station on the Bristol-ogden township line near highway 101. Middleton, 1976, notes the magnetic values shown on the grid are 920 nT higher that the Bristol Ogden base.
(iii) Cravity Survey

The gravity survey was done with a Worden Master gravity meter \#836 owned by Cominco Ltd. Base station ties were made at least every 60 minutes. A Kern GKO-A automatic optical level was used to measure the elevation of each gravity station to +0.02 feet. The level traverses were not looped.
(iv) Induced Polarization

A time domain induced polarization survey was done with a Huntec Mk III receiver and Phoenix IPT-1 transmitter and Phoenix 2.0 k . Watt motor-generator. An alternated dc current pulse was transmitted at 0.125 Hz and the recciver read four standard sections of the voltage decay curve. Pole-dipole and dipole-dipole arrays were used with "a" spacings of 100 and 200 feet and $n$ separations of 1 an 2 , and sometimes 3 . The survey was done in conjuction with an equipment test and as a check of the 1976 IP survey. A minor test in the frequency domain, of the Phoenix IPV-1 receiver and IPT-1 transmitter was also done on $L 66 \mathrm{E}$.

The following plates are included with this (COMINCO) report:

| SURVEY TYPE | PILATE NO. | DESCRIPTION | SCALE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | Location Map | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { inch }=80 \text { miles } \\ & 1 \text { inch }=\frac{1}{4} \text { mile } \end{aligned}$ |
| HIEM * 1. | EM-77-1a | North Sheet d 444 Hz | 1 inch $=400$ feet |
|  | EM-77-1b | North Sheet @ 1777 Hz | 1 inch $=400$ feet |
|  | EM-77-2a | South Sheet @ 444 Hz | 1 inch $=400$ feet |
|  | EM-77-2b | South Sheet @ 1777Hz | 1 inch $=400$ feet |
| Magnetic * 2. | M-77-1 | North Sheet | 1 inch $=400$ feet |
|  | M-77-2 | South Sheet | 1 inch $=400$ feet |
| IP *3. | [P-77-1 | L 36E |  |
|  | 1P-77-2 | L 66E |  |
|  | 1P-77-3a | Wide spaced reconnais | grid $n=1$ |
|  | P-77-3b | Wide spaced reconnais | grid $n=2$ |

The following composite geophysical profiles are also included which show stacked magnetic, HLEM, IP, gravity, topographic and diamond drill results as follows:

PROFILE NO.
1
2
3
4

5

LINES

124 E
120E
$76 E \& 80 E$
93E \& 96E
56 E \& 60E

1977 DRILL HOLE
AR-1

AR-2
AR-3
AR-4
*1. A correction is necessary to all the observed EM data presented with this report. Only HLEM interpreters of st will need this correction. All profile amplitudes are too great by a factor of 1.3 so a factor of $1 / 1.3=0.76$ should be used as a correction to give true secondary field strength as a percent of primary.
*2. Most of the data is re-plotted from the Rosario Resources 1976 survey, and is not included in this report since it is a duplication.
*3. M1 and M4 apparent chargeabilities have been plotted here
instead of Newmont standard. Newmont standard decay units can be supplied to any interested readers.
(c) Rusults
(i)

North Sheet
P1ates: EM-77-1a, $1 b \& M-77-1$ Profiles: 77-1, $2 \& 4$

Three conductive zones occur on this section of the Alamo grid. Conductor 1 was drilled by hole AR-1 and seven feet of $30 \%$ magnetite and $3 \%$ pyrrhotite was intersected within an intermediate tuff. The interpreted conductor depth and $\delta t$ are probably in error due to magnetic permeability effect.

Conductor 2 is caused by three 1 to 3 foot wide stringers of $5-20 \%$ pyrnotite and pyrite within an intermediate tuff. Drill hole AR-3 is not along the line in this case so it is more difficult to compare the drill results to the geophysics.

Conductor 3 lies in a wet area near two creeks; it was not possible to cover this zone completely.

In-phase EM responses of +4 to +8 percent occur in a large area shown in blue shading on the plates. In-phase positives in this range are often caused by magnetite rich rocks because magnetite increases the magnetic permeability of the host rock. It is uncommon to see EM permeability effects over such a large area. Strong magnetic anomalies also occur in this area and are roughly coincident with the in-phase positives. The magnetic permeability ratio of rocks in this area is about 1.05 to $l . l$ to that of free space.

A correlation of HLEM out-of-phase positives is also possible on the north sheet especially at 1777 Hz (EM-77-1b).
These features strike ENE - WSW and extend over several
lines. One possible correlation extends over 6000 feet.
These features are probably caused by bedrock ridges beneath the overburden or by ridges of dry material, sand or till, bounded by wet clay bearing material. VLF conductors
interpreted by Middleton, 1976 coincide roughly with these effects.

A comparison between the 1976 and 1977 magnetic data along 2400 feet of line gave a relative accuracy of $\pm 10 \mathrm{nT}$. The largest magnetic drift was 70 nT in 75 minutes along L 84 E . The 1977 data fit in well to the 1976 work after reduction. N.W. trending dykes shown on the O.D.M. geology plates do not rive good ground magnetic expression.

South Sheet Profiles: 77-3, 5

Thirteen conductive zones were located on the south sheet section of the Alamo grid. Conductor 13 is caused by a powerline and Conductor 14 lies just north of the grid and is completely covered.

The conductive zones form a complex pattern of trends. Conductors 2 and 3 strike NE - SW while Conductor 4 strikes EW in one area and NW - SE in another. Conductors 4 through 10 are stong and complex; correlation is sometimes difficult between lines.

Conductor 9 is one of the widest and sirongest EM conductors covered by Cominco Ltd. crews within the last 10 years. An almost flat lying graphite zone is probably the cause. Pods of economic sulphides within or below this graphitic zone would be difficult targets. Gravity profiles may be useful in this area.
(iii) I.P. Plates: IP-77-1, 2, 3a\& 3b

The only stong indication of disseminated sulphides located in 1977 is over an iron formation near the north property boundary. The iron formation gives apparent chargeabilities in the Ml decay time of 2 to 3 percent ind apparent resistivities go down to 30 ohm meters. A high apparent resistivity zone exists just south of the strong IP anomaly on the iron Formation. The apparent resistivities of 10,000 to 20,000 ohm-meters occur in an outcrop area of felsic intrusive and felsic to intermediate extrusive rocks. Resistivities in this
rampe are comon for outcrops of this rock type.

Minor amomes of disseminated metallic sulphides are suggested fron the $1 P$ elsewhere on the property. It is difficult to fos imate the anount of overburden masking that may occur since the uverburden thickness is unknown in most areas.

Probably the smallest zone of strongly disseminated sulphides that could be hoped to be detected under 50 feet of overburden would measure 25 feet wide by 200 feet long by 150 feet deep.

The lP results along $L 66 \mathrm{E}$, in the area of some trenches, suggest nil to minor amounts of disseminated metallic sulphides within about 200 feet of the line.
(iv) Sumany of Ground Results:

A :inmary of all information on all the conductors found on the Alano grid is shown in the table below:

TABLE 1


The reduced gravity values are probably accurate to within $+0.05 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{sec}^{2}(= \pm .05 \mathrm{milligals})$ over all.

Based on the above interpretation by Hayles and other COMINCO geophysicists, 4 holes were drilled to test EM conductors. The location of these holes is given on the EM maps. In the case of holes $A R-1,2$, and 3 , zones of pyrite (with minor (halcopyrite) mineralization (sulphide iron formation) were encountered in felsic to intermediate tuffs. Hole AR-4 encomntered a thick zone of graphite with abundant pyrite. One conductor remains to be tested (referred to as system \#3 in the EM maps). This conductor lies in felsic volcanics in contact with a magnetic high (on its south side).

Respectfully submitted,
R. S. Midd1eton
P. Eng.


| IO BE AITACHFD AS AN APPENDIX TO TECUNICAL RFPORT EACIS SHOWNHERF NEED NOT BF REPEATEDIN REPORT <br> IECHNLCAI REPOR MOST CONTAIN INTERPRETATION, CONCIOSIONS ETC. | mak 15197 |
| :---: | :---: |
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$1 H \times 1 / 1 /$
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7Fixd tranmitter
$1]$ Shoot back
$\quad 444 H 2$
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# Claims Covered by MAX MIN II in Tinitney Twp. 

for assessment credit
Claim No.Days Credit
P. 380506 ..... 20
P. 413433 ..... 20
P. 413434 ..... 20
P. 420074 ..... 20
P. 420075 ..... 20
P. 420076 ..... 20
P. 420077 ..... 20
P. 4201078 ..... 20
P. 4201079 ..... 20
P. 420080 ..... 20
P. 420081 ..... 20
P. 420082 ..... 20
P. 420083 ..... 20
P. 420084 ..... 20
P. 420085 ..... 20
P. 420086 ..... 20
P. 420087 ..... 20
P. 420330 ..... 20
P. 420331 ..... 20
P. 420333 ..... 20
P. 427444 ..... 20
P. 443578 ..... 20
P. 443579 ..... 20
P. 443580 ..... 20
P. 443581 ..... 20
P. 443582 ..... 20
P. 443583 ..... 20
P. 443586 ..... 20
P. 443587 ..... 20
P. 4:4080 ..... 20
P. '1:1183 ..... $? 0$

1. ..... ?
P. 451039 ..... 20
P. 451040 ..... 20
P. 451041 ..... 20
P. 451042 ..... 20
P. 479905 ..... 20
P. 479906 ..... 20
P. 482870 ..... 20
P. 482871 ..... 20
P. 482872 ..... 20
P. 482873 ..... 20
P. 482874 ..... 20
P. 482875 ..... 20
ค. 482876 ..... 20
P. 482877 ..... 20
P. 482878 ..... 20
P. 382879 ..... 20
P. 482880 ..... 20
(partial)
claims

HOYLE TWP M-287


### 2.2628 WHITNEY

DISTRICT OF COCHRANE

PORCUPINE
SCALE: $1-\mathrm{INCH} 40$ CHAINS

LEGEND
patented land
crown land salf
leases
located land
license of occupation
MINING RIGHTS ONLY
SURFACE RIGHTS ONLY
ROADS
IMPROVED ROAOS
king S highways
RAILWAYS
power lines
MARSH OR MUSKEG
MINES
cancelled
S.R.O. PATENTED

| LEGEND |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | (1) |
| F | c.s. |
|  | (1) |
|  | Loc. |
| jpation | L.O. |
| NLY | m.R.O |
| ONLY | s.r.o. |
|  | 1-5m |
|  | $\square$ |
|  |  |
| EG | 4*? |
|  | \% |
|  | c. |
|  | $\theta$ |

## NOTES

$400^{\circ}$ Surface rights resers.ion along the shores of all lakes and rivers.

This township lies within the Municipolity of CITY of TIMMINS.
$\overline{\text { No disposition }}$ of sand ond gravel on lands $-\overline{\text { north of }}$
O.N. AY. from May 8,1964 until furtier notice. O.N.Ry from May 8, 1964
Form. D. O.M. file 550.13

Any restokings within stippled areo in Lots $5,6,7,8$ con
to Pomour Porcupine Mines Litd for tollings disposal.

## ONTARIO

MINISTRY OF NATURAL RESOURCES URVEYS AND MAPPING BRANCH Dote 10th. JULY 1974(Rev.)

M. 319

















# Section - Looking Due West <br> Scale: " $^{\prime \prime}=40^{\circ}$ 



Rosario - Dupont J.V.

> Whitney Twp.
> ws 81-9, Claim p. 530924
> Lot 12 , Con. $11 ;$ S $\frac{1}{2} ; N E \frac{1}{4}$
> Az: 1800 , Depth:445; $-45^{\circ}$.
> Scale: $1^{\prime \prime}=40$.

