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Whif Elfotromagnet ir and Majnet ic Survey by<br>(irosearch Consultants Limited<br>for<br>placer Dome Inc.<br>on<br>Project 344 - Obradovich Opt ion<br>flayfair Township, Ontario<br>('1") Arcompany Mapes 88-41, 42, 43 A to r)

April 28, 1988

A Vhf filut romagnetic, and a Total Field Magnetic survey were Garratd out for Plarer Dome Inc. on Project 344, Obradovich option in Eebrmaty 1988.
'lho wht iof ronsists of 27 unpatented mining elaims, a list of which is apprinded to the back of this report.

Thr proprrty is located in Concessions II and III, Lots 7 to 10 of Playfair Township, Ontario. Access to the property was made via motor vehicle and snowmobile on secondary roads off of Highway 11 , directly south of the town of Ramore, Ontario which is located approximately 3 km northeast of the property.

The purpose of the survey was to locate subsurface geo-electrical conductors and sut line qeological struttures as defined by the magnetics, whirh raty prove conducive for gold mineralization.

A rumber of VIf-FM conductive horizons were located, norie of which are attributed to bedrock conductors. The magnetics outlined a number of $E-W$ trending faults, a $N-S$ trending dyke, as well as a large magnetite rich anomaly.

A technical data sheet is appended to this report. The enclosed maps show the area surveyed and the results obtained.

$2^{n}$ to 1 mile
NTS 42•A.8

Results

Magnetic Survey

The magnetic survey outlined a magnetically quiet area with a number of distinct anomalies (Maps 88-41-A to $C$ ). The largest of these is a 500 metre wide anomaly centred on a line extending from L18+00E, $2+00 \mathrm{~S}$ (Map $88-41-\mathrm{C}$ ) to $\mathrm{L} 15+00 \mathrm{E}, 11+00 \mathrm{~N}$ (Map 88-41-A). This anomaly has amplitudes as high as 14,000 gammas above the background level of 59,000 gammas. Examples of these high values are on $L$ 17+00E, $1+25 \mathrm{~N}$ (Map 88-41-C) and L16 $+00,2+62 N(M a p$ 88-41-A). This wide feature appears to have a northnorthwest trend and thus may have been better defined with lines cut in an east-west direction. The high amplitudes no doubt reflect large quantities of magnetite in the rock unit.

A second feature outlined is an $E-W$ trending lineament extending from L3 3 +00W, $6+75 \mathrm{~S}$ (Map 88-41-B) to $\mathrm{L} 15+00 \mathrm{E}, 1+75 \mathrm{~S}$ (Map 88-41-c), where it intersects the magnetic plug mentioned above. This lineament is approximately 100 metres wide and has amplitudes ranging from 300 gammas above background at its western extremity, to 5000 gammas closer to the magnetite rich rock unit.

Directly south of this feature is a second magnetic lineament, parallel to the first, extending from L2+00W, 8+50S (Map 88-41-B) to L6+00E, 6+50S (Map 88-41-C). Widths and magnetic amplitudes are similar to those of the previous lineament.

These two lineaments are intersected by a north-south trending, weakly magnetic anomaly. It extends from L1+00E, $12+00 \mathrm{~S}$ to L2+00E, $0+00$ Baseline, (Map 88-41-B). This north-south anomaly is quite narrow, and most likely reflects a diabase dyke known to be common in the region.

VLF-EM Survey

The VLF Electromagnetic survey was completed using the submarine transmitting station near Cutler, Maine. The inphase and quadrature readings were posted and profiled (Maps 88-42-A to C), and the inphase values were also "fraser filtered" to create a contoured anomaly map which is easier to interpret (Maps 88-43-A to C).

It is obvious from the "fraser filtered" contour map that the western half of the grid contains no conductors. The eastern side of the grid contains many apparent conductive horizons. None of these anomalies resembles a classic bedrock conductive response. None of the anomalies correlate well with the structures outlined by the magnetics, with respect to location or trend. It is the view of the author, that these apparent
conductive horizons, us delineated by the "fraser filtered" contours are not bedrock conductors, but rather, reflect the hilly topography noted by field personnel during the course of the survey. The western portion, void of conductive responses, is also void of any steep topographical features.

Conclusions and Recommendations

The E-W lineament intersecting the magnetite plug should be investigated further. No drilling is recommended based on the data presented. If conductors were associated with the large magnetite plug, their trend would likely be north-south, and as such would require east-west lines to properly locate them. As the topography is hilly, it is recommeded that any further electromagnetic work be completed with a more discerning method such as horizontal loop.

The known geology should be used with the data presented to plan further work.

Respectfully submitted,


Louis Racic, B. Sc.
Geosearch Consultants Limited

Ministry of
Geophysical-Geological-Geochemical
Northern Development and Mines

File $\qquad$

## TO BE ATTACHED AS AN APPENDIX TO TECHNICAL REPORT FACTS SHOWN HERE NEED NOT BE REPEATED IN REPORT TECHNICAL REPORT MUST CONTAIN INTERPRETATION, CONCLUSIONS ETC.

| Type of Survey(s) <br> Township or Area $\qquad$ Playfair Township |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Claim $\operatorname{Holder(s)~Placer~Done~Inc.~}$ |  |
| Survey Company Geosearch Consultants Limited |  |
| Author of Report Louis Racic |  |
| Address of Author 360-111 Queen St.E., Toronto |  |
| Covering Dates of Survey $\frac{06 / 01 / 88-23 / 04 / 88}{\text { (linecatting to office) }}$ |  |
| Total Miles of Line Cut_ 47.3 km . |  |
| SPECIAL PROVISIONS CREDITS REQUESTED | Geophysical $\begin{gathered}\text { DAYS } \\ \text { per claim }\end{gathered}$ |
| ENTER 40 days (includes line cutting) for first survey. | -Electromagnetic $\begin{array}{r}40 \\ \hline 20\end{array}$ |
|  | -Magnetometer |
|  | -Radiometric |
| ENTER 20 days for each additional survey using same grid. | -Other |
|  | Geological |
|  | Geochemical |

AIRBORNE CREDITS (Special provision credite do not apply to airborne surveys)


Res. Geol. $\qquad$ Qualifications
Previous Surveys

mining claims traversed List numerically

L 919843 (prefix)
L 935120-935124
L $935378-935379$
L 935424
L 982547-982549
L 983130-983139
L 983269-983273

## GEOPHYSICAL TECHNICAL DATA



| Instrument | EDA Omni IV |
| :--- | :--- |
| Accuracy - Scale constant | 0.1 gammas |
| Diurnal correction method | Base station recorder with readings taken at |
| Base Station check-in interval (hours) 30 second intervals |  |
| Base Station location and value $\quad$ Line $14+00 E, 0+00 \quad 60,021$ gammas |  |

Instrument EDA Omni Plus

Coil configuration $-$

Coil separation -

Accuracy $1 \%$

Method:
$₫$ Fixed transmitter

Shoot back
$\square$ In line
$\square$ Parallel line
Frequency

$$
\frac{24.0 \mathrm{kHz}-\text { Cutler, Maine NAA }}{\text { (specify V.L. F. station) }}
$$

Parameters measured Inphase and quadrature responses of the vertical secondary field

Instrument $\qquad$
Scale constant $\qquad$
Corrections made $\qquad$

Base station value and location $\qquad$

Elevation accuracy

Instrument $\qquad$
Method $\square$ Time Domain
Frequency Domain
Parameters - On time Frequency

- Off time Range
$\qquad$
- Delay time
- Integration time $\qquad$
Power $\qquad$
Electrode array
Electrode spacing
Type of electrode


| Type of |
| ---: |
| M |
| Claim H |
| Address |

Magnetic and Electromagnetic Claim Holder (s)

Placer Dome Inc.
 1

900

## Township or Ares

Playfair Twp.
Prospector's Licence No.
$T-837$
P.O. BOX Survey Company
350. IBM Tower, T-D Centre, Toronto,

Geosearch Consultants Limited
Name and Address of Author (of Geo-Technical report)


Louis Racic, 360-111 Queen St.E., Toronto, Ontario M5C 1s2
Credits Requested per Each Claim in Columns at right


Expenditures (excludes power stripping)
Type of Work Performed

Performed on Claims)


Instructions
Total Days Credits may be apportioned at the claim holder's choice. Enter number of dave credits per claim selected in columns at right.

| Date |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| May 19, 1988 | Recorded Holder orfagent (Signature) |

## Certification Verifying Report of Work

Mining Claims Traversed (List in numerical sequence)



[^0] or witnessed same during and/or after its completion and the annexed report is true.
Name and Postal Address of Person Certifying
Louis Racic, 360 - 111 Queen St.E., Toronto, Ontario M5C 1 St


Your File: W8808-084
Our file: 2.11127

Mining Recorder
Ministry of Northern Development and Mines 4 Government Road East Kirkland Lake, Ontario P2N 1A2

Dear Sir:
RE: Notice of Intent dated May 10, 1988 Geophysical (Electromagnetic and Magnetometer) Stupwoy E submitted on Mining Claims L 919843 et al in the Township of Playfair

The assessment work credits, as listed with the above-mentioned Notice of Intent, have been approved as of the above date.

Please inform the recorded holder of these mining claims and so indicate on your records.

Yours sincerely,

W.R. Cowan, Manager

Mining Lands Section
Mines and Minerals Division
Whitney Block, Room 6610
Queen's Park
Toronto, Ontario
M7A 1W3
Telephone: (416) 965-4888
$A B: p 1$
Enclosure: Technical Assessment Work Credits
cc: Mr. G.H. Ferguson
Mining \& Lands Commissioner Toronto, Ontario

Placer Dome Inc.
Box 350
IBM Tower, Toronto-Dominion Centre
Toronto, Ontario
M5K 1N3

Ministry of
Northern Development and Mines

Technical Assessment
Work Credits

|  | File |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 2.11127 |
| Dote | Minin Q iocorders Report of |
| May 10, 1988 | W8808-084 |


| Recorded Hoider |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Placer Dome Inc. |
|  | Playfair Township |


| Type of survey and number of Assessment days credit per claim | Mining Claims Assessed |
| :---: | :---: |
| Geophysical |  |
| Electromagnetic 40 _ days |  |
| 20 | L. 919843 |
| Magnetometer ___ days | 935120 to 24 inclusive |
|  | 935378-79 |
| Radiometric ___ days | 935424 |
|  | . 982547 |
| Induced polarization__days | .983130-31-32 |
|  | . 983134 to 39 inclusive |
| Other ___ days | . 983269 to 73 inclusive |



Special credits under section 77 (16) for the following mining claims

## 20 days Electromagnetic \& 10 days Magnetometer

- L 983133

No credits have been allowed for the following mining claimsnot sufficiently covered by the surveyinsufficient technical data filed

The Mining Recorder may reduce the above credits if necessary in order that the total number of approved assessment days recorded on each claim does not exceed the maximum allowed as follows: Geophysical - 80; Geologocal - 40; Geochemical - 40; Section 77(19)-60.

May 4


## Report of Work <br> (Geophysical!, Geological Geochemical and Expenditure <br> DOCUMENT NO. W8808. 084 <br> Mining Act

Placer Dome Inc.
Address
Box 350, IBM Tower, T-D Centre, Toronto, Ontario M5K lN 3 Survey Company

Geosearch Consultants Limited
Name and Address of Author (of Geo -Technical report)
 Louis Racic, 360-111 Queen St. E. Toronto, Ontario M5C 152

Credits Requested per Each Claim in Columns at right
Special Provisions


Expenditures (excludes power stripping)


Instructions
Total Days Credits may be apportioned at the claim holder's choice. Enter number of days credits per claim selected
in columns at right.

## Dote

March 11/88

Mining Claims Traversed (List in numerical sequence)


## 

Please type or print.
If number of mining claims traversed exceeds space on this form, attach a list Only days credits calculated in the "Expenditures" section may be entered in the "Expend. Days Cr." columns. - Do not use shaded areas below. TownshiporAree
Play fair Twp.

Prospector's Licence ${ }^{\text {No }}$ $T-837$

Ministry of
Geophyalcat-ceologlcat-Cocehemical
Northern Development and Mines

## TO BE ATTACHED AS AN APTENDIX TO TBCEmCAy tempt FACTS SHOWN HERE NEED NOT BE RTEATMD At 



AIRBORNE CREDITS (Special provision credits do not apply to elborne nevers) Magnetometer $\qquad$ Electromagnetic $\qquad$ Radiometrie (enter days per crim) DATE: April 28/88


Res. Geol. $\qquad$ Qualifications $\qquad$ Previous Surveys



## GEOPHYSICAL TECHNICAL DATA

| GROUND SURVEYS - If more than one survey, specify data for each type of survey |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Number of Stations 3645 | Number of Reading: |
| Station interval 12.5 metres |  |
| Profile scale 1 cm $-20 \%$ |  |
| Contour interval 25 gammas |  |

Instrument EDA Omni IV
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Accuracy - Scale constant } 0.1 \text { gammas } \\ \text { Diurnal correction method } & \text { Base station recorder with reeding taken at }\end{array}$
Base Station check-in interval (hours) 30 second intervels
Base Station location and value Line $14+00 \mathrm{E}, 0+00 \quad 60,021$ gemmas
In
C
C
A
M

EDA Omni Plus
Coil configuration
Coil separation
Accuracy 1\%

| Method: | $\triangle$ Fixed transmitter | $\square$ Shoot back | $\square$ In line | $\square$ Parallel line |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Frequency |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Parameters measured Inphase and quadrature reapenses of the vertioal |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Instrument
Scale constant $\qquad$
Corrections made $\qquad$

Base station value and location $\qquad$

Elevation accuracy

Instrument $\qquad$
Method $\square$ Time Domain
$\square$ Frequency Domain
Parameters - On time $\qquad$ Frequency

- Off time $\qquad$ Range
- Delay time
- Integration time $\qquad$
Power $\qquad$
Electrode array
Electrode spacing
Type of electrode












[^0]:    I hereby certify that I have a personal and intimate knowledge of the facts set forth if he Report of Work annexed hereto, having performed the work

