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## INTRODUCTION

In June 1970 Amax Exploration, Inc., acquired a group of 25 claims located in southwest Nova Township and extending into Oates and Oswald Townships.

Immediately upon claim recording a linecutting contract was let to F. Ware, Pine St. South, Timmins. Subsequent to this geophysical (ground magnetometer and ground electromagnetic) and geological surveys were conducted in the months of July, August and September, 1970.

In March 1971 a combined AEM-AM survey was contracted to Airborne Geoterrex Ltd. in the Nova area which covered this claim group. see File 2.909
Additional field examination of outcrop on claim group was performed by writer in July 1971. A drill program of two holes was also initiated in July 1971. Claims were transferred to Amax Potash Ltd. in the fall of 1971.

This presentation reports upon the ground magnetometer and geological surveys.

## EXPLORATION HISTORY

This area was prospected for gold prior to 1960 but there is no documentation of this work in government records either provincial or federal. As part of the federal government regional aeromagnetic program this area was flown in 1962-63 and the data was published in 1963 - Geophysics Paper 2264 Oswald Lake.

Subsequent to this, in 1964 the area in part was covered by combined AEM-AM surveys flown by the Ivanhoe Syndicate (consortium of mineral exploration companies) for which Area Mines Ltd. were operators.

Area acquired the portion of this claim group which is located in Nova Township in 1964 and established a grid system with north south lines at 400 foot intervals. One drill hole was collared northwest of north boundary of claim group and drill log indicates "iron formation" type sulphides, pyrrhotite, pyrite and magnetite in siliceous matrix as conductor source. Trenching was also performed by Area along north boundary of present claim group which exposed a width of 75 feet of massive to semi massive sulphides in "iron formation".

In 1965 tha area was part of an ODM mapping program under the direction of G. Bennett, ODM field geologist. The results of this program were published in 1965 in the form of $\left.\right|^{\prime \prime}=2640^{\prime}$, Preliminary Map P-346. In 1969, ODM Geology Report 78 "Geology of the Belford - Strachan Area, G. Bennett", was published which encompasses area mapped in 1965.

## LOCATION AND ACCESS

Claim group is located in southwest Nova Township and extends south with one claim in Oswald Township and twelve claims in Oates Township.

Access to the property is via Department of Lands and Forests Access Road which extends north from Highway 101 ( 2 miles east of Foleyet) twelve miles into Oates Township where it ends at the Ivanhoe River at north end of quarter mile system of rapids. Boat down river six miles to a point one mile south of Nova-Oates Township boundary where west boundary of property contacts with the Ivanhoe River.

Access also via drill road north form end of Lands and Forests Access Road by all terrain vehicle.

## SURVEY DESCRIPTION

A cut line grid was established on the above property by F.W. Warne, Timmins Contractor, during the period June 11 to July 8, 1970 with base line orientation $240^{\circ}$ - $060^{\circ}$ from Mileage 9 Post (Nova Township south boundary). This point is 60+00E, B.L.-1 on grid system. B.L.-1 extends from 0+00E to 98+00E. At $98+00 \mathrm{E}$ B.L. -2 orientation $045^{\circ}$ commences at $0+00 \mathrm{~N}$ and extends to $36+00 \mathrm{~N}$. Picket lines are established at 400 foot intervals at $90^{\circ}$ to respective base lines and extended to property boundary. In north boundary area an existing grid was utilized to provide additional magnetic data.

The magnetometer survey was performed by M. Manitowabi (lst year Cambrian Colfege, Sault Ste. Marie) operator, C. Britt (high school student, Kirkland Lake) helper, under supervision of A. Mathias party chief (c/o Amax Potash Ltd.) with a McPhar Fluxgate magnetometer model $M-500 A$, sensitivity $\pm 10$ gammas per scale division. Base stations were established at 800 foot intervals along base line 1 and 2 with main base at $0+00 E$ BL $T$.

Stations were established at 100 foot intervals along diumnal picket lines with readings corrected for instrument drift and duirmal variations utilizing established base stations. Accuracy of survey is felt to be $\pm 10$ gammas per scale division. A total of 1130 stations were occupied on the above claims with the total number of observations 1170.

The geological survey was performed by Leonard Kydd (graduate geological technician, Cambrian College) in the period of July 8 to August 22, 1970 and the writer July 30, 31, 1971_ with traverses. performed along established grid system.

DATA PRESENTATION

Both the magnetometer and geological survey data is plotted at a scale of $1 "=400$ (Plan_1 and 2). The magnetic data is contoured at an interval of 100 gammas. Claim boundaries and numbers are indicated on both plans.

RESULTS - (Magnetometer)

The magnetic relief in the area is quite subdued with a background response of 500-600 gammas (90\% of readings are in this range).

Superimposed on this background are two anomalous features, A \& B. Anomaly A extending from $20+00 \mathrm{E} 5+00 \mathrm{~N}$ (B.L. -1 ) to $88+00 \mathrm{E}$ $6+00 \mathrm{~N}$ (B.L.-1) with a maximum magnetic signature of 1500 gammas. Subsequent diamond drilling Hole KX-66-71 indicates that causitive body is intensely sheared "serpentinite with concentrations of magnetite and minor pyrrhotite". Contacts with adjacent rocks indicate that body is concordant and represents geological trend in area.

Anomaly B is a narrow feature with magnetic signature up to 5000 gammas which extends northeast off the property (24+00N 12+00W to $36+00 \mathrm{~N}$ 6+00W (B.L. -2 ) ). Trenching in the area has exposed massive to semimassive pyrrhotite pyrite in "iron formation" environment as causitive body.

## GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

The claim group has very limited exposures (2\%) which is general for the area. Bennett in his mapping (P346 Nova Township) did not note any outcrop in this area.

Amphibolite gneiss is exposed in the area of B.L.-1 between $28+00 \mathrm{E}$ and $48+00 \mathrm{E}$. The rock is generally dark green to black on fresh surface and weathers grey green. There is a well developed lineation (alignment of amphibole minerals) which trends $080^{\circ}$ to $090^{\circ}$ with dips vertical to $60^{\circ}$ south.

In the northwest area of the property felsic metatuffs and flows contact with the amphibolite gneiss. These rocks are sercite quartz feldspar schists and appear to have schistosity developed parallel to bedding planes. Contacts between amphibolite and metatuffs trend $020^{\circ}$ to $030^{\circ}$ and dips are generally vertical.

An exposure of heavy sulphide mineralization (pyrrhotite pyrite magnetite) occurs in old trench on north boundary of P-264451. Sulphides are in siliceous matrix within felsic metatuff environment. Trend of rocks in area is $105^{\circ}-110^{\circ}$ with dips $75^{\circ}$ to $85^{\circ}$ to the south. Magnetic data in the area indicates that this is local fold superimposed upon regional trend of $045^{\circ}$.

Granitic dykes up to 10 feet wide cut all rock units previously described. The rocks are generally granidioritic in composition and appear to have been contaminated by basic host rocks into which they intrude.

Metamorphism in this area is amphibolite facies as evidenced by mineral assemblage in basic metavolcanics (hornblende, with minor leucoxene, chlorite, magnetite and epidote) and felsic metavolcanics, (sericite-quartz-feldspar).

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The magnetometer survey has defined two positive magnetic anomalies which have been exposed by diamond drilling (A) and outcropping (B). The magnetometer survey along with geological survey has provided a basic geological picture. Unfortunately the magnetic susceptibilities of the metabasic volcanics and the metafelsic volcanic in the area are similar and it has been impossible to separate these units by this magnetometer survey.
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## SPECIAL PROVISIONS CREDITS REQUESTED

ENTER 40 days (includes line cutting) for first survey.
ENTER 20 days for each additional survey using same grid.


AIRBORNE CREDITS (Special provision credits do not apply to airborne wurveyt) Magnetometer $\qquad$ Electromagnetic $\qquad$ Radiometric $\qquad$ (enter days per claim)

DATE: -May 17/72
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Res. Geol


Previous Surveys 2.909, 2.528( Qirbosner) 2 )

Checked by $\qquad$ date

GEOLOGICAL BRANCH

Approved by $\qquad$ date

GEOLOGICAL BRANCH
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## GROUND SURYEYS

Number of Stations 1130

Station interval 100 foot

Line spacing 400 foot

Profile scale or Contour intervals
100 gammas (specify for each type of survey)

## MAGNETIC

Instrument $\qquad$ Fluxgate - McPhar Model. M-500A
Accuracy - Scale constant _20 gammas / scale division
Diurnal correction method_Base station control
Base station location 800 foot intervals along B.L. $/$ \& B CL-2
(intersection of every second line and base ine)

## ELECTROMAGNETIC

## Instrument

Coil configuration $\qquad$
Coil separation $\qquad$
Accuracy $\qquad$
Method:Fixed transmitter
$\square$ Shoot back


$\qquad$
Frequency $\qquad$
Parameters measured (specify V.L.F. stition)

## GRAVITY

Instrument
Scale constant $\qquad$

## Corrections made

Base station value and location $\qquad$

Elevation accuracy

## INDUCED POLARIZATION - RESISTIVITY

Instrument $\qquad$
Time domain $\qquad$ Frequency domaln 4
Frequency Range $\qquad$
Power
Electrode array
Electrode spacing $\qquad$
Type of electrode

> Type of Survey_ Palogial
Township or Area. $\qquad$
Claim holder(s) $\qquad$
Author of Report $\qquad$ Address
 Covering Dates of Survey whly 8, 1970 - My 11, $197 \%$ (linecutting to office)

Total Miles of Line cut $\qquad$
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ENTER 20 days for each additional survey using same grid.
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Approved by $\qquad$ date
 Whuncorndy squmerseb.
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$\qquad$
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Diurnal correction method
Base station location
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Method:
Fixed transmitter
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Elevation accuracy
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