

424155W0105 2.13223 MCCART

010

MINING LANDS SECTION

2.13223

.

INTERPRETATION REPORT on GEOPHYSICAL SURVEYS for J.W. NEWSOME & ASSOCIATES GEOLOGICAL CONSULTANTS McCart Township

by Joseph P. Rothfischer, P.Eng. January, 1990

2.12769



010C

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE
TRACT
RODUCTION
PERTY LOCATION AND ACCESS
<i>IMS</i>
SONNEL
SNETICS SURVEY Theory
PIZONTAL LOOP EM SURVEY Theory
CLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
TERENCES
PTIFICATION

Equipment Specifications APPENDIX A:

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1	Property Location - Regional
Figure 2	Property Location - Local
Figure 3	Claim Map
Figure 4	Magnetics Survey - Grid A (Back Pocket)
Figure 5	Magnetics Survey - Grid B (Back Pocket)
Figure 6	Electromagnetic Survey 444 Hz - Grid A (Back Pocket)
Figure 7	Electromagnetic Survey 1777 Hz - Grid A (Back Pocket)
Figure 8	Electromagnetic Survey 444 Hz - Grid B (Back Pocket)
Figure 9	Electromagnetic Survey 1777 Hz - Grid B (Back Pocket)
Figure 10	Compilation Map – Grid A (Back Pocket)
Figure 11	Compilation Map – Grid B (Back Pocket)

<u>ABSTRACT</u>

A geophysical program consisting of total field magnetics and horizontal loop EM surveys was conducted between January 17 and 29, 1990 for J.W. Newsome & Associates in McCart Township by Joe Rothfischer Geophysical Services. The purpose of this program was to further delineate moderate to strong INPUT anomalies within areas of elevated magnetic response, in order to evaluate the base metal potential of the property.

The magnetics survey revealed that the background value of 400 to 1000 gammas above base level reflects the response of sediments, and that the moderate (2400 gammas) to high response (up to 16800 gammas) above base level reflects the response of mafic and ultramafic volcanics.

The MaxMin II EM survey revealed that 2 strong conductors are located on the property, but have been interpreted as graphitic units. Three weaker anomalies have also been detected, the most promising being C_4 located in the northeast corner of Grid B. Further lines should be cut to the east to determine the strike extent of the feature.

It is evident that several strong INPUT features were not delineated by the ground EM survey. It is recommended that the eastern 4 claims of Grid A and all of Grid B should be reread with a 200m cable in an effort to delineate these airborne conductors on the property.

While no definite drill targets exist on the property at this time, except possibly for conductor C₁ on Grid B, the property's potential should be reassessed after the results of the recommended 200m cable survey have been examined.

-i-

INTRODUCTION

In late January, 1990, a geophysical program was conducted in McCart Township, near Iroquois Falls, Ontario, on behalf of J.W. Newsome & Associates Geological Consultants, by Joe Rothfischer Geophysical Services.

Examination of the 1988 OGS aeromagnetic and INPUT map of the area, (Map 81058 – back pocket), reveals that moderate to strong amplitude INPUT anomalies are located on the property, often associated with magnetic highs. The purpose of the ground geophysical surveys, which consisted of total field magnetics and horizontal loop EM, was to further characterize the response of these features, and determine drill hole locations based on favourable survey results.

PROPERTY LOCATION AND ACCESS

The property is located 15 km northwest of the town of Iroquois Falls in Ontario, and approximately 70 km northeast of Timmins (Figure 1). It was easily accessed by proceeding north on the Trans Canada Highway 5 km past the Iroquois Falls airport to Berlinghoff Road, and then proceeding west 4 km (Figure 2).

<u>CLAIMS</u>

The property consists of 2 blocks of contiguous claims, located in the Larder Lake Mining Division (Figure 3).

Block A:		
<u>Claim Number</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Recording Date</u>
1128689-1128690	2	December 21, 1989
1115976-1115979	4	December 21, 1989
Block B:		
<u>Claim Number</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Recording Date</u>
1127990-1127993	4	November 24, 1989

-1-







<u>PERSONNEL</u>

All surveys were conducted by the author, who was assisted by John Burton, B.Sc. of Timmins with the MaxMin survey.

MAGNETICS SURVEY

Theory

The magnetic method is based on measuring alteration in the shape and magnitude of the earth's naturally occurring magnetic field caused by changes in the magnetization of the rocks in the earth.

These changes in magnetization are due mainly to the presence of the magnetic minerals, of which the most common is magnetite, and to a lesser extent ilmenite, pyrrhotite, and some less common minerals.

Magnetic anomalies in the earth's field are caused by changes in two types of magnetization: induced and remanent (permanent). Induced magnetization is caused by the magnetic field being altered and enhanced by increases in the magnetic susceptibility of the rocks, which is a function of the concentration of the magnetic minerals.

Remanent magnetism is independent of the earth's magnetic field, and is the permanent magnetization of the magnetic particles (magnetite, etc.) in the rocks. This is created when these particles orient themselves parallel to the ambient field when cooling. This magnetization may not be in the same direction as the present earth's field, due to changes in the orientation of the rock or the field.

The most common method of measuring the total magnetic field in ground exploration is with a proton precession magnetometer. This device measures the effect of the magnetic field on the magnetic dipole of hydrogen protons. This dipole is caused by the "spin" of the proton, and in a magnetometer these dipoles in a sample of hydrogen-rich fluid are oriented parallel to a magnetic field applied by an electric coil surrounding the sample. After this magnetic field is removed, the dipoles begin to precess (wobble) around their orientation under the influence of the ambient earth's magnetic field. The frequency of this precession is proportional to the earth's magnetic field intensity.

Field Method

The magnetics data was collected with an EDA PPM 350 proton procession magnetometer, which measures the absolute value of the earth's magnetic field to an accuracy of ± 1 gammas. The magnetometer was carried down the survey line by a single operator, with the sensor mounted on an aluminum pole to remove it from any surface geologic noise. Readings were normally taken at 25m intervals, and at 12.5m intervals where a high gradient or anomaly was observed by the operator.

The readings were corrected for changes in the earth's total field (diurnal drift) with an EDA PPM 400 base station magnetometer, which recorded readings every 20 seconds as the survey was being conducted. The data from both magnetometers was then dumped with a computer and base corrected values were computed.

Interpretation

Examination of the survey data reveals that there is high magnetic relief on Grid A (Figure 4) and moderate relief on Grid B (Figure 5).

Values for Grid A range from -3520 gammas below to 16,898 gammas above the subtracted base level of 57000 gammas (this level was subtracted from all readings in the data set to facilitate computer processing). The background level appears to be 400 to 1000 gammas above this base level, and reflects the magnetic response of sediments. This lithology, which has been labelled magnetic domain 1, covers

-3-

most of the western portion of the grid, as well as the southeast corner. It is also evident that this weak magnetic response appears "interbedded" with the elevated response represented by magnetic domain II, which dominates the remainder of the property. Domain II is believed to reflect the response of mafic to ultramafic volcanics, with varying magnetite content.

The moderate magnetic relief on Grid B has values ranging from -357 gammas below to 3428 gammas above base level. As was observed with the results from Grid A, Grid B can also be divided into 2 magnetic domains, I representing the sediments, and II reflecting the response of mafic to ultramafic rocks. However, in the case of Grid B, the range of values is much subtler than that of Grid A, indicating that the magnetic content is much more pervasive.

HORIZONTAL LOOP EM SURVEY

Theory

The Max-Min II is a frequency domain, horizontal loop electromagnetic (III.EM) system, based on measuring the response of conductors to a transmitted, time varying electromagnetic field.

The transmitted, or primary EM field is a sinusoidally varying field at any of five different frequencies. This field induces an electromotive force, (emf), or voltage, in any conductor through which the field passes. This is defined by:

 $\oint E.dl = -\frac{\partial O}{\partial t} \quad (the \ Faraday \ Induction \ Principle)$

where E is the electric field strength in volts/metre (and so $\oint E.dl$ is the emf around a closed loop) and 0 is the magnetic flux through the conductor loop. This emf causes a "secondary" current to flow in the conductor in turn generating a secondary electromagnetic field. The transmitted primary field also creates an emf in the receiver coil, which is much stronger than the secondary, and which must be corrected for by the receiver. This is done by electronically creating an emf in the receiver, whose magnitude is determined by the distance from receiver to transmitter as set on the receiver, and whose phase is derived from the receiver via an interconnecting wire.

Field Method

The Max-Min II survey was carried out in the "maximum coupled" mode (horizontal co-planar). The transmitter and receiver are carried in-line down the survey line separated by a constant distance (in this case 150m for Grid B and the SW portion of Grid A and 100m over the main portion of Grid A) with the receiver leading. Two transmitter frequencies were used: 444 Hz and 1777 Hz and readings were taken every 25m. The transmitter and receiver are connected by a cable, for phase reference and operator communication.

Interpretation

The Max-Min survey was conducted in order to delineate the response of airborne EM conductors plotted on OGS Map 81058 of McCart Township. The author referred to these survey results to determine which cable spacing would best resolve individual EM conductors, and avoid the response of multiple conductors located in close proximity to each other. It was decided that the eastern portion of Grid A would be read with a 100m cable, the western 2 claims of Grid A as well as Grid B with a 150m cable. Examination of the survey results (Figures 6 to 9) indicate that strong to weak bedrock conductors were identified on Grid A, and weak bedrock conductors on Grid B.

Anomaly $C_{\rm p}$ characterized by a strong response at 444 and 1777 Hz, is located on Grid A between lines 3+00W and 0+00, at approximately 1+50 to 1+755. A similar

-6-

response is displayed by conductor C_{2} found in the southeast corner of the 4 claim block to the east. Both C_{1} and C_{2} are located in magnetic domain 1, and are believed to reflect the response of a graphitic unit within the sediments.

Anomaly C_3 displays a weak anomalous response, and is located at 1+50N between lines 2+00E and 4+00E on Grid A. It is also coincident with the low magnetic response of Domain 1 and may represent an interformational graphitic horizon. Another weak response, best evidenced on the 444 Hz frequency, occurs on Grid A at approximately 6+75N on line 7+00E. It is associated with a magnetic low and may represent a graphitic unit.

Anomalies C_4 and C_5 are weak 1777 Hz EM feature located on Grid B. The most promising in terms of base metal potential is anomaly C_4 , which is coincident to a relatively high magnetic response of 2300 gammas and may therefore be caused by sulphide mineralization.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The magnetic survey revealed that the property is underlain by sediments, which generated a low magnetic response, and mafic to ultramafic rocks, which generated a moderate to high magnetic response due to the lack or concentration of magnetite.

The MaxMin EM survey indicated that 2 strong conductors are located on the property, but are believed to be graphitic in nature. Weaker EM anomalies were also delineated, the most promising being C_{ij} , which is located on Grid B. It is recommended that additional lines be cut to the east to delineate the strike extent of this feature.

It is also recommended that the eastern portion of Grid A previously read with a 100m cable be read with a 200m cable, in order to attempt to delineate other

-7-

strong INPUT features which otherwise were not detected with the first phase of ground EM surveying. A similar situation exists with Grid B, so it too should be read with a 200m cable in hopes of identifying the location of other strong INPUT features.

Although no definite drill targets exist on the property at this time, except possibly for conductor C_1 on Grid B, its potential should be assessed following the outcome of the MaxMin survey read with a 200m cable.

PROFESSIONAL Respec £ y submi J.P. ROTHEISCHER racker Joseph Rothfiecher Geophysicis CE OF O P.Eng.

-8-

<u>REFERENCES</u>

ONTARIO GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

1988

Airborne Electromagnetic and Total Intensity Survey, Timmins Area, McCart Township, Districts of Cochrane and Timiskaming Ontario; by Geoterrex Limited, for Ontario Geological Survey, Geophysical/Geochemical Series Map 81058, Scale 1:20,000, Survey and compilation from March 1987 to October 1987.

-9-

CERTIFICATION

1, Joseph P. Rothfischer, of 38 Fourth Avenue, in the town of Schumacher, province of Ontario, certify as follows concerning my report on the J.W. Newsome & Associates Geological Consultants Property in McCart Township, province of Ontario and dated January 31, 1990:

- 1) I am a graduate of Queen's University at Kingston, Ontario, with a B.Sc. (Eng) in Geophysics, obtained in 1986.
- 2) I am a member in good standing of the Association of Professional Engineers of Ontario.
- 3) I have been practising in Canada for the past 4 years.
- 4) I have no direct interest in the property of J.W. Newsome & Associates Geological Consultants, nor do I expect to receive any.
- 5) The attached report is a product of:
 - a) Examination of data included in the report which was collected by myself, on the property concerned.

Dated Wis 31st day of January, 1990 TIMMINS, SCHER M welw. Joseph P. Rothfischer, P.Eng. Geophysicist

<u>A P P E N D I X A</u>

.

VINCIAC PPM-350 OtalificilWagnetometer



The PPM-350 is the latest addition to EDA's OMNIMAG*^{1M} series of magnetometers and gradiometers. It is engineered to provide users with the latest state-of-the-art advances in microprocessor technology, including many features that are unique in the field.

Major benefits and features include:

- Significant increase in productivity
- Lowered survey costs
- Automatic diurnal correction
- Programmable grid coordinates
- Highly reproduceable data
- Ergonomic design
- Simplified fieldwork
- Computer-compatible

pecifications

Dynamic Range Densitivity Catistical Error Resolution Standard Memory Capacity Desolute Accuracy

Display Resolution Capture Range

Display

Gradient Tolerance

ensor Cable

Operating Environmental Range

ower Supply

Battery Cartridge Life

Weight and Dimensions Instrument Console only Lead Acid Battery Cartridge Sensor System Complement

18,000 to 93,000 gammas ±0.02 gamma 0.01 gamma 1383 data blocks or readings ±15 ppm at 23°C, 50 ppm over the operating temperature range 0.1 gamma ±25% relative to ambient field strength of last stored value Custom-designed, ruggedized liauid crystal display with an operating temperature range from -35°C to +55°C 5.000 gammas per meter Optimized miniature design. Magnetic cleanliness is consistent with the specified absolute accuracy Remains flexible in temperature range: includes low strain connector -35°C to +55°C; 0-100% relative humidity; weather-proof Non-magnetic rechargeable sealed lead acid battery cartridge or belt: or, Disposable "C" cell battery cartridge or belt 2,000 to 5,000 readings, depending upon ambient temperature and rate of readings

3.4 kg, 238 x 150 x 250 mm 1.9 kg 1.2 kg, 56 mm diameter x 200 mm

Electronics console; sensor with 3-meter cable; sensor staff; power supply; harness assembly; operation manual. EDA is a pioneer in the development of advanced geophysical systems and has created many innovations that increase field productivity and lower survey costs.

nteritifiente () by fractifiente

EDA's OMNIMAG series consists of the PPM-350 Total Field Magnetometer, PPM-400 Base Station Magnetometer, and the PPM-500 Vertical Gradiometer. Contact us *now* for details.

E D A Instruments Inc. 1 Thorncliffe Park Drive , Toronto, Ontario Canada M4H 169 Telex: 06 23222 EDA TOR Cable: Instruments Toronto 14161 425-7800

n U.S.A.		1	
E D A Instruments Inc.		'n.	
5151 Ward Road	- 5	1	1
wheat Ridge, Colorado		÷	
U.S.A. 80033		,	
Telex: 00 450681 DVR		٠	1
5051422-9112			



			ا ن (
	SPECIFICATIO	DNS:			****
	Frequencles	222,444,888,1777 and 3555	Hz.	Repeatability:	±0.25% to ±1% normally, depend on conditions, frequencies and
	Modes of Operation:	MAX: Transmitter coil plane and ceiver coil plane horizon (Max-coupled; Horizontal-lo mode). Used with referce	lre. itel cop sble.	Transmitter Output:	eeparation used , - 222Hz : 220 Atm ² - 444Hz : 200 Atm ²
		MIN: Transmitter collplane hori tal and receiver coll plane tical (Min-coupled mou Used with reference ca V.L. : Transmitter collplane ve	zon- ver- ide). able. enti-	Receiver Batteries:	 B98Hz : 120 Atm² 1777Hz : 60 Atm² 3555Hz : 30 Atm² 9V trans. radio type batteries Life: approx. 95hrs. continuour
	Coll Separations:	cal and receiver coll plane r zontal (Vertical-loop mol Used without referer cable, in parallel lir	nori- ide), nce nes.	Transmitter Batterles:	12V 6Ah Gel-type recharge
		or 100, 200, 300, 400, 600 BDD ft. (MMIIF). Coil separations in VL.mode not stricted to fixed values.	and ; re-	Reference Cable :	Light weight 2-conductor to cable for minimum friction. Uns ed. All reference cables opt at extra cost. Please bon
	Parameters Read:	 In-Phase and Quadrature comments of the secondary field MAX and M1N modes. Tilt-angle of the total field in mode. 	npo- in V.L.	Volce Link:	Built-in intercom system voice communication between ceiver and transmitter opera in MAX and MIN modes, vie
	Readouts:	 Automatic, direct readout 90mm (3.5") edgewise met in MAX and MIN modes. No ing or compensation necess 	on ;ens null- ;sny,	Indicator Lights:	ference cable. Built-in signal and reference ing lights to Indicate errori readings.
		• Tilt angle and null in 90mm e wise meters in V.L.mode.	edge-	Temperature Range	1-40°C to+60°C (-40°F to+14
	Scale Rangest	In-Phase: :20%,:100% by p	oush-	Receiver Weight	16kg (13 lbs.)
-	NOW ALSO ±4%	button switch. Quadrature: ±20%, ±100% by p	oush-	Transmitter Weight	: 13kg (29 lbs.)
	QUADRATURE FULL SCALE,	button switch. Tilt: ±75% slops. Null (VL): Sensitivity sdjust by separation sw	itch.	Shipping Weight	: Typically 60kg (135 lbs.), de ing on quantities of refer cable and batteries incl Shipped in two field/shipping d
	Readability:	In-Phase and Quadrature:0.2 to 0.5%; Tilt: 1%	25 %	Specifications subje	ct to change without notific
	AP				LIVITED

ROD STEELBASE AD E. MARKHAM, DNT. GANADA, LOR 162

n





McCART TOWNSHIP

Airborne Electromagnetic Survey

Total Intensity Magnetic Survey

DISTRICTS OF COCHRANE AND TIMISKAMIN

Scale 1:20.000

NTS References: 42 A/10 42 A/15 ODM-GSC Aeromagnetic Map. 297 G 2337 G O.G.S. Geological Compilation Map: 2205 * 1988 Government of Ontario Printed in Ontario Canada



That times



-*

-⊕

-

-Ø

Representative GEOTEM®, Aganetometer and Altimator Dr

Conductance Nome



Ministry of Northern Development and Mines

Ministère du Développement du Nord et des Mines Mining Lands Section 880 Bay Street, 3rd Floor Toronto, Ontario M5S 128

Tel: (416) 965-4888

June 28, 1990

Your File: W9006.60308 Our File: 2.13223

Mining Recorder Ministry of Northern Development & Mines 60 Wilson Avenue TIMMINS, Ontario P4N 2S7

Dear Sir:

Re: Notice of Intent dated May 29, 1990 for a Geophysical (Electromagnetic & Magnetometer) Survey submitted on Mining Claims P 1127990 et al in McCart Township.

The assessment work credits, as listed with the above mentioned Notice of Intent, have been approved as of the above date.

Please inform the recorded holder of these mining claims and so indicate on your records.

Yours sincerely,

W. R. Cowan Provincial Manager, Mining Lands Mines & Minerals Division

JS:zm Encl:

> cc: Mr. W. D. Tieman Mining & Lands Commissioner Toronto, Ontario

> > J. W. Newsome TIMMINS, Ontario

ONTARIO GEOLOGICAL SURVEY ASSESSMENT FILES OFFICE JUL 0 3 1990

RECEIVED

Resident Geologist TIMMINS, Ontario

6
1971
Ormanio

,

	File
	2.13223
Date	Mining Recorder's Report of
May 29, 1990	W9006-60308

J.W. Newsome	
Township or Area McCart	
Type of survey and number of	Mining Claims Assessed
Assessment days credit per claim Geophysical	
Electromagnetic 40 days	P 1127992 - 993
Magnetometer <u>20</u> days	1128089 - 690 1115976 - 979 incl.
Radiometric days	
Induced polarization	
Other days	
Section 77 (19) See "Mining Claims Assessed" column	
Geological days	
Geochemical days	
Man days Airborne	
Special provision 🗍 Ground 🗌	
 Credits have been reduced because of partial coverage of claims. Credits have been reduced because of corrections to work dates and figures of applicant. 	
to work dates and figures of applicant,	
Special credits under section 77 (16) for the following n	nining claims
30 days electromagnetic - P 1127	990 - 991
	330 - 331
No credits have been allowed for the following mining c	laims
not sufficiently covered by the survey] insufficient technical data filed
,	

The Mining Recorder may reduce the above credits if necessary in order that the total number of approved assessment days recorded on each claim does not exceed the maximum allowed as follows: Geophysical - 80; Geologocal - 40; Geochemical - 40; Section 77(19) - 60.



REFERENCES

				NF				N		I A D	VDM
				U L		an e Eilen ^{de} maraite A		IN .		AK	NEI
			Ŋ	- <u></u>		1	1		T		
67990-	- 676467-	-876468- 	070469	-876470							
	HI3545		113547	1 1113548	1 1 M3549	1 	NI3551	 3552			
67960	867988-	-867987- 1	076472-	87647+-	t t				-		
	113544	#3543	HI3542	HI354							
	1067986-	-007906-	876475		1	1113555	<u>₩3554</u>		-		
	1//3537	113530	113539		2560	Vet 1901					5023 Vet 1901
	-867900	867979				•					
					1 (160	ac.)					
1113492	NI3493	113494	113495	1/3496							(160.50 ac.)
•	3	-867978 . 	1127993	1127990	2 :		-067675-	-867678			
113491	11/3490	 3499	4933 Ve	1901	6137 V	e 1901					
]67973	86797£ 					∲			_	•	
			(61.50 1127992 V	oc.) 11127991	(161.50	ac.).	- 86767 8	867677			(160 pc.)
N3486	1113487		V	<i>i</i>		/ +···				A constraints	
996756	-867969 -	867970-	1	1090034		J _ 867852 _]	-ecrory Ref:	867680		1	
	113485	 1 113484	1	32.2	1090036	1115977	106540				Ref.
867961	867560	- 867939	607938	P -867955	P-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0		00760E				
		1		1090033	;090035	115976	(80/ac.) (115979	92955 (2 oc.)			(80 oc
•• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	11/3480	348 	3482 	==	-867921				/	=====	
	i · . ·			1129990	=====	Ref.	Re	>f	Dat		
3	<u> </u>	113478	1113477			115607	898	382	9122	6 /	/ Ref. 74006
114945	- 067930 -	 	-867918 -	867919	-867920			• • • •		}	
						(78.50 oc.)	(158.50	0 oc.)	(164.50	(.20 C	(160 oc.) 、
67914	007915		780945 P 1008698	780944 P 1008699			867948		871973	Terrer+	
1111044		, 1 1			2555 Ve	1901		105982	111598	115980	
		P			2000 11						2825 Vet 1901
		100869.6	P IOOB697	P 1008700	(157	oc)	-867949	1867948	87+070	1071075	(160 gc)
61000	Á	[-				•	-		•		
	- 867909	780938 780938	780937	780936 1038159				A52229		07+878	
			1038136		3449 Ve	1901			\mathbf{N}	S	2193 1/44 1001
067905	-867904	- 700054 -	-780953	780p52				<u> </u>		4	
	• • • • • •	1038154	1038157	1038158 •	(163 00	.)				- 67+673 	(159.50 ac.)
		<u> </u>	III	[·		4	ין ע	\$
Ref.	-967983-	1034971	- 786950- 'Ю34972	-790951- 1034974	Re	ef.	-852282	-852277	1		<u> </u>
				•	1084	144				ef. 967	Ref. 69005
9		8	7		6				5	A	7
oc.)	867902		780047 1054973	- 867 981	(163 0	ic. }	-052203-	-852276	(163	ac.)	(159.50 gr.)
<u></u>	====	+====			<u> </u> +====						
· · ·		- 85203+	Ref		₹u.p	- 852288		-852284	1 7		
\			1005	23	Bassie					Ref. 72393	Ref. 76966
			(158 a	ic.)	-852294-					· ·	
			II	•	•			,		(158 oc.)	(158 oc.)
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		 		ļ	<u> \</u>	· · · · ·	
781366	• •	852029-	4526 Vet	1901	- 	- 	Ref. 106.71	38	ek	S.	
Ĵ		 	/150	.,						Ref.	5672 Vet 1901
52026		1052028			¥ ^{852 292} ,	****			74	138	K
2		Γĭ				5	(159.5	0 ac .)	(158	ac.}	(158 oc.)
755670	-755674	753678			755690	¥	 		;		
			- · .								
			6870 Vet	1901		6	re:		Re	r .	

------75567+ 755675 133879 755676-

- ---- -----

755-88-8-

- ----

755681

(161.50 ec.)

755686

768685

755683

755673 755677

77201 108312 (158.50 oc.) (156. 50 oc.)

75410

(156.50 ac.)

Ref.

.

256 Vet 1901

(156.50 oc.)

755704

* 1 *

69920

(158.50 oc.)

Ref.

.

55689

755687

. .





r----

r--

300

210

424155W0105 2.13223 MCCART



.



___800 N700 N ___600 N ____500 N

___400 N ____300 N ____200 N

CONTOUR INTERVALS

 50	
 200	
 1000	

BASE LEVEL REMOVED: 57000nT INSTRUMENT : EDA PPM 350

MAGNETIC DOMAIN I, Π MAGNETIC ANOMALY M_x INTERPRETED CONTACT INTERPRETED FAULT 🔷 ᄊ

2.13223 SCALE 1:2500 SCALE 1:2500

•

	J. P. Rottfische
JOE ROTH Geophysica	HFISCHER L SERVICES
For J.W. NEWSOME	& ASSOCIATES
Title McCART TWP. PF Total Field Ma McCart Twp	ROJECT, Grid A agnetic Survey ., Ontario Fig. 4
Date: January '90	N.T.S.: 42 A/14
Operator: J.P. Rothfischer	Job #: R-1







.

· · -- -- -- ·

1

 \Box ___

8,0₁4.0

9.0 5.0

9.0 7.0

6.0

9.0⁺5.0

10.0 |6.0

10.0 7.0

9.0 8.0

9.0 +8.0

8.0 7.0

8,0 4.0

7.0 2.0

7.0 3.0

6.0 3.0

6.0 4.0

8.0 3.0

10:0 4.0

9.0 5.0

9.0 2.0

9.0 + 3.0

710 4.0

8 0 4.0

8,0 4.0

y1.0 6.0

9.0 8.0

10.0 11.0

10.0 113.0

 \bigcirc

•

700 N ____

600 N ____

500 N ____

400 N ____

300 N ____

200 N ____

100 N ____

100 ___

Ц

19.0₁6.0

19.0 +6.0

11.0 0.0

1 12.0 +9.0

14.0 9.0

12.0 10.0

1).0 5.0

19.0 5.0

10.0 5.0

0 5.0

8,0 4.0

9.0 6.0

9,0 4.0

1010 4.0

10.0 +3.0

10.0 +3.0

1010 4.0

11.0 3.0

11.0 3.0

1110 4.0

10,0 4.0

10.0 15.0

910 4.0

9.0 3.0

10,0 3.0

12.0 5.0

19¹0¹4.0

ய

100

250

ш

300

 \square

1;7.0 **16.0**

7.0 7.0

6.0 8.0

6.0 7.0

6.0 6.0

6.0 6.0

2.0 5.0

1.0 -5.0

710 4.0

9 0 4 0

9.0 3.0

9.0 3.0

9.0 2.0

10.0 2.0

9.0 3.0

10.0 2.0

10,0 4.0

10,0 4.0

LL I

200

1 8.0 T7.0

8.0+9.0

10.0 10.0

11.0 12.0

11.0 10.0

µ1.0 +7.0

10.0 8.0

9.0 7.0

9.0 5.0

9.0 6.0

8.0 6.0

9.0 +6.0

10,0 4.0

12.0 + 3.0

10.0 2.0

11.**þ** | 3.0

11.b + 3.0

12.0 3.0

13.0 +5.0

3.0 +7.0

14.0 +7.0

12.0 6.0

11\0 +4.0

10.0 -2.0

10.0 2.0

13/0 4.0

22.0¹7.0

لبا

200

Us

12.0 5.0 1210 4.0 12.0 5.0 12 0 4.0 1110 4.0 12.0 3.0

11.0 2.0

1310 4.0

15 0 4.0

ш

300

\ 5 .(0 - 0 . 0
(7.0	5.0
6,0	4.0
7.0	2.0
7.0	v 1 + 0. 0
6.0	0.0
6.0	0,0
7.0	/ 2.0
8.6	3.0
 	3-4.0
1.0	9 + 5. 0
7.0	1-5.0
8.0)+5.0
6 ¹ ,0	4.0
6,0	4.0
6.10	3.0
7.	3.0
8.0	3.0
10.0	- 3.0
14.5	3.0
18.0))+1.0
20.4	
19,0	4.0
1910	4.0
18,0	4.0
20.0	5.0
22.0	1 ₅₋₀
L	ц
	4 D
-	

ш

400

ш

500

410 16.0 ___700 N 2.0 5.0 \$.011.0 \$.0 2.0 \$.0 fr1.0 ___600 N 6.0 -8.0 7.0 -1.0 7.0 0.0 8.0/+1.0 ___500 N 7.0 3.0 8.0 3.0 7.0 2.0 7.0 3.0 7.0 - 3.0 8.0 2.0 9.0 2.0 9.0 2.0 ____300 N 8.0 1.0 10.0 0.0 12.0/ 1.0 13.0 11.0 ____200 N 13.0,1.0 16.0 2.0 14.0 2.0 13.0 2.0 ___100 N 12.0 2.0 12.0¹2.0

ш

500









2A155W0105 2.13223 MCCART

270



Title McCART TWP. PROJECT, Grid A Compilation Map McCart Twp., Ontario Fig, 10 Date: January '90 N.T.S.: 42 A/14 Compiled by: J.P.R. Job #: R-1

