

42A07NW0212 2.4994 CARMAN

# RECEIVED

010

AUG 1 6 1982 MINING LANDS SECTION

Assessment Report

of

Geochemical Survey

Carman Bay Claim Group (NH-4)

Carman Township, Porcupine Mining Division, Ontario

June 15, 1982

Timmins, Ontario

D.R. Pyke, Ph.D.

URFyke

See previous files: T-1009 T-1464 T-2369



42A07NW0212 2.4994 CARM

Table o:

01	ØC
----	----

Introduction	Page	1
Location and Access	. Page	1
Previous Work	Page	1
Figure 1: Location of Carman Bay Claim Group	Page	2
Topography and Drainage	Page	3
Glacial Geology	Page	3
General Geology	Page	4
Property Geology	Page	4
Figure 2: General Geology of Carman Property	Page	5
Present Survey	Page	6
Survey Results	Page	7
Recommendations and Conclusions	Page	9
References	Page	10
APPENDIX A - Analytical Results		

APPENDIX B - Survey Method

Certificate

Assessment Work Breakdown

#### Maps

Map C- Humus sample locations, Carman Bay Claim Group Map D- Gold content, marts per billion, Carman Bay Claim Group Map E- Arsenic content, parts per million, Carman Bay Claim Group

#### Introduction

This report covers a geochemical survey carried out over part of the Carman Bay Claim Group, located in southeast Carman Township, District of Cochrane, Porcupine Mining Division. The property consists of the following claims:

Ρ	568704	Ρ	568708
Ρ	568705	Ρ	568709
P	568706	Ρ	568710
Ρ	568707	P	568711

D.R. Pyke, of 157 Burbank Drive, Willowdale, Ontario is the current holder of the claims.

#### Location and Access

The Carman Bay Claim Group is located in southeast Carman Township, approximately 20 miles southeast of the Timmins City Center.

The property is accessible by boat from either the north end of Nighthawk Lake or from the Langmuir Mine Site, near the south end of Carman Bay.

#### Previous Work

The area was mapped by Berry (1940) and subsequently by Leahy (1971) and Pyk $\epsilon$  (1976).

The property covers = gold showing, previously explored

-1-



۱.4

ţ

by the Carman Gold Syndicate in 1936. Exploration work consisted of a number of trenches, now largely flooded by the lake, and six diamond drill holes totalling 2000 feet. Gold assays are reported ranging from 0.005 to 0.45 ounces per ton (File T-1464\*).

In 1971, Inco Limited conducted magnetic and electromagnetic (VLEM) surveys over most of the property (File T-1009\*). Two roughly north-south trending conductors were detected between the east shore of the bay and the point of land on claim P 568708 (Figure 1).

#### Topography and Drainage

The property is generally characterized by low relief, with approximately 40% of the area being covered by the waters of Carman Bay (Figure 2). Locally, clay banks up to 40 feet high occur along the eastern shore of the bay.

Close to the shoreline (100-200 feet), the area is poorly drained and populated by alders, willow and cedar trees. Further from the shoreline, the property exhibits good drainage and is wooded primarily by balsam, poplar and tirch trees with abundant growths of second generation alders occurring throughout.

#### Glaci \_1 Geology

llacial material covers over 99 percent of the exposed

\* Ontario Geological Survey, Assessment Files, Timmins, Ont.

-3-

property area. Previous drilling in the immediate offshore area of Carman Bay indicates that the glacial material consists of a basal unit of till overlain by a relatively thick (50-100 feet) sequence of varved clays. Locally the tills are absent and are replaced by sands or silts (W.O. Karvinen & Associates, Ltd.; personal communication). Overburden thickness on the area surveyed in this report is unknown; however, as areas of outcrop occur along the eastern shoreline of the bay, it is probable that the overburden depth in this area does not exceed 50 feet.

#### General Geology

The claims are situated along the eastern margin of the Shaw Dome, the axis of which passes one half mile to the north (Fig. 2). Leahy (1971) interprets a number of northeast trending faults in the immediate area, one of which passes through the claim group.

#### Property Geology

The property is interpreted to be underlain entirely by peridotitic komatiites. Outcrop, however, is sparse and confined to a few small exposures on the shore of the bay. Here, the ultramafic flows are sheared, serpentinized and locally highly carbonatized. Green carbonate is common and portions of the flows contain 3 to 5 percent pyrite.

A report of the Carman Gold Syndicate states that

-4-





Figure 2 - General geology in vicinity of the Carmen property. Modified after Leahy, (1971) and Pyke, (1980b).

"Three test pits were hand steeled on the main vein which runs about 1500 feet in a northeasterly direction. It is quartz porphyry with schist and greenstone".

-6-

#### Present Survey

San State

The survey was carried out on August 20, 1980. The work was performed by Dale Pyke (presently residing in Willowdale, Ontario) and Kimberly Cunnison (presently residing in Aurora, Ontario).

The survey entailed sampling of the humus  $(A^{\circ})$  horizon. This horizon was found to be variably developed over the area surveyed. Along the shoreline, humus layer development was generally moderate to poor and the humus contained a considerable component of clay. Over the remaining area, the humus horizon was found to range from one to five inches in thickness and was characteristically dark brown in colour and peat-textured.

Sample location sites are plotted on Map C accompanying this report. Samples were collected at approximate 100 foot (30 meter) intervals along 10 east-west lines traversing the area surveyed. A total of 84 samples were obtained. The samples were subsequently hang-dried and submitted to X-Ray Assay Laboratories for geochemical analysis. Each sample as analyzed for gold (parts per billion) and arsenic (parts per million) using neutron activation method.

#### Survey Results

The survey results are plotted on Maps D and E accompanying this report and are also displayed in Appendix A. The survey method is described in Appendix B.

### Gold content in humus- Map D

Background gold content in the humus horizon developed over the area sampled ranged from less than one to seven parts per billion, the average being between 3 and 4 parts per billion.

The survey outlined four restricted areas (labelled "A" - "D") yielding gold values ranging from 8 to 13 parts per billion, with the exception of a single sample station yielding an anomalous value of 91 parts per billion.

- Area "A" comprises a single sample station yielding a gold value of 91 parts per billion. The sample station is located on line ON at station 8W, and occurs within 10 feet of a large (eight feet long and four feet deep) trench on the shore of the bay.
- Area "B" trends northeast for a distance of 200 feet
   and comprises 2 sample stations yielding
   gold values of 10 and 13 parts per billion.
   Area "B" is situated in the northwest quarter
   of the area surveyed, and occurs on lines
   3N and 4N.
- Area "C" is situated on the northern margin of the survey area, on line 6N, and encompasses two sample stations yielding gold values of 10 and 12 parts per billion.

-7-

Area "D" - is of irregular outline and occurs in the extreme southeast corner of the survey area. Area "D" comprises four sample stations yielding gold values of 8 - 9 parts per billion, and trends roughly northeast.

#### Arsenic content in humus - Map E

Background arsenic content in the humus horizon developed over the area surveyed ranged from 2 to 5 parts per million, and averages between 3 and 4 parts per million.

Two major areas of anomalous arsenic concentration in the humus horizon (Labelled "a" and "b") were outlined by the survey, in addition to three isolated single sample station anomalies.

- Area "a" is situated in the northwest quarter of the survey area, and trends roughly northeast for a distance of 250 feet. Area "a" comprises four sample stations yielding arsenic values of 6 to 8 parts per million, and occurs on lines 3N and 4N.
- Area 'b" is of generally circular outline and is located in the southeast corner of the survey area. The area comprises seven sample stations yielding arsenic values of 6 to 8 parts per million.

Three isolated, single sample station anomalies yielding arsenic values of 5 to 7 parts per million occur within the area surveyed, and  $\varepsilon$  pear to be randomly distributed. A moderate correlation exists between the distribution of anomalous gold and arsenic values in the area. Arsenic anomaly "a" is roughly coincident with gold anomaly "B" and both areas display the same general northeast trend. In the southeast quarter of the survey area, the southern portion of arsenic anomaly "b" is coincident with gold anomaly "D".

-9-

#### Recommendations and Conclusions

Lack of continuity of the anomalous areas plus the relatively low gold and arsenic values found in these areas indicate that the geochemical anomalies detected are weak and may not reflect a bedrock source for the gold and arsenic.

More detailed humus sampling followed by overburden drilling in the vicinity of gold anomalies "A", "B" and "D" would perhaps best serve to further explore these anomalies.

#### References

Berry, L. G.

1940: Geology of the Langmuir - Sheraton area; Ontario Dept. Mines, Vol. 49, pt. 4, 21p. Accompanied by map 49 h. Scale 1 inch to 1 mile

Curtin, G. C., Lakin, H.W., Neuerberg, G.J., and Hubert, A.E.

1968: Utilization of humus rich forest soil (mull)
in geochemical exploration for gold;
U.S. Geol. Survey Circ. 562, 11p.

Gleeson, C. F.

- 1979: Consider geochemistry when seeking gold; The Northern Miner, Exploration issue, March 8, 1979
- Lakin, H.W., Curtin, G.C., Hubert, A.E., Shacklette, H.T., and Doxtader, K.G.
  - 1974: Geochemistry of gold in the weathering cycle; U.S.G.S. Bull. 1330, 80p.

Leahy, E.J.

1971: Geology of the Night Hawk Lake area, District of Cochrane; Ontario Dept. Mines and Northern Affairs, GR 96, 74p. Accompanied by Map 2222, scale 1 inch to ½ mile

Pyke, D. R.

1976: Watabeag River area, Districts of Timizkaming and Cochrane; Ontario Div. Mines, Prelim. \_ap P 1078, Geol. Ser., scale 1 inch to 1 mile

# APPENDIX A

Humus Sample Analytical Results

Carman Bay Claim Group

SEMPLE	ALL PPF 15 PPM		1 P
		•	
		•	
		· . :	
an na sananan		and the second	
CN-1N-1W CN-1N-2W			
CN-IN-3W	9		
CN-1N-4W	3		
CN-IN-5W			1. j. j.
CN-IN-SH		kan dan sebelah se sebelah sebelah se	•
LN-1N-1N CN-2N-11			
EN-2N-2W		n an	ana Anaran an An
CN-ZN-ZAW		and an intervention of the second s	
CN-2N-3W			ee کا
CN-2N-48	- 1997日 - 1997日 - 1997日 - 1997日 - 1997日 - 1997日 - 1995日 - 1997日 - 1993日 - 1993日 - 1997日 - 1995日 - 1997日 - 1997日 - 1997日 - 1997日		
LN = 2N = 3K LN = 7N = 6W	<b>b</b>		
CN-2N-7H	2		
CN-3N-1W			م کار ہو۔ مرکز ہوت
CN-3N-2K			
CN-3N-2AW	5		
CN-3N-44			* 3
CN-3N-4AH			
CN-2N-3N		an a	× 1
-EN-3N-68 EN-2N-684	13 6	and the second	
CN-4N-14	9 4		
CN-4N-2W	6		
CN-4N-3N	3 2		
$\sum_{i=1}^{n} N = 4 N = 5 N$	10 F		
CN-4N-5V	1 3		
CN-31-1*	2 4		
CN-RL-15*	4 4 2 2		
CN-RL-2N			
	5 5		
EN-EL12	5 7		
CN-PL-	- 6 5		
CN-BL-	3 2		
CN-38-70 CN-38-70	4 I 5 E 7		
CN-91-7-1	4 6		
	2 5		

こころの

ł

SAME	46 005	AS PPM		•*
CN-DN-4W	5	5	· · · · · · ·	
CN-ON-SW	2	<1		
CN-ON-6W	3	2		
CN-ON-7W	3	4		
CN-DN-BW	al	4	•	
CN-1S-1W	a	6		A MARKET AL
CN-1S-2W	6	8	•	
CN-1S-3W	4	-6		
CN-13-4W	2	5 🗇		
CN-1S-5W	7	6		
CN-13-6W	<1	5		
CN-1 S-7W	. 4	<b>4</b>		
CN-1S-BW	1	<b>.3</b>		
CN-2S-OW	8	5.2		
CN-2S-1W	4	6		•
CN-2S-2W	8	*8***	2	
CN-23-3W	1			
CN-2S-4W	. 2		ې د مېر ورو د ورو د ورو د ورو د ورو د د ورو د ورو د ورو د و	
CN-25-5W	1			
CN-25-6W	5 1			1 - C. (1) - C. (1)
CN-2S-7W	<b>3</b> • •			2 2 
CN-3S-OW	5			
CN-35-1W				
CN-3S-2W	8			•
CN-35-38				• • •
		2 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	N (2) (2)	i golgense i <b>T</b> ingense i son Starte beet te <b>K</b> ang son	· ·	
CN-35-0W				
CN-SN-TW MARKEN	2	3		
	5	3.4	•	
CN-SN-3V	7			
CN-5N-4W				
CN-SN-SV		3 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
CN-SN-6W		2		
CN-6N-1W	6			
CN-6N-2W				· · · ·
CN-6N-3W	7		• •	·.
CN-6N-4W	12	$\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{A}}$		
CN-6N-5W	10	3		
CN-5N-6W	5	3		
CN-6N-650W-CN	_4	6		•
the second se				

# APPENDIX B

Survey Method

#### Procedure

During the survey, humus samples were obtained either by hand or by exposing deeper levels of the humus layer with a grub hoe.

After hang-drying, the samples were shipped to X-Ray Assay Laboratories, 1885 Leslie Street, Don Mills, Ontario, for analysis. 84 samples were analyzed by neutron activation method for gold and arsenic.

Sample preparation entailed thoroughily blending each sample in a blender to homogenize the material, followed by hydraulic compression of a portion of the sample to form a pellet weighing eight grams, which was used in the neutron activation process.

#### Humus as a sample medium

Gleeson (1979), Lakin <u>et al</u> (1974), Curtin <u>et al</u> (1968) and others have documented the successful use of humus (mull) as a sample medium for detection of auriferous bedrock zones in areas covered by 3 to 120 feet of glacial material. Gleeson (1979) has found that anomalies in the humus generally occur directly over the subcrop area of the auriferous zones, and their dispersion patterns appear to be little effected by glacial transport.

The humus layer sampled consists of the partly decomposed plant debris found under trees or shrubs, and usually occurs as dark brown or black, hume - rich pads mixed with varying amounts of mineral matter. A summary of the geochemical processes involved in the accumulation of gold in the humus horizon is presented by Lakin <u>et al</u> (1974):

"...ample hydrogen cyanide is formed in the soil by hydrolysis of cyanogenic plants, animals and fungi to result in solution of gold in an oxygenated environment. The gold cyanide thus formed is absorbed by plants, but they do not used it as a nutrient. It is therefore found accumulating as a reject in the woody parts of a plant. The decomposition of plant debris results in the reduction of gold in the plant material and the gold accumulation in the humus horizon of the soil".

Boyle and Dass (1967), through their work in the Cobalt area, have demonstrated that concentrations of such elements as arsenic, zinc, copper and lead also occur in the humus layers over known veins containing these elements.

#### Certificate

I, D. R. Pyke, submit this document to certify that the following statements are, to the best of my knowledge, true and correct:

- 1. That I supervised the geochemical survey conducted on the Carman Bay Claim Group on August 20, 1980.
- That I am the author of the corresponding assessment report entitled "Assessment Report of Geochemical Survey, Carman Bay Claim Group (NH-4), Carman Township, Porcupine Mining Division, Ontario".
- 3. That I have received the following university degrees in geology:

B.Sc.	-	University of Saskatchewan	1959
M.Sc.	-	University of Saskatchewan	1959
Ph.D.	-	McGill University, Quebec	1967

4. That I have been working as a geologist in the general Timmins Area for 15 years, and I am familiar with the geology of the area under consideration.

Respect yke D.R. Pyke

1. Expenditure Credits for Geochemical Survey (see Technical data statement)

84 humus samples analyzed for gold and arsenic, at \$6.50 per sample ..... \$ 546.00

2. Assessment credits earned for total 8-hr. technical days (see Assessment Work Breakdown Statement) ..... 21.00 days

Number of technical credits credited per claim, 21.00 days (one claim to be credited)

Submitted by D.R. Pyke for purposes of obtaining assessment work credits for mining claim P. 568704, comprising a portion of the Carman Bay Claim Group, Porcupine Mining Di ision, Ontario

Rfyke



 $v_{1}^{2}$ 

## Ministry of N

**GEOPHYSICAL – GEOI** TECHNICAL D



42407NW0212 2.4994 CARMAN

900

. .

# TO BE ATTACHED AS AN APPENDIX TO TECHNICAL REPORT FACTS SHOWN HERE NEED NOT BE REPEATED IN REPORT TECHNICAL REPORT MUST CONTAIN INTERPRETATION, CONCLUSIONS ETCHNING LANDS 5--

110

TIVN.

1.

<b>Fownship</b> or Are	a <u>Carman T</u>	ownship	MINING CI AIME TO AVEDEEN
Claim Holder(s) D.R. Pyke, 157 Burbank Dr.			List numerically
	Willowdal	e, Ontario	
Survey Company	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	P 568704
Author of Repor	t_D,R. Pyke		(prefix) (number)
Address of Autho	or <u>157 Burba</u>	nk Dr., Willowdale, Ont.	
Covering Dates o	f Survey Augus	t 20, 1980 - June 15, 198	2
- Fotal Miles of I i		(linecutting to office)	
iotal miles of Li	ne Cut		
CDECIAL DDC			
CREDITS RE(	QUESTED	DAYS Per claim	
<b></b>		Electrometric	
ENTER 40 day	vs (includes	Electromagnetic	
line cutting) fo	or first	Magnetometer	
survey.		-Radiometric	
ENTER 20 day	s for each	Other	
same grid.	ey using	Geological	
		Geochemical	
IRBORNE CRI	EDITS (Special prov	rision credits do not apply to airborne surveys)	
Aagnetometer	Electromag	days per claim)	
(A.,	102	11 PLake	
	SIGN	ATURE: Author of Report or Agent	
		2 5 6 6 6	
Res, Geol	Qual	ifications $\cancel{3879}$	
revious Surveys			
File No. Ty	pe Date	Claim Holder	
1	1		[1] The second second strain strain second strain second second strain second second strain second second strain second s Second second s Second second sec second second sec

#### **GEOPHYSICAL TECHNICAL DATA**

1

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Number of Stations	Number	of Readings	
Station interval	Line spa	icing	
Profile scale			,
Contour interval	et formes international and the second s		
Instrument		· · .	
Accuracy – Scale constant		τ	
Diurnal correction method			-
Base Station check-in interval (hours)			
Base Station location and value		11. 1	
Instrument			
Coil configuration			·
Coil separation		<i>I</i>	
Accuracy			
Method:	Shoot back	🗆 In line	🗆 Parallel lin
Frequency	(specify V.L.F. station)		
Parameters measured	·····		
Instrument			****
Scale constant		·	· · ·
Corrections made			
Base station value and location			
			* · · ·
Elevation accuracy			
Instrument			· ·
Method 🔲 Time Domain		Frequency Domain	
Parameters – On time	····	Frequency	
– Off time		Range	
– Delay time			
- Integration time			
Power			
Electrode array			
Electrode spacing			
Type of electrode			

#### SELF POTENTIAL

P.

<u>SELF POTENTIAL</u>	
Instrument	Range
Survey Method	
Corrections made	
PADIONETRIO	
KADIOMETRIC	
Instrument	
Values measured	
Energy windows (levels)	
Height of instrument	Background Count
Size of detector	
Overburden	outcrop map)
OTHERS (SEISMIC, DRILL WELL LOGGING ETC.)	
Type of survey	
Instrument	
Accuracy	
Parameters measured	
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Additional information (for understanding results)	
AIRBORNE SURVEYS	
Type of survey(s)	
Instrument(s)	
(specify for each type o	f survey)
Accuracy	f survey)
Aircraft used	
Sensor altitude	
Navigation and flight path recovery method	
Aircraft altitude	Line Spacing

Numbers of claims from which samples taken <u>P 5687</u>	704. P 568705
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Total Number of Samples84	ANALYTICAL METHODS
Type of Sample soil sample - humus	Values expressed in: per cent
Average Sample Weight 8 grams	p. p. m. 🔀
Method of Collection sampled by hand/grub hoe	р. р. р.
	Cu, Pb, Zn, Ni, Co, Ag, Mo, As (circle)
Soil Horizon Sampled <u>humus</u> – A <sup>O</sup>	Others Gold - parts per billion
Horizon Development Variable 0.5-5 inches	Field Analysis (tests)
Sample Depth 0.5 - 5 inches	Extraction Method
Terrain One of low relief. Sttep clay	Analytical Method
banks occur along shoreline	Reagents Used
Drainage Development generally good, poor on	Field Laboratory Analysis
shoreline Estimated Range of Overburden Thickness	No. (tests)
Overburden estimated to not exceed	Extraction Method
50 feet in area surveyed, as outcrop	Analytical Method
occurs on the property.	Reagents Used
	84 samples tested
SAMPLE PREPARATION (Includes drying, screening, crushing, ashing)	Commercial Laboratory ( <u>for Au and As</u> tests)
Mesh size of fraction used for analysis	Name of Laboratory X-Ray Assay Laborator
Samples hang-dried before analysis	Extraction Method
	Analytical Method <u>Neutron Activation</u>
	Reagents Used
General Samples were blended in a	General <u>84 samples were analyzed</u>
blending machine for homogeneity of	each for gold and arsenic.
material. All samples were	Samples were analyzed at
thoroughily dried before blending	X-Ray Assay Laboratories.
	<u>1885 Leslie St.</u>
	<u>Willowdale, Ontario</u>
	Blended sample material was
	hydraulically compressed to form
	a pellet weighing 8 grams

#### ASSESSMENT WORK BREAKDOWN

1.	Type of Survey <u>Geochemical (humus sampling)</u>
2.	Township or Area <u>Carman Township, Porcupine Mining Division</u>
3.	Numbers of Mining Claims Traversed by Survey <u>P 568704, P 568705</u>
4.	Number of Miles of Line Cut Flown
*5.	Number of Stations Established
*6.	Make and type of Instrument Used
*7.	Scale Constant or Sensitivity
*8.	Frequency Used and Power Output
•	
9.	Summary of Assessment Credits (details on reverse side)
	Total 8 hour Technical Days (Include Consultants, Draughting etc.)3.0
	Total 8 hour Line-Cutting Days0
	Calculation
, ,	$3.0 \times 7 = 21.0 + 0 = 21.0 \div 1 = 21.0$
	TechnicalLine-cuttingNumberAssessment creditsof claimsper claim
	The dates listed on this form represent working time spent entirely within the limits of the above listed claims 🔽 Check If otherwise, please explain
,	Dated: Mug12/82 Signed: MC/GRO
	Note: $(A) \times Complete only if applicable$
	<ul> <li>(B) Complete list of names, addresses and dates on reverse side.</li> <li>(C) Submit separate breakdown for each type of survey.</li> </ul>
	(D) Submit in duplicate.

1

- 1999年までも転換するためがまた。また、1999年まではないであった。1999年には、1999年には、1999年には、1999年には、1999年まで、1999年まで、1999年までは、1999年までは、1999年まで 1999年までは、1999年までは、1999年までは、1999年までは、1999年までは、1999年までは、1999年までは、1999年までは、1999年までは、1999年までは、1999年までは、1999年までは、1999

•7

.

#### ASSESSMENT WORK BREAKDOWN

a

٨

)

1. FIELD WORK

ŧ

いたいといわせて

新生

i. ∮č

「「「「「「」」

	Type of Work	Name & Address	Dates Worked	Number 8 hour	of days
	Geochemical_(humus_	sampling)			
	D.B. Pyke	. 157 Burbank Driv	e. Willowdale. Ont.	1.1.0.	
	Date work	ed - August 20. 19	80		,
	K.M. Cunn	ison, 200 Kennedy H	St. West, Aurora, Ont	1.0	
	Date work	ed - August 20, 190	81		
2.	CONSULTANTS				
	Name & Address	Dates Worked (specify	in field or office)	Number 8 hour	of days
					]
3.	DRAUGHTSMAN, TYPING, OTH	HERS (specify)			
	Name & Address	Type of Work	Dates Worked	Number 8 hour	of days
	K. Cunnison	Draughting	June 15, 1982	Q_;	5
	200 Kennedy St. W.	Typing	June 15, 1982	Q.5	5
	Aurora. Ont.				
			TOTAL 8 HOUR TECHNICAL DAYS	3.0	
4.	LINE-CUTTING				
	Name Add	lress	Dates Worked	Number 8 hour	of days
					•7
				• • • • • • • • • • •	
	NG	ot applicable			
	*********				
			TOTAL 8 HOUR LINE-CUTTING DAYS		•

X-RAY ASSAY LABORATORIES

LIMITED

1885 LESLIE STREET • DON MILLS, ONTARIO M3B 3J4 • (416) 445-5755

April 28, 1981

D. R. Pyke & Associates Inc. 157 Burbank Drive Willowdale, Ontario M2K 1N9

#### STATEMENT OF SERVICES SUPPLIED & COST

CN SERIES

84	Samples	Au, As	ppb	NA	@6.50	\$546.00
----	---------	--------	-----	----	-------	----------

X-RAY ASSAY LABORATORIES LTD.

Eogles

**EXPLORATION** Nº 35/60 ATH STREET S.W. 901. 1013 T2R IJ4 DATE December 31, 1980 4460GI24 DOLS I CTS \$ 6,124.10 X-RAY ASSAY LABORATORIES 1885 Leslie Street, DON MILLS, Ontario DRATION ΌLL M3B 3J4 ,1:00009-0101: #B==15510# 00615710 FOR DEPOSIT UNL. G4852-003 TEP POTAL BANK OF CANADA ່ວ C. I. B. C. B CALO PA FORK MULS RD. 111 2311 452 09502-010 o :: 19 - 8 C, 8 NTRE 8 ALBT, 5 **ē**03 C.1.0 325 88 BOYAL RIO O (\* Č×ČZ X

2.4994

Mining Minist 60 Wil Timmir P4N 29	g Recorder try of Natural Resources Ison Avenue ns, Ontario S7			
Dear S	Sir:			
RE:	Geochemical Survey on Mining Claim P 568704 in the Township of Carman. Nas 424/9800			
The Gethe at	eochemical Survey assessment work credits as shown on ttached statement have been approved as of the above	1 da 1.		
Please	e inform the recorded holder of these mining claims ar	hd		
	licate on your records.			
Yours	very truly.	104		
Yours	very truly,	100 6- 5.2. geo 5.1. geo		
Yours E.F. A Direct Land M	very truly, Anderson tor Management Branch	100 6- 9-12-5 1-1-5		
E.F. A Direct Land M Whitne Queen' Toront M7A 1W	very truly, Anderson tor Management Branch By Block, Room 6450 's Park to, Ontario	106 6- 9-18 6-		
E.F. A Direct Land M Whitne Queen' Toront M7A 1W Phone:	very truly, Anderson tor Management Branch By Block, Room 6450 's Park to, Ontario 13 : 416/965-1380	100 6- 9-12 11-1-		
E.F. A Direct Land M Whitne Queen' Toront M7A 1W Phone: D. Kir	very truly, Anderson tor Management Branch ey Block, Room 6450 's Park to, Ontario '3 : 416/965-1380 hvig:sc	106- 5. 3. 50 5. 10 5. 1		
Yours Yours E.F. A Direct Land M Whitne Queen' Toront M7A 1W Phone: D. Kin CC: Dr 31 Th L3	very truly, Anderson for Management Branch By Block, Room 6450 's Park to, Ontario M3 : 416/965-1380 hvig:sc r. D.R. Pyke I Delair Crescent hornhill, Ontario BT 2M3	106 6- 9-18 8		



File	
2,4994	,

1983 05 24

Recorded Holder	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
DR. D.R. PYKE			
Township or Area		******	
CARMAN			

Type of survey and number of **Mining Claims Assessed** Assessment days credit per claim Geophysical Electromagnetic \_\_\_\_\_ days Magnetometer \_\_\_\_\_ days \_\_\_\_\_ days Radiometric \_\_\_\_\_ P 568704 Induced polarization \_\_\_\_\_ days Section 80x (196) 77 (19) days Geological \_\_\_\_\_ days Geochemical 21 days Man days 🗶 Airborne Special provision Ground 🗶 Credits have been reduced because of partial coverage of claims. Credits have been reduced because of corrections to work dates and figures of applicant. Special credits under section 86 (15a) for the following mining claims

No credits have been allowed for the following mining claims

not sufficiently covered by the survey

Insufficient technical data filed

The Mining Recorder may reduce the above credits if necessary in order that the total number of approved assessment days recorded on each claim does not exceed the maximum allowed as follows: Geophysical — 80; Geological — 40; Geochemical — 40; Section 86(18)-60:

	~~	• *			-		
	ort of Work	6	2.4994	structioner -	Planca +	e or print	
Resources (Geo	ophysical, Geological,		30 10	Y -	If numbe	of mining clair	ns traversed
Ontario Geo	chemical and Expenditures)	# do	056870	Note: -	Only day	ace on this form, is credits calcula	attach a list. ted in the
PARMAN	),	The Mining /	Ant		in the "	ures section may Expend, Days Cr	De entered Columns.
Type of Survey(s)		THE MILLING P		Township	Do not use	shaded areas belo	w
(-200	chemical (	Analyse	25	CA	RMP	7n1	
Claim Holder(s)	Ka l				Prospecto	's Licence No.	· · · ·
Survey Company	<u>Ne</u>		Survey Dates (II	necutting to a		Total Miles of line	Cut
			20 MB. 14	$\int O \int \int C$	Mo. I Yr		
Name and Address of Author (o	f Geo-Technical report)	- 00	(1)				
Special Provisions Credits Re	quested	Mining Clai	WILLO ms Traversed ()	<u>WOAL</u>	<u>E Or</u>	DT Mark	ING
Instructions	Geophysical Days per	Mini	ing Claim	Expend.	M	ining Claim	Expend.
For first survey:	- Electromagnetic	TO C	Number	Days Cr.	Prefix	Number	Days Cr.
Enter 40 days, (This includes line cutting)	Magnetometer		60104	10		DECEN	
			· · · · ·	70		VECEI V	VED
For each additional survey: using the same grid:	- Hadiometric		<u>.                                    </u>			JUN 9 4 40	
Enter 20 days (for each)	- Other			·		~~~~ <b>G</b> 1 79	oc 🔤
	Geological					NG LANDS	dec
	Geochemical			·			
lan Days							
nstructions	Geophysical Days per		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	i			
Complete reverse de C					18.29		
and anter (Otaits) here	Magnetometer		, a.,		See. 1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
JUN	+ 6 1982				$\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A})$	•	
D	- Radiometric		·,.		No.	·······	
Receipt INC	· · · · · · Other		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		N.A.	·····	
	Geological					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
ID IS O IS 1	Geochemical 21.	989.3K-			18960		
irborne Credits	V 6			<del>.</del>		······	
Note: Special provisions 15	Days per Claim				N. C.		X
credits do not apply	Electromagnetic					- 395	المحمو
7 8 9 10 11 12 1	1213141518	<b>19</b> 3-17				and x	4
	Badia matria	Calculation -				( Xo	
xpenditures (excludes nowe	r stripping)					<u> </u>	
vpa of Work Performed	l'autophilg/					5,0	
Seccheminal (	BEPREY'S SAMPLING		·	· .		<u> </u>	
rformed on Claim(s)	ANALYSES IPS	ect-71-19	U				
P000704	1008/05	and the second					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					<b>Sector</b>	<b>7.8 - 2</b>	
lculation of Expenditure Days	Credits 36.4 Total						1
Total Expenditures 546	Days Credits						
\$ 1-7-65	+ 15 = 49,7				899-813	- -	<u>l'</u>
Structions					Total num claims cou	ber of mining pred by this	
choice. Enter number of days	credits per claim selected	Fc	or Office Use Or	nly	report of	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	Fil
in columns at right,	······································	Recorded	Dare Recorded	6/82	Mining Rec	Order	>
ate of Report Rec	orded Holder of Agent (Signature)	11	Dite Approved a	Recorded	Regional	Althining Recon	Jer
//ine/5/82 /	WIKTGRE.	61		· · ·			
ertification Verifying Repor	t of Work				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
I hereby certify that I have a portion and	personal and intimate knowledge of or after its completion and the annu	the facts set fort	th in the Report o	f Work annex	ed hereto, h	aving performed t	ne work
	on Certifying		····	<u></u>		n	2.24
ame and Postal Address of Pers					- <u>-</u>	$\langle c \rangle = l^{\nu}$	144.
ame and Postal Address of Pers D. R. P. K.	e 157 OURBA	ANK A	R. WIL	LOWD	ALE.	Chir 11	19
ame and Postal Address of Pers	0 157 OVRBA	ANK P	R. WIL.	1.000	Certified b	v)(Signature)	19

1. 8.....

· · ·

.

,

Assessment Work Breakdown

Man Days are based on eight (8) hour Technical or Line-cutt	ting days	. Technical day	s include work performed by
consultants, draftsmen, etc		·	

ype of Surv	iey						-					+ t - +	
. [	Technical Days	x	7		Technical Days Credits	+	Line-outting Days	-	Total Credits	+ [	No, of Claims	Days per Claim	]
ype of Surv	vey						. "						4 <u>.</u> .
	Technical Days				Technical Days Credits		Line-cutting Days		Total Credits	•	No. of Claims	Days per Claim	
[		] x	7			+		] =		+ [		<b>*</b>	
ype of Sur	vey					•••	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Technical				Technical Days Credits	•	Line-cutting Days		Total Credits	•.	No. of Claims	Days per Cialm	
		] ×	7	E		] +		] -	-••* )	+	a		
Type of Sur	rvey												
s. -	Technical				Technical Days Credits	:	Line-cutting Days		Total Credits	•	No. of, Claims	Days per Claim	
		٦ v		-		+ ٦	[	ן ב`		+		=	



読む

Ŕ

「長乾四日

日期が見たり

ŗ.

お言語が

1

in the second

ことでも上れてい 読むを見たい

- inde-

1.000

100 A

į.

きたれてい

10日 日本市場は東京市場になる場合にあったいで、10日1日

Your file:

Our file: 2.4994

1983 05 24

Mining Recorder Ministry of Natural Resources 60 Wilson Avenue Timmins, Ontario P4N 2S7

Dear Sir:

Enclosed are two copies of a Notice of Intent with statements listing a reduced rate of assessment work credits to be allowed for a technical survey. Please forward one copy to the recorded holder of the claims and retain the other. In approximately fifteen days from the above date, a final letter of approval of these credits will be sent to you. On receipt of the approval letter, you may then change the work entries on the claim record sheets.

Yours very truly,

For further information, if required, please contact Mr. F.W. Matthews at 416/965-1380.

E.F. Anderson Director Lands Administration Branch

Whitney Block, Room 6450 Queen's Park Toronto, Ontario M7A 1W3 Phone: 416/965-1316

D. Kinvig; sc

cc: Dr. D.R. Pyke Thornhill, Ontario

cc: Mr. G.H. Ferguson Mining & Lands Commissioner Toronto, Ontario



Ministry of Natural Resources Notice of Intent for Technical Reports

# 1983 05 24

#### 2.4994

An examination of your survey report indicates that the requirements of The Ontario Mining Act have not been fully met to warrant maximum assessment work credits. This notice is merely a warning that you will not be allowed the number of assessment work days credits that you expected and also that in approximately 15 days from the above date, the mining recorder will be authorized to change the entries on his record sheets to agree with the enclosed statement. Please note that until such time as the recorder actually changes the entry on the record sheet, the status of the claim remains unchanged.

If you are of the opinion that these changes by the mining recorder will jeopardize your claims, you may during the next fifteen days apply to the Mining and Lands Commissioner for an extension of time. Abstracts should be sent with your application.

If the reduced rate of credits does not jeopardize the status of the claims then you need not seek relief from the Mining and Lands Commissioner and this Notice of Intent may be disregarded.

If your survey was submitted and assessed under the "Special Provision-Performance and Coverage" method and you are of the opinion that a re-appraisal under the "Man-days" method would result in the approval of a greater number of days credit per claim, you may, within the said fifteen day period, submit assessment work breakdowns listing the employees names, addresses and the dates and hours they worked. The new work breakdowns should be submitted direct to the Lands Management Branch, Toronto. The report will be re-assessed and a new statement of credits based on actual days worked will be issued. Ministry of Natural Resources **Technical Assessment Work Credits** 

File 2.4994

1983 05 24

1	necor	aea	noider	
1				

828

Type of survey and number of Assessment days credit per claim	Mining Claims Assessed	
leophysical		
Electromagnetic	/5	
Magnetometer	/8	
Radiometric	\$546.00 spent on assaying samples taken from Mining Claims 568704 and 568705.	
	26 days anothe allowed which may be appuned	4 -
Induced polarization	in accordance with Section 76(6) of the Mining	
ection 964,446 77 (19) see across	/s ACt.	
leological	/8	
eochemical	/8	
Man days 🗌 Airborr		
Special provision Groun	)	
Credits have been reduced because of coverage of claims.	tial	
	ne	
to work dates and figures of applicant.		
ecial credits under section 86 (15a) for the fo	ing mining claims	
credits have been allowed for the following i	ng claims	
not sufficiently covered by the survey	Insufficient technical data filed	
1		

each claim does not exceed the maximum allowed as follows: Geophysical --- 80; Geological --- 40; Geochemical --- 40; Section 86(18)-60:

1983 05 19

Dr. D.R. Pyke 31 Delair Crescent Thornhill, Ontario L3T 2M3

Dear Sir:

RE: Geochemical Survey and Expenditure submitted on Mining Claims P 568704-05 in the Township of Carman.

On June 6, 1982 you recorded assessment work credits on the above claims for an expenditure of \$746.00 for assay work. You have only provided a receipt from X-Ray Assay Laboratories for \$546.00. Please clarify.

For further information, please contact Mr. F.W. Matthews at 416/965-1380.

Yours very truly,

E.F. Anderson Director Land Management Branch

Whitney Block, Room 6450 Queen's Park Toronto, Ontario M7A 1W3 Phone: 416/965-1380

D. Kinvig:sc

cc: Mining Recorder Timmins, Ontario 2.4994

U	Natural Resources	Report Approval		N	2.4994
JNIE	ario			ler 30	182
-	Mining Lands Co	omments	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		······································			
	H1000-10-10-				
			- 1/ <sup>201</sup> 1 - 201		······································
L I	To: Geophysics Comments	·····			t di s
		-	······		en e
-	-	<b>Ř</b> 			n an
		4, 1 			
$\left  \right $	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				······
ł	Approved	Wish to see again with corrections	Date	Signati	
뇟	To: Geology - Ex	spenditures			n an
ر 	Comments	ATR MUSU			· · · ·
ŀ	1. <b>2</b>				
f	*/* <u>**********************************</u>				
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
			······································		
	Approved	Wish to see again with corrections	Date	Signati	ire
Ŀ	To: Geochemistry	DR. FORTESC	UE	·	
ľ					
				,	
╞				(	$\Lambda$
╞			Date	Signan	P N C M
		when to see again with corrections	Jun	- M83 7	LISI boking
11	To: Mining Lands	Section, Room 6462, Whitney Block.	(Tel: 5-1380)		

1982 09 20

2.4994

Mining Recorder Ministry of Natural Resources 60 Wilson Avenue Timmins, Ontario P4N 287

Dear Sir:

We have received reports and maps for a Geochemical Survey submitted on Mining Claim P 568704 in the Township of Carman.

This material will be examined and assessed and a statement of assessment work credits will be issued.

Yours very truly

E.F. Anderson Director Land Management Branch

Whitney Block, Room 6450 Queen's Park Toronto, Ontario M78 1W3 Phone 416/965-1316

J. Skura:sc

cc: D.R. Pyke Willowdale, Dontario

#### D.R. Pyke and Associates Inc.

157 Burbank Drive Willowdale, Ontario M2K 1N9 Telephone (416) 221-6210

P.O. Box 965 Timmens Out LANDS Management Branch Ministry Natural Resources RECEIVED PAN 7WS Land Management Branch CIRCULATE COMMENTS PLEASE Room 6450 BY Whitney Block Queen's Park AUG 16 1982 E. F. ANDERSON J. R. MORTON J. C. SMITH Toronto MTA IW3 S. ALL Re: Assessment Report Car Twp Enclosed is an assessment report for a humus survey in larman Township

Sincerely, URtyke.

# MAP SYMBOLOGY

Aerial Cableway		Pipeline	
Boundary		(bbove ground)	
nternational		Railroad	
nterprovincial	·	Single Track	
istrict. Township		Double Trock	
ndian Reserve		Abundoned	
ccroximate		Tarntoble	
et, Concession		Rood	
pprcalmale		Highway, County	
ark Boundary	<u> </u>	Township	
ridge	<u> </u>	Access (road of d maintenance or	aughtfu
oud, Railroad	_	Figniticent arte	
uilding	<b>S</b> •	"roll, Bush Rood (portage.alley)	
himney	0	Rapids	
liff, Pit, Pile	<del>~</del> ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	Double line river	
ontours		with multiple re	pi d e
nterpolated		Duuble line rive with multiple r	r opida
pproximate		Reservoir	<u></u>
Spression	<u> </u>	River, Stream	n, Cai
Control Points		Approximate	<u> </u>
orizontel	∆ 01774051	Sector of flow	$\sim$
ertical	⊙ <b>3</b> 00.02	Hack	
aivert	<b>L</b>	Segnificant	+
olis	Ţ	s hoal	
aubia lina river	Heave	Spot Elevati	on -> -30
enc <b>e, Hedge,</b>		Tower	51
/all		Tracemiester	-
enture Outline Construction feature:		1 101510155101	n LIN
atc.)		Poles Pylons	-
looded Land 🥳	1004 or	Tunnel	
ock	**		_
larsh or Swamp	ىيىتى بىلىد مەند	Utility Poles	
last		Wharf , Dock	, Pier
line Head Frami	e da	Wooded Area	
utcrop	وزينت تركي		
-	-		





For O.B.M. Map 20 17 4900 53600 20 17 5000 53600

KEY PLAN



HUL LU BUAIC





MAP D URFyla

```
GOLD CONTENT - parts per billion
```

```
HUMUS SAMPLES ("CN" SERIES)
CARMAN BAY CLAIM GROUP,(NH-4)
CARMAN TOWNSHIP
```

210

CLAIM BOUNDARY CLAIM POST WITNESS POST 1 inch = 200 feet

SAMPLE STATION

0

N

2.4994



O CARMAN TOWNSHIP 1 CLAIM BOUNDARY \_\_\_\_ ARSENIC CONTENT-parts per million CLAIM POST WITNESS POST TwP 1 INCH = 200 FEET MAP E DR Pipe



2.4994

