

406NE0377 2.4375 SHAW

GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY
on the
SHAW #2 and #3 GROUPS
SHAW TOWNSHIP, Ontario

Hollinger Argus Limited

## RECEIVED

DEC a lang

MINING LANDS SECTION

Timmins, Ontario November 22, 1981 J.E. Mountjoy

#### INTRODUCTION

During the period between October 21, 1980 and October 16, 1981 a magnetic survey was carried out over the Brown-McDade Option (Shaw #3 Group) and the Max Option (Shaw #2 Group). These options are in Shaw Township, District of Cochrane, in the Porcupine Mining Division, Province of Ontario. A geological survey is also being filed for assessment credits at this time.

The property was initially optioned to examine gold occurences associated with sulphide rich banded iron formations.

#### PROPERTY, LOCATION and ACCESS

The Brown-McDade Option (Shaw #3 Group) consists of fifteen contiguous unpatented mining claims, numbered P.451066-P.1068 inclusive, P.539611-P.539617 inclusive and P.539673-P.539677 inclusive. The Max Option (Shaw #2 Group) consists of 2 patented claims, numbered HR1018 and HR1040. Both groups (Shaw #2 and #3) are found in lots 9, 10, 11 and 12, Con. VI, Shaw Township, Porcupine Mining Division, Province of Ontario.

The east section of Shaw #3 Group and the Shaw # Group are easily accessible via an all weather gravel road from South Porcupine, Ontario. The west section of the Shaw #3 Group is easily accessible via Dome's gravel pit road.

#### PREVIOUS WORK

Numerous trenches and pits have been observed on the two groups. It is believed that some of these were worked more than fifty years ago, as there has been active exploration in the area since the early nineteen hundreds. In fact the first claims in Shaw Township were staked in 1906. A moderate amount of work done on the claims that make up the Shaw #3 Group, has been filed for assessment.

M and M Porcupine Gold Mines Ltd filed airborne magnetometer and electromagnetic surveys in 1965.

Augdome Corporation Ltd filed a ground magnetic survey and three diamond drill holes in 1966.

In 1977 ground magnetic and electromagnetic surveys were filed by J.P. Larche on the J. Helpert property in Shaw

Township.

Hollinger Argus Ltd filed the results of two drill holes on the Shaw #3 Group in February 1981.

#### GENERAL GEOLOGY

Shaw #2 and #3 Groups are located in the north central portion of a large elliptical feature known as the Shaw Dome. The property is believed to be underlain by intermediate flows and pyroclastics of Keewatin age. The government preliminary map indicates that some pillowed flows are present in the north-west section of the Shaw #3 Group. The direction of tops is shown to be ENE. From the author's mapping the Keewatin flows strike roughly ESE and dip between 18° and 48° to the NNW. Outcrops of banded iron formation and a mafic intrusive have been mapped on the property.

A quartz diabase dyke, believed to be of Matachewan age and an olivene diabase dyke, believed to be of Keweenawan age have also been mapped. The author has interpreted five lineations which cross the Shaw #2 and #3 Groups as faults. Two of these trend NE, two trend N and one trends to the NW.

#### SURVEY METHOD

Using a Geometrics Proton Magnetometer G816, readings were taken at 25 meter intervals along existing grid lines which were cut at 30.48 meter intervals.

Base stations were established along the base lines at intersections with cross lines. Loops were then read between the bases and the drift applied to all the readings. The operators were G. Tremblay and D. Laforest, both of the City of Timmins in the Province of Ontario.

#### SURVEY RESULTS

Results of the survey are plotted and contoured on the accompanying map entitled Magnetic Survey, Shaw No.'s 2, 3. The scale used is 1:2400 (1 inch = 200 feet)

<sup>1</sup> P.343 Shaw Township. Mapped by H.D. Carlson, 1964, 1965.

With a background of 59,000 the magnetic relief varies between minus 2530 (56,470) and plus 4629 (63,629) gammas.

As a result of the magnetic survey, twenty nine anomalies have been outlined. The anomalies are numbered 1 to 29 inclusive on the accompanying plan.

Anomalies 1 and 2 are believed to be caused by a quartz diabase dyke interpreted to be of Matachewan age. This dyke has been mapped by the author and was found to be strongly magnetic in outcrop.

Anomaly number 3 is interpreted to represent a locally magnetic olivene diabase dyke which has been intersected in a nearby drill hole and mapped in the field.

Anomolies 4 and 5 both show anomalously low values. The powerline running concurrent to the Dome gravel pit road causes interference and is only recorded on XL28E (Anomaly #4). Number 5 is believed to be caused by electrical machinery inside the Tisdale Water Supply filter plant located near L24W/0+00BL.

The cause of anomolies 6 and 7 are unknown. They maybe due to a buried iron formation or a local concentration of magnetite. Mapping completed by the author has been unable to clearly explain these anomalies, although there is an outcrop of gabbro near anomaly 7.

Anomalies 8 through 28 (inclusive) are all interpreted to be caused by magnetite-rich banded iron formation found within the intermediate metavolcanics of the Deloro Group. The iron formation believed to cause anomalies 11 and 15 were intersected with the diamond drill holes completed by Augdome Corporation Ltd in 1966. The iron formations represented by anomalies 21 and 22 were intersected in a diamond drill hole contracted by Hollinger Argus Ltd in 1981. Anomalies 20 and 21 have been mapped by the author as iron formation in 1981. Anomally 29 is believed to be caused by a local concentration of magnetite in a tuffaceous unit of andesitic composition.

From the geological survey recently completed by the author, five faults have been mapped. All except the one which trends NW across the eastern portion of the property are located

in areas of magnetic lows. The north trending fault in the west portion of the property between cross lines 16E and 12E appears to have a dextral displacement. This is based on the assumption that the three magnetic highs which make up anomaly number 11 were one continuous bed of iron formation prior to the development of the north trending fault.

#### CONCLUSIONS and RECOMMENDATIONS

The survey has successfully outlined the geological units, particularly the discontinuous magnetite-rich banded iron formations and their extent when covered by overburden.

Given the status of the property and the nature of the very erratic gold values obtained, primarily in the banded iron formation, further work does not appear warranted at this time.

Respectfully submitted

J.E. Mountjoy

#### ASSESSMENT WORK DETAILS

GEOPHYSICAL - MAGNETIC



900

Type of Survey. A separate form is required for each type SHAW TOWNSIP Township or Area

Chief Line Cutter.			List numerically	,D
or Contractor	Name			
Party Chief	Address DENIS LAFOREST		P.539611 ,	
•	P.O. Box 320, Timmins  Address	, Ont. P4N 7E2		
Consultant	Name		P.539613 '	•••••
			P.539614 '	
Geological field m	Address  apping by		P.539615 .	
	······································	Name	P.539616 *	Ž
		Address	P.539617 · ·	GING LANDS SECT space insufficient, attach list
COVERING DAT	<u>ES</u>		P.539673· <b>2</b>	DS int, att
Line Cutting			P.539674	Fficie
Field Octob	er 21, 1980 to October	•		
Office Novem	Instrument work, geological mapping, sam nber 20 to December 1,	1981	P. 539675 P. 539676	eds jī
INSTRUMENT D			P.539677 *	•
Make, Model and	Type Geometrics G816 P	roton Mag.		
Scale Constant or	Sensitivityl gamma rument data from Manufacturer's brochure.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		59,000 gamma	<b>\$</b>	
Radiometric Back	ground Count			ı
Number of Station	ns Within Claim Group	698		
Number of Readir	ngs Within Claim Group	1,021		
Number of Miles of	of Line cut Within Claim Group			1
Number of Sample	es Collected Within Claim Group			
			TOTAL CLAIMS 12	
CREDITS REQUI	ESTED 20 DAYS 40 DA per claim per cla			
Geological Survey		. 3,	Send in Duplicate to:	
Geophysical Surve	ey <b>🗅</b>	Show Check ✓	FRED W. MATTHEWS SUPERVISOR-PROJECTS SECTION DEPARTMENT OF MINES &	
Geochemical Surv		2.	NORTHERN AFFAIRS WHITNEY BLOCK QUEEN'S PARK	
DATEDEC. 2,	1981 SIGNED John	de	TORONTO, ON A RIO	

Performance and coverage credits do not apply to airborne surveys

#### SUBMISSION OF GEOLOGICAL, GEOPHYSICAL AND GEOCHEMICAL SURVEYS

#### AS ASSESSMENT WORK

In order to simplify the filing of geological, geochemical and ground geophysical surveys for assessment work, the Minister has approved the following procedure under Section 84 (8a) of the Ontario Mining Act. This special provision does not apply to airborne geophysical surveys.

If, in the opinion of the Minister, a ground geophysical survey meets the requirements prescribed for such a survey, including:

- (a) substantial and systematic coverage of each claim
- (b) line spacing not exceeding 400 foot intervals
- (c) stations not exceeding 100 foot intervals or
- (1) the average number of readings per claim not less than 40 readings

it will qualify for a credit of 40 assessment work days for each claim so covered. It will not be necessary for the applicant to furnish any data or breakdown concerning the persons employed in the survey except for the names and addresses of those in charge of the various phases (linecutting contractor, etc.). It will be assumed that the required number of man days were spent in producing the survey to qualify for the specified country.

Each additional ground geophysical survey using the same grid system and otherwise meeting these requirements will qualify for an assessment work credit of 20 days.

A geological survey using the same grid system, and meeting the requirements for submission of geological surveys for maximum credits will qualify for an assessment work credit of 20 days. If line cutting has not previously been reported with any other survey and is reported in conjunction with the geological survey a credit of 40 days per claim will be allowed for the survey.

Similarly, a geochemical survey using the same grid system with the average number of collected samples per claim being not less than 40 samples, and meeting the requirements for the submission of geochemical surveys for maximum credits, will qualify for an assessment work credit of 20 days. If line cutting has not previously been reported with any other survey and is reported in conjunction with the geochemical survey a credit of 40 days per claim will be allowed for the survey.

Credits for partial coverage or for surveys not meeting requirements for full credit will be granted on a pro-rata basis.

If the credits are reduced for any reason, a fifteen day Notice of Intent will be issued. During this period, the applicant may apply to the Mining Commissioner for relief if his claims are jeopardized for lack of work or, if he wishes, may file with the Department, normal assessment work breakdowns listing the names of the employees and the dates of work. The survey would then be re-assessed to determine if higher credits may be allowed under the provisions of subsections 8 and 9 of section 84 of the Mining Act.

If new breakdowns are not submitted, the Performance and Coverage credits are confirmed to the Mining Recorder at the end of the fifteen days.

1982 11 04

2.4375

Mining Recorder
Ministry of Natural Resources
60 Wilson Avenue
Timmins, Ontario
P4N 2S7

Dear Sir:

RE: Geophysical (Magnetometer) Survey on Mining Claims P 539611 et al in the Township of Shaw

The Geophysical (Magnetometer) Survey assessment work credits as listed with my Notice of Intent dated September 7, 1982 have been approved as of the above date.

Please inform the recorded holder of these mining claims and so indicate on your records.

Yours very truly,

E.F. Anderson Director Land Management Branch

Whitney Block, Room 6450 Queen's Park Toronto, Ontario M7A 1W3 Phone: 416/965-1380

A. Barrisc

cc: Hollinger Argus Limited Timmins, Ontario

cc: Resident Geologist Timmins, Ontario



# SEPT 28, 82

Your file:

Our file: 2.4375

September 7, 1982

Mr. William L. Good Mining Recorder Ministry of Natural Resources 60 Wilson Avenue Timmins, Ontario P4N 2S7

Dear Sir:

Enclosed are two copies of a Notice of Intent with statements listing a reduced rate of assessment work credits
to be allowed for a technical survey. Please forward one
copy to the recorded holder of the claims and retain the
other. In approximately fifteen days from the above date,
a final letter of approval of these credits will be sent
to you. On receipt of the approval letter, you may then
change the work entries on the claim record sheets.

Yours very truly,

E.F. Anderson
Director
Lands Administration Branch
Whitney Block, Room 6450
Queen's Park
Toronto, Ontario
M7A 1W3
Phone: 416/965-1316

/em

Encl.

cc: Hollinger Argus Limited Timmins, Ontario

cc: Mr. G.H. Ferguson
Mining & Lands Commissioner
Toronto, Ontario



Notice of Intent for Technical Reports

2.4375

September 7, 1982

An examination of your survey report indicates that the requirements of The Ontario Mining Act have not been fully met to warrant maximum assessment work credits. This notice is merely a warning that you will not be allowed the number of assessment work days credits that you expected and also that in approximately 15 days from the above date, the mining recorder will be authorized to change the entries on his record sheets to agree with the enclosed statement. Please note that until such time as the recorder actually changes the entry on the record sheet, the status of the claim remains unchanged.

If you are of the opinion that these changes by the mining recorder will jeopardize your claims, you may during the next fifteen days apply to the Mining and Lands Commissioner for an extension of time. Abstracts should be sent with your application.

If the reduced rate of credits does not jeopardize the status of the claims then you need not seek relief from the Mining and Lands Commissioner and this Notice of Intent may be disregarded.

If your survey was submitted and assessed under the "Special Provision-Performance and Coverage" method and you are of the opinion that a re-appraisal under the "Man-days" method would result in the approval of a greater number of days credit per claim, you may, within the said fifteen day period, submit assessment work breakdowns listing the employees names, addresses and the dates and hours they worked. The new work breakdowns should be submitted direct to the Lands Management Branch, Toronto. The report will be re-assessed and a new statement of credits based on actual days worked will be issued.



### Technical Assessment **Work Credits**

File		
2.437	5	

Recorded Holder HOLLINGER ARGUS LIMITED								· ;
Township or Area			······································					
Shaw Township						<del></del>		· ·
Type of survey and number of	<del></del>		·····	<del></del>	Mining Ci	aims Assessed		
Assessment days credit per claim					mining Ci	aims Assessed		
Geophysical	!	D E20633	4- 17	٠	- <b>.</b>			
Electromagnetic	days	P 539611 P 539673	to 1/	inclu	ISTVE ISTVE			
Magnetometer20	days			***************************************		4		
Radiometric	. davs							
	•							
Induced polarization	days							
Section 86 (18)	days							
Geological	doup							
Geochemical	days							
Man days 🗌 Air	rborne 🔲				•		₹	
Special provision 🔀 G	around 🗵							
Credits have been reduced because coverage of claims.  Credits have been reduced because of to work dates and figures of applicant.	f corrections							
pecial credits under section 86 (15a) for the	he following	mining claims						
credits have been allowed for the follow	ing mining cl	aims						
Gleats have been anowed for the follow	Г	Insufficient tec	hnical data	a filed				
not sufficiently covered by the survey	ت ۔							
not sufficiently covered by the survey	٥							
not sufficiently covered by the survey								
not sufficiently covered by the survey								
not sufficiently covered by the survey								



Ministry of Natural Resources

Notification of recording

of assessment work credits

Lands Administration Branch Mining Lands Section Ministry of Natural Resources Room 1617, Whitney Block Queen's Park, Toronto M7A 1W3 RECEIVED

NUV - 3 1981

MINING LANDS SECTION

Date of recording of work: _	October 29,198	<u> </u>		
Recorded holder:	Hollinger Argus	Limited	•	·
Address:	Box 320, Timmin	ns, Ontari	o P4N 7E2	
Township or Area:	Shaw Township			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	y and number of		Mining claims	
Geophysical		P-539673	to 617 Incl. to 677 Incl.	
Electromagnetic	20 2.4375 days	P-546159		
Magnetometer	20 2.4375 days			÷
Radiometric	days			÷ ,
Induced polarization	days			,
ファ 19 Section <b>郷</b> (建)	days			
Geological2	Odays			
Geochemical	days			
Man days 🛛	Airborne			
Special provision	Ground 🗓			
Notice to recorded hole	der:		)	

X Survey reports and maps in duplicate be submitted

Reports and maps are being forwarded to the Lands
Administration Branch with this letter.

to the Lands Administration Branch, Toronto with-

in 60 days from the date of recording of this work.

Mining recorder

c.c. Hollinger Argus Limited



## RECEIVED

Your file:

1982 07 05

JUL 2 2 1982

Our file:

2.4375

## MINING LANDS SECTION

Hollinger Argus Limited P.O. Box 320 Timmins, Ontario P4N 7E2

Attn: Mr. G.O. Wallace



Dear Sir:

RE: Geophysical (Magnetometer) Survey submitted on Mining Claims P 539611 et al, in the Township of Shaw

Enclosed is the map (in duplicate) for the above-mentioned survey. Please have Mr. J.E. Mountjoy sign these and return them to this office.

For further information, please contact Mr. F.W. Matthews at 416/965-1380.

Yours very truly,

E.F. Anderson

Director

Land Management Branch

Whitney Block, Room 6450 Queen's Park Toronto, Ontario M7A 1W3 Phone: 416/965-1316

A. Barr/amc

Encl.

cc: Mining Recorder Timmins, Ontario

July 19/82

Returned as instructed

G. O. Wallace

1982 07 05 2.4375

Hollinger Argus Limited P.O. Box 320 Timmins, Ontario P4N 7E2

Attn: Mr. G.O. Wallace

Dear Sir:

RE: Geophysical (Magnetometer) Survey submitted on Mining Claims P 539611 et al, in the Township of Shaw

Enclosed is the map (in duplicate) for the above-mentioned survey. Please have Mr. J.E. Mountjoy sign these and return them to this office.

For further information, please contact Mr. F.W. Matthews at 416/965-1380.

Yours very truly,

E.F. Anderson Director Land Management Branch

Whitney Block, Room 6450 Queen's Park Toronto, Ontario M7A 1W3 Phone: 416/965-1316

A. Barr/amc

Encl.

cc: Mining Recorder Timmins, Ontario



Ministry of Natural Resources Geotechnical Report Approval

File	<del></del>		
	112	7	
1 4	. <del></del>	• 4.	2



_			
	_	-	•
	1	4	
	Ł		
	•	•	

	Mining Lands Co	mments		*	
		map not sign	d		
35					
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	To: Geophysics	ma Barlow.			
	Comments	TITA ISAMO.			
		· Min on	& zyn	7	
		7.007.100	- Zyur		•
				· ·	
	Approved	Wish to see again with corrections	Date	Signature VC	0
3 ° 1	To: Geology - Ex		June 13	182   L VC	
	Comments	ponurcaros			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
				i.	
į					
	·				
				1	
		T Wish to one and a wish corrections	Date	Signature	
	Approved	☐ Wish to see again with corrections	Date	Signature	
	Approved To: Geochemistry Comments		Dete	Signature	
	To: Geochemistry		Date	Signature	
	To: Geochemistry		Date	Signature	
	To: Geochemistry		Dete	Signature	
	To: Geochemistry		Dete	Signature	
	To: Geochemistry Comments		Date	Signature	
	To: Geochemistry				
	To: Geochemistry Comments  Approved				

December 18, 1981

Office of the Mining Recorder Ministry of Natural Resources 60 Wilson Avenue Timmins, Ontario P4N 287

Dear Sir:

We have received reports and maps for a Geophysical (Magnetometer) Survey submitted under Special Provisions (credit for Performance and Coverage) on Mining Claims P.539611 et al, in the Township of Shaw.

This material will be examined and assessed and a statement of assessment work credits will be issued.

Yours very truly,

E.F. Anderson Director Land Management Branch

Whitney Block, Room 6450 Queen's Park Toronto, Ontario M7A 1W3 Phone: 416/965-1380

J. Skura/bk

cc: Hollinger Argus Limited Timmins, Ontario Attention: G.W. Wallace

#### HOLLINGER ARGUS LIMITED

P.O. BOX 320 TIMMINS, ONTARIO PAN 7E2 TELEPHONE: (705) 264-1313

December 4, 1981

## RECEIVED

DEC 91981

MINING LANDS SECTION

RECEIVE Land Management Brade CONCULATE COMMENTS PLEASE	D nchi
DEC - 9 1981 E. F. ANDERSON J. R. MORTON	
J. C. SDOTH W. GOOD V. LECKARD J. M. GOZZL	
RETURN TO R. 6450	

E.F. Anderson Director, Lands Administration Branch Ministry of Natural Resources Whitney Block, Queen's Park TORONTO, Ontario M7A 1X1

Dear Sir:

12 claims Shaw Township Re:

P.539611 et al

Please find enclosed duplicate copies of a Geological and Magnetic Survey and technical data sheets on the above 12 claims, showing the distribution of assessment days as a result of the two surveys.

Since rely

G.O. Wallace

Exploration Dep't.

Qual. on File. 23975





