

KIDD CREEK MINES LTD. REPORT ON GEOPHYSICAL WORK

WHITNEY 51

WHITNEY TOWNSHIP

N.T.S.: 42-A-11

RECEIVED

Ark 274983

MINING LANDS SECTION



D. LONDRY

010

APRIL, 1983

SUMMARY

A good conductor was outlined within a zone of high magnetic susceptibility on the Whitney 51 property. The good magnetic correlation suggests that the conductor is sulphides, mainly pyrrhotite. One of the holes drilled to test the magnetic trend on claim P 577602 returned a value of .94 oz/ton gold over 2.5 feet.

An I.P. survey is recommended to locate any less conductive areas which may relfect alteration zones. A weak magnetic high striking east-northeast in the south half of the property may represent such a zone.

i



.

Ø10C

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | page |
|---------------------|------|
| SUMMARY | i |
| INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| SURVEY DESCRIPTIONS | 1 |
| PREVIOUS WORK | 3 |
| MAGNETIC RESULTS | 4 |
| HEM RESULTS | 5 |

LIST OF FIGURES

•

page

| 1. | Location Map | vi |
|----|--------------|--------|
| 2. | Grid Sketch | 2 |



.

LIST OF TABLES

| 1. | Anomaly A interpretation, 444 Hertz, 120 metre coil | |
|----|---|---|
| | separation | 6 |
| 2. | Anomaly B interpretation, 1777 Hertz, 120 coil | |
| | separation | 7 |



- 1. Magnetic Results
- 2. HEM Results 444 Hz
- 3. HEM Results 1777 Hz



.

Figure 1 : Location map

INTRODUCTION

During January and February, 1983, magnetic and horizontal loop electromagnetic surveys were carried out by Kidd Creek Mines Ltd. on five claims in Whitney Township, Porcupine Mining Division, Ontario. The claims located in Lots 11 and 12, Concession V, are numbered as follows:

P 568896
P 576512
P 577602
P 611478
P 611479

The property is located approximately 14 kilometres northeast of the City of Timmins (Figure 1). It is accessible by a gravel road which runs off Highway 101, just west of the Cochrane Temiskaming Resource Centre in Pottsville.

SURVEY DESCRIPTIONS

An east-west base line was established along the north boundary of the four east claims and along the south



boundary of the northwest claim. Cross lines were cut every 60 metres and picketed every 20 metres (Figure 2).

The magnetic survey was carried out with an EDA PPM-350. This instrument is a proton precession magnetometer which measures the earth's total field to an accuracy of .1 gamma. The diurnal drift was monitored with an EDA PPM-400 base station magnetometer located at 860 East on the base line. Readings were taken every 20 metres in areas of low magnetic gradient and every 10 metres in areas of high magnetics. A total of 845 readings were taken along 14.28 kilometres of line.

The electromagnetic survey was run with an Apex Parametrics Max Min II. A coil separation of 120 metres was used and readings were taken at 444 and 1777 Hertz. A total of 623 readings were taken at 20 metre intervals along 14.28 kilometres of line.

PREVIOUS WORK

In 1960, A.S. Bayne & Company mapped the two north claims held by James H. Dillon and recommended geophysical work and drilling. In 1960 and 1961, four holes were drilled to test a west-northwest striking unit reflected by high magnetics. The best intersection averaged .94 oz/ton gold over 2.5 feet. An old shaft is located within this same zone

3

to the west of these claims on the south boundary of the northwest claim.

In 1964, Prospecting Geophysics Limited carried out horizontal loop EM and magnetic surveys for Hollander Mines Limited along lines spaced every 300 feet on the two south claims. A coil separation of 200 feet was used in the EM survey. A conductive zone with good magnetic correlation was outlined on three of the lines. Four holes were drilled to test this conductor; the best intersection ran .24 oz/ton gold over 1.5 feet.

MAGNETIC RESULTS

A magnetic high, up to 1400 gammas above background, strikes west-northwest across claims P 577602 and P 576512, and along the south boundary of claim P 568896. This zone was the target of the 1960 drilling and is described as 'spherulitic lavas' containing disseminated sulphides.

The 1964 drill program on the two south claims was aimed at a weak magnetic high striking east-northeast in the centre of claims P 611479 and P 611478. A similar weak trend is located along the south part of claim P 576512.

Isolated highs occur in the southwest corner of claims P 611479 and the northeast corner of claim P 577602. Both of these areas are topographic highs and the source of the

4

magnetic anomalies may be explained by geological investigation in the summer.

HEM RESULTS

Two conductive zones are labelled A and B on the horizontal loop EM maps. A summary of the interpretation of these anomalies is given in Tables 1 and 2.

Conductor A coincides with the stronger part of the magnetic anomaly which strikes west-northwest. The conductivity is good and gets better to the west, before running off the grid.

Conductor B is a poor conductor with a conductivity thickness of less than 1. It is very doubtful that this anomaly has a bedrock source. Anomalous quadrature readings on claim P 611478 are also probably due to bedrock topography.

The anomalous response which follows the road reflects a natural gas pipeline.



TABLE 1: ANOMALY A 444 Hertz, 120 Metre Coil Separation

| line | Anomaly Center | Anomaly Width | Indicated Depth | I.P Max. | O. P Max. | Response Porameter | Conductivity Thickness | Remarks |
|-------|-------------------|------------------|--------------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 420 E | 0+855 | narrow | 48 metres | -11 | -5 | 20 | 47 | north dip direct mag 1400 gammas |
| 480 E | 0+855 | narrow | 48 metres | - 4 | -3 | 5 | 12 | direct mag 400 gammas |
| 540 E | 1+00S | narrow | 79 metres | - 2 | -1 | 15 | 36. | direct mag 250 gammas |
| 600 E | 1+10S | narrow | 48 metres | - 1 | -2 | 3 | 7 | direct mag 200 gammas |
| 780 E | 1+45S | narrow | 48 metres | - 1 | -2 | 3 | 7 | direct mag 150 gammas |
| 840 E | 1+60S | narrow | 48 metres | - 4. | -3 | 5 | 12 | direct mag 150 gammas |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | ان |
| | | | | | | | | |

6 🛡 .

| line | Anomaly Center | Anomaly Width | Indicated Depth | I.P Max. | O. P Max. | Response Parameter | Conductivity Thickness | Remarks |
|--------|-------------------|------------------|--------------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|
| 420 E | 2+60S | narrow | | - 3 | -9 | 1.2 | < 1 | no mag correlation |
| 480 E | 2+50S | narrow | | - 3 | -9 | 1.2 | <1 | no mag correlation |
| 540 E | 2+435 | narrow | | - 3 | -10 | 1.0 | <1 | no mag correlation |
| 600 E | 2+475 | narrow | | - 3 | -11 | .9 | <1 · | no mag correlation |
| | | | | | | | | |
| • • | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | 1 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | · · | . |
| | | | | | | | | ·U |
| | } | Į | | | | | | |

مىلىپىلىرىنى ئىرىكى يەرىپىيىنى بىرىكى يەرىپىيىنى بىرىكى يەرىپىيىنى بىرىكى بىرىكى بىرىكى بىرىكى بىرىكى بىرىكى بى يېلىرىكى بىرىكى بىرىك

.

.

TABLE 2: ANOMALY B 1777 Hertz, 120 Metre Coil Separation

| | | | | | | ~ *** | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------|--|-------------------|--|---|---|---------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| Ontario Ministr Natura Rescu | yof Rep ces (Ge Geo | port of Work ophysical, Geological, chemical and Expendi | tures) # | <i>E1</i> | 4241 | 15E0438 2.559 | 8 WHITNEY | | | |
| (| - | #156 | | The N | | | | | 5 | |
| Type of Survey(s |) | | | | | | Township | or Area | | |
| GEC | PHYSI | CAL | | | | | w t | 41TN | <u>α</u> Υ | |
| Claim Holder(s) D \ | | DEEK NI | ne s | 11417 | . e r | 、 | | Prospector | 's Licence No. | |
| Address | | REEN MIR | 123 | LIPIL | EL | | | | <u>1 - T</u> | |
| 571 | MONE- | TA AVE , | BOX | 1140 | - ر | TIMMI | NS, OI | UT. | | |
| Survey Company | ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ | , | | | - | Date of Survey | (from & to) 83 | - | Total Miles of lin | e Cut |
| Name and Addres | S of Author (| of Geo-Technical report) | 10. | | | Day Mo. | Yr. Day | Mo. Yr. | 10 MIL | ES |
| D. LON | IDRY , | BOX 1140 | TIM | MMIN | S/ | ONT, | | | | |
| redits Request | ed per Each | Claim in Columns at r | ight | Mining | Clain | ns ⁻ Traversed (| List in num | erical seque | nce) | |
| special Provision: | • | Geophysical | Days per Claim | Prefix | Minin | g Claim Number | Expend. Days Cr. | M Prefix | ining Claim Number | Expend, Days Cr. |
| For first surve | y: ave (Thie | - Electromagnetic | 20 | P | 5 | 68896 | | | | |
| includes lin | ne cutting) | - Magnetometer | 40 | | - - - | 76517 | | | | |
| Eastand addit | | - Radiometric | 10 | | | 780.2 | | | | |
| using the same | e grid: | Other | | | <u></u> | 11602 | | | | |
| Enter 20 d | ays (for each) | | | | 6 | 11478 | | | #********* | |
| | | Geological | | | 6 | 11479 | | | | |
| | | Geochemical | | | | | | | | |
| Man Days | | Geophysical | Days per Claim | | | | | | | |
| Complete reve | rse side | - Electromagnetic | | 1997 - 19 | | ······································ | | | | |
| and enter tota | (S) here | - Magnetometer | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | N | | | | | |
| | | Hadiometric | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | |
| | | - Other | | | | | | | | |
| | | Geological | | | | | | | | |
| | | Geochemical | | | | | | RE | CEIVE | Ψ. |
| Airborne Credits | | | Days per | | | ······ | | | | |
| Note: Special | provisions | Electromagnetic | | | | | 1 | JU | N 7 1983 | |
| credits | do not apply | | | | | | | | | |
| to Airb | orne Surveys. | Magnetometer | | | | | | MINING | LANDS SEC | |
| | | Radiometric | | m | | | | 1 | | |
| xpenditures (e | formed | er stripping) | | | 101 100 | CUPINE MINING D $\frac{10}{10} - \frac{10}{10} - \frac{10}{10} - \frac{10}{10}$ | | | | |
| | | | | | 십년 | | | RIS | 000 | LD |
| erformed on Cla | im(s) | ···· | | U U | u | UIN 930 | | | | |
| | | | | | .M. | WH | P.M. | | UII 2788 | 3 |
| | | | | 1 7 | 8191 | 1011112111 | नि <u>भ</u> िनि | | | |
| Calculation of Ex | penditure Day | s Credits | Γotał | | A 2 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 | | | 11000101 | | |
| Total Expend | itures | | s Credits | | | | | | <u>_`</u> | |
| \$ | | ÷ [15] = [| | | | | | Total num claims cov | ber of mining | 5 |
| nstructions | | | | · ·. | | | | report of | work. | <u>\</u> |
| choice. Enter | number of day | s credits per claim selecte | ad | Total D | Fo | r Office Use C | Dnly | Mining | Dorder | 2-1 |
| m columns at | | | | Recorde | id (| Mne 2 | 183 | | 3. | orcf |
| Date | / Re | corded Holder or Agent (| Signature) | 1301 | \mathcal{O} | Date Approved | as Recorded | Branch | for | ₹ſ |
| JUNE . | 2/83 1 | Will Secte | <u>qu</u> | | | 83.89 | 26 | Heory | AR MINING Roa | |
| ertification Ve | ritying Repo | ort of Work | owlodes et | f the factors | - yw | in the Denert | of Mark and | | | the more |
| or witnessed sa | ame during an | d/or after its completion | and the ann | ne racts se | is true | e an the report e. | or work anne | Acu nereto, f | | |
| ame and Postal . | Address of Per | son Certifying | RAV | 110 | 10 | | | | | |
| ····· | ~n31 | LIVER) | NCX | 117 | | Date Certified | | Certified b | y (Signature) | |
| | | A | | | | - | 100 | 1 Aller | 1 0 - | |



GEOPHYSICAL – GEOLOGICAL – GEOCHEMICAL TECHNICAL DATA STATEMENT

TO BE ATTACHED AS AN APPENDIX TO TECHNICAL REPORT FACTS SHOWN HERE NEED NOT BE REPEATED IN REPORT TECHNICAL REPORT MUST CONTAIN INTERPRETATION, CONCLUSIONS ETC.

| Type of Survey(s) <u>GEOPHYSICAL</u> | | |
|---|---|---------------------------|
| Township or Area <u>WHITNEY</u> | | - MINING CLAIMS TRAVERSED |
| Claim Holder(s) KIDD CREEK MI | List numerically | |
| <u>571 Moneta Av</u> | <u>venue, Timmins, Ontario</u> | _ |
| Survey Company KIDD CREEK MI | NES LTD. | – P 568896 |
| Author of Report Douglas Lor | idry | – P 576512 |
| Address of Author Box 1140, | 1100000000000000000000000000000000000 | – Р 577602 |
| Covering Dates of Survey | (linecutting to office) | |
| Total Miles of Line Cut | 10 miles | – 011478 |
| | | P 611479 |
| SPECIAL PROVISIONS CREDITS REQUESTED ENTER 40 days (includes line cutting) for first survey. ENTER 20 days for each additional survey using same grid. | DAYS per claim Electromagnetic | |
| AIRBORNE CREDITS (Special prov | ision credits do not apply to airborne surveys) | |
| MagnetometerElectromag (enter DATE:June 2/83SIGN | netic Radiometric days per claim) ATURE: //////////////////////////////////// | - |
| Res. GeolQuali | fications | RECEIVED |
| File No. Type Date | Claim Holder | JUN 7 1983 |
| | | MINING LANDS SECTION |
| | | |
| | | TOTAL CLAIMS |

OFFICE USE ONLY

-

GEOPHYSICAL TECHNICAL DATA

| 9 | GROUND SURVEYS – If more than one survey, specify data for each type of survey | | | | | | |
|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| N | lumber of Stations Mag 735 HL 609 Number of Readings Mag 845 HL 623 | | | | | | |
| S | tation interval <u>20 metres, 10 metre detail</u> Line spacing <u>60 metres</u> | | | | | | |
| P | rofile scale <u>HL 444 Hz 1cm= 20% 1777 Hz 1cm= 20%</u> | | | | | | |
| С | ontour interval <u>Mag, 20 gammas</u> | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | InstrumentEDA PPM-350, FDA Base Station Magnetometer PPM-400 | | | | | | |
| H | Accuracy – Scale constant <u>. 1 gamma</u> | | | | | | |
| N | Diurnal correction method Automatically corrected from base station magnetometer | | | | | | |
| MAC | Base Station check-in interval (hours) Base station magnetometer read every 30 seconds | | | | | | |
| - | Base Station location and value <u>Line 8400 East</u> , Ø North. 59298 gammas | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| <u>റ</u> | Instrument Apex Parametrics Max Min II | | | | | | |
| ET | Coil configurationHorizontal_Loop | | | | | | |
| MAGN | Coil separation120 metres | | | | | | |
| | Accuracy 1% | | | | | | |
| IRC | Method: | | | | | | |
| U U U | Frequency444, 1777 Hertz | | | | | | |
| EI | (specify V.L.F. station) | | | | | | |
| | Parameters measured | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Instrument | | | | | | |
| M | Scale constant | | | | | | |
| ЦХ | Corrections made | | | | | | |
| RA | | | | | | | |
| Ű | Base station value and location | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Elevation accuracy | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Instrument | | | | | | |
| | Method | | | | | | |
| | Parameters – On time Frequency | | | | | | |
| 겁 | - Off time Range | | | | | | |
| IVI | – Delay time | | | | | | |
| ISI | – Integration time | | | | | | |
| RES | Power | | | | | | |
| | Electrode array | | | | | | |
| | Electrode spacing | | | | | | |
| | Type of electrode | | | | | | |

INDUCED POLARIZATION



SELF POTENTIAL

| <u></u> | Deres |
|---|-----------------------------|
| Instrument | Kange |
| Survey Method | . <u></u> |
| Corrections made | |
| | |
| | |
| RADIOMETRIC | |
| Instrument | |
| Values measured | |
| Energy windows (levels) | |
| Height of instrument | Background Count |
| Size of detector | |
| Overburden | |
| (type, d | epth – include outcrop map) |
| OTHERS (SEISMIC, DRILL WELL LOGGING I | ETC.) |
| Type of survey | |
| Instrument | |
| Accuracy | |
| Parameters measured | |
| | |
| Additional information (for understanding results | 5) |
| | ······ |
| | |
| | |
| AIRBORNE SURVEYS | |
| Type of survey(s) | |
| Instrument(s) | |
| (specify | / for each type of survey) |
| Accuracy(specify | y for each type of survey) |
| Aircraft used | |
| Sensor altitude | |
| Navigation and flight path recovery method | |
| | |
| Aircraft altitude | Line Spacing |
| Miles flown over total area | Over claims only |

I.

Numbers of claims from which samples taken_____

.

•

| Total Number of Samples | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Total Number of Samples | ANALYTICAL METHODS | | | | |
| (Nature of Material) | Values expressed in: per cent | | | | |
| Average Sample Weight | | | | | |
| Method of Collection | Cu, Pb, Zn, Ni, Co, Ag, Mo, As,-(circle) | | | | |
| Soil Horizon Sampled | Others | | | | |
| Horizon Development | Field Analysis (tests) | | | | |
| Sample Depth | Extraction Method | | | | |
| Terrain | Analytical Method | | | | |
| | Reagents Used | | | | |
| Drainage Development | Field Laboratory Analysis | | | | |
| Estimated Range of Overburden Thickness | No. (tests) | | | | |
| | Extraction Method | | | | |
| · | Analytical Method | | | | |
| | Reagents Used | | | | |
| SAMPLE PREPARATION | Commercial Laboratory (tests) | | | | |
| (Includes drying, screening, crushing, ashing) | Name of Laboratory | | | | |
| Mesn size of fraction used for analysis | Extraction Method | | | | |
| | Analytical Method | | | | |
| | Reagents Used | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| General | General | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |



, 100* Ł

. ÷,

5 4

rces

| File | | |
|------|----|----|
| 2. | 55 | 98 |

| | Mining Lands Cor | nments | | |
|---|---|--|--|---------------------------------------|
| | | Que la companya de la | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | / | | · | |
| V | To: Geophysics | MR. BARLOW | | |
| | Comments | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | _ |
| | Approved | Wish to see again with corrections | Date Sept 1 83 | Signature |
| | To: Geology - Exp | penditures | | 0 |
| | Comments | | , | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | Approved | Wish to see again with corrections | Date | Signature |
| | To: Geochemistry | | | |
| | Comments | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | ······································ | |
| | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | na marina ina 1989 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - | | | |
| | | | L.J. | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | | | | |
| | Approved | Wish to see again with corrections | Date | Signature |
| | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |

P 568896

2.5598

1983 06 10

Mr. William L. Good Mining Recorder Ministry of Natural Resources 60 Wilson Avenue Timmins, Ontario P4N 257

Dear Sir:

We have received reports and maps for a Geophysical (Electromagnetic and Magnetometer) survey submitted under Special Provisions (credit for Performance and Coverage) on mining claims P568396 et al in the Township of Whitney.

This material will be examined and assessed and a statement of assessment work credits will be issued.

Yours very truly,

E.F. Anderson Director Land Management Branch

Whitney Block, Room 6450 Queen's Park Toronto, Ontario M7A 1W3 Phone: 416/965-1380

A.Barr:eib

cc: Kidd Creek Mines Tinuins, Ontario

Attn: D. Londry

2.5598













. _ . . _ .