

FINAN

42C08SW2009 2.19607

010

STRUCTURAL GEOLOGY AND GOLD

MAGINO MINE PROJECT

WAWA AREA, ONTARIO

prepared for

Golden Goose Resources Inc.

20 June, 1999

M. Perkins

2.19607

R GEOSCIENCE ASSESSME OFFIL



FINAN

42C08SW2009 2.19607

010C

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1	
1.1	LOCATION, ACCESS and PHYSIOGRAPHY	1	
1.2	LAND STATUS	2	
1.3	PROPERTY HISTORY	2	
1.4	GEOLOGY	3	
2.0	1997 STRIPPING AND MAPPING PROGRAM	5	
2.1	STRUCTURAL MAPPING - BRUCE WILSON	5	
2.2	PERSONNEL	5	
3.0	CONCLUSIONS	6	
4.0	RECOMMENDATIONS	6	
5.0	REFERENCES	7	
6.0	CERTIFICATES OF QUALIFICATIONS	8	
FIGUR	TIGURES		

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A Structural Geology and Gold by Bruce C. Wilson

LIST of FIGURES

FIGURE 1	LOCATION MAP	11
FIGURE 2	PROPERTY CLAIM MAP	12
FIGURE 3	LOCATION OF STRIPPED AREAS	13

LIST of TABLES

TABLE 1	LIST OF CLAIMS, MAGINO PROPERTY	2
---------	---------------------------------	---

i

1.0 INTRODUCTION

In April of 1997 Pearson, Hofman and Associates Ltd. (PHA) supervised a ten hole diamond drill program on behalf of Golden Goose Resources Inc. (GGR) at the Magino mine property in Finan Township, Ontario. This drill program was a component of a larger overall study managed by PHA to evaluate the potential of the property for a large open pit mining operation centred on the area containing former underground workings.

Drilling was done at a bearing of 180° based on previous mining information and regional fabrics. Some vein intersection indicated some north-south trending structures the appeared to host gold mineralization. In order to increase the structural and geologic information of the deposit approximately 3500 ft² was stripped and mapped in July 1997.

Gold is hosted by veins and shear zones, both consisting of two groups, 'northsouth' and 'east-west' veins and 'east-west' and 'northeast-southwest' shears. The 'northeast-southwest' shears appear to be continuous for hundred of feet while 'northsouth' and 'east-west' veins and shears appear continuous for tens of feet. Continuity down dip was not determined, but data review indicates it may increase.

All the historic data and mine grid are in imperial units, therefore this report quotes units in imperial measure(unless noted) with metric conversions included where appropriate.

1.1 LOCATION, ACCESS AND PHYSIOGRAPHY

The Magino Property is located in the southern half of Finan Township about 50 km northeast of the town of Wawa, Ontario (NTS 42 C/8). Access is via an 18 km allweather gravel road that turns off Highway 519 just west of the town of Dubreuilville (Figure 1). Dubreuilville is on Highway 519, 44 km east of the junction of Highways 17 and 519. That junction is in turn about 40 km north of Wawa on Highway 17.

A 44 kv power line and a gravel road extends from Goudreau about 7 km west of the property, through the minesite, to Lochalsh about 14 km east of the mine. Goudreau is a siding on the Algoma Central Railway and Lochalsh is a siding on the Canadian Pacific Railway.

The topography in the area is characterized by low ridges and hills of up to 50 metres relief flanked by generally flat areas of glacial outwash, swamps and numerous lakes.

1.2 LAND STATUS

The property consists of 80 claims all within the south half of Finan Township, Sault Saint Marie Mining district, Province of Ontario (Figure 2). The claims consist of patented, leased and staked claims as listed in Table 1. The claims are contiguous, wholly owned by Golden Goose Resources Inc., PO Box 209, Dubreuilville, Ontario, POS 1B0 (Ministry of Northern Development and Mines Client #174165) and at the time of writing are in good standing.

TABLE 1

LIST of CLAIMS, MAGINO PROPERTY

Patented Claims, Surface and Mining Rights	Unpatented Claims
SSM 2048 to 2053 inclusive	SSM 698645 to 698657 inclusive
SSM 2102	SSM 698659 to 698662 inclusive
	SSM 698664 to 698671 inclusive
Leased Claims, Surface and Mining Rights	SSM 711129
SSM 581948 to 581953 inclusive	SSM 711131 to 711135 inclusive
	SSM 809963
Leased Claims, Mining Rights	SSM 809967 to 809972 inclusive
SSM 722481	SSM 827520
SSM 827520	SSM 841257 to 841259 inclusive
	SSM 841270
	SSM 847804 to 847807 inclusive
	SSM 847814
	SSM 884901 to 884904 inclusive
	SSM 1110086
	SSM 1118352
	SSM 1174399 to 1174405 inclusive

1.3 PROPERTY HISTORY

Gold was discovered on the property by prospecting in 1917. The mine area was staked and in 1925 shares in the McCarthy Webb Company were offered to the public to assist in developing the property. In 1931 a new company, Algoma Summit Gold Mines was formed and an inclined shaft was sunk to the 100 foot level. Over 116,000 tons was mined intermittently through the 1930's and 8,700 ounces of gold were recovered by 1939, when mining operations were suspended. In 1940 Magino Gold Mines was formed, completed drifting and diamond drilling, but ceased work due to lack of funding and wartime shortages. Other than some surface drilling done in 1942 no further work was done until 1962 when Mr. C. McNellen completed 6 diamond drill holes which intersected gold values beneath the mine workings. In 1981 Rico Copper (1966) Ltd., which later became McNellen Resources Inc., drilled 16 holes. In 1981 McNellen Resources Inc. and Cavendish Investing Ltd. formed a joint venture to pump out the old mine workings, and completed underground mapping, sampling and drilling. Muscocho Explorations Ltd. acquired the Cavendish Investing Ltd. interest in the mine in 1985.

SSM 1174846 to 1174849 inclusive

SSM 1174854

In 1985 and early 1986 Muscocho Explorations Ltd., in joint venture with McNellen Resources Inc., drilled 29 surface holes which, along with previous work, indicated a reserve of 1.9 million tons at 0.25 opt Au. A ramp was started in 1986 and developed levels at the 100 and 200 foot elevations (below and adjacent to the old workings). Mining and the construction of a 400 TPD mill started in 1987 and the first gold bar was poured in June of 1988. From 1988-1992 the Magino mine processed 768,678 tons at a recovered grade of 0.137 opt Au to produce 105,543 ounces Au (697,222 tonnes @ 4.71 g/t Au). From 1988 to sometime in 1989, mining was principally accomplished by shrinkage stoping which produced an average grade of ~0.2 opt Au. In 1989 mill throughput was increased to 640 TPD and production was chiefly from longhole stopes at an average grade of 0.12 opt Au. The reduced mining cost for the longhole stopes was offset by substantial dilution with a resultant increase in the cost per ounce mined. In mid 1992 the mine closed due to high operating costs and the underground workings were allowed to flood. The site has been on a care and maintenance footing since then.

In 1996 Golden Goose Resources Inc. obtained the Magino mine property from Muscocho Explorations Ltd., Flanagan McAdam Resources Inc. and McNellen Resources Inc. In early 1997 a drill core resampling program was completed to determine the reliability of previous drill assay results. Later that year ten holes totalling 2,087.5 metres were drilled to verify the potential of the mine area to host a large tonnage of low grade gold mineralization amenable to open pit mining, determine the distribution of gold mineralization, twin previous holes to determine the repeatability of assay results, and establish a sampling protocol. A stripping program with structural mapping was completed 17 July 1997 to determine the orientation and continuity of gold bearing veins. Samples from drill core and rock samples from the stripped areas were sent to Lakefield Research Laboratories for gravity, column leach, bottle roll and Bond Work Index testing to develop metallurgical mill process flowsheets.

In 1998 two bulk samples, divided into two lithologies, Mafic Volcanic and Granodiorite, made up from the 1997 drill core were sent to Kappes, Cassiday and Associates (KCA) for further metallurgical column leach testing.

1.4 GEOLOGY

The property is located in the Michipicoten greenstone belt of the Wawa subprovince within the Superior geologic province. Felsic volcanic rocks occur just to the south of the property and mafic volcanic rocks occur throughout and to the north of the property (Figure 3). A thin but extensive pyrite-rich iron formation known as the Goudreau Iron Range occurs close to or on the contact between the felsic and mafic volcanics.

The volcanic rocks trend between 070° and 090° in the immediate property area. Locally they have been tightly folded. Intrusive rocks found on the property include granitic rocks from tens of metres up to several kilometers size and a large stock of nepheline syenite that occupies the north part of the claim block. The principal ore host for the Magino mine is the Webb Lake Granodiorite (WLG) which occurs near the southern part of the property and appears to intrude along, and partially cut across, the mafic/felsic contact.

The Webb Lake Granodiorite is a felsic, porphyritic intrusive that is elongate in shape with dimensions of about 2,000 metres by 200 metres in plan with the long axis striking about 070°. It is open to depth and, according to some reports, becomes wider. Contacts are sharp and dip vertically to steeply to the north. The composition of the intrusive is somewhat variable and was subdivided according to modal mineralogy by Muscocho geologists. Whether that variation is due to primary lithological variations of phases of the intrusion, regional metamorphism, hydrothermal alteration, or a combination, is not clear. The mineralogy is primarily quartz (40-50%), plagioclase (25-35%), chlorite (10%), and sericite (10%). (Sullivan, 1987). This unit has been variably classified as a quartz-feldspar porphyry, granodiorite and trondhjemite (Heather & Arias, 1992) but the long-standing use of the term granodiorite by property geologists is most convenient. Locally, hydrothermal alteration results in feldspar destruction and the development of pervasive sericite.

Felsic and mafic dykes are found within the WLG and appear to correlate from section to section. They are interpreted to predate the gold mineralization but their temporal and genetic relationship to gold mineralization is not clear. Until this relationship is determined, they cannot be considered "stratigraphic markers" as they have not been shown to relate to either volcanic stratigraphy or to mineralized zones in the granodiorite.

A 15 metre wide diabase dyke trending about 335° (Mine Diabase) cuts the granodiorite and separates the Northeast Zone of the mine from the Main Zone. This dyke is thought to occupy the plane of an earlier fault that has had sinistral displacement along it. However, the horizontal distance between mineralized zones across this structure exceeds that shown for the displacement of the boundaries of the granodiorite on mine plans. This suggests that if the displacement entirely post-dates mineralization it must be oblique or, alternatively, the zones on either side of the diabase are not related.

Mineralization is found in all lithologies except the diabase. Significant economic mineralization discovered to date is restricted to the eastern end of the WLG. Within this area, the northern and southern margins are host to gold mineralization principally within a sub-unit designated as Unit 2 (Network Granodiorite) which is slightly more sericitic and more altered than the core of the intrusive (designated Unit 2V, Speckled Granodiorite). The mafic minerals in Unit 2 comprise from 7-20% of the rock and form a network texture around the quartz and plagioclase whereas in Unit 2V mafic minerals comprise less than 7% of the rock. Other minor phases of granodiorite are also present (Deevy, 1992).

The 2V unit is considered in most recent reports to be a separate, poorly mineralized phase of the intrusion but level plans clearly demonstrate that it also hosts gold mineralization.

2.0 1997 STRIPPING and STRUCTURAL MAPPING PROGRAM

In April 1997 Golden Goose Resources Inc. completed a 10 hole drilling program in three fences across the mine area to determine the feasibility of open pit mining, establish a sampling protocol, determine repeatability of previous assay results, and obtain samples for metallurgical testing. The results of this testing were used to determine the feasibility of an open pit mine at the Magino Mine. Drill results indicated the presence of apparent north-south trending gold bearing structures and veins. In order to facilitate a greater understanding of gold hosting structures a large trench, called 'Outcrop A' (L33+00E, 28+50N) approximately 3,000 ft² was excavated over the core of the deposit. A further 500 ft² was excavated north-east and south-west of 'Outcrop A' (Figure 3.)

Excavation was completed by R+R Enterprises with the assistance of B. Jardine.

2.1 STRUCTURAL MAPPING - BRUCE WILSON

A Structural Geologist, Bruce Wilson mapped 'Outcrop A' and reviewed the remaining stripped areas. A detailed report is included in Appendix A. with a summary of the results below:

- two orientations of auriferous veins were determined, "north-south" (striking 350° to 110°, dipping moderately east or west, averaging 000°/-90°), and "east-west" (035° to 105°, dipping moderately north or south, averaging 075°/-86°N) veins;

- two orientation of shears were determined, "east-west (035° to 105°, dipping moderately north or south) and northeast-southwest(070°/-60°N);

- north-south shears may be continuous for 100's of feet;

- north-south veins and east-west veins and shears are generally continuous for only 10's of feet and boudinaged;

- Foliation of the granodiorite strikes between 065° and 075°, dipping between 43° and 58°N in the northeast-southwest shear zones which appear to overprint (younger) the vertically/steeply north foliations found elsewhere;

- motion along the "east-west" fractures/shears appears predominantly strike slip, perhaps with a component of reverse dip slip;

- foliation averages 70° and strike slip component on structures oriented $<70^{\circ}$ is left-handed, while movement on structures oriented $>70^{\circ}$ is right-handed;

- folding within the northeast-southwest shear indicated considerable flattening through this structure.

Samples of the 1997 core and a bulk surface sample from 'Outcrop A' (L33+00E, 29+00N) were forwarded to Lakefield Research Laboratory for metallurgical testing. This information is discussed in a separate report.

2.2 PERSONNEL

The 1997 stripping and mapping program was completed under the supervision of J. Reddick, PO Box 579, Porcupine, Ontario, assisted by B. Jardine, PO Box 209, Dubreuilville, Ontario, POS 1B0, and Bruce C. Wilson, 347 Albert St., Kingston, Ontario.

The author of this report, M. Perkins, PO Box 42, Coboconk, Ontario supervised the 1997 drill program under the direction of J. Reddick and completed this report using information supplied by Golden Goose Resources.

3.0 CONCLUSIONS

Information indicates that there are two foliations defined, dipping moderately north within northeast-southwest strongly foliated bands, and foliations dipping steeply north, vertically to steeply south outside of the previous bands. East-west fracturing represent low angle shear fractures, some of which are probably related to the north dipping foliation. Since east-west fractures curve as they enter the northeast-southwest shears, the shears are younger, at least of some, east west veins and fractures. Thus the north dipping foliation probably post dates and overprints the older near vertical foliation.

Motion along east-west fractures/shears was either strike slip or oblique with a predominant strike slip. North-south fractures appear extensional and although not perpendicular to the two foliations, are probably related to them.

4.0 **RECOMMENDATIONS**

Further stripping should be undertaken, with detailed grid and sampling continued over the current trenches to detail which structures host gold mineralization.

Affart GG

5.0 REFERENCES

Deevy, A.J., 1992; The Making of a Mine, Internal Muscocho Report.

Reddick, J., Pearson, Hofman and Assoc., 1997; Diamond Drill Program, Magino Mine Project, Wawa Area, Ontario, Report Filed for Assessment.

Sullivan, K. S., 1987; A Preliminary Report on the Magino Deposit, Wawa, Ontario.

Perkins, M., 1997; Report on Magino Gold Mine Property, Check Sampling Program, Report Filed for Assessment.

BLM Bharti Engineering Ltd., 1998; Preliminary Feasibility Study for a 2.6 M TPA Open Pit Mine and Leach Plant, Magino Gold Project., Internal Report for Golden Goose Resources Inc.

6.0 **CERTIFICATES OF QUALIFICATIONS** (attached)

I, Michael James Perkins, currently living at PO Box 42, Coboconk Ontario, certify the following:

- 1. I currently hold two diplomas in Exploration Geology obtained in 1982 and 1983 at Sir Sandford Fleming College.
- 2. I have completed two years towards a BSc. in Geology at the University of Toronto.
- 3. I have been employed as an exploration geologist since 1984.

Dated this day of <u>23 Jun 99</u>

Michael J. Perkins

REDDICK CONSULTING INC.

CERTIFICATE OF QUALIFICATIONS

To Accompany the Report on The Magino Mine Property of Golden Goose Resources Ltd. dated September, 1997.

I, John Richard Reddick, M.Sc., residing at 214 Duke Street, Porcupine, Ontario, do hereby certify that:

- 1. I am President of Reddick Consulting Inc.
- 2. I received my M.Sc. in Honours Geology at Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario in 1996 and my B.Sc. Honours Geology degree in 1982. I have been practicing my profession since graduation.
- 3 I am a Fellow of the Geological Association of Canada (F6740).
- 4. Reddick Consulting Inc. was retained by Pearson, Hoffman and Associated on behalf of Golden Goose Resources Ltd. to prepare a report the exploration program on the Magino mine property. This report, and the conclusions and recommendations made, are based on examination of records and drill core made during several visits to the property in 1997 and 1998 prior to during and after the drill program of April, 1997.

Timmins, Ontario June 23, 1999

J. Reddholi

John Reddick, M.Sc.

P.O. BOX 579, PORCUPINE, ONTARIO PON 1C0 PHONE 705-235-5352 FAX 705-235-4487



Figure 2: Project Location and Claims





APPENDIX A

Structural Geology and Gold by Bruce C. Wilson

τ.

Magino Mine, Structural Geology Report, June 1999



SUMMARY

This report, part of a study to determine the feasibility of an open pit on the Magino Mine property, deals with the orientation and continuity of gold-bearing zones. Detailed and property-scale structural mapping reveals that there is a considerable range of possible orientations for gold-bearing zones. Some zones may be continuous for hundreds of feet, but others may be continuous for only tens of feet.

In the mine, there were two types of gold-bearing zones: veins and shear zones. Surface mapping indicates that there are two groups of veins, "north-south" and "eastwest," and two groups of shear zones, "east-west" and "northeast-southwest". Northsouth veins strike between about 350° and 015°, and east-west veins and shear zones strike between about 035° and 105°. Dips of north-south veins range from moderate to the east to moderate to the west, and dips of east-west veins and shear zones range from moderate to the north to moderate to the south. Northeast-southwest shear zones strike about 070° and dip about 60° to the north.

Northeast-southwest shear zones may be continuous for hundreds of feet, but north-south veins and east-west veins and shear zones may be continuous for only a few tens of feet. Continuity may be better down dip than along strike. On the scale of an open pit, however, gold mineralization *could* be more or less homogeneous.

There are some structural features that clearly contain gold, and some that may contain gold. All of the features should be carefully sampled, but special attention should be given to those that may contain gold.

Due to time restrictions, the current program of mapping and sampling was limited. If it does not produce satisfactory results, a more detailed mapping and sampling program should be undertaken. Before such a program commences, outcrop surfaces should be covered by an accurate surveyed grid. If time and tide allow, more outcrops should be stripped.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION 1 This report 1 Previous work, geology, and history 1 Mapping procedure 1	
STRUCTURAL OBSERVATIONS 2 Outcrop A 2 The rest of the outcrops 4 Level plans and sections 10 Chief mine geologist's report 10	
STRUCTURAL SYNTHESIS11Shear fractures, shear zones, extension fractures, and faults11Folds12Dikes, pervasive alteration, and veins13Deformation14Notes on some previous work on structural geology and gold14	
GOLD 14 Types and orientations of gold-bearing zones 14 Continuity of gold-bearing zones 15	•
RECOMMENDATIONS	
REFERENCES	,
PHOTOGRAPHS 18	;

.....

and the statements of

INTRODUCTION

This report

This report is part of a study to determine the feasibility of an open pit on the Magino Mine property. At the heart of the study is the extrapolation of gold values between diamond drill intersections based in part on a knowledge of the orientation and continuity of gold-bearing zones, and the continuity of gold values along them.

To determine orientation and continuity of gold-bearing zones, I have focused on structural geology and gold. Geological features that did not appear to be directly related to the distribution of gold on the scale of an open pit were given a cursory examination and are noted here only briefly. The distribution of gold along gold-bearing zones is being examined in a concurrent sampling program.

I am indebted to John Reddick for sharing his knowledge of the property, and for discussing concepts with me. This report is a synthesis of our observations and conclusions from my point of view.

Previous work, geology, and history

Recent discussions of previous work, regional geology, property geology, mine geology, and the history of the mine are included in reports by BLM Bharti Engineering Inc. (1996) and by R. Bruce Graham and Associates Ltd. (1995).

Mapping procedure

A stripping program completed in 1997 cleaned "old" outcrops and exposed "new" outcrops. I examined stripped outcrops between 2680E and 3640E and between 2540N and 3270N (mine grid). Within this area, some of the outcrops that are shown in previous maps have been buried by mine waste.

A survey completed in 1997 established points on each outcrop, and produced a map of outcrop outlines.

I mapped one outcrop, which I shall call outcrop A, in considerable detail at a scale of one inch to twenty feet, and the rest of the outcrops in much less detail at a scale of one inch to forty feet. The maps are reproduced here at scales one inch to about twenty seven feet, and one inch to about one hundred and fifteen feet.

To facilitate mapping outcrop A, I established a grid on it. The "east-west" base line of the grid trends about 070°, and passes through points 9 and 10 of the survey. Point 9 is at about 0+42.8E, and point 10 is at about 1+42.8E. Baseline points 0+00 and 1+60 E are marked by "Xs" cut into the outcrop with a saw. "North-south" lines are spaced twenty feet apart, and points on those lines are spaced five feet apart. Outcrop A has considerable relief, so the grid is somewhat rough: the "north-south" lines may be only approximately perpendicular to the baseline, and grid points that are far from the baseline may be out by as much as a few feet. Unfortunately, the map of outcrop outlines proved to be rather crude. I had time to improve the outlines of outcrop A, but not of the rest of the outcrops.

In making a map we project geological features vertically onto a horizontal plane. Where an outcrop has relief, the projection of a dipping planar feature such as a dike, vein, fracture, or shear zone is a curved line. However, if we know that a feature is flat we tend to represent it by a straight line. This becomes a problem when the relief of an outcrop is large relative to its areal extent, as with most of the outcrops including outcrop A.

All things considered, it is best to treat the map of outcrop A as a *sketch map*, and the map of the rest of the outcrops as a *rough sketch map*.

STRUCTURAL OBSERVATIONS

Outcrop A

Outcrop A consists of "granodiorite" (see BLM Bharti Engineering Inc., 1996) cut by a felsic dike and in contact with a mafic rock that may be a xenolith or a dike (Figure 1). All of the rock types are cut by fractures, and the granodiorite and the felsic dike are cut by veins. Some veins appear to contain only quartz, but most appear to contain one or more of quartz, chlorite, tourmaline, and sulphides.

There are two main groups of fractures and veins: in one group the fractures and veins strike roughly north-south, and in the other group the fractures and veins strike roughly east-west. The east-west veins tend to be discontinuous over tens of feet, and most appear to be boudinaged. The north-south veins are generally continuous over tens of feet, and most are more or less undistorted. Some of the north-south veins are continuous with some of the east-west veins, and some of the north-south veins are off-set along east-west fractures.

The granodiorite is medium grained, and in part unfoliated (Photograph 1). It is moderately to strongly foliated within bands up to a few inches wide surrounding some of the east-west fractures, strongly foliated (Photograph 2) within a band up to ten feet wide that strikes northeast-southwest across the outcrop (Figure 1), and moderately foliated within band up to 30 feet wide that surrounds the felsic dike (Figure 1). The foliations are defined by the long axes of inequant mineral grains and aggregates of mineral grains, including chlorite, quartz, and feldspar. The intensities of the foliations are subjective, and are based on the apparent degree of flattening and alignment of the minerals.

The felsic dike is very fine grained. It is unfoliated in some places, and moderately to strongly foliated in other places. The foliation, which is defined by the long axes of sericite, is especially strong within narrow bands that surround some of the east-west fractures.

The mafic rock is fine grained and moderately foliated.



Figure 1. Sketch map of outcrop A.

 $\boldsymbol{\omega}$

Apophyses of the felsic dike extend for short distances into the granodiorite. Viewed along strike, the contacts between the dike and the granodiorite repeatedly step to the right (Figure 1). Similar steps occur in the contact between the granodiorite and the mafic rock, and between the unfoliated granodiorite and the very wide band of moderately foliated granodiorite that surrounds the felsic dike.

The northeast-southwest strongly foliated band is cut by north-south and east-west fractures and veins. Some of the east-west fractures and veins curve as they enter the band until they are more or less parallel to the foliation in it.

I measured the orientations of prominent north-south veins and of prominent eastwest fractures and veins. Most of the north-south veins strike between 350° and 15° and dip between 47° and 90° to the east or west (Figure 2). Their average strike and dip (determined by contouring) is $000^{\circ}/90^{\circ}$. Most of the east-west fractures and veins strike between 035° and 105° and dip between 56° and 90° to the north or south (Figure 3). Their average strike and dip is $075^{\circ}/85.6^{\circ}$ N, but there are three peaks in the distribution of their strikes: in the interval from 045° to 049° , in the interval from 070° to 074° , and in the interval from 080° to 084° (Figure 4).

Foliations strike between 065° and 075°. Within the northeast-southwest strongly foliated band the foliation dips between 43° and 58° to the north. Outside of the northeast-southwest strongly foliated band the foliation is more or less vertical, commonly dips steeply to the north, and rarely dips steeply to the south.

Along east-west fractures that strike at considerably more or less than 070° , the foliation may have rotated toward the orientation of the fracture: close to fractures that strike at considerably less than 070° the strike of the foliation may be considerably less than 070° , and close to fractures that strike at considerably more than 070° the strike of the foliation may be considerably more than 070° . Along east-west fractures that strike at about 070° the foliation is not rotated.

Part of the northeast-southwest strongly foliated band is cut by closely spaced fractures that break the outcrop into sheets about an inch thick. The fractures strike parallel to the foliation and dip moderately to the north or steeply to the south. On north dipping fracture surfaces, slickensides defined by quartz or tourmaline aggregates pitch steeply to the west.

The rest of the outcrops

The rest of the outcrops consist of rock types similar to those at outcrop A. All of the outcrops are cut by east-west fractures and veins, and by northeast-southwest strongly foliated bands (Figure 5) that are similar to the northeast-southwest strongly foliated band that cuts outcrop A. Within the northeast-southwest strongly foliated bands the foliation strikes between 060° and 080° and dips between 50° and 84° to the north (Figure 6). The average strike and dip is 071.6°/59.1°N. Outside of the northeast-southwest strongly foliated bands the foliation strikes about 070° and dips more or less vertically.



Figure 2. Stereographic projection of poles to north-south veins. The group on the left represents veins that dip to the east, and the group on the right represents veins that dip to the west.



Figure 3. Stereographic projection of poles to east-west fractures and veins. The group on the upper left represents fractures and veins that dip to the south, and the group on the lower right represents veins that dip to the north.



Figure 4. Rose diagram of the strikes of east-west fractures and veins.



7

Figure 5. Rough sketch map of the stripped area.

Within one of the northeast-southwest strongly foliated bands, a north-south vein is folded around steeply plunging (?) axes. Within many of the northeast-southwest strongly foliated bands, some of the east-west veins and portions of the foliation are folded around axes that are horizontal or plunge gently to the west (Photograph 3). The folds in the foliation generally have very small amplitudes; they are crenulations.

Portions of the outcrops, both within and outside of northeast-southwest strongly foliated bands, are cut by fractures that strike parallel to the foliation and dip moderately to the north or steeply to the south. On north-dipping surfaces, slickensides defined by quartz or tourmaline aggregates pitch at 90° or steeply to the west (Photograph 3). As at outcrop A, closely spaced fractures break the outcrops into sheets that are about an inch thick.

Level plans and sections

A brief examination of mine level plans and north-south sections revealed that between 3300E and 3500E and between 2900N and 3100N most stopes strike about 115° and dip about 70° and 85° to the north. Farther to the southwest, stopes strike about 070° and dip about 60° to the north.

Chief mine geologist's report

Under "Structure," Anthony J. Deevy (1992), chief mine geologist at the Magino Mine for Muscocho Explorations, wrote:

The shearing event was followed by a SE/NW compressive phase of deformation, which has resulted in a NE striking low angle (25-50°) north dipping and south dipping set of conjugate thrust faults. Displacement on these faults is in the order of a few feet to 40 feet. The thrusting was accompanied by copious barren white quartz veining.

Under "Mineralization" he wrote:

The ore shoots strike between Azimuth 70° and 130° and dip between 60° to the north and 80° to the south. They have a vertical plunge. Horizontal to vertical extent of the shoots is in excess of 1:2.5.

There are two types of ore shoots, namely "zones" and "veins". The zones are usually six to 15 feet wide and have a strike length of 80 to 220 feet. They are composed of foliated, bleached and silica flooded granodiorite. The silica is pale grey is colour and the gold content is directly related to the amount of silica present. The zones are sometimes folded which results in mining widths of up to 35 feet. Gold grades usually improve in the noses of these folds.

The "veins" consist of discreet pale grey to pale green, to almost white quartz veins varying in width from a few inches to 18 inches. They have a strike length of several tens of feet to 120 feet. The margins of the veins are chloritized and specks of chlorite are common within the veins. There is little, if any, wall rock alteration. Gold values are distributed erratically within the veins but are over all [sic] the veins are quite high grade. The vertical extent of the veins is similar to that of the zones and the plunge is also vertical. The veins are sometimes folded and the gold is concentrated in the fold noses.

STRUCTURAL SYNTHESIS

Shear fractures, shear zones, extension fractures, and faults

There are two foliations defined by the long axes of minerals (flattening foliations or schistosities): the foliation that dips moderately to the north within northeastsouthwest strongly foliated bands (the "north-dipping foliation"); and the foliation that dips steeply to the north, vertically, or steeply to the south outside of northeast-southwest strongly foliated bands (the "near-vertical foliation").

The east-west fractures are low angle shear fractures. Some of them are undoubtedly related to the near-vertical foliation, and some of them may be related to the north-dipping foliation. Low angle shear fractures form at a low angle, between about 15° and 30°, to a related foliation. Occurring in many areas of Archaean rocks, they were recognized early in this century but are rarely recognized today. (Part of my PhD research, I used to call them high angle shear fractures because they form at a high angle to the greatest compressive stress. Calling them high angle shear fractures favours the theoreticians who dream about them, and calling them low angle shear fractures favours the field geologists who deal with them.)

The moderately to strongly foliated bands that surround the east-west shear fractures, the strongly foliated northeast-southwest bands, and the moderately foliated band that surrounds the felsic dike at outcrop A are shear zones. The wide shear zone that surrounds the felsic dike at outcrop A is related to the narrow east-west shear zones. The fact that east-west fractures curve as they enter the northeast-southwest shear zone at outcrop A indicates that (at least some of) the northeast-southwest shear zones are younger than (at least some of) the east-west shear zones. Thus the north-dipping foliation is (probably) younger than, and overprints, the near-vertical foliation.

Motion along the east-west shear fractures/zones was either strike slip or oblique but predominantly strike slip. If there was a component of dip slip it was reverse. Foliations strike at about 070°, so the strike slip component of east-west shear fractures/zones that strike at less than about 070° should have been left handed and the strike slip component of east-west shear fractures/zones that strike at more than about 070° should have been right handed. On outcrop A, rotated foliations confirm that the strike slip component of some of the east-west shear fractures/zones that strike at less than 070° was left handed, and the strike slip component of some of the east-west shear fractures that strike at more than 070° was right handed (Figure 1). Many of the east-west shear fractures/zones are more or less parallel to the foliation, so their strike slip component is indeterminate. They either formed at a "normal" low angle to the foliation and then rotated toward it during subsequent flattening, or they formed parallel to or at a very small angle to the foliation. Because many of the foliation parallel east-west shear fractures/zones cut relatively undeformed granodiorite, I believe that they formed parallel to or at a very small angle to the foliation.

At outcrop A, peaks in the distribution of the strikes of the east-west shear fractures/zones occur in the interval from 045° to 049°, in the interval from 070° to 074°, and in the interval from 080° to 084° (Figure 4). Like the rest of the east-west shear fractures/zones that strike at less than about 070°, the east-west shear fractures/zones in the interval from 050° to 054° are left handed. The east-west shear fractures/zones in the interval from 070° to 074° are foliation parallel, and the east-west shear fractures/zones in the interval from 080° to 084° are right handed. Most of the east-west shear fractures/zones strike at more than 060° (Figure 4). Thus most of the east-west shear fractures/zones are foliation parallel or right handed, and only a small portion are left handed.

All of the outcrops are cut on every scale by conjugate (left and right handed) low angle east-west shear fractures/zones (Figure 1 and Photographs 4 and 5). I suspect that there is a more or less equal number of left handed and right handed shear fractures, but the right handed ones are more prominent because they are commonly bordered by a foliated band or occupied by a vein.

The northeast-southwest shear zones appear to be more or less parallel to the north-dipping foliation they contain. Their sense of motion can not be determined from the data that I obtained.

The north-south fractures are probably extension fractures. Although they are not perfectly perpendicular to the two foliations, they are probably related to one or both of them.

The north-dipping and south-dipping fractures that commonly break the outcrops into sheets that are about an inch thick are shear fractures, or faults, that are younger than the northeast-southwest shear zones. These faults are probably Deevy's "conjugate thrust faults." Motion along them was dip slip or oblique but predominantly dip slip. If Deevy is correct then the dip slip component was reverse and where there was a strike slip component it was right handed.

Folds

The folding of a north-south vein around steeply plunging axes (?) within a northeast-southwest shear zone indicates that there was considerable flattening across (at least some of) the northeast-southwest shear zones.

Near-horizontal folds in east-west veins and in foliations within northeastsouthwest shear zones could be related to the shear zones, and thus indicative of the sense of motion across them. However, they could instead be indicative of the sense of motion across the fault zones that commonly cut the northeast-southwest shear zones. I suspect that the folds are either a result of motion along the younger fault zones, or a result of late, regional, near-vertical shortening.

Dikes, pervasive alteration, and veins

At outcrop A, the felsic dike strikes about 090°. The steps in its contacts are primary, and indicate that it was emplaced along an en echelon array of right handed low angle shear fractures. Similar steps in the contact of the mafic rock indicate that it is a dike, and that like the felsic dike it was emplaced along an en echelon array of right handed low angle shear fractures.

Some portions of the rocks are unfoliated, and some portions are foliated. Foliated portions probably mark the loci of pervasive alteration (metasomatism): where the rock was altered, minerals recrystallized or deformed to form a planar fabric. Thus the east-west shear zones, the northeast-southwest shear zones, and the shear zone that surrounds the felsic dike at outcrop A mark the loci of pervasive alteration. Steps in the contact of the shear zone that surrounds the felsic dike at outcrop A indicate that hydrothermal fluids moved along en echelon array of right handed low angle shear fractures.

Veins were emplaced along east-west shear fractures/zones and along north-south extension fractures. Most of the east-west veins were emplaced along foliation parallel or right handed east-west shear fractures/zones, and only a small portion were emplaced along left-handed east-west shear fractures/zones. The fact that some of the north-south veins are offset along east-west shear fractures indicates that at least some of the northsouth veins formed before at least some of the east-west shear fractures/zones, the fact that some of the north-south veins are continuous with some of the east-west veins indicates that at least some of the north-south veins formed at the same time as at least some of the east-west veins, and the fact that a north-south vein is folded within a northeast-southwest shear zone indicates that at least some of the north-south veins formed before at least some of northeast-southwest shear zones. The fact that most of the north-south veins are undeformed where they cross northeast-southwest shear zones indicates that most of them are younger than those shear zones.

Veins that occur within the northeast-southwest shear zones may be related to those shear zones or to the east-west shear fractures/zones. If the veins are related to the east-west shear fractures/zones, then the veins were "overprinted" by, and thus incorporated into, the northeast-southwest shear zones.

Some of the foliation parallel east-west veins may be the "barren white quartz veining" that, according to Deevy's (1992), accompanied thrusting.

Deformation

Most of the rocks are deformed to some degree. The degree of deformation is related to the degree of foliation, and thus the degree of alteration: unfoliated, unaltered rocks are the least deformed, and strongly foliated, strongly altered rocks are the most deformed.

Deformation likely involved a component of flattening and a component of simple shear. The simple shear was probably predominantly right handed.

The east-west shear zones are related to the east-west shear fractures they contain so they are brittle-ductile shear zones. If the northeast-southwest shear zones are related to the some of the veins they contain, and thus to some of the shear fractures they contain, then they are brittle-ductile shear zones. If the northeast-southwest shear zones are not related to the veins and shear fractures they contain then they are ductile shear zones.

Notes on some previous work on structural geology and gold

According to Arias and Heather (1987), the Magino property lies within the southern domain of the Goudreau Lake Deformation Zone (GLDZ). They suggest that within the southern domain some shear planes may be part of a C' fabric. R. Bruce Graham and Associates (1995) report that "gold mineralization is believed to be directly related to the "C" and "C' " foliation patterns associated with the [Goudreau] deformation zone." I found no evidence for a C' fabric/ foliation on the stripped outcrops.

Arias and Heather (1987) state that "the systematic orientation and senses of shear zones and fractures, and the quartz veins that may occupy them, may be accommodated by a Riedel system of shears." I am confident that the veins and shear zones that occur in the stripped outcrops can be not accommodated by a Riedel system of shears.

GOLD

Types and orientations of gold-bearing zones

According to Deevy (1992), gold was found in "zones" and in "veins." The fact that the zones are composed of "foliated, bleached and silica flooded granodiorite" suggests that the zones are pervasively altered shear zones.

John Reddick (personal communication, 1997), has found visible gold in northsouth veins, right handed east-west veins, and foliation parallel east-west veins (Photograph 6). Gold-bearing north-south veins strike approximately 000° and dip moderately to steeply to the east, vertically, or moderately to steeply to the west (Figure 2). If gold only occurs in right handed or foliation parallel east-west veins then goldbearing east-west veins should strike between about 065° and 105° (Figure 3). However, if gold also occurs in left handed east-west veins then gold-bearing east-west veins should strike between about 035° and 105°. Dips of gold-bearing right handed, foliation parallel, and left handed east-west veins should range from moderately to the north to moderately to the south (Figure 3).

If gold occurs in east-west shear zones then gold-bearing zones should strike between about 065° and 105° and dip moderately or steeply to the north, vertically, or moderately or steeply to the south (Figure 3). If gold occurs in northeast-southwest shear zones then gold-bearing zones should strike about 070° and dip about 60° to the north.

Where mine stopes strike about 115° and dip between 70° and 85° to the north, gold may have occurred in right handed east-west veins or in right handed east-west shear zones. Thus at least some of the gold was found in association with east-west shear fractures/zones. Where stopes strike about 070° and dip about 60° to the north, gold may have occurred in foliation parallel east-west veins, in foliation parallel east-west shear zones, or in northeast-southwest shear zones.

If all of the gold ore was hosted by the same structural feature (for example right handed east-west shear fractures) then changes in stope directions must mean that the structural feature is deformed. However, if gold ore was hosted by different structural features (for example northeast-southwest shear zones and right handed east-west shear fractures) then changes in stope directions may simply mean that different structural features were mined. I found no evidence for deformation of structural features on the scale of stopes. It seems likely, therefore, that gold ore was hosted by different structural features.

Continuity of gold-bearing zones

Northeast-southwest shear zones appear to be continuous along strike for many hundreds of feet, but east-west shear fractures/zones appear to be continuous along strike for only tens of feet. Thus the strike length of stopes appears to be about the same as, or shorter than, the strike length of northeast-southwest shear zones, but longer than the strike length of east-west shear fractures/zones. Where long stopes followed east-west shear fractures/zones, they probably "jumped" from vein to vein.

Deevy's (1992) statement that the "horizontal to vertical extent of the shoots [he does not distinguish between "veins" and "zones"] is in excess of 1:2.5" indicates that the continuity of gold-bearing veins or zones may be better down dip than along strike.

Shear fractures and shear zones occur in all of the outcrops, and at all scales. I suspect that on the scale of an open pit, the distribution of shear fractures and shear zones is more or less homogeneous. On the scale of an open pit, then, the distribution of gold mineralization *could* be more or less homogeneous.

RECOMMENDATIONS

There are some structural features that clearly contain gold, and some that may contain gold. All of the features should be carefully sampled, but special attention should be given to those that may contain gold: left handed east-west veins and shear zones, right handed shear zones, foliation parallel shear zones, and northeast-southwest shear zones.

Due to time restrictions, the current program of structural mapping and sampling was limited. If it does not produce satisfactory results, a more detailed mapping and sampling program should be undertaken. Before such a program commences, outcrop surfaces should be covered by an accurate surveyed grid. If time and tide allow, more outcrops should be stripped.

REFERENCES

Arias, Z. G., and Heather, K. B., 1987

Regional structural geology related to gold mineralization in the Goudreau-Lochalsh area, District of Algoma; *in* R. B. Barlow, M. E. Cherry, A. C. Colvine, Buirkhard O. Dressler, and Owen L. White, editors, Summary of field work and other activities 1987, by the Ontario Geological Survey; Ontario Geological Survey Miscellaneous Paper 136, 429 p.

BLM Bharti Engineering Inc., 1996

Magino mine study; unpublished report for Golden Goose Resource Inc., 36 pages.

Deevy, Anthony J., 1992

Magino, the making of a mine; unpublished report for Muscocho Explorations Ltd. and McNellan Resources Inc., 28 pages.

R. Bruce Graham and Associates Ltd., 1995

Muscocho Explorations Ltd., Magino Mine, Wawa area, Ontario, summary and review of past work and options for further work; unpublished report for Muscocho Explorations Ltd., 38 pages.

PHOTOGRAPHS

Note: On the scale card, the closely spaced lines are millimeters and the green squares are centimeters.



Photograph 1. Unfoliated granodiorite on outcrop A.



Photograph 2. Strongly foliated granodiorite on outcrop A.


Photograph 4. Conjugate low angle shear fractures in strongly foliated granodiorite near 2900 E - 2590 N. The fractures form "diamonds" that are marked by hydrous iron oxides derived from sulphides.



Photograph 5. Conjugate low angle shear fractures in a felsic dike near 3050 E - 2850 N. The fractures form "diamonds" that are marked by sericite.



Photograph 6. Visible gold in a foliation parallel east-west quartz vein on outcrop A. (It's the small, bright, pale yellow speck near the centre of the vein. The scale is the same as photograph 5.)



FINAN

42C08SW2009 2.19607

020

REPORT OF METALLURGICAL TESTWORK

MAGINO MINE PROJECT

WAWA AREA, ONTARIO

prepared for

Golden Goose Resources Inc.

23 June, 1999

M. Perkins

RECEIVEDA 101 -7 cm 10 dr GEOSCIENCE ASSESSMENT 1 OFFICE

2.19607



FINAN

42C08SW2009 2.19607

020C

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	LOCATION, ACCESS and PHYSIOGRAPHY	1
1.2	LAND STATUS	2
1.3	PROPERTY HISTORY	2
1.4	GEOLOGY	3
2.0	1997/1998 METALLURGICAL PROGRAMS	5
2.1	LAKEFIELD RESEARCH LABORATORIES RESULTS	5
2.2	KAPPES, CASSIDAY AND ASSOC. RESULTS	7
2.3	SAMPLING AND ASSAYING PROCEDURES	9
2.4	1997 DRILL HOLE INFORMATION	9
2.5	PERSONNEL	10
3.0	CONCLUSIONS	10
4.0	RECOMMENDATIONS	10
5.0	REFERENCES	11
6.0	CERTIFICATES OF QUALIFICATIONS	12
FIGUR	RES	15

.....

. . .

.....

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	Metallurgical Report by Lakefield Research Laboratories
APPENDIX B	Metallurgical Report by Kappes, Cassiday and Associates

LIST of FIGURES

FIGURE 1	LOCATION MAP	15
FIGURE 2	PROPERTY CLAIM MAP	16
FIGURE 3	PLAN of 1997 DRILL HOLE COLLARS	17

LIST of TABLES

TABLE 1	LIST OF CLAIMS, MAGINO PROPERTY	2
TABLE 2	LAKEFIELD SUMMARY OF GRAVITY TESTS	5
TABLE 3	LAKEFIELD SUMMARY OF COLUMN LEACH TESTS	6
TABLE 4	LAKEFIELD SUMMARY OF BOTTLE ROLL TESTS	6
TABLE 5	KCA FIRE ASSAYS ON HEAD MATERIAL	7
TABLE 6	KCA CALCULATED HEAD RESULTS	7
TABLE 7	KCA SUMMARY OF BOTTLE LEACH TESTS	8
TABLE 8	KCA SUMMARY OF COLUMN LEACH TESTS	8
TABLE 9	1997 DIAMOND DRILL HOLE SUMMARY	9

1.0 INTRODUCTION

In April of 1997 Pearson, Hofman and Associates Ltd. (PHA) supervised a ten hole diamond drill program on behalf of Golden Goose Resources Inc. (GGR) at the Magino mine property in Finan Township, Ontario. This drill program was a component of a larger overall study managed by PHA to evaluate the potential of the property for a large open pit mining operation centred on the area containing former underground workings.

Samples of the 1997 core and a bulk surface sample from a trench at L28+50E were forwarded to Lakefield Research Laboratory for gravity, column leach, bottle roll and Bond Work Index testing. Testing showed that the core ore has an excellent dissolution rate of 97.8% in cyanide solution at a grind of 84% minus 200 mesh. An overall gold recovery of 95.0% is expected but column leach testing indicated heap leaching to be non economical with low recoveries after 35 to 42 days of leaching.

Split samples from these drill holes were forwarded to Kappes... in August, 1998 for metallurgical testing. Samples were taken from two lithologies, Mafic Volcanic and Granodiorite and amalgamated into two bulk samples with average head grades of 0.88, and 0.96 g/mt respectively. Column testing indicate an expected field recovery of 45% Au for the Mafic Volcanics and 51% for Granodiorite ore when crushed to minus 9.5mm. Au recovery increases to 80 and 84% respectively with crushing to minus 1.70mm.

All the historic data and mine grid are in imperial units, therefore this report quotes units in imperial measure(unless noted) with metric conversions included where appropriate.

1.1 LOCATION, ACCESS AND PHYSIOGRAPHY

The Magino Property is located in the southern half of Finan Township about 50 km northeast of the town of Wawa, Ontario (NTS 42 C/8). Access is via an 18 km allweather gravel road that turns off Highway 519 just west of the town of Dubreuilville (Figure 1). Dubreuilville is on Highway 519, 44 km east of the junction of Highways 17 and 519. That junction is in turn about 40 km north of Wawa on Highway 17.

A 44 kv power line and a gravel road extends from Goudreau about 7 km west of the property, through the minesite, to Lochalsh about 14 km east of the mine. Goudreau is a siding on the Algoma Central Railway and Lochalsh is a siding on the Canadian Pacific Railway.

The topography in the area is characterized by low ridges and hills of up to 50 metres relief flanked by generally flat areas of glacial outwash, swamps and numerous lakes.

a a suspension of the second and the second s

1.2 LAND STATUS

The property consists of 80 claims all within the south half of Finan Township, Sault Saint Marie Mining district, Province of Ontario (Figure 2). The claims consist of patented, leased and staked claims as listed in Table 1. The claims are contiguous, wholly owned by Golden Goose Resources Inc., PO Box 209, Dubreuilville, Ontario, POS 1B0 (Ministry of Northern Development and Mines Client #174165) and at the time of writing are in good standing.

TABLE 1

LIST of CLAIMS, MAGINO PROPERTY

Patented Claims, Surface and Mining Rights SSM 2048 to 2053 inclusive SSM 2102

Leased Claims, Surface and Mining Rights SSM 581948 to 581953 inclusive

Leased Claims, Mining Rights SSM 722481 SSM 827520

Unpatented Claims SSM 698645 to 698657 inclusive SSM 698659 to 698662 inclusive SSM 698664 to 698671 inclusive SSM 711129 SSM 711131 to 711135 inclusive SSM 809963 SSM 809967 to 809972 inclusive SSM 827520 SSM 841257 to 841259 inclusive SSM 841270 SSM 847804 to 847807 inclusive SSM 847814 SSM 884901 to 884904 inclusive SSM 1110086 SSM 1118352 SSM 1174399 to 1174405 inclusive SSM 1174846 to 1174849 inclusive SSM 1174854

1.3 PROPERTY HISTORY

Gold was discovered on the property by prospecting in 1917. The mine area was staked and in 1925 shares in the McCarthy Webb Company were offered to the public to assist in developing the property. In 1931 a new company, Algoma Summit Gold Mines was formed and an inclined shaft was sunk to the 100 foot level. Over 116,000 tons was mined intermittently through the 1930's and 8,700 ounces of gold were recovered by 1939, when mining operations were suspended. In 1940 Magino Gold Mines was formed, completed drifting and diamond drilling, but ceased work due to lack of funding and wartime shortages. Other than some surface drilling done in 1942 no further work was done until 1962 when Mr. C. McNellen completed 6 diamond drill holes which intersected gold values beneath the mine workings. In 1981 Rico Copper (1966) Ltd., which later became McNellen Resources Inc., drilled 16 holes. In 1981 McNellen Resources Inc. and Cavendish Investing Ltd. formed a joint venture to pump out the old mine workings, and completed underground mapping, sampling and drilling. Muscocho Explorations Ltd. acquired the Cavendish Investing Ltd. interest in the mine in 1985.

Magino Mine, Metallurgical Report, June 1999

In 1985 and early 1986 Muscocho Explorations Ltd., in joint venture with McNellen Resources Inc., drilled 29 surface holes which, along with previous work, indicated a reserve of 1.9 million tons at 0.25 opt Au. A ramp was started in 1986 and developed levels at the 100 and 200 foot elevations (below and adjacent to the old workings). Mining and the construction of a 400 TPD mill started in 1987 and the first gold bar was poured in June of 1988. From 1988-1992 the Magino mine processed 768,678 tons at a recovered grade of 0.137 opt Au to produce 105,543 ounces Au (697,222 tonnes @ 4.71 g/t Au). From 1988 to sometime in 1989, mining was principally accomplished by shrinkage stoping which produced an average grade of ~ 0.2 opt Au. In 1989 mill throughput was increased to 640 TPD and production was chiefly from longhole stopes at an average grade of 0.12 opt Au. The reduced mining cost for the longhole stopes was offset by substantial dilution with a resultant increase in the cost per ounce mined. In mid 1992 the mine closed due to high operating costs and the underground workings were allowed to flood. The site has been on a care and maintenance footing since then.

In 1996 Golden Goose Resources Inc. obtained the Magino mine property from Muscocho Explorations Ltd., Flanagan McAdam Resources Inc. and McNellen Resources Inc. In early 1997 a drill core resampling program was completed to determine the reliability of previous drill assay results. Later that year ten holes totalling 2,087.5 metres were drilled to verify the potential of the mine area to host a large tonnage of low grade gold mineralization amenable to open pit mining, determine the distribution of gold mineralization, twin previous holes to determine the repeatability of assay results, and establish a sampling protocol. A stripping program with structural mapping was completed to determine the orientation and continuity of gold bearing veins. Samples from drill core and rock samples from the stripped areas were sent to Lakefield Research Laboratories for gravity, column leach, bottle roll and Bond Work Index testing to develop metallurgical mill process flowsheets.

In 1998 two bulk samples, divided into two lithologies, Mafic Volcanic and Granodiorite, made up from the 1997 drill core were sent to Kappes, Cassiday and Associates (KCA) for further metallurgical column leach testing.

1.4 GEOLOGY

The property is located in the Michipicoten greenstone belt of the Wawa subprovince within the Superior geologic province. Felsic volcanic rocks occur just to the south of the property and mafic volcanic rocks occur throughout and to the north of the property (Figure 3). A thin but extensive pyrite-rich iron formation known as the Goudreau Iron Range occurs close to or on the contact between the felsic and mafic volcanics.

The volcanic rocks trend between 070° and 090° in the immediate property area. Locally they have been tightly folded. Intrusive rocks found on the property include granitic rocks from tens of metres up to several kilometers size and a large stock of nepheline symmeters that occupies the north part of the claim block. The principal ore host for the Magino mine is the Webb Lake Granodiorite (WLG) which occurs near the southern part of the property and appears to intrude along, and partially cut across, the mafic/felsic contact.

The Webb Lake Granodiorite is a felsic, porphyritic intrusive that is elongate in shape with dimensions of about 2,000 metres by 200 metres in plan with the long axis striking about 070°. It is open to depth and, according to some reports, becomes wider. Contacts are sharp and dip vertically to steeply to the north. The composition of the intrusive is somewhat variable and was subdivided according to modal mineralogy by Muscocho geologists. Whether that variation is due to primary lithological variations of phases of the intrusion, regional metamorphism, hydrothermal alteration, or a combination, is not clear. The mineralogy is primarily quartz (40-50%), plagioclase (25-35%), chlorite (10%), and sericite (10%). (Sullivan, 1987). This unit has been variably classified as a quartz-feldspar porphyry, granodiorite and trondhjemite (Heather & Arias, 1992) but the long-standing use of the term granodiorite by property geologists is most convenient. Locally, hydrothermal alteration results in feldspar destruction and the development of pervasive sericite.

Felsic and mafic dykes are found within the WLG and appear to correlate from section to section. They are interpreted to predate the gold mineralization but their temporal and genetic relationship to gold mineralization is not clear. Until this relationship is determined, they cannot be considered "stratigraphic markers" as they have not been shown to relate to either volcanic stratigraphy or to mineralized zones in the granodiorite.

A 15 metre wide diabase dyke trending about 335° (Mine Diabase) cuts the granodiorite and separates the Northeast Zone of the mine from the Main Zone. This dyke is thought to occupy the plane of an earlier fault that has had sinistral displacement along it. However, the horizontal distance between mineralized zones across this structure exceeds that shown for the displacement of the boundaries of the granodiorite on mine plans. This suggests that if the displacement entirely post-dates mineralization it must be oblique or, alternatively, the zones on either side of the diabase are not related.

Mineralization is found in all lithologies except the diabase. Significant economic mineralization discovered to date is restricted to the eastern end of the WLG. Within this area, the northern and southern margins are host to gold mineralization principally within a sub-unit designated as Unit 2 (Network Granodiorite) which is slightly more sericitic and more altered than the core of the intrusive (designated Unit 2V, Speckled Granodiorite). The mafic minerals in Unit 2 comprise from 7-20% of the rock and form a network texture around the quartz and plagioclase whereas in Unit 2V mafic minerals comprise less than 7% of the rock. Other minor phases of granodiorite are also present (Deevy, 1992).

The 2V unit is considered in most recent reports to be a separate, poorly mineralized phase of the intrusion but level plans clearly demonstrate that it also hosts gold mineralization.

2.0 1997/1998 METALLURGICAL PROGRAMS

In April 1997 Golden Goose Resources Inc. completed a 10 hole drilling program in three fences across the mine area to determine the feasibility of open pit mining, establish a sampling protocol, determine repeatability of previous assay results, and obtain samples for metallurgical testing. The results of this testing were used to determine the feasibility of an open pit mine at the Magino Mine.

2.1 LAKEFIELD RESEARCH LABORATORY RESULTS

Samples of the 1997 core and a bulk surface sample from the stripped area on L28+50E were forwarded to Lakefield Research Laboratory, 185 Concession St., Lakefield, Ontario on 17 July 1997. The bulk surface sample was taken from the stripped area on L28+50E from 25+50N to 28+00N (Figure 3). A trench was blasted and a representative granodiorite sample was taken at 2.0 ft. intervals along the trench to produce one bulk sample. Samples from drill hole S97-09 from 19.68 to 406.82 ft inclusive were mixed to produce the bulk core sample. S97-09 lies almost directly under the bulk sample location and assay results for the entire 387.2 ft interval average 0.60 g/t Au. The two bulk samples were prepared by Lakefield Research for gravity, column leach, bottle roll and Bond Work Index testing.

Results are detailed in the report by Lakefield Research Laboratories included in Appendix A and summarized below:

TABLE 2

	Core	Ore	Composite 1	Surface	Ore	Composite 2
Products	Weight %	Au g/t	Recovery %	Weight %	Au g/t	Recovery
Mozley Cons	0.016	1636	24.0	0.051	78.1	55.8
Mozley Tails	0.94	41.0	35.5	0.91	17.9	22.6
Knelson Tails	99.05	0.44	40.6	99.04	0.16	21.7

LAKEFIELD SUMMARY of GRAVITY TESTS

TABLE 3

Comp		% Au extraction based on the direct head, after indicated days								
	Size	1	3	7	14	21	28	35	42	Au g/t
1	-12mm	17.3	27.3	36.7	45.8	51.1	55.2	58.4	60.7	0.75
2	-12mm	10.0	19.0	25.5	35.5	40.5	43.6	46.1	47.9	0.84
1	-6mesh	6.9	19.1	29.8	40.2	45.4	50.3	52.9	55.1	0.88
2	-6mesh	6.5	20.7	35.6	52.1	59.7	63.3	66.1	68.1	0.71

LAKEFIELD SUMMARY of COLUMN LEACH TESTS

TABLE 4

Comp	%-200	Reagents	kg/t	% Au	Extraction	Residue	Calc Head
	mesh	NaCN	CaO	24 hrs	48 hrs	Au g/t	Au g/t
1	84	0.30	0.48	85.0	97.8	0.03	1.34
1	72	0.13	0.46	75.0	92.2	0.08	1.03
1	60	0.13	0.50	71.0	92.6	0.07	0.95
2	84	0.37	0.57	83.0	92.0	0.06	0.75
2	72	0.15	0.48	81.0	95.6	0.04	0.90
2	59	0.14	0.51	77.0	93.4	0.05	0.76

LAKEFIELD SUMMARY of BOTTLE ROLL TESTS

Bottle roll tests show that the core ore has an excellent dissolution rate of 97.8% in cyanide solution at a grind of 84% minus 200 mesh. An overall gold recovery of 95.0% is expected but column leach testing indicated heap leaching to be non economical with low recoveries after 35 to 42 days of leaching. Gravity separation did not lead to higher concentrate grades.

2.2 KAPPES, CASSIDAY and ASSOC. (KCA) RESULTS

In August 1998 several sample intervals from the 1997 drill holes were forwarded to Kappes, Cassiday and Associates, 7950 Security Circle, Reno, Nevada, USA for further column leach metallurgical testing.

The results from this testing are detailed in the KCA report attached in Appendix B, and summarized below:

TABLE 5

KCA Sample No.	Magino ID	Average Head Assay Aug/mt	Metallic Head Assay Au g/mt	Metallic Screen Head -22.4 mm Au g/mt	Metallic Screen Head -9.5 mm Au g/mt	Overall Average Head Aug/mt
27088	Mafic Volc	0.88	0.85	0.93	1.64	1.08
27089	Granodiorite	0.96	0.93	1.56	1.82	1.32

KCA FIRE ASSAYS ON HEAD MATERIAL

TABLE 6

KCA CALCULATED HEAD RESULTS

KCA Sample No.	Magino ID	Bottle Cale Hd -0.150 mm Au g/mt	Bottle Cale Hd -9.5 mm Au g/mt	Column Calc Hd, -22.4 mm Au g/mt	Column Calc Hd, -9.5 mm Au g/mt	Overall Average Calc Head, Au g/mt
27088	Mafic Volc	0.73	0.85	0.94	1.60	1.03
27089	Granodiorite	1.57	1.61	1.72	1.41	1.58

TABLE 7

KCA Test Crush Size, Days KCA Magino ID Calc Head Average Tail Metal Sample No. No. Au g/mt Au g/mt Extracted Leaching mm % Au 27088 27116A Mafic Volc -0.150 0.73 0.05 93.2 2 27088B 27116C Mafic Volc -9.5 0.85 0.54 36.5 4 27089 27116B Granodiorite -0.150 1.57 0.05 96.8 2 27089C 27116A Granodiorite -9.5 30.4 1.61 4 1.12 Average -0.150 95.0 2 Average -9.5 33.5 4

KCA SUMMARY of BOTTLE LEACH TESTS

TABLE 8

KCA Sample No.	KCA Test No.	Magino ID	Crush Size mm	Days Leached	Caic Head, Au g/mt	Recovery Au g/mt	Recovery %
27088A	27120	Mafic Volc	-22.4	63	0.94	0.35	37.2
27088B	27123	Mafic Volc	-9.5	63	1.60	0.79	49.5
27089B	27126	Granodiorite	-22.4	63	1.72	0.56	32.5
27089C	27129	Granodiorite	-9.5	63	1.41	0.79	56.0
		Average	-22.4	63			34.9
		Average	-9.5	63		1	52.8

KCA SUMMARY of COLUMN LEACH TESTS

.

2.3 SAMPLING AND ASSAY PROCEDURES

Core samples of S97-01 to 10 were taken at nominal one metre intervals with continuous sampling in the granodiorite and discontinuous sampling in the volcanics. The sample length was modified where a geological contact was crossed or where visible gold was noted.

For holes S97-01 to S97-03 inclusive, the entire core was submitted for assay for visible gold samples. For the remainder of the holes, the sample intervals were sawn. No preference was given to which part of the core was submitted for assay. Instead, as with the balance of the samples, the core was oriented and cut using the "V" defined by the foliation in the rock. The half of the core if not submitted for assay remains archived in the core boxes on the Magino Site with the sample intervals tagged.

A bulk sample composed of 110 kg. blasted rock was taken from L28+50E; 25+50N to 28+00N. A trench was blasted and a representative granodiorite sample was taken at 2.0 ft. intervals along the trench to produce the bulk sample.

Assay procedures are detailed in Appendices A and B.

2.4 1997 DRILL HOLE INFORMATION

The location of the drilled sections and the drillholes relative to the mine workings is shown on Figure 3. Table 9 summarizes the location and information of the 1997 drill holes using the Magino Mine Grid.

TABLE 9

HOLE	NORTHING MINE GRID	EASTING MINE GRID	ELEV.	AZIMUTH	DIP	LENGTH (metres)	LENGTH (FEET)
S-97-01	41+00 N	40+00 E	-20	180	-45	826.8	2,712.8
S-97-02	38+00 N	40+00 E	-10	180	-45	826.8	2,712.8
S-97-03	35+00 N	40+00 E	-1.0	180	-45	787.4	2,583.5
S-97-04	38+00 N	34+00 E	0	180	-55	846.5	2,777.4
S-97-05	35+00 N	34+00 E	-20	180	-50	856.3	2,809.5
S-97-06	33+20 N	34+00 E	0	180	-45	319.9	1,049.6
S-97-07	34+00 N	28+00 E	0	180	-45	826.8	2,712.8
S-97-08	32+00 N	28+00 E	0	180	-45	708.7	2,325.2
S-97-09	27+00 N	28+00 E	0	180	-45	406.8	1,334.7
S-97-10	24+00 N	26+00 E	-7	180	-45	442.9	1.453.2

APRIL 1997 DIAMOND DRILL HOLES

Magino Mine, Metallurgical Report, June 1999

2.5 PERSONNEL

The 1997 metallurgical program was completed under the supervision of J. Reddick, PO Box 579, Porcupine, Ontario, by Lakefield Research Laboratory, 185 Concession St., Lakefield, Ontario.

The 1998 metallurgical program was completed under the supervision of J. Reddick, PO Box 579, Porcupine, Ontario, and F.W. Nielsen, 20 Adelaide St E., Suite 215, Toronto, Ontario by Kappes, Cassiday and Associates, 7950 Security Circle, Reno, Nevada, USA.

The author of this report, M. Perkins, PO Box 42, Coboconk, Ontario supervised the 1997 drill program under the direction of J. Reddick and completed this report using information supplied by Golden Goose Resources.

3.0 CONCLUSIONS

Lakefield Research Laboratory results from bottle roll tests show that the core ore has an excellent dissolution rate of 97.8% in cyanide solution at a grind of 84% minus 200 mesh. An overall gold recovery of 95.0% is to be expected but column leach testing indicates heap leaching to be non economical with low recoveries after 35 to 42 days of leaching. Gravity separation did not lead to higher concentrate grades.

KCA estimates a calculated recovery of 49.5% from -9.5mm crushed Mafic Volcanic material. KCA discounts 3-5% from lab results when estimating field recoveries so expect field recoveries to average 45%. Calculated recovery for the Granodiorite material crushed to -9.5mm is 56%, or 51% expected field recovery.

Higher gold recovery could be achieved with finer crushing. Recoveries of 80 and 84% were achieved by crushing the Mafic Volcanic and Granodiorite materials respectively to -1.70mm.

4.0 **RECOMMENDATIONS**

A 20,000 to 50,000 tonne bulk sampling program is recommended to reconcile the grade of the resource to that determined by various phases of drilling, refine the metallurgical procedure, confirm recovery rates and better determine grade distribution.

Further infill drilling should be completed in areas that are currently under sampled.

Magino Mine, Metallurgical Report, June 1999

5.0 **REFERENCES**

Deevy, A.J., 1992; The Making of a Mine, Internal Muscocho Report.

Reddick, J., Pearson, Hofman and Assoc., 1997; Diamond Drill Program, Magino Mine Project, Wawa Area, Ontario, Report Filed for Assessment.

Sullivan, K. S., 1987; A Preliminary Report on the Magino Deposit, Wawa, Ontario.

Perkins, M., 1997; Report on Magino Gold Mine Property, Check Sampling Program, Report Filed for Assessment.

BLM Bharti Engineering Ltd., 1998; Preliminary Feasibility Study for a 2.6 M TPA Open Pit Mine and Leach Plant, Magino Gold Project., Internal Report for Golden Goose Resources Inc.

6.0 **CERTIFICATES OF QUALIFICATIONS** (attached)

I, Michael James Perkins, currently living at PO Box 42, Coboconk Ontario, certify the following:

- 1. I currently hold two diplomas in Exploration Geology obtained in 1982 and 1983 at Sir Sandford Fleming College.
- 2. I have completed two years towards a BSc. in Geology at the University of Toronto.
- 3. I have been employed as an exploration geologist since 1984.

Dated this day of $\frac{23}{5}$.

Michael J. Perkins

REDDICK CONSULTING INC.

CERTIFICATE OF QUALIFICATIONS

To Accompany the Report on The Magino Mine Property of Golden Goose Resources Ltd. dated September, 1997.

I, John Richard Reddick, M.Sc., residing at 214 Duke Street, Porcupine, Ontario, do hereby certify that:

- 1. I am President of Reddick Consulting Inc.
- 2. I received my M.Sc. in Honours Geology at Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario in 1996 and my B.Sc. Honours Geology degree in 1982. I have been practicing my profession since graduation.
- 3 I am a Fellow of the Geological Association of Canada (F6740).
- 4. Reddick Consulting Inc. was retained by Pearson, Hoffman and Associated on behalf of Golden Goose Resources Ltd. to prepare a report the exploration program on the Magino mine property. This report, and the conclusions and recommendations made, are based on examination of records and drill core made during several visits to the property in 1997 and 1998 prior to during and after the drill program of April, 1997.

Timmins, Ontario June 23, 1999

) Reddhol' John Reddick, M.Sc.

P.O. BOX 579, PORCUPINE, ONTARIO PON 1C0 PHONE 705-235-5352 FAX 705-235-4487

7.0 STATEMENTS OF QUALIFICATIONS

7.1 FREDERICK WILLIAM NIELSEN

I, Frederick William Nielsen of Lot 5 Concession 5, Erin Township, 9129, R.R. #2 Acton, Ontario, Canada L7J 2L6 do hereby certify that:

- 1. I am a consulting geologist retained by Pearson, Hofman and Associates Ltd.
- 2. I received a BASc degree in Geology from the University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario in 1973.
- 3. I am a Fellow of the Geological Association of Canada.
- 4. I have been practising my profession for over 23 years.
- 5. I have not received, nor do I expect to receive any interest, directly or indirectly, in Golden Goose Resources Inc. or any of its affiliates.
- 6. This report, as well as its conclusions and recommendations are based on the examination of available data.

Dated this 22 day of $\pi \sqrt{2}$, 1997.

F. William Nielsen



ŝ



NTS 42 C/8 Magnetic Declination in 1997 is 7° 44'

Figure 2: Project Location and Claims



Contents

ί.

Introduction		
Summary	3	
1. Sample Description	3	
2. Bond Work Index	4	
3. Gravity Separation Testwork	4	
4. Cyanidation Testwork	5	
5. Heap Leach Tests	6	
6. Bulk Density Determinations	8	
Sample Preparation	9	
Details of Tests		

Tables

Table 1	Head Assays	3
Table 2	Gold Assays by Pulp and Metallics	3
Table 3	Bond Work Indicies	4
Table 4	Gravity Separation Test Results	4
Table 5	Cyanidation Results	5
Table 6	Heap Leach Results	6
Table 7	Bulk Density Determinations	8

Figures

Figure 1 Leach Time vs Gold Recovery	1	
--------------------------------------	---	--

An Investigation of The Recovery of Gold from Magino project samples submitted by Golden Goose Resources Ltd. per BLM Bharti Engineering Inc.

Progress Report No. 1

Project No. LR 5148

NOTE:

This report refers to the samples as received.

The practice of this Company in issuing reports of this nature is to require the recipient not to publish the report or any part thereof without the written consent of Lakefield Research Limited.

Lakefield Research Limited

185 Concession Street Lakefield, Ontario, K0L 2H0 Tel: (705) 652-2000 Fax: (705) 652-6365 October 9, 1997

Introduction

This report summarizes the results of testwork conducted on Magino project samples as requested by Mr. H. Jansen, BLM Bharti Engineering Inc., on behalf of Golden Goose Resources Ltd. The test program involved gravity separation, cyanidation and heap leach testing to investigate the recovery of gold. The results were sent to Mr. Jansen as they became available.

Lakefield Research Limited

Jackemen

I. Jackman, P.Eng. Senior Project Metallurgist

K.W. Shit

K.W. Sarbutt, Manager - Mineral Processing

Experimental work by: B. Barnum, D. Imeson Report preparation by: B.J. Scobie

Summary

1. Sample Description

Two samples were received for metallurgical testwork. Composite 1 was a core sample and Composite 2 was from a bulk sample. Pulp and metallics assaying for gold was conducted on two 500 g samples of each composite. The analyses of the two composites are given below.

Table 1: Head Assays

Element	Composite					
	1	2				
Au, g/t*	1.37	0.96				
Au, g/t**	1.15	0.82				
Ag, g/t	<0.5	<0.5				
S, %	0.29	0.19				

*average of pulp and metallics assays

**average calculated gold head assays (excluding heap leach tests)

Table 2: Gold Assays by Pulp and Metallics

Comp	1	(A)
------	---	-----

Product	Weight	Au, g/t	% Dist'n	Product	Weight	Au, g/t	% Dist'n
+ 150 M	30.6	6.13	23.2	+ 150 M	32.4	1.01	13.4
- 150 M*	471.5	1.32	76.8	- 150 M*	468.5	0.45	86.6
ghted Average	502.1	1.61	100.0	Weighted Average	500.9	0.49	100.0
*average of	1.61	1.08	1.27	*average of	0.40	0.32	0.63

Comp 1 (B)

Weighted

Comp 2 (B)

Comp 2 (A)

Product	Weight	Au, g/t	% Dist'n	Product	Weight	Au, g/t	% Dist'n
+ 150 M	21.4	3.03	11.5	+ 150 M	10.8	19.4	29.2
- 150 M*	479.4	1.04	88.5	- 150 M*	490.8	1.03	70.8
Weighted Average	500.8	1.13	100.0	Weighted	501.6	1.43	100.0
				Average			
*average of	0.94	1.08	1.11	*average of	1.23	0.95	0.92

A separate shipment of core samples was received for bulk density measurements.

2. Bond Work Index

The Bond work index of each composite was determined in a standard Bond ball mill closed circuit grindability test. A 100 mesh closing screen was used. Table 3 contains the results.

Table 3: Bond Work Indices

Composite	Bond W	ork Index	Feed K ₈₀	Product K ₈₀
	Imperial	Metric	μm	μm
1	11.5	12.6	1644	110
2	11.7	12.8	1874	113

3. Gravity Separation Testwork

The recovery of gold by gravity separation was investigated. A 50 kg sample of Composite 1 and a 10 kg sample of Composite 2 were the feed for these tests. The samples were ground and fed to a 3 inch Knelson concentrator. The Knelson concentrate was cleaned on a Mozley mineral separator. The Mozley concentrate was assayed entirely and the Mozley tailing was assayed by pulp and metallics. Three assay samples were taken from the Knelson tailing. The results are summarized in Table 4.

Test	Comp	%-200	Product	Weight	Assay	% Distr'n
No.		mesh		%	Au, g/t	Au
G1	1	72	Mozley Conc	0.016	1636	24.0
			Mozley Tail +150M	0.059	206	11.3
			Mozley Tail -150M	0.88	29.8	24.1
1			Knelson Conc	0.95	67.6	59.4
			Knelson Tail	99.05	0.44	40.6
			Head (calc)	100.00	1.08	100.0
G2	2	54	Mozley Conc	0.051	781	55.8
			Mozley Tail +150M	0.28	10.5	4.1
			Mozley Tail -150M	0.63	21.1	18.5
			Knelson Conc	0.96	58.7	78.3
			Knelson Tail	99.04	0.16	21.7
			Head (calc)	100.00	0.72	100.0

Table 4: Gravity Separation Test Results

The recovery of gold in the Mozley concentrate was 24% from Composite 1 which was considered to be the more representative sample.

4. Cyanidation Testwork

A series of tests was conducted on each composite to examine the effect of fineness of grind on the extraction of gold. The tests were performed in bottles on rolls at 33% solids. The cyanide concentration was maintained periodically throughout the 48 hour leach. A pregnant solution sample was removed after 24 hours to estimate the extraction. The results are presented in Table 5.

Test	Comp	%-200	NaCN	Reag. Co	ons.,kg/t	% Au Extraction		Residue	Head
No.		mesh	g/L	NaCN	CaO	24 h	48 h	Au, g/t	Au, g/t
CN1	1	84	1.0	0.30	0.48	85	97.8	0.03	1.34
CN3	1	72	0.5	0.13	0.46	75	92.2	0.08	1.03
CN5	1	60	0.5	0.13	0.50	71	92.6	0.07	0.95
CN2	2	84	1.0	0.37	0.57	83	92.0	0.06	0.75
CN4	2	72	0.5	0.15	0.48	81	95.6	0.04	0.90
CN6	2	59	0.5	0.14	0.51	77	93.4	0.05	0.76

Table 5. Cyamuation Results	T	able	5:	Cyan	idati	on]	Results
-----------------------------	---	------	----	------	-------	------	---------

The extraction of gold from Composite 2 was similar in all three tests and reflected the variation in the calculated gold head assay. The residue assays were essentially the same. The higher gold recovery in test CN1 conducted on Composite 1 was mostly a reflection of the higher head assay. The residue assay did show a small decrease with the finest grind but further tests would be required to confirm if this was significant. Decreasing the grind from 72% to 60% minus 200 mesh did not affect the residue assay. All residue assays were <0.1 g/t Au. Reducing the cyanide concentration from 1 g/L to 0.5 g/L NaCN reduced the cyanide consumption by more than half.

5. Heap Leach Tests

The recovery of gold by heap leaching was also investigated in two tests on each sample. The first test was conducted on ore crushed to minus 12 mm. The second test was conducted on material crushed to minus 6 mesh and agglomerated with 0.5 kg/t CaO and 20 kg/t cement. The agglomerated ore was cured for four days. The tests were carried out in 100 mm columns, recirculating a 1 g/L NaCN, pH 11 solution at a rate of 10 L/h/m². The pregnant solution passed through a small carbon column. The carbon was changed periodically and assayed to monitor gold extraction. After 42 days, the columns were drained and washed. The residue was screened into several size fractions and the coarser fractions were crushed to minus 10 mesh. Two assay samples were prepared from each size fraction. The individual assays are reported in the test details. The results are given in Table 6 and Figure 1.

Test	Comp	Feed	Reag.Co	ons.,kg/t		% Au Recovery					Residue	Head		
No.		Size	NaCN	CaO	1	3	7	14	21	28	35	42 day	Au, g/t	Au, g/t
HL1	1	-12 mm	1.37	0.46	17.3	27.3	36.7	45.8	51.1	55.2	58.4	60.7	0.28	0.75
HL2	2	-12 mm	1.31	0.53	10.0	19.0	25.5	35.5	40.5	43.6	46.1	47.9	0.42	0.84
HL3	1	-6 mesh	0.98	0.59	6.9	19.1	29.8	40.2	45.4	50.3	52.9	55.1	0.38	0.88
HL4	2	-6 mesh	0.96	0.59	6.5	20.7	35.6	52.1	59.7	63.3	66.1	68.1	0.21	0.71

Table 6: Heap Leach Results



The results for the two samples were inconsistent with respect to the effect of feed size. The recovery of gold from Composite 1 ranged from 55% to 61%, but the calculated gold head assay was considerably lower than the average calculated gold head assay from the rest of the testwork (1.15 g/t Au). The recovery of gold from Composite 2 varied from 48% to 68%.

The bulk density of twenty-four core samples was determined using the waxed core method. The results are given in Table 7.

•	Sample	Rock I	Density
No.	Description	SG	lbs/ft ³
1	Iron Formation	2.76	172
2	Iron Formation	2.78	173
3	Iron Formation	2.77	173
4	Iron Formation	2.81	175
5	Iron Formation	2.78	174
	Average	2.78	174
6	Granodiorite	2.69	168
7	Granodiorite	2.73	170
8	Granodiorite	2.69	168
9	Granodiorite	2.70	169
10	Granodiorite	2.71	169
	Average	2.70	169
11	Intermediate Tuff	2.73	170
12	Intermediate Tuff	2.71	169
13	Intermediate Tuff	2.83	177
14	Intermediate Tuff	2.75	172
15	Intermediate Tuff	2.70	168
	Average	2.74	171
16	Felsite	2.68	168
17	Felsite	2.68	167
18	Felsite	2.69	168
	Average	2.69	168
19	Mafic Volcanic	2.74	171
20	Mafic Volcanic	2.79	174
21	Mafic Volcanic	2.77	173
22	Mafic Volcanic	2.76	172
23A	Mafic Volcanic	2.82	176
23B	Mafic Volcanic	2.77	173
	Average	2.77	173

Table 7: Bulk Density Determinations

Sample Preparation

On July 14, 1997, fourteen pails of samples were received at Lakefield Research and given our reference number 9707338. Composite 1 was prepared from the seven pails of core samples. The ore was combined, crushed to minus 12 mm, and riffled in half. From one half, 10 kg was cut out for heap leach testing. The remainder was crushed to minus 6 mesh and samples were removed for work index determination and other heap leach testwork. The remainder was crushed to minus 10 mesh. A head sample (Head A) and 1 kg test charges were prepared. The other half was all crushed to minus 10 mesh. A second head (Head B) and 10 kg tests charges were prepared. Composite 2 was prepared in the same manner with the seven pails of broken rock.

A second shipment was received on July 17, 1997 under reference number LR9707511. This shipment contained 24 core samples for bulk density determination. Details of Tests

LAKEFIELD RESEARCH

Standard Bond Ball Mill Grindability Test

Project No. 5148	B Product: Minus 6 Mesh	Date: 15-Aug-97				
Test No.: Golder	Goose Comp 1					
Purpose:	To determine the ball mill grindability of the sample in terms of a Bond work index number.					
Procedure:	The equipment and procedure duplicate the Bond method for determining ball mill work indices.					
Test Conditions:	Mesh of grind: Test feed weight (700 mL): Equivalent to : 1967 kg/m ³ at Minus 6 me Weight % of the undersize material in the ball mill fee Weight of undersize product for 250% circulating load	100 mesh 1377 grams esh ed: 30.4 % d: 393 grams				
Results:	Average for last three stages = 395 g : 249 % circulation	ion load				

CALCULATION OF A BOND WORK INDEX

BWI =
$$\frac{44.5}{P1^{0.23} \times Grp^{0.62} \times \left\{\frac{10}{\sqrt{P}} - \frac{10}{\sqrt{F}}\right\}}$$

P1 = 100% passing size of the product	150 microns
Grp = Grams per revolution	1.95 grams
P80 = 80% passing size of product	110 microns
F80 = 80% passing size of the feed	1644 microns

BWI = 11.5 (imperial)

BWI = 12.6 (metric)

Grindability Test Data

Project No. 5148

1

Test No.: Golden Goose Comp 1

			Undersize		U'Size	Undersiz	e Product
Stage		New	In	To Be	l In		Per Mill
No.	Revs	Feed	Feed	Ground	Product	Total	Rev
		(grams)	(grams)	(grams)	(grams)	(grams)	(grams)
1	100	1,377	419	-26	533	114	1.14
2	203	533	162	231	526	364	1.79
3	130	526	160	233	419	259	1.99
4	134	419	128	266	383	255 -	1:91
5	145	383	117	277	395	278	1.92
6	142	395	120	273	408	288	2.03

Average for Last Three Stages = 1.95

Feed K80

Size		Weight	% Retained		% Passing
Mesh	μm	grams	Individual	Cumulative	Cumulative
6	3,350	0.9	0.2	0.2	99.8
8	2,360	42.2	8.4	8.6	91.4
10	1,700	50.0	10.0	18.6	81.4
14	1,180	65.5	13.1	31.7	68.3
20	850	49.9	10.0	41.7	58.3
28	600	39.6	7.9	49.7	50.3
35	425	32.0	6.4	56.1	43.9
48	300	25.9	5.2	61.2	38.8
65	212	22.2	4.4	65.7	34.3
100	150	19.3	3.9	69.6	30.4
150	106	18.9	3.8	73.3	26.7
200	75	19.9	4.0	77.3	22.7
270	53	19.0	3.8	81.1	18.9
400	38	15.8	3.2	84.3	15.7
Pan	-38	78.5	15.7	100.0	0.0
Total	-	499.6	100.0	-	-
K80	1.644				
•	_,		ļ		

Product K80

Size		• Weight	% Retained		% Passing
Mesh	μm	grams	Individual	Cumulative	Cumulative
48	300	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
65	212	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
100	150	1.2	0.8	0.8	99.2
150	106	30.6	21.1	21.9	78.1
200	75	22.0	15.2	37.1	62.9
270	53	19.6	13.5	50.6	49.4
400	38	13.4	9.2	59.9	40.1
Pan	-38	58.2	40.1	100.0	0.0
Total	-	145.0	100.0	-	-
K80	110				


LAKEFIELD RESEARCH

Standard Bond Ball Mill Grindability Test

Project No. 5148	B Product: Minus 6 Mesh	Date: 15-Aug-97
Test No.: Golden	Goose Comp 2	
Purpose:	To determine the ball mill grindability of the samp work index number.	le in terms of a Bond
Procedure:	The equipment and procedure duplicate the Bond r determining ball mill work indices.	method for
Test Conditions:	Mesh of grind: Test feed weight (700 mL): Equivalent to : 1910 kg/m ³ at Minus 6 Weight % of the undersize material in the ball mill Weight of undersize product for 250% circulating	100 mesh 1337 grams 5 mesh 1 feed: 30.0 % 10ad: 382 grams
Results:	Average for last three stages = 385 g : 247 % circu	ulation load

CALCULATION OF A BOND WORK INDEX

BWI =
$$\frac{44.5}{P1^{0.23} \times Grp^{0.82} \times \left\{\frac{10}{\sqrt{P}} - \frac{10}{\sqrt{F}}\right\}}$$

P1 = 100% passing size of the product	150 microns
Grp = Grams per revolution	1.92 grams
P80 = 80% passing size of product	113 microns
F80 = 80% passing size of the feed	1874 microns

BWI = 11.7 (imperial)

BWI = 12.8 (metric)

Grindability Test Data

Project No. 5148

Test No.: Golden Goose Comp 2

			Unde	TSIZE	U'Size	Undersiz	e Product
Stage		New	ln	To Be	In		Per Mill
No.	Revs	Feed	Feed	Ground	Product	Total	Rev
		(grams)	(grams)	(grams)	(grams)	(grams)	(grams)
1	100	1,337	402	-20	527	125	1.25
2	178	527	158	224	471	313	1.76
3	137	471	142	240	399	257	1.88
4	139	399	120	262	383	263 .	1.89
5	141	383	115	267	382	267	1.89
6	141	382	115	267	391	276	1.96

Average for Last Three Stages = 1.92

Feed K80

Si	ze	Weight	% Re	tained	% Passing
Mesh	μm	grams	Individual	Cumulative	Cumulative
6	3,350	0.4	0.1	0.1	99.9
8	2,360	54.4	10.9	10.9	89.1
10	1,700	61.4	12.3	23.2	76.8
14	1,180	62.1	12.4	35.6	64.4
20	850	44.0	8.8	44.4	55.6
28	600	34.9	7.0	51.3	48.7
35	425	30.3	6.0	57.4	42.6
48	300	25.1	5.0	62.4	37.6
65	212	20.3	4.1	66.5	33.5
100	150	17.5	3.5	70.0	30.0
150	106	19.2	3.8	73.8	26.2
200	75	16.6	3.3	77.1	22.9
270	53	18.9	3.8	80.9	19.1
400	38	15.4	3.1	83.9	16.1
Pan	-38	80.4	16.1	100.0	0.0
Total	-	500.9	100.0	-	-
			1	1	
K80	1,874				
			1		

Product K80

Si	ze	Weight	% Re	tained	% Passing
Mesh	μm	grams	Individual	Cumulative	Cumulative
48	300	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
65	212	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
100	150	1.6	1.1	1.1	98.9
150	106	31.9	22.7	23.9	76.1
200	75	20.5	14.6	38.5	61.5
270	53	18.1	12.9	51.4	48.6
400	38	12.2	8.7	60.1	39.9
Pan	-38	56.0	39.9	100.0	0.0
Total	-	140.3	100.0	-	-
K80	113				

:



Test No: G1	Project No: 5148	Technician: DI	Date: August 14, 1996
	110 0 0 0 0 0		Date. August 14, 1990

- **Purpose:** To determine the recovery of gold using a Knelson concentrator and Mozley Table.
- Procedure: A ground sample of Composite 1 was fed to a 3 inch Knelson Concentrator. The Knelson Concentrate was further upgraded by treatment on a Mozley mineral separator. The entire Mozley Concentrate was assayed for Au and the entire Mozley Tail was assayed for Au by pulp and metallics at 150 mesh. Three separate cuts from the Knelson Tail were taken and each assayed for Au.
- Feed: 50 kg minus 10 mesh Composite 1
- Grind: 30 minutes / 30 kg @ 65% solids in 30 kg mill and 20 minutes / 20 kg @ 65% solids in 30 kg mill

Metallurgical Results

Products	Weight		Assays, g/t	% Distribution
	grams	%	Au	Au
Mozley Concentrate	7.92	0.016	1636	24.0
Mozley Tailing +150m	29.7	0.059	206	11.3
Mozley Tailing -150m	438.1	0.88	29.8	24.1
Knelson Tailing	49524.3	99.05	0.44	40.6
Head (calculated)	50000	100.0	1.08	100.0

Note: The Knelson Tail assay represents an average from three separate cuts (0.54, 0.35, 0.44).

Combined Products	Weight		Assays, g/t	% Distribution
	grams	%	Au	Au
Mozley Tailing	467.8	0.94	41.0	35.5
Knelson Concentrate	475.7	0.95	67.6	59.4

Company

Sample:

Knelson Tail

Lakefield Research Size Distribution Analysis

Test No.: G1

Si	ze	Weight	% Retained		% Passing
Mesh	μm	grams	Individual	Cumulative	Cumulative
48	300	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
65	212	1.3	0.9	0.9	99.1 [·]
100	150	7.2	5.2	6.1	93.9
150	106	14.1	10.1	16.2	83.8
200	75	16.9	12.1	28.3	71.7
270	53	19.0	13.6	41.9	58.1
400	38	17.4	12.5	54.3	45.7
Pan	-38	63.8	45.7	100.0	0.0
Total	-	139.7	100.0	-	-
K80	95				



٢

Test No: G2	Project No: 5148	Technician: DI	Date: August 14, 1996

- **Purpose:** To determine the recovery of gold using a Knelson concentrator and Mozley Table.
- Procedure: A ground sample of Composite 2 was fed to a 3 inch Knelson Concentrator. The Knelson Concentrate was further upgraded by treatment on a Mozley mineral separator. The entire Mozley Concentrate was assayed for Au and the entire Mozley Tail was assayed for Au by pulp and metallics at 150 mesh. Three separate cuts from the Knelson Tail were taken and each assayed for Au.

Feed: 10 kg minus 10 mesh Composite 2

Grind: 20 minutes / 10 kg @ 65% solids in 10 kg mill

Metallurgical Results

Products	Wei	ght	Assays, g/t	% Distribution
	grams	%	Au	Au
Mozley Concentrate	5.13	0.051	781	55.8
Mozley Tailing +150m	27.7	0.28	10.5	4.1
Mozley Tailing -150m	63.0	0.63	21.1	18.5
Knelson Tailing	9904.2	99.04	0.16	21.7
Head (calculated)	10000	100.0	0.72	100.0

Note: The Knelson Tail assay represents an average from three separate cuts (0.20, 0.12, 0.15).

Combined Products	Weight		Assays, g/t	% Distribution
	grams	%	Au	Au
Mozley Tailing	90.7	0.91	17.9	22.6
Knelson Concentrate	95.8	0.96	58.7	78.3

Company

÷,

Lakefield Research Size Distribution Analysis

:

Si	ze	Weight	% Re	% Passing	
Mesh	μm	grams	Individual	Cumulative	Cumulative
48	300	2.6	1.9 .	1.9	98.1
65	212	9.0	6.5	8.3	91.7
100	150	16.1	11.6	19.9	80.1
150	106	19.4	13.9	33.9	66.1
200	75	17.4	12.5	46.4	53.6
270	53	13.7	9.8	56.2	43.8
400	38	10.2	7.3	63.6	36.4
Pan	-38	50.7	36.4	100.0	0.0
Total	-	139.1	100.0	-	-
K80	149				

Sample: Knelson

Knelson Tail

Test No.: G2



Lakefield Research Cyanidation Test

Test: CN01	Pro	oject No: 5	148	Date: July 28, 1997	Operator: DI			
Purpose:	To investigate the extraction of gold from Composite 1 by cyanidation.							
Procedure: The sample was pulped with water to 33% solids in a 2.5L bottle. Lime and NaCN were added and the cyanidation was carried out for 48 hours on mechanical rolls. A solution subsample was taken at 24 hours and assayed for Au. At the end of the leach period the pulp was filtered and the residue was washed several times. The residue and final pregnant/wash solution was submitted for Au analysis.								
Feed:		501	g of -10 mesh Con	posite 1 Ore				
Solution Vol	ume:	1000	mL					
Pulp Density	' :	33	% Solids					
Solution Cor	nposition:	1.0	g/L NaCN					
pH Range:		10.5-11.0	with Ca(OH)2					
Grind:		20 min/1	kg @ 50% solids in	ball mill (NB), K80= 68	βμm.			

Reagent Consumption (kg/t of cyanide feed)	NaCN:	0.30
	Ca(OH)2:	0.48

Time	Added, Gran		ed, Grams		Residual		Consumed			
Г	Actual		Equiva	lent	Gra	ims	Gra	ms	pH	
Hours	NaCN	Ca(OH)2	NaCN	CaO	NaCN	CaO	NaCN	CaO		8.4
0.0 - 2.0	1.01	0.22	1.00	0.17	0.90		0.10		11.1 - 1	10.7
2.0 - 24.0	0.10	0.09	0.10	0.06	1.00		0.00		11.1 - 1	10.7
24.0 - 48.0	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.02	0.95	0.01	0.05	0.24	10.9 - 1	10.3
Total	1 1 1	0.24	1.10	0.26	0.05	0.01	0.15	0.24	1	
Iotal	1.11	0.34	1.10	0.26	0.95	0.01	0.15	0.24		

Metallurgical Balance

Product	Amount	Assays, mg/L, g/t	%Distribution	
	mL, g	Au	Au	
24 hr. Preg'n Sol'n	15	0.57	84.7	
48 hr. Wash/Preg'n Sol'n	1583	0.41	97.8	
48 hr. Residue	500.7	0.03	2.2	
Head (calc.)	500.7	1.34	100.0	
Head (direct)		1.37		

NOTE: Values in italics represent actual assays and indicated recovery at the elapsed time.

Screen

Test No.: CN01

Company	Lakefield Research
	Size Distribution Analysis

48hr Residue

Sample:

LR-5148

Si	Size		% Re	tained	% Passing
Mesh	μm	grams	Individual	Cumulative	Cumulative
65	212	0.2	0.1	0.1	99.9
100	150	1.6	1.1	1.2	98.8
150	106	7.0	4.9	6.1	93.9
200	75	14.4	10.0	16.1	83.9
270	53	19.8	13.7	29.8	70.2
400	38	17.5	12.1	42.0	58.0
Pan	-38	83.7	58.0	100.0	0.0
Total	-	144.2	100.0	-	-
K80	68				



Lakefield Research Cyanidation Test

Test: CN02	Project No: 5148		148	Date: July 28, 1997	Operator: DI			
Purpose:	To investigat	investigate the extraction of gold from Composite 2 by cyanidation.						
Procedure: The sample was pulped with water to 33% solids in a 2.5L bottle. Lime and NaCN were added and the cyanidation was carried out for 48 hours on mechanical rolls. A solution subsample was taken at 24 hours and assayed for Au. At the end of the leach period the pulp was filtered and the residue was washed several times. The residue and final pregnant/wash solution was submitted for Au analysis.								
Feed:		500	g of -10 mesh Com	posite 2 Ore				
Solution Vol	ume:	1000	mL					
Pulp Density	/:	33	% Solids					
Solution Co	mposition:	1.0	g/L NaCN					
pH Range:		10.5-11.0	with Ca(OH)2					
Grind:		20 min/1 l	tg @ 50% solids in I	oall mill (NB), K80= 69µm	1.			

Reagent Consumption (kg/t of cyanide feed)	NaCN:	0.37
	Ca(OH)2:	0.57

Time	Added, C		rams		Residual		Consumed		
ſ	Actua		Equiva	lent	Gra	ms	Gra	ms	рH
Hours	NaCN	Ca(OH)2	NaCN	CaO	NaCN	CaO	NaCN	CaO	8.3
0.0 - 2.0	1.01	0.28	1.00	0.21	0.93		0.07		11.1 - 10.7
2.0 - 24.0	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.06	0.95		0.05		11.1 - 10.7
24.0 - 48.0	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.94	0.02	0.06	0.28	10.9 - 10.3
				1 0 00		0.00			 1
lotai	1.14	0.40	1.13	0.30	0.94	0.02	0.18	0.28	

Metallurgical Balance

Product	Amount	Assays, mg/L, g/t	%Distribution	
	mL, g	Au	Au	
24 hr. Preg'n Sol'n	15	0.31	82.6	
48 hr. Wash/Preg'n Sol'n	1622	0.21	92.0	
48 hr. Residue	499.5	0.06	8.0	
Head (calc.)	499.5	0.75	100.0	
Head (direct)		0.96		

.

NOTE: Values in italics represent actual assays and indicated recovery at the elapsed time.

Company Lakefield Research LR-5148 Size Distribution Analysis

Si	Size		% Re	% Passing	
Mesh	μm	grams	Individual	Cumulative	Cumulative
65	212	0.3	0.2	0.2	99.8
100	150	2.2	1.5	1.7	98.3
150	106	7.7	5.1	6.8	93.2
200	75	13.8	9.2	16.0	84.0
270	53	22.5	15.0	31.0	69.0
400	38	19.4	13.0	44.0	56.0
Pan	-38	83.9	56.0	100.0	0.0
Total	-	149.8	100.0	-	-
K80	69				

Sample: Cn Res 48 Hr Test No.: 2



Page 1

Lakefield Research Cyanidation Test

Test: CN03	Pro	ject No: 5	148	Date: August 20, 1997	Operator: DI			
Purpose:	To investigat	e the effect	the effect of a coarser grind on gold extraction.					
Procedure:	The sample added and the subsample was pulp was fil pregnant/wa	was pulped with water to 33% solids in a 2.5L bottle. Lime and NaCN were the cyanidation was carried out for 48 hours on mechanical rolls. A solution was taken at 24 hours and assayed for Au. At the end of the leach period the ltered and the residue was washed several times. The residue and final each solution was submitted for Au analysis.						
Feed:		503	g of -10 mesh Com	posite 1 Ore				
Solution Vol	ите:	1000	mL					
Pulp Density	:	33	% Solids					
Solution Con	mposition:	0.5	g/L NaCN					
pH Range:		10.5-11.0	with Ca(OH)2					
Grind:		15 min/1 k	tg @ 50% solids in t	pall mill (NB), K80= 93 μm.				

Reagent Consumption (kg/t of cyanide feed)	NaCN:	0.13
	Ca(OH)2:	0.46

Time	Added, Grams			ns Residual		Consumed			
Γ	Actual		Equiva	lent	Gra	ums	Gra	ms	рН
Hours	NaCN	Ca(OH)2	NaCN	CaO	NaCN	CaO	NaCN	CaO	8.4
0.0 - 2.0	0.51	0.28	0.50	0.21	0.48		0.03		11.1 - 10.9
2.0 - 24.0	0.03	0.06	0.02	0.04	0.50		0.00	<u> </u>	11.1 - 11.0
24.0 - 48.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.46	0.03	0.04	0.23	11.0 - 10.7
Total	0.53	0.34	0.53	0.26	0.46	0.03	0.07	0.23]

Metallurgical Balance

Product	Amount	Assays, mg/L, g/t	%Distribution	
	mL, g	Au	Au	
24 hr. Preg'n Sol'n	15	0.39	75.2	
48 hr. Wash/Preg'n Sol'n	1749	0.27	92.2	
48 hr. Residue	502.6	0.08	7.8	
Head (calc.)	502.6	1.03	100.0	
Head (direct)		1.37		

NOTE: Values in italics represent actual assays and indicated recovery at the elapsed time.

Screen

Company Lakefield Research Size Distribution Analysis

LR-5148

ŗ

Sampl	e:	48	hr	Res
Campi				

Test No.: CN 03

Si	ze	Weight	% Retained		% Passing
Mesh	μm	grams	Individual	Cumulative	Cumulative
48	300	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
65	212	2.2	1.4	1.4	98.6
100	150	7.3	4.5	5.9	94.1
150	106	14.7	9.1	14.9	85.1
200	75	21.5	13.3	28.2	71.8
270	53	22.0	13.6	41.8	58.2
400	38	17.2	10.6	52.4	47.6
Pan	-38	77.1	47.6	100.0	0.0
Total	_ :	162.0	100.0	-	-
K80	93				





Lakefield Research Cyanidation Test

Test: CN04	Pro	ject No: 5	148	Date: August 20, 1997	Operator: DI			
Purpose:	To investigat	gate the effect of a coarser grind on gold extraction.						
Procedure:	rocedure: The sample was pulped with water to 33% solids in a 2.5L bottle. Lime and NaCN were added and the cyanidation was carried out for 48 hours on mechanical rolls. A solution subsample was taken at 24 hours and assayed for Au. At the end of the leach period the pulp was filtered and the residue was washed several times. The residue and final pregnant/wash solution was submitted for Au analysis.							
Feed:		491	g of -10 mesh Com	posite 2 Ore				
Solution Vol	ume:	1000	mL					
Pulp Density	/:	33	% Solids					
Solution Con	mposition:	0.5	g/L NaCN					
pH Range:		10.5-11.0	with Ca(OH)2					
Grind:		15 min/1 1	kg @ 50% solids in 1	ball mill (NB), K80= 95 μm.				

Reagent Consumption (kg/t of cyanide feed)	NaCN:	0.15
	Ca(OH)2:	0.48

		Consumed		Residual			Added, Grams			Time
H	pН	ms	Gra	ıms	Gra	ent	Equival		Actual	
8.4		CaO	NaCN	CaO	NaCN	CaO	NaCN	Ca(OH)2	NaCN	Hours
- 10.9	11.1 -		0.03		0.48	0.24	0.50	0.32	0.51	0.0 - 2.0
- 11.0	11.1 -		0.03		0.48	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.03	2.0 - 24.0
- 10.7	11.0 -	0.24	0.02	0.03	0.48	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.03	24.0 - 48.0
	I	0.24		0.02	0.49	0.26	0.55	0.25	0.56	Total
)	11.0	0.24	0.02	0.03	0.48	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.03	24.0 - 48.0 Total

Metallurgical Balance

Product	Amount	Assays, mg/L, g/t	%Distribution	
	mL, g	Au	Au	
24 hr. Preg'n Sol'n	15	0.36	81.2	
48 hr. Wash/Preg'n Sol'n	1742	0.24	95.6	
48 hr. Residue	490.8	0.04	4.4	
Head (calc.)	490.8	0.90	100.0	
Head (direct)		0.96		

NOTE: Values in italics represent actual assays and indicated recovery at the elapsed time.

a (19.85) (19.11) - 19.11) - 19.11) (19.11) - 19.11) - 19.11) - 19.11) (19

.

.....

Screen

LR-5148

ţ

Company	Lakefield Research			
	Size Distribution Analysis			

Size Weight % Retained % Passing Individual Cumulative Cumulative Mesh grams μm 0.0 0.0 100.0 0.0 48 300 212 2.3 1.4 1.4 98.6 65 150 8.0 4.8 6.2 93.8 100 150 106 16.5 9.9 16.1 83.9 75 28.0 200 19.8 11.9 72.0 270 53 24.9 14.9 42.9 57.1 400 38 18.9 11.3 54.2 45.8 Pan -38 76.3 45.8 100.0 0.0 166.7 100.0 Total --K80 95



Sample: 48 hr Res

Test No.: CN 04



Lakefield Research Cyanidation Test

Test: CN05	Pro	oject No: 5	148	Date: August 20, 1997	Operator: DI			
Purpose:	To investigat	gate the effect of a coarser grind on gold extraction.						
Procedure:	The sample added and the subsample was pulp was fil pregnant/wa	was pulped ne cyanidat was taken a tered and tl ush solutior	d with water to 33% ion was carried out : t 24 hours and assay he residue was wash was submitted for 2	solids in a 2.5L bottle. Lime a for 48 hours on mechanical rolls ed for Au. At the end of the lea ed several times. The residue an Au analysis.	and NaCN were A solution the period the nd final			
Feed:		502	g of -10 mesh Com	posite 1 Ore				
Solution Vol	ume:	1000	mL					
Pulp Density	:	33	% Solids					
Solution Co	mposition:	0.5	g/L NaCN					
pH Range:		10.5-11.0	with Ca(OH)2					
Grind:		10 min/1 k	g @ 50% solids in I	pall mill (NB), K80= 132 μm.				

Reagent Consumption (kg/t of cyanide feed)	NaCN:	0.13
	Ca(OH)2:	0.50

Time	Added, Grams				Resid	lual	Consumed		
[[Actual		Equiva	lent	Gra	ıms	Gra	ms	pН
Hours	NaCN	Ca(OH)2	NaCN	CaO	NaCN	CaO	NaCN	CaO	8.3
0.0 - 2.0	0.51	0.33	0.50	0.25	0.48		0.03		11.2 - 11.1
2.0 - 24.0	0.03	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.50		0.00		11.1 - 11.0
24.0 - 48.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.46	0.00	0.04	0.25	11.0 - 10.8
(0.52		0.52		0.46	0.00	0.07	0.05	1
Iotal	0.53	0.33	0.53	0.25	0.46	0.00	0.07	0.25	

Metallurgical Balance

.

Product	Amount	Assays, mg/L, g/t	%Distribution	
	mL, g	Au	Au	
24 hr. Preg'n Sol'n	15	0.34	71.2	
48 hr. Wash/Preg'n Sol'n	1750	0.25	92.6	
48 hr. Residue	501.8	0.07	7.4	
Head (calc.)	501.8	0.95	100.0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Head (direct)		1.37		

NOTE: Values in italics represent actual assays and indicated recovery at the elapsed time.

Company	Lakefield Research
	Size Distribution Analysis

LR-5148

Si	ze	Weight	% Retained		% Passing
Mesh	μm	grams	Individual	Cumulative	Cumulative
48	300	3.6	2.0	2.0	98.0
65	212	8.0	4.5	6.5	93.5
100	150	16.1	9.0	15.5	84.5
150	106	21.1	11.8	27.3	72.7
200	75	23.3	13.0	40.4	59.6
270	53	20.7	11.6	52.0	48.0
400	38	15.2	8.5	60.5	39.5
Pan	-38	70.6	39.5	100.0	0.0
Total	-	178.6	100.0	-	-
K80	132				



Sample: 48 hr Res

Test No.: CN 05

Lakefield Research Cyanidation Test

Test: CN06	Pr	oject No: 5	5148	Date: August 20, 1997	Operator: DI
Purpose:	To investiga	te the effec	t of a coarser grind	on gold extraction.	
Procedure:	The sample added and t subsample pulp was fi pregnant/w	was pulpe the cyanida was taken a ltered and t ash solution	d with water to 33% tion was carried out at 24 hours and assa he residue was was n was submitted for	5 solids in a 2.5L bottle. Lim for 48 hours on mechanical ro yed for Au. At the end of the hed several times. The residue Au analysis.	e and NaCN were olls. A solution leach period the e and final
Feed:		500	g of -10 mesh Cor	nposite 2 Ore	
Solution Vol	ume:	1000	mL		
Pulp Density	/:	33	% Solids		
Solution Co	mposition:	0.5	g/L NaCN		
pH Range:		10.5-11.0	with Ca(OH)2		
Grind:		10 min/1	kg @ 50% solids in	ball mill (NB), K80= 133 μm.	

Reagent Consumption (kg/t of cyanide feed)	NaCN:	0.14
	Ca(OH)2:	0.51

Time	Added, Grams			Resid	Consu				
	Actual		Equiva	lent	Gra	ums	Gra	ms	PH
Hours	NaCN	Ca(OH)2	NaCN	CaO	NaCN	CaO	NaCN	CaO	8.3
0.0 - 2.0	0.51	0.29	0.50	0.22	0.48		0.03		11.2 - 10.9
2.0 - 24.0	0.03	0.05	0.02	0.04	0.50		0.00		11.1 - 11.0
24.0 - 48.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.46	0.00	0.04	0.25	11.0 - 10.8
	0.62		0.62		0.46	0.00		0.05	- · · · · ·
Total	0.53	0.34	د 0.5	0.26	0.46	0.00	0.07	0.25]

Metallurgical Balance

Product	Amount	Assays, mg/L, g/t	%Distribution	
	mL, g	Au	Au	
24 hr. Preg'n Sol'n	15	0.29	76.8	
48 hr. Wash/Preg'n Sol'n	1741	0.20	93.4	
48 hr. Residue	499.6	0.05	6.6	
Head (calc.)	499.6	0.76	100.0	
Head (direct)		0.96		

NOTE: Values in italics represent actual assays and indicated recovery at the elapsed time.

Screen

Company Lakefield Research Size Distribution Analysis

Si	ze	Weight	% Re	% Retained	
Mesh	μm	grams	Individual	Cumulative	Cumulative
48	300	2.8	1.6	1.6	98.4
65	212	8.0	4.6	6.2	93.8
100	150	16.6	9.5	15.6	84.4
150	106	21.6	12.3	28.0	72.0
200	75	23.2	13.2	41.2	58.8
270	53	20.3	11.6	52.8	47.2
400	38	15.2	8.7	61.5	38.5
Pan	-38	67.4	38.5	100.0	0.0
Total	-	175.1	100.0	-	-
K80	133				



Sample:	48 hr Res	

Test No.: CN 06



LR-5148

2

Test No. HL1	Project No. 5148	BCB	July 30, 1997		
Purpose:	To evaluate heap leaching o	To evaluate heap leaching of -1/2" Composite 1 ore.			
Procedure:	Ten kilograms of ore was placed in a 4 inch (diameter) column. Cyanide solution at pH 11.0 was pumped to the top of the column at a rate of 1.3 mL/minute. The discharging pregnant leach solution was passed through a small column containing 10g of Activated Carbon in order to recover the Au. The carbon was removed for assay and replaced with fresh earbon after 1, 3, 7, 14, and 21 days. Throughout the test, solution pH and NaCN concentrations were monitored and maintained. The test was terminated when the recovery rate had dropped to a sufficiently low or predictable predictable level. At the end of the test the column was allowed to drain and the residue was washed with fresh water. The combined barren and wash solution was collected. The barren/wash solution and the residue were submitted for Au analysis.				
Feed:	10 Kg of minus 1/2" Compo	osite 1 ore			
Solution Volume:	8000 ml	Ore Height: In	itial: 80.6 cm		
Sol'n Composition:	1.0 g/L NaCN	F	inal: 78.7 cm		
pH Range:	11.0 with Ca(OH):				
Solution Flowrate:	1.3 mL/minute	•			
Carbon:	10 g				
Reagent Consumption	on (kg/t of cyanide feed)	NaCN: 0.87	CaO: 0.46		
Time	Added, Grams	Residual	Consumed		

ξ.

THUC	1	Aude	d. Gians		L Vesi	Residual Consumed		umeu	
	Ac	tual	Equ	ivalent	Grams		Grams		pН
Days	NaCN	Ca(OH)	NaCN	CaO	NaCN	Ca()	NaCN	Ca()	
0 - 1	8.07	1.20	8.00	0.91	6.40		1.60		11.0-9.9
1 - 2	1.62	0.64	1.60	0.49	7.60		0.40		11.0-10.6
2 - 4	0.40	0.12	0.40	0.09	7.60		0,40		11.0-10.5
4 - 7	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	7.00		1.00		11.0-10.5
7 - 12	1.01	1.54	1.00	1.17	7.70		0.30		11.0-10.4
12 - 14	0.30	1.30	0.30	0.98	7.60		0.40		11.3-10.7
14 - 16	0.40	0.00	0.40	0.00	8.00		0.00		10.8-10.8
16 -21	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.60		0.40		10.8-10.5
21 - 28	0.40	0.23	0.40	0.17	6.80		1.20		10.8-10.5
28 - 35	1.21	0.66	1.20	0.50	6.80		1.20		10.9-10.2
35 - 42	1.21	1.31	1.20	1.00	6.24	0.99	1.77	4.63	11.1-10.3
Total	15.04	7.39	14.90	5.62	6.24	0.99	8.67	4.63	1

Results.

	Amount	Assays, mg/L, g/t		% D	istribution
Product	g. mL	Au		Au	Au (cum.)
Day 1 Carbon	8.9	146	1 Day Extraction =	17.3	17.3
Day 3 Carbon	10.1	73.7	3 Day Extraction =	9.9	27.3
Day 7 Carbon	10.2	69.2	7 Day Extraction =	9.4	36.7
Day 14 Carbon	10.2	66.8	14 Day Extraction =	9.1	45.8
Day 21 Carbon	10.2	39.5	21 Day Extraction =	5.4	51.1
Day 28 Carbon	10.0	30.7	28 Day Extraction =	4.1	55.2
Day 35 Carbon	10.4	22.9	35 Day Extraction =	3.2	58.4
Day 42 Carbon	10.3	16.9	42 Day Extraction =	2.3	60.7
Barren/Wash	14500.0	0.01	•	1.9	62.7
CN Residue	10000.0	0.28		37.3	37.3
Head (calc.)	10000.0	0.75		100.0	100.0
Head (direct)		1.37			
			Final Recover	y =	62.7

Size Analysis with Assay Results:

Screen Size	Weight	Assay A	Assay B	Assay Check
	g	<u>e</u> ′1	ę۴	git
+ 3m	5401.4	0.4	0.19	
+ 6m	1675.2	0.31	0.43	
+ 10m	879.6	0.29	0.21	
. + 35m	759.4	0.11	0.12	0.13
+ 100m	285.2	0.18	0.15	
- 100m	455.5	0.08	0.08	
Head	9456.3			0.28

Test No. HL	2	Project N	o. 5148			BCB			July 30, 1997
Purpose:		To evaluat	te heap les	ching of -1/2"	Composite	2 ore.			
Procedure:		Ten kilog pH 11.0 w dischargin 10g of Ac assay and test, soluti was termin predictabl residue was collected.	In kilograms of ore was placed in a 4 inch (diameter) column. Cyanide solution at I 11.0 was pumped to the top of the column at a rate of 1.3 mL/minute. The scharging pregnant leach solution was passed through a small column containing og of Activated Carbon in order to recover the Au. The carbon was removed for say and replaced with fresh carbon after 1. 3. 7, 14. and 21 days. Throughout the st. solution pH and NaCN concentrations were monitored and maintained. The test as terminated when the recovery rate had dropped to a sufficiently low or predictable edictable level. At the end of the test the column was allowed to drain and the sidue was washed with fresh water. The combined barren and wash solution was ollected. The barren wash solution and the residue were submitted for Au analysis.						
Feed:		10 Kg of minus 1 2" Composite 2 ore							
Solution Volu	ıme:	8000 ml Ore Height: Initial: 80.7 cm).7 cm		
Sol'n Compo	sition:	1.0 g/L N	CN				Final: 78	.4 cm	
pH Range:		11.0 with	Ca(OH)2						
Solution Flow	vrate:	1.3 mL/m	inute		•				
Carbon:		10 g							
Reagent Con	sumption	(kg/t of cy	anide feeu	1)	NaCN:	0.84	CaO:	0.54	
Time		Adde	d. Grams		Resi	dual	Cons	umed	
	Ac	tual	Eq	uivalent	Gra	ms	Gr	ims	pН
Days	NaCN	Ca(OH):	NaCN	CaO	NaCN	CaO	NaCN	CaO	
0 - 1	8.07	1.20	8.00	0.91	6.40		1.60		11.0-9.9

0 - 1	8.07	1.20	8.00	0.91	6.40		1.60		11.0-9.9
1 • 2	1.62	0.64	1.60	0.49	7.60		0.40		11.0-10.6
2 - 4	0.40	0.12	0.40	0.09	7.60		0.40		11.0-10.5
4 - 7	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	7.00		1.00		11.0-10.5
7 - 12	1.01	1.54	1.00	1.17	7.60		0.40		11.3-10.4
12 - 14	0.40	1.23	0.40	0.94	7.90		0.10		11.3-10.7
14 - 16	0.10	0.00	0.10	0.00	8.00		0.00		10.8-10.8
16 -21	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.80		1.20		10.8-10.5
21 - 28	1.21	0.23	1.20	0.18	8,00		0.00		10.8-10.4
28 - 35	0.00	1.63	0.00	1.24	6.40		1.60		11.2-10.6
35 - 42	1.62	1.07	1.60	0.81	6.34	0.69	1.66	5.44	11.4-10.6
Total	14.84	8.07	14.70	6.13	6.34	1).69	8.37	5.44	

Results:

	Amount	Assays, mg/L, g/t		% D	istribution
Product	g, mL	Au		Au	Au (cum.)
Day 1 Carbon	8.8	94.6	I Day Extraction =	10.0	10.0
Day 3 Carbon	10.2	74.1	3 Day Extraction =	9.0	19.0
Day 7 Carbon	10.1	53.8	7 Day Extraction =	6.5	25.5
Day 14 Carbon	10.2	*1.7.	14 Day Extraction =	10.0	35.5
Day 21 Carbon	10.3	40.7	21 Day Extraction =	5.0	40.5
Day 28 Carbon	10.0	26.1	28 Day Extraction =	3.1	43.6
Day 35 Carbon	10.4	19.7	35 Day Extraction =	2.5	46.1
Day 42 Carbon	10.3	15.1	42 Day Extraction =	1.9	47.9
Barren/Wash	15400.0	0.01	•	1.8	49.8
CN Residue	10000.0	0.42		50.2	50.2
Head (calc.)	10000.0	0.84		100.0	100.0
Head (direct)		0.96			
			Final Recover	\ '=	8.01

Size Analysis with Assay Results

Screen Size	Weight	Assay A	Assay B	Assay Check
	g	<u>e</u> 1	<u>e</u> rt	<u></u> t
+ 3m	5667.2	0.14	0.42	
+ 6m	1683.5	1.14	1.14	
+ 10m	804.7	0.66	0.35	
- 35m	729.4	0.1	0.09	
- 100m	273.6	0.09	0.09	
- 100m	362	0.06	0.07	
Head	9520.4			0.42

Test No. HL3	Project No. 5148	BCB	August 14, 1997					
Purpose:	To evaluate heap leaching of a	To evaluate heap leaching of agglomerated minus 6 mesh Composite 1 ore.						
Procedure:	Ten kilograms of ore was agglomerated with 20kg/t Portland dry cement and 0.5kg/t lime (0.66kg/t Ca(OH) ₂). The agglomerated ore was allowed to cure for ~4 days. Ten kilograms of ore was placed in a 4 inch (diameter) column. Cyanide solution at pH 11.0 was pumped to the top of the column at a rate of 1.3 mL/minute. The discharging pregnant leach solution was passed through a small column containing 10g of Activated Carbon in order to recover the Au. The carbon was removed for assay and replaced with fresh carbon after 1, 3, 7, 14, and 21 days. Throughout the test, solution pH and NaCN concentrations were monitored and maintained. The test was terminated when the recovery rate had dropped to a sufficiently low or predictable predictable level. At the end of the test the column was allowed to drain and the residue was washed with fresh water. The combined barren and wash solution was collected. The barren/wash solution and the residue were submitted for Au analysis.							
Feed:	10 Kg of minus 6 mesh Comj	posite 1 ore						
Solution Volume:	8000 mi	Ore Height: Initial: Final:	106.2 cm					
Sol'n Composition:	1.0 g/L NaCN	[[].	104 CM					
pH Range:	11.0 with Ca(OH)2							
Solution Flowrate:	1.3 mL/minute							
Carbon:	10 g							

Reagent Consumption (kg/t of cyanide feed)

1

NaCN: 0.60 CaO: 0.47

Time		Adde	d, Grams		Resi	Residual Consumed			
	Ac	tual	Equ	ivalent	Gra	ms	Gra	ums	pН
Davs	NaCN	Ca(OH) ₂	NaCN	CaO	NaCN	CaO	NaCN	CaO	
Agglomeratio	on:	6.579		5.00					
0 - 1	8.07	1.20	8.00	0.91	8.00		0.00		11.0-11.1
1 - 3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.20		0.80		11.1-11.5
3-7	0.81	0.00	0.80	0.00	8.00		0.00		11.5-11.4
7 - 14	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.00		1.00		11.4-11.7
14 - 21	1.01	0.00	1.00	0.00	8.00		0.00		11.7-11.9
21 - 28	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.60		0.40		11.9-11.6
28 - 35	0.40	0.00	0.40	0.00	5.60		2.40		11.6-11.4
35 - 42	2.42	0.00	2.40	0.00	6.56	1.18	1.44	4.73	11.4-11.3
Total	12.72	7.78	12.60	5.91	6.56	1.18	6.04	4.73]

Results:

	Amount	Assays, mg/L, g/t		% D	istribution
Product	g, mL	Au		Au	Au (cum.)
Day 1 Carbon	10.2	59.6	1 Day Extraction =	6.9	6.9
Day 3 Carbon	10.1	107	3 Day Extraction =	12.2	19.1
Day 7 Carbon	10.4	91.0	7 Day Extraction =	10.7	29.8
Day 14 Carbon	10.3	89.1	14 Day Extraction =	10.4	40.2
Day 21 Carbon	10.1	45.2	21 Day Extraction =	5.2	45.4
Day 28 Carbon	10.1	42.6	28 Day Extraction =	4.9	50.3
Day 35 Carbon	10.4	22.4	35 Day Extraction =	2.6	52.9
Day 42 Carbon	10.1	19.6	42 Day Extraction =	2.2	55.1
Barren/Wash	16400.0	0.01	•	1.9	57.0
CN Residue	10000.0	0.38		43.0	43.0
Head (calc.)	10000.0	0.88		100.0	100.0
Head (direct)		1.37			
· · · · ·			Final Recover	~ =	57.0

Size Analysis with Assay Results:

Screen Size	Weight g	Assay A g/t	Assay B g/t	Assay Check g/t
+ 1/2	7509	0.28	0.53	
+ 1/4	803.1	0.12	0.28	
+ 6m	162.1	0.32	0.62	0.73
+ 10m	189.7	0.1	0.35	
+ 35m	406.2	0.39	0.5	
+ 100m	179.7	0.2	0.16	
- 100m	250.7	0.09		
Head	-9500.5			0.38

.

Test No. HL4	Project No. 5148	BCB	August 14, 1997				
Purpose:	To evaluate heap leaching of	To evaluate heap leaching of agglomerated minus 6 mesh Composite 2 ore.					
Procedure:	Ten kilograms of ore was agglomerated with 20kg/t Portland dry cement and 0.5kg/t lime $(0.66kg/t Ca(OH)_2)$. The agglomerated ore was allowed to cure for ~ 4 days. Ten kilograms of ore was placed in a 4 inch (diameter) column. Cyanide solution at pH 11.0 was pumped to the top of the column at a rate of 1.3 mL minute. The discharging pregnant leach solution was passed through a small column containing 10g of Activated Carbon in order to recover the Au. The carbon was removed for assay and replaced with fresh carbon after 1, 3, 7, 14, and 21 days. Throughout the test, solution pH and NaCN concentrations were monitored and maintained. The test was terminated when the recovery rate had dropped to a sufficiently low or predictable predictable level. At the end of the test the column was allowed to drain and the residue was washed with fresh water. The combined barren and wash solution was collected. The barrenvash solution and the residue were submitted for Au analysis.						
Feed:	10 Kg of minus 6 mesh Co	mposite 2 ore					
Solution Volume:	8000 ml	Ore Height: Initia	al: 117.0 cm				
Sol'n Composition:	1.0 g/L NaCN	Fina	d: 114.1 cm				
pH Range:	11.0 with Ca(OH)2						
Solution Flowrate:	1.3 mL/minute						
Carbon:	10 g						
Reagent Consumption	on (kg/t of cyanide feed)	NaCN: 0.68	CaO: 0.53				

.

Time	ſ	Adde	d. Grams		Resi	dual	Consu	Imed	
	Ac	tual	Equ	ivalent	Gra	ms	Gra	កាន	pH
Days	NaCN	Ca(OH) ₁	NaCN	CaO	NaCN	CaO	NaCN	CaO	
Agglomerati	on:	6.579		5.00					
0 - 1	8.07	1.20	8.00	0.91	8,00		0.00		11.0-11.1
1 - 3	0.00	0,00	0.00	0.00	6.80		1.20		11.1-11.4
3 -7	1.21	0,00	1.20	0.00	7.60		0,40		11.4-11.4
7 - 14	0.40	0.00	0.40	0.00	8.00		0.00		11.4-11.6
14 - 21	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.00		0.00		11.6-12.0
21 - 28	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.20		0.80		12.0-11.8
28 - 35	0.81	0.00	0.80	0.00	5.28		2.72		11.8-11.4
35 - 42	2.75	0.00	2.72	0.00	6.35	0.60	1.65	5.31	11.4-11.3
Total	13.24	7.78	13.12	5.91	6.35	0.60	6.77	5.31	

.

Results:

	Amount	Assays, mg/L, g/t		% D	istribution
Product	g, mL	Au		Au	Au (cum.)
Day 1 Carbon	10.0	45.9	1 Day Extraction =	6.5	6.5
Day 3 Carbon	10.1	100	3 Day Extraction =	14.2	20.7
Day 7 Carbon	10.3	103	7 Day Extraction =	14.9	35.6
Day 14 Carbon	10.3	114	14 Day Extraction =	16.5	52.1
Day 21 Carbon	10.2	52.5	21 Day Extraction =	7.5	59.7
Day 28 Carbon	10.1	25.5	28 Day Extraction =	3.6	63.3
Day 35 Carbon	10.4	19.3	35 Day Extraction =	2.8	66.1
Day 42 Carbon	10.2	13.7	42 Day Extraction =	2,0	68.1
Barren/Wash	16700.0	<0.01		2.4	70.4
CN Residue	10000.0	0.21		29.6	29.6
Head (calc.)	10000.0	0.71		100.0	100.0
Head (direct)		0.96			
			Final Recover	v=	70.4

Size Analysis with Assay Results:

Screen Size	Weight	Assay A	Assay B	Assay Check
	<u> </u>	g/t	g/1	<u>g</u> /t
+ 1/2	8465.6	0.19	0.25	l
+ 1/4	230.4	0.09	0.11	
÷6m	86.7	0.05	0.06	
+ 10m	215.6	0.08	0.08	
+ 35m	380.1	0.25	0.21	
+ 100m	170.4	0.08	0.08	0.12
- 100m	318.5	0.06	0.06	0.05
Head	9867.3			0.21

CORE SAMPLE BULK DENSITY

Project Number Project Name Sample Description 5148 Golden Goose Various Core Samples

Wax	SG	0.8913	g/cm	
Water	Temp	25	"C	
	Density	0.997	g/cm ¹	

	Sample		Weight (g)			Volume (cm ³)			Rock Density	
No.	Description	Dry Rock	Rock Coated with wax	Water Displacement	Rock Coated with wax	Wax	Rock	SG	Density (lbs/ft ³)	
1	Iron Formation	322.6	331.7	126.9	127.3	10.2	117.1	2.76	172	
2	Iron Formation	299.0	304.1	113.0	113.3	5.7	107.6	2.78	173	
3	Iron Formation	207.7	212.3	79.8	80.0	5.1	74.9	2.77	173	
4	Iron Formation	660.5	669.4	244.4	245.1	10.0	235.1	2.81	175	
5	Iron Formation	247.7	253.5	95.3	95.6	6.5	89.0	2.78	174	
	Average							2.78	174	
6	Granodiorite	355.4	364.1	141.3	141.7	9.8	132.0	2.69	168	
7	Granodiorite	343.7	349.1	131.6	132.0	6.0	125.9	2.73	170	
8	Granodiorite	323.1	329.8	127.5	127:9	7.6	120.3	2.69	168	
9	Granodiorite	314.3	320.7	123.2	123.6	7.1	116.4	2.70	169	
10	Granodiorite	342.4	349.3	133.8	134.2	7.8	126.4	2.71	169	
	Average							2.70	169	
11	Intermediate Tuff	301.8	306.0	115.1	115.4	4.7	110.7	2.73	170	
12	Intermediate Tuff	233.4	236.8	89.7	90.0	3.8	86.2	2.71	169	
13	Intermediate Tuff	799.1	811.9	295.4	296.3	14.4	281.9	2.83	177	
14	Intermediate Tuff	335.1	341.3	128.6	129.0	7.0	122.0	2.75	172	
15	Intermediate Tuff	349.7	353.9	134.1	134.5	4.8	129.7	2.70	168	
	Average							2.74	171	
16	Felsite	345.6	352.1	135.7	136.1	7.3	128.8	2.68	168	
17	Felsite	357.8	364.5	140.5	140.9	7.5	133.4	2.68	167	
18	Felsite	351.6	358.0	137.5	137.9	7.2	130.7	2.69	168	
	Average							2.69	168	
19	Mafic Volcanic	587.5	603.1	231.4	232.1	17.5	214.5	2.74	171	
20	Mafic Volcanic	696.6	710.9	264.9	265.7	16.0	249.7	2.79	174	
21	Mafie Volcanic	958.9	978.4	367.3	368.4	21,9	346.5	2.77	173	
22	Malic Volcanic	708.2	718.2	266.9	267.7	11.2	256.5	2.76	172	
23/	Mafic Volcanic	347.2	354.0	130.5	130.9	7.6	123.3	2.82	176	
23B	Mafic Volcanic	317.7	323.4	120.8	121.2	6.4	114.8	2.77	173	
	Average							2.77	173	

APPENDIX B

Metallurgical Report by Knappes, Cassiday and Associates

Magino Mine, Metallurgical Report, June 1999

Contents

1.0	Summary of Laboratory Testwork	1
	1.1 Sample Receipt	1
	1.2 Head Analyses	1
	1.3 Cyanide Bottle Leach Tests	2
	1.4 Cyanide Column Leach Tests	2
2.0	Sample Receipt	6
3.0	Sample Preparation	10
	3.1 Mafic Volcanic Composite	10
	3.2 Granodiorite Composite	10
4.0	Head Analyses	12
	4.1 Precious Metal Analyses	12
	4.2 Multi-element Analysis	22
5.0	Cyanide Bottle Roll Leach Tests	23
	5.1 Cyanide Bottle Tests on Pulverized (-0.150mm) Material	23
6.0	Laboratory Scale Cyanide Column Leach Tests	28
	6.1 Precious Metal Recovery	28
	6.3 Description of Cyanide Column Leach Test Apparatus	33
	6.3.1 Drip Leach Test Apparatus	33
	6.3.2 Column Set-up	33
	6.4 History of Column Leach Tests	35
	6.4.1 Start-up of Tests	35
	6.4.2 Solution Clarity and Colour	35
	6.4.3 Copper Analyses in Solution	35
	6.4.4 Mercury Analyses	36
	6.4.5 Cyanide Strength and Alkalinity	36
	6.4.6 Percent Slump	37
	6.5 Tailings Analyses	37
	6.6 Gold Recovery vs Grain Size	46
7.0	Assaying Procedures	51
	7.1 Heads and Tailings	51
	7.2 Carbon Assays	51
	7.3 Solution Assays	51
	7.4 Cyanide Assays	51

i,

Tables

Table 1-1	Fire Assays on Head Material and Calculated Head Grade	1
Table 1-2	Summary of Bottle Leach Tests	2
Table 1-4	Summary of Column Leach Test	2
Table 1-5	Results of Head vs Tail Analysis	3
Table 1-6	Summary of Metallurgical Tests	5
Table 2-1	Mafic Volcanics, Outline of Core Samples Received	7
Table 2-2	Granodiorite, Outline of Core Samples Received	8
Table 2-3	Granodiorite, Outline of Core Samples Received	9
Table 4-1	Head Assays	12
Table 4-2	Metallic Screen Analysis	12
Table 4-3	Precious Metal Head Screen Analyses	13
Table 4-4	Mafic Volc, Sample 27088A, -22.4mm, Metal Head	
	Screen Analyses and Fire Assay	14
Table 4-5	Mafic Volc, Sample 27088B, -9.5mm, Metal Head	
	Screen Analyses and Fire Assay	15
Table 4-6	Granodiorite, Sample 27089B, -22.4mm, Metal Head	
	Screen Analyses and Fire Assay	16
Table 4-7	Granodiorite, Sample 27089C, -9.5mm, Metal Head	
	Screen Analyses and Fire Assay	17
Table 4-8	Mafic Volc, Sample 27088A, -22.4mm, Head	
	Screen Analysis and Assays	18
Table 4-9	Mafic Volc, Sample 27088B, -9.5mm, Head	
	Screen Analysis and Assays	19
Table 4-10	Granodiorite, Sample 27089B, -22.4mm, Head	
	Screen Analysis and Assays	20
Table 4-11	Granodiorite, Sample 27089C, -9.5mm, Head	
	Screen Analysis and Assays	21
Table 4-12	Multi-element Head Analyses	22
Table 5-1	Mafic Volc, Cyanide Bottle Leach Test, -0.150mm	24
Table 5-2	Mafic Volc, Cyanide Bottle Leach Test, -9.5mm	25
Table 5-3	Granodiorite, Cyanide Bottle Leach Test, -0.150mm	26
Table 5-4	Granodiorite, Cyanide Bottle Leach Test, -9.5mm	27
Table 6-1	Column Leach Parameters	28
Table 6-2	Results of Column Leach Tests	28
Table 6-3	Mafic Volc, Cyanide Column Leach Test, -22.4mm	29
Table 6-4	Mafic Volc, Cyanide Column Leach Test, -9.5mm	30
Table 6-5	Granodiorite. Cyanide Column Leach Test22.4mm	31
Table 6-6	Granodiorite, Cvanide Column Leach Test9.5mm	32
Table 6-7	Column Testy Solution Colour and Clarity	35
Table 6-8	Copper Concentration in Column Leach Solutions	35
Table 6-9	Mercury Analysis of C-1 Carbon Sample	36

.....

Tables (cont)

Table 6-10	Column Test Reagent Consumptions	36
Table 6-11	Column Test Percent Slump	37
Table 6-12	Retained Moisture in Column Leach Tests	37
Table 6-13	Mafic Volc, Tail Metallic Screen Analysis and	
	Fire Assay, -22.4mm, Sample 27088A	38
Table 6-14	Mafic Volc, Tail Metallic Screen Analysis and	
	Fire Assay, -9.5mm, Sample 27088B	39
Table 6-15	Granodiorite, Tail Metallic Screen Analysis and	
	Fire Assay, -22.4mm, Sample 27089B	40
Table 6-16	Granodiorite, Tail Metallic Screen Analysis and	
	Fire Assay, -9.5mm, Sample 27089C	41
Table 6-17	Mafic Volc, Tail Screen Analysis and	
	Fire Assay, -22.4mm, Sample 27088A	42
Table 6-18	Mafic Volc, Tail Screen Analysis and	
	Fire Assay, -9.5mm, Sample 27088B	43
Table 6-19	Granodiorite, Tail Screen Analysis and	
	Fire Assay, -22.4mm, Sample 27089B	44
Table 6-20	Granodiorite, Tail Screen Analysis and	
	Fire Assay, -9.5mm, Sample 27089C	45
Table 6-21	Mafic Volc, Head Screen vs Tail Screen Assays, -22.4mm	47
Table 6-22	Mafic Volc, Head Screen vs Tail Screen Assays, -9.5mm	48
Table 6-23	Granodiorite, Head Screen vs Tail Screen Assays, -22.4mm	49
Table 6-21	Granodiorite, Head Screen vs Tail Screen Assays, -9.,5mm	50

Figures

Figure 1-1 Column Test Program	3
Figure 6-1 Column Test Apparatus	34
Appendix B.1 Column Test Logs	52

. **.**

Magino Project Report of Metallurgical Tests January 1999

Prepared for:

Golden Goose Resources, Inc. 365 Bay Street, Suite 200 Toronto, Ontario Canada M5H 2V1

Prepared by:

Kappes, Cassiday & Associates 7950 Security Circle Reno, Nevada 89506



7950 Security Circle • Reno, Nevada USA 89506 • Telephone: (702) 972-7575 • FAX: (702) 972-4567

18 January 1999

Magino Project Report of Metallurgical Tests

1.0 Summary of Laboratory Testwork

This report presents the data from metallurgical tests performed by Kappes, Cassiday & Associates (KCA) on core from the Magino project in Canada. Four (4) separate column leach tests were completed on two composites of core material. Column tests were conducted at crushed sizes of minus 22.4 and minus 9.5 millimeters.

1.1 Sample Receipt

On 17 August 1998, fourteen large bags of split NQ core were delivered to Kappes, Cassiday & Associates (KCA) in Reno, Nevada. The core samples were identified as intervals from Mafic Volcanics area and as intervals from the Granodiorite area.

The core intervals received by KCA were identified as outlined in Section 2.0.

1.2 Head Analyses

Head analyses were completed in duplicate as well as via a metallic screen assay procedure on each sample. Head screen analyses with assays being completed via a metallic screen assay procedure were also completed on a portion of the material prepared for column leach testing. Table 1-1 contains the summary of these results. For completeness, this table also includes data for the calculated head assays obtained from the metallurgical tests.

KCA Sample No.	Magino I.D.	Average Head Assay, gms Au/MT	Metallic Head Assay, gms Au/MIT	Metallic Screen Head -22.4mm, gins Au'MT	Metallic Screen Head -9.5mm, gms Au/MT	Overall Average Head, gins Au/MT
27088	Mafic Volcanics	0.88	0,85	0.93	1.64	1.08
27089	Granodiorite	0.96	0.93	1.56	1.82	1.32

Table 1-1.						
Fire Assays of	on Head	Material	and	Calculated	Head	Results

KCA Sample	Magino	Bottle Cale. Hd., -0.150mm	Bottle Cale. Hd., -9.5mm	Column Cale, Hd., -22.40un, ams Au/MT	Column Cale, Hd., -9.5mm, mos Au/MT	Overall Average Cale. Head
27088	Matie Volcanics	0.73	0.85	0.94	1.60	1.03
27089	Granodiorite	1.57	1.61	1.72	1.41	1.58

doc.file: wdocs/magrpt

_Kappes, Cassiday & Associates

Slight variations in overall grade were obtained over the test program but do not appear to be indicative of any problem in determining gold recovery for the Magino project.

1.3 Cyanide Bottle Leach Tests

Cyanide bottle roll tests were conducted on each sample at a grind size of minus 0.15mm. Additional bottle roll tests were conducted on each sample at a crush size of minus 9.5mm, which was the same crush size as utilized for one series of column leach tests in this test program. Table 1-2 contains a summary of these test results.

KCA	KOA			Calculated	Average	Metal	D
Sample	KCA	Magino	Crush	Head,	1211,	Extracted,	Days
No.	Test No.	I.D.	Size, mm	gms Au/MT	gms Au/MT	% Au	Leaching
27088	27116 A	Mafic	-0.150	0.73	0.05	93.2	2
		Volcanics					
27088 B	27116 C	Mafic	-9.5	0.85	0.54	36.5	4
		Volcanics				l	[
27089	27116 B	Grandiorite	-0.150	1.57	0.05	96.8	2
27089 C	27185 A	Granodiorite	-9.5	1.61	1.12	30.4	4
		Average -	-0.150			95.0	2
	1	Average -	-9.5	[[33.5	4

Table 1-2.Summary of Bottle Leach Tests

The bottle leach tests indicated that both samples were amenable to cyanidation at a grind size of less than 0.15mm.

1.4 Cyanide Column Leach Tests

Column leach tests were initiated on both composite samples received from the Magino project. Tests were run at two separate crushed sizes: minus 22.4 and 9.5 millimeters. Results of the leach tests were summarized in Table 1-4.

·	Table 1-4	•	
Summary of	f Column	Leach	Tests

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
KCA	KCA	Magino	Crush	Days	Calc. Head,	Recovery,	Recovery,
Sample No.	Test No.	I.D.	Size, mm	Leach	gms Au/MT	gms Au/MT	%
27088 A	27120	Mafic Volcanics	- 22.4	63	0.94	0.35	37.2
27088 B	27123	Mafic Volcanics	- 9.5	63	1.60	0.79	49.5 -)
27089 B	27126	Granodiorite	- 22.4	63	1.72	0.56	32.5
27088 C	27129	Granodiorite	- 9.5	63	1.41	0.79	56.0
		Average	- 22.4	63			34.9
		Average	- 9.5	63		1	52.8

Kappes, Cassiday & Associates

Magino Project	
Report of Metallurgical Testwork	

In order to determine if finer crushing would possibly increase the overall gold recovery achieved via column leaching, an analysis was conducted comparing the head and tail assays. Table 1-5 contains the data resulting from this analysis.

Table 1-5.	
Results of Head vs. Tail Analysis	•
Cumulative Percent Gold Recovered	

Crush	Volcanic Matics @	Volcanic Matics	Expected	Granadiarite @	Granadiarite @	Expected	Overall Expected
Size, mm	- 22.4mm	- 9.5mm	Mafic Volcanics	-22.4mm	-9.5mm	Granodiorite	Recovery, %
- 22.4	32.5		33.	28.3 *		28.	30.
- 19.0	29.3		29.	33.5		34.	31.
- 12.5	26.0		26.	44.0		44.	35.
- 9.5	37.0	31.1	34.	62.9	59.6	61.	43 .·
- 6.3	46.4	38.3	42.	79.7	62.3	71	57.
- 4.75		49.5	50.		74.4	74.	62.
- 3.35	78.8	55.4	67.	81.7	68.9	73.	71.
- 1.70 Israt	84.4	87.4	86.	88.5	89.4	89.	87.
- 0.60	94.1	98.3	96.	95.6	94.1	95.	96.
- 0.212		97.9	98.		97.1	97.	98.
KCA Test No.	27120	27123		27126	27129		
Actual Column Recovery, % Au	37.2	49.5		32.5	56.0		

Results of the column leach tests were shown graphically in Figure 1-1.



Figure 1-1. Column Test Program

Kappes, Cassiday & Associates

Based upon the samples submitted by the client, KCA can estimate gold recovery for an orebody based upon the assumption that the orebody is similar to the samples tested. For feasibility study purposes, KCA normally discounts laboratory gold recoveries by three to five percent when estimating field recoveries.

Gold recovery from the column test completed on the Mafic Volcanic material at a crushed size of minus 9.5 millimeters indicated a calculated recovery of 49.5%. We would expect that field recovery from this material would average 45% if the heaps and material were managed correctly.

Gold recovery from the column test completed on the Granodiorite material at a crushed size of minus 9.5 millimeters indicated a calculated recovery of 56%. We would expect that field recovery from this material would average 51% if the heaps and material were managed correctly.

An evaluation of the head versus tails screen analysis for these column tests (Table 1-5) indicates that a higher gold recovery could be achieved with finer crushing. The analysis indicates that a gold recovery of approximately 80% could be achieved from the Mafic Volcanic material by crushing to minus 1.70 millimeters. A similar analysis for the Granodiorite material indicates that a gold recovery of approximately 84% could be achieved by crushing the material to minus 1.70 millimeters.

Crushing the material to this size would require four stages of crushing, most likely with the introduction of a fourth stage impact crusher (i.e. vertical shaft impact crusher).

Based upon KCA's experience with mostly clean non-reactive ores, cyanide consumption in production heaps is usually only 30 percent of laboratory column test consumptions. Hydrated lime additions in production heaps should be similar to those observed for laboratory tests. Sodium cyanide, cement and lime requirements for the material will have to be examined further if the material is to be treated at crushed sizes of less than 9.5 millimeters.

Table 1-6 contains a summary of the metallurgical tests. Recovery results contained in the body of this report were based upon carbon assays vs. the calculated head (carbon assays plus the weighted average tail assays). Recovery results contained in the attached appendix were based upon the daily solution assays vs. the calculated head (solution assays plus the weighted average tailings assays).

Table 1-6.Magino ProjectSummary of Metallurgical Tests

.

KCA Sample No.	Test Type	Magino I.D.	KCA Test No.	Average Head, Au g/MT	Metallic Head, Au g/MT	· Wi., Avg. Screen, Au g/MT	Calculated Head, Au g/MT	Recov., Au g/MT	Tails, Au g/MT	Recov., % Au	Sample Weight, kg	Crush Size, mm	Leach Time, days	Cons. NaCN, kg/MT	Added Ca(OH)2, kg/MT
27088	Bottle	Matic Volcanic	27116 A	0.88	0,85		0.73	0.68	0.05	93.2	0.50	-0.150	2	0.49	1.00
27088 B	Bottle	Matic Volcanic	27116 B		••	••	0.85	0,31	0.54	36.5	1.00	-9.5	4	0.12	0.50
27088 A	Column	Mafic Volcanic	27120			0.93	0.94	0.35	0.59	37.2	20.00	-22.4	63	0.60	0.50
27088 B	Column	Mafic Volcanic	27123			1.64	1.60	49.5	0.81	49.5	20.00	-9.5	63	0.70	0.50
27089	Bottle	Granodiorite	27116 B	0.96	1.03		1.57 ·	1.52	0.05	96.8	0.50	-0.150	2	0.45	0.80
27089 C	Bottle	Granodiorite	27185 A	**	••	••	1.61	0,49	1.12	30.4	1.00	-9.5	4	0.23	0.30
									_						
27089 B	Column	Granodiorite	27126			1.56	1.72	0.56	1.16	32.5	40.00	-22,4	63	0.55	0.50
27089 C	Column	Granodiorite	27129	•		1.82	1.41	0.79	0.62	56.0	40.00	-9.5	63	0.54	0.50

2.0 Sample Receipt

On 17 August 1998, fourteen large bags of split NQ core were delivered to Kappes, Cassiday & Associates (KCA) in Reno, Nevada. The core samples were identified as intervals from Mafic Volcanics area and as intervals from the Granodiorite area.

In general, the core material received was gray to white in color with some black discoloration. The core appeared competent and did not breakdown easily by hand. The material did not break down when immersed in water.

Kappes, Cassiday & Associates

Table 2-1.

Magino Project Mafic Volcanics Outline of Core Samples Received

Hole	Sample	From	To	Length	Weight,	Assay,
No.	No.	Feet	Feet	Feet	kg	gms Au/MT
97-03	7523	206.69	209.97	3.28	2.12	2.71
	7524	209.97	213.25	3.28	2.14	0.44
97-04	7717	147.64	150.92	3.28	1.96	0.58
	7718	150.92	154.20	3.28	2.10	0.01
	7721	160.76	164.04	3.28	2.08	0.64
	7722	164.04	167.32	3.28	1.86	0.76
	7723	167.32	170.80	3.28	1.74	0.11
	7724	170.60	173.88	3.28	2.32	1.03
	7725	173.88	177.16	3.28	2.04	0.93
	7726	177.16	180.44	3.28	2.06	0.01
	7727	180.44	183.72	3.28	2.14	2.26
	7734	203.41	206.69	3.28	2.18	2.47
	7735	206.69	209.97	3.28	2.18	2.82
	7747	246.06	249.34	3.28	2.24	1.08
	7748	249.34	252.62	3.28	2.42	0.22
	7749	252.62	254.92	2.30	1.42	· 3.68
97-05	7965	85.30	88.58	3.28	1.96	0.41
-	7966	88.58	91.86	3.28	2.10	0.51
	7967	91.66	95.14	3.28	1.94	7.16
	7968	95.14	97.44	2.30	1.38	3.02
97-10	8892	403.64	405.18	1.64	2.04	10.42
	8895	406.82	410.10	3.28	2.40	0.38
	8896	410.10	413.38	3.28	2.12	0.41
	8897	413.38	416.66	3.28	2.28	0.16
	8898	416.66	419.94	3.28	2.26	0.78

_Kappes, Cassiday & Associates
Table 2-2.Magino ProjectGranodioriteOutline of Core Samples Received

Hole	Sample	From	To	Length	Weight	Assay,
No.	No.	Feet	Feet	Feet	kg	gms Au/MT
97-01	7108	472.44	476,72	3.28	2.08	0.52
	7109	475.72	479.00	3.28	2.16	0.47
-	7110	479	482.28	3.28	2.20	1.61
	7111	482.28	485.56	3.28	2.10	1.2
	7112	485.56	488.84	3.28	2.06	0.54
	7113	488.84	492.12	3.28	2.04	0.89
	7114	492.12	485.40	3.28	2.14	0.14
	7115	495.4	498.68	3.28	1.90	7.37
	7116	498.68	501.96	3.28	2.28	0.4
	7117	501.96	505.24	3.28	1.98	0.71
	7118	505.24	508.52	3.28	1.98	0.37
	7119	508.52	511.80	3.28	2.02	0.2
	7120	511.8	515.08	3.28	2.14	0.15
	7121	615.08	518.36	3.28	2.16	2.27
	7122	518.36	521.64	3.28	2.04	11.43
	7123	521.64	524.92	3.28	2.26	0.59
	7124	524.92	528.20	3.28	1.88	0.68
	7125	528.2	531.48	3.28	2.04	2.37
	7126	531.48	534.76	3.28	2.10	2.26
	7127	534.76	538.04	3.28	1.90	0.69
	7128	638.04	541.32	3.28	2.00	1.21
97-02	7308	311.68	314.96	3.28	1.94	1.1
	7309	314.96	316.80	1.64	1.02	1.16
•	7312	318.24	321.52	3.28	2.08	0.16
	7313	321.52	324.80	3.28	2.14	0.02
	7314	324.8	328.08	3.28	2.06	1.56
	7315	328.08	331.36	3.28	2.00	4.15
97-04	7788	380.57	383.85	3.28	1.92	2.3
	7789	383.85	387.13	3.28	2.06	2.47
	7790	387.13	390.41	3.28	2.22	3.27
	7791	390.41	383.69	3.28	2.10	0.57
	7792	393.69	396.97	3.28	2.02	0.48
	7793	396.97	400.25	3.28	2.12	6.47
	7794	400.26	403.53	3.28	1.98	0.49
	7795	403.63	406.81	3.28	2.10	0.24
	7796	406.81	410.09	3.28	2.28	0.68
	7797	410.09	413.37	3.28	2.00	0.17
	7798	413.37	418.65	3.28	2.08	0.22
	7799	416.65	419.93	3.28	2.10	0.62
	7800	419.93	423.21	3.28	2.00	0.68

Table 2-3. Magino Project Granodiorite Outline of Core Samples Received

Hole	Sample	From	To	Length	Weight.	Assay.
No.	No.	Feet	Feet	Feet	kg	gms Au/MT
97-05	7969	97.44	101.70	4.26	2.52	5.76
	7970	101.7	104.98	3.28	2.48	2.16
	7971	104.98	108.26	3.28	2.22	1.99
	7972	108.28	111.54	3.28	2.18	0.38
	7973	111.54	114.82	3.28	1.88	0.21
	7974	114.82	118.10	3.28	2,12	0.25
	7976	118.1	121.38	3.28	1.72	1.26
	7978	121.38	124.68	3.28	1.96	0.65
97-06	8285	236.22	239,50	3.28	2.30	1.165
	8286	239.5	242.78	3.28	1.98	0.22
	8287	242.78	246.06	3.28	2.04	0.54
	8288	246.06	249.34	3.28	2.06	8.34
•	8289	249,34	252.62	3.28	2.16	0.83
······	8290	252.82	255.90	3.28	2.48	0.76
	8291	255.9	269.18	3.28	2.36	2.74
	8292	259.18	262.46	3.28	1.62	3.19
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	8293	282.46	265.74	3.28	1.84	0.03
	8294	265.74	269.02	3.28	1.78	0.04
	8295	269.02	272.30	3.28	2.08	0.39
	8296	272.3	275.58	3.28	2.16	0.6
	8297	275.58	276.86	3.28	2.06	0.35
	8298	278.86	282.14	3.28	2.04	0.19
	8299	282.14	285.42	3.28	2.02	0.02
	8301	285.42	288.70	3.28	2.00	0.77
97-07	8362	252.62	255.90	3.28	2.08	1.3
	8363	255.9	259.18	3.28	2.02	0.53
	8364	259.18	262.46	3.28	2.24	6.52
	8365	262.46	265.74	3.28	2.12	0.13
	8365	265.74	269.02	3.28	1.94	0.73
97-10	8803	134.61	137.79	3.28	2.18	0.99
	8804	137.79	141.07	3.28	2.34	0.19
	8805	141.07	144.35	3.28	2.26	1.07
	8806	144.35	147.63	3.28	2.10	1.83
	8807	147.63	150.91	3.28	2.34	3.04
	8808	150.91	154.19	3.28	2.10	1.28
97-09	5611	354.24	357.52	3.28	2.20	0.01
	5612	357.52	360.80	3.28	2.32	0.06
	5613	360.80	364.08	3.28	2.50	0.18
	5614	364.08	367.36	3.28	2.38	0.13
	5615	367.36	370.64	3.28	2.34	5.70
	5616	370.64	373.92	3.28	2.24	0.01
	5617	373.92	377.20	3.28	2.46	0.04

3.0 Sample Preparation

3.1 Mafic Volcanics Composite

Each individual sample identified as part of the Mafic Volcanic zone was weighed and then combined into a single composite sample (KCA Composite Sample No. 27088).

The composite sample was then prepared as follows:

- 1. The composite was stage crushed to minus 22.4mm.
- 2. The crushed material was coned three times and then quartered.
- 3. Two opposite quarters were combined and screened and size adjusted, as necessary, to obtain a standard crushed product. After size adjustment, the material was blended and a 20-kilogram portion was split out and the remainder was utilized for a head screen analysis. The material for head screen analysis was screened at 22.4, 19.0, 12.5, 9.5, 6.3, 3.35, 1.70 and 0.60mm. Each size fraction was weighed and then assayed for gold and silver.
- 4. The remaining two quarters were combined and stage crushed to minus 9.5mm. The crushed product was size adjusted, as necessary, to obtain a standard crushed product. After size adjustment, the material was blended, a 20-kilogram portion and a 3-kilogram portion were split out and the remainder was utilized for a head analysis. The material for head screen analysis was screened at 9.5, 6.3, 4.75, 3.35, 1.70 and 0.60mm. Each size fraction was weighed and then assayed for gold and silver. The material for the head analysis was blended and a 1,000-gram portion was split out for a coarse bottle leach test. The remainder was crushed to minus 1.70mm. From the minus 1.70mm material, two portions weighing 500-grams and one portion weighing 1,000-grams were split out and pulverized. From each of the 500-gram pulverized portions a pulp was cut out and submitted for assay of gold and silver. From the 1,000-gram portion, a 500-gram split was taken and utilized for a bottle leach test.

3.2 Granodiorite Composite

Each individual sample identified as part of the Granodiorite zone was weighed and then combined into a single composite sample (KCA Composite Sample No. 27089).

The composite sample was then prepared as follows:

- 1. The composite was stage crushed to minus 22.4mm.
- 2. The crushed material was coned three times and then quartered. One quarter was selected and stored.
- 3. The remaining three-quarters were combined, coned three times and then quartered.

- 4. Two opposite quarters were combined and screened and size adjusted, as necessary, to obtain a standard crushed product. After size adjustment, the material was blended and a 40-kilogram portion was split out and the remainder was utilized for a head screen analysis. The material for head screen analysis was screened at 22.4, 19.0, 12.5, 9.5, 6.3, 3.35, 1.70 and 0.60mm. Each size fraction was weighed and then assayed for gold and silver.
- 5. The remaining two quarters were combined and stage crushed to minus 9.5mm. The crushed product was size adjusted, as necessary, to obtain a standard crushed product. After size adjustment, the material was blended, a 20-kilogram portion and a 3-kilogram portion were split out and the remainder was utilized for a head analysis. The material for head screen analysis was screened at 9.5, 6.3, 4.75, 3.35, 1.70 and 0.60mm. Each size fraction was weighed and then assayed for gold and silver. The material for the head analysis was blended and a 1,000-gram portion was split out for a coarse bottle roll leach test. The remainder was crushed to minus 1.70mm. From the minus 1.70mm material, two portions weighing 500-grams and one portion weighing 1,000-grams were split out and pulverized. From each of the 500-gram pulverized portions a pulp was cut out and submitted for assay of gold and silver. From the 1,000-gram portion, a 500-gram split was taken and utilized for a bottle leach test.

4.0 Head Analyses

4.1 **Precious Metal Analyses**

Head analyses were completed in duplicate as well as via a metallic screen assay procedure on each sample. Tables 4-1 and 4-2 contain a summary of the results of these analyses.

Table 4-1. Head Assays

KCA Sample No.	Magino Identification	Fire Assay Au, g/MT	Average Au, g/MT	Fire Assay Ag, g/MT	Average Ag, g/MT
27088	Mafic Volcanics	0.79	0.88	<1.7	<1.7
		0.96	· · · · ·	<1.7	
27089	Granodiorite	0.96	0.96	2.1	3.6
		0.96		5.1	

Table 4-2.Metallic Screen Analysis

KCA Sample No	Magino Identification	Size Fraction, mm	Weight, grams	Weight, Percent	Assay, gms Au/MT	Avg., gms Au/MT	Assay, gms Ag/MT	Avg., gms Ag/MT
27088	Mafic Volcanics	+0.106	73.33	12.89	0.55	0.55	<1.7	<1.7
<u> </u>		-0.106	495.40	87.11	0.89	0.89	<1.7	<1.7
					0.89		<1.7	
		Total:	568.73	100.00				
		Wt Avg.:		T		0.85		<1.7

KCA Sample No	Magino Identification	Size Fraction, mm	Weight, grams	Weight, Percent	Assay, gms Au/MT	Avg., gms Au/MT	Assay, gms Ag/MT	Avg., gms Ag/MT
27089	Granodiorite	+0.106	86.34	13.19	0.34	0.34	<1.7	<1.7
	1	-0.106	568.10	86.81	1.34	1.14	<1.7	2.6
		1			1.37		3.8	
		1	-	1	0.75			
		1			1.10			
		Total:	654.44	100.00				
	[Wt Avg.:				1.03		2.4

...

Head screen analyses with metallic screen assays on each size fraction were conducted on each column feed sample. Table 4-3 summarizes the results of these analyses.

KCA Sample No.	Magino I.D.	Crush Size, Mm	Screen Average, gms Au/MT	Screen Average, gins Ag/MT
27088 A	Mafic Volcanics	-22.4	0.93	<1.7
27088 B	Mafic Volcanics	-9.5	1.64	<1.7
27089 B	Granodiorite	-22.4	1.56	<1.7
27089 C	Granodiorite	-9.5	1.82	<1.7

Table 4-3.Precious Metal Head Screen Analyses

Tables 4-4 through 4-11 contain the complete results of the screen analyses with assays by size fraction for the two crush sizes utilized for the column leach tests in this test program.

Table 4-4.

4

Magino Project Mafic Volcanics Composite KCA Sample No. 27088 A Minus 22.4 Millimeter Crushed Material Head Metallic Screen Analysis and Fire Assays

Size	Metallic Size						
Fraction,	Fraction,	Weight,	Weight,	Assay,	Avg.,	Assay,	Avg.,
mm	mm	grams	Percent	gms Au/MT	gms Au/MT	gms Ag/MT	gms Ag/MT
-22.4 +19.0	+0.106	59.86	9.38	0.45	0.45	<1.7	<1.7
	-0.106	578.55	90.62	0.55	0.57	<1.7	<1.7
•				0.58		<1.7	
	Total:	638.41	100.00				
	Wt. Avg.:				0.56		<1.7
		-					
-19.0 +12.5	+0.106	67.32	8.01	2.67	2.67	<1.7	<1.7
	-0.106	773.08	91.99	1.75	1.68	<1.7	<1.7
				1.61		<1.7	
	Total:	840.40	100.00			· ·	
	Wt. Avg.:				1.76		<1.7
	[[f			[[
-12.5 +9.5	+0.106	58.27	7.59	1.17	1.17	<1.7	<1.7
	-0.106	709.29	92.41	0.89	0.81	<1.7	<1.7
				0.72		<1.7	
·	Total:	767.56	100.00				
	Wt Ave :			{	0.84		<17
			<u> </u>				<u> </u>
-9.5+6.3	+0 106	60.36	925	0.69	0.69	<17	<17
	-0.106	592.50	90.75	0.09	0.05	<17	<17
				0.69	0.74	<17	<u> </u>
	Total	652.86	100.00	0.07			
	Wt Avg :	052.00	100.00	}	0.74		<17
			}	<u> </u>	1		
-63+335	+0.106	56.00	14.05	0.21	0.31	<17	<17
	-0.106	242.26	85.04	0.31	0.31	<1.7	
	-0.100	542.20	05.74	0.27	0.27	<17	
	Total	308 76	100.00	0.51		<u> </u>	
	We Avai	398.20	100.00	{	0.20	{	
<u> </u>	wi. Avg		ł	·	0.29	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
2 26 11 20	10.100	42.20	1225-				
-5.55 +1.70	+0.100	42.29	17.75	1.27	1.27		
	-0.100	195.95	82.25	0.65	0.03	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
	Tetal	220.22	100.00	0.65	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	+
	Total:	238.22	100.00	}	0.76	<u> </u>	
		<u> </u>				<u> </u>	+
1 70 +0 600	10.106	10.02	+	0.00	0.00		- <u></u>
-1.70 +0.000	+0.100	19.95	11.13	0.99	0.99	<u> </u>	
	-0.100	139.13	88.87	0.62	0.07	<1.7	<u> </u>
		120.02	1.00.00	0.72		< <u>.</u>	
ļ	I otal:	179.06	100.00				
	Wt. Avg.:	 	ļ		0.91	ļ	<1./
		L				<u> </u>	
-0.600	+0.106	23.99	10.56	1.58	1.58	<1.7	<1.7
	-0.106	203.18	89.44	1.20	1.13	<1.7	<u> <1.7</u>
ļ	-l	L		1.06		<1.7	
L	Total:	227.17	100,00				
L	Wt. Avg.:				1.18	1	<1.7

Table 4-5.

Magino Project Mafic Volcanics Composite KCA Sample No. 27088 B Minus 9.5 Millimeter Crushed Material Head Metallic Screen Analysis and Fire Assays

Size	Metallic Size	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Fraction,	Fraction,	Weight,	Weight,	Assay,	Avg.,	Assay,	Avg.,
mm	mm	grams	Percent	gms Au/MT	gms Au/MT	gms Ag/MT	gms Ag/MT
-9.5 +6.3	+0.106	31.88	5.52	1.03	1.03	<1.7	<1.7
	-0.106	545.48	94.48	0.75	0.87	<1.7	<1.7
<u> </u>				0.99		<1.7	
	Total:	577.36	100.00				
	Wt. Avg.:		ļ		0.88	·	<1.7
-6.3 +4.75	+0 106	31.07	631	2 37	2 37	<17	<17
	+0.106	461 30	93.69	0.51	0.50	<17	<17
				0.48	0.50	<1.7	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Total:	492.37	100.00				
	Wt. Avg.:				0.62		<1.7
·····	0.1		<u>├</u>			<u> </u>	
-4.75 +3.35	+0.106	15.76	3.57	5.83	5.83	<1.7	<1.7
·····	-0.106	425.25	96.43	0.48	0.48	<1.7	<1.7
·····				0.48		<1.7	
	Total:	441.01	. 100.00				1
	WL Avg.:				0.67		<1.7
				1			
-3.35 +1.70	+0.106	31.18	5.85	1.30	1.30	1.7	1.7
	-0.106	502.22	94.15	0.72	0.76	<1.7	<1.7
			1	0.79	1	<1.7	
	Total:	533.40	100.00				
	Wt. Avg.:				0.79		<1.7
•							
-1.70 +0.600	+0.106	38.22	10.21	1.71	1.71	<1.7	<1.7
	-0.106	336.28	89.79	0.93	0.84	<1.7	<1.7
				0.75		<1.7	<u> </u>
	Total:	374.50	100.00				
	Wt. Avg.:		1		0.93	<u> </u>	<1.7
-0.600 +0.212	+0.106	23.87	13.40	83.08	83.08	<1.7	<1.7
	-0.106	154.28	86.60	1.30	1.20	<1.7	<1.7
		L		1.10		<1.7	
	Total:	178.15	100.00			<u> </u>	
	Wt. Avg.:				12.17	<u> </u>	<1.7
0.212		26.20	- 0 22		0.74		
-0.212	+0.100	23.38	8.21	8./4	8./4		
	-0.100	281.34	1 91.73	1.08	1./5	<1./	<u> </u>
			100.00	1.82		+	
	I otal:	306.72	1.100.00		+	4	
Į	Wt. Avg.:	1	1	}	2.33		<1.7

Table 4-6.

Magino Project Granodiorite Composite KCA Sample No. 27089 B Minus 22.4 Millimeter Crushed Material Head Metallic Screen Analysis and Fire Assays

Size	Metallic Size						
Fraction,	Fraction,	Weight,	Weight,	Assay,	Avg.,	Assay,	Avg.,
mm	mm	grams	Percent	gms Au/MT	gms Au/MT	gms Ag/MT	gms Ag/MT
-22.4 +19.0	+0.106	40.49	7.99	0.31	0.31	<1.7	<1.7
	-0.106	466.07	92.01	0.27	0.28	<1.7	<1.7
•				0.31		<1.7	<1.7
				0.27		<1.7	
	Total:	506.56	100.00	j			
	Wt. Avg.:				0.28		<1.7
-19.0 +12.5	+0.106	48.33	9.22	6.21	6.21	<1.7	<1.7
	-0.106	475.68	90.78	2.81	2.68	<1.7	<1.7
				2.54		<1.7	
	Total:	524.01	100.00				
	Wt. Avg.:		1		3.01		<1.7
-12.5 +9.5	+0.106	37.57	7.06	2.67	2.67	<1.7	<1.7
	-0.106	494.32	92.94	0.75	0.81	<1.7	<1.7
				0.86		<1.7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Total:	531.89	100.00				
	Wt. Avg.:				0.94		<1.7
- <u></u>							
-9.5 +6.3	+0.106	39.60	7.47	2.09	2.09	<1.7	<1.7
	-0.106	490.53	92.53	1.34	1.36	<1.7	<1.7
				1.37		<1.7	
	Total:	530.13	100.00				1
·	Wt, Avg.:		L		1.41		<1.7
				L		<u> </u>	
-6.5 +5.55	+0.106	42.49	7.72	1.61	1.61	<1.7	<1.7
	-0.106	507.90	92.28	1.78	1.56	<1.7	<1./
			1 100 00	1.34	<u> </u>	<1.7	
	I otal:	550.39	100.00				
	WL AVg.:		l		1.20	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
2 26 11 20							
-3.35 +1.70	+0.106	43.33	8.49	0.69	0.09		<1.7
	-0.100	408.93	91.51	1.10	0.98		<u> </u>
	Totali	512.48	100.00	0.80	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
	Wt Ave	512.40	100.00				<17
		<u>├</u> ────		·}		+	+
-1 70 +0 600	+0 106	41.62	822	7 06	2 06	<17	<17
-1.70 10.000	_0.106	461 07	0.23	1 10	103	<17	<17
	-0.100		71.77	0.06		1 217	
	Total	505 50	100.00		- <u>+</u>	+	+
	Wt Ave	303.39	100.00	+	+	+	
			+		·		
-0.600	+0.106	52.63	9.82	1 3 29	3.29	<17	<1.7
0.000	-0.106	483.72	90.18	222	244	<17	<17
	-0.100			2.55		1 <17	<1.7
	+	<u> </u> -		243		<17	+
	Total	535.86	100.00			+	
	WI Ave:	1			2.52		<1.7
		1		1		1	

Table 4-7.

Magino Project Granodiorite Composite KCA Sample No. 27089 C Minus 9.5 Millimeter Crushed Material Head Metallic Screen Analysis and Fire Assays

Size	Metallic Size						
Fraction,	Fraction,	Weight,	Weight,	Assay,	Avg.,	Assay,	Avg.,
mm	mm	grams	Percent	gms Au/MT	gms Au/MT	gms Ag/MT	gms Ag/MT
-9.5 +6.3	+0.106	46.29	8.67	3.98	3.98	<1.7	<1.7
	-0.106	487.89	91.33	1.99	2.04	<1.7	<1.7
•				2.09		<1.7	
	Total:	534.18	100.00				
	Wt. Avg.:				2.21		<1.7
-6.3 +4.75	+0.106	37.81	7.53	1.03	1.03	<1.7	<1.7
	-0.106	464.22	92.47	1.23	1.14	<1.7	<1.7
				1.17		<1.7	<1.7
				1.03		<1.7	
	Total:	502.03	100.00				
	Wt. Avg.:				1.13		<1.7
-4.75 +3.35	+0.106	50.07	8.89	3.43	3.43	<1.7	<1.7
	-0.106	513.43	91.11	2.06	2.98	<1.7	<1.7
				1.89		<1.7	
	Total:	563.50	100.00				
	WL Avg.:		l		3.02		<1.7
					1		
-3.35 +1.70	+0.106	42.67	8.18	3.33	3.33	1.7	1.7
	-0.106	479.00	91.82	1.20	1.12	<1.7	<1.7
				1.03		<1.7	
	Total:	521.67	100.00	1	1	1	
	Wt. Avg.:			1	1.30		<1.7
-1.70 +0.600	+0.106	30.36	5.96	6.51	6.51	<1.7	<1.7
	-0.106	479.10	94.04	1.34	1.34	<1.7	<1.7
	1			1.34		<1.7	
	Total:	509.46	100.00	1		1	
	W1. Avg.:				1.65		<1.7
-0.600 +0.212	+0.106	39.57	7.59	1.96	1.96	<1.7	<1.7
	-0.106	481.84	92.41	1.47	1.44	<1.7	<1.7
			1	1.41		<1.7	
	Total:	521.41	100.00				
	Wt. Avg.:				1.48		<1.7
				1			
-0.212	+0.106	35.64	6.82	2.82	2.82	<1.7	<1.7
	-0.106	486.63	93.18	2.30	2.39	<1.7	<1.7
				2.40		<1.7	<1.7
	1	1	1	2.47	1	<1.7	
	Total:	522.27	100.00				
	Wt. Avg.:	1	1		2.42		<1.7

Table 4-8. Magino Project Mafic Volcanics Composite Minus 22.4 Millimeter Crushed Material KCA Sample No. 27088 A Head Screen Analysis and Assays

				Metallic			Metallic
Size	Weight,	Weight,	Cum. Wt.	Screen Assay,	Weight %	Cum. Gold	Screen Assay,
Fraction, mm	grams	Percent	Passing, %	gms Au/MT	Gold	% Passing	gms Ag/MT
-22.4 +19.0	648.04	16.23	100.00	0.56	9.81	100.00	<1.7
-19.0 +12.5	849.62	21.28	83.77	1.76	40.41	90.19	<1.7
-12.5 +9.5	761.30	19.07	62.49	0.84	17.28	49.78	<1.7
-9.5 +6.3	664.40	16.65	43.42	0.74	13.30	32.50	<1.7
-6.3 +3.35	405.99	10.17	26.77	0.29	3.18	19.20	<1.7
2 26 11 70							
-3.35 +1.70	243.30	6.10	16.60	0.76	5.00	16.02	<1.7
1 70 +0 600	104 70	4.62	10.50	- 071	385	1102	<12
-1.70 +0.000	104.70	4.03	10.30	0.71	3.55	11.02	<u> </u>
-0.600	224.39	5.97	5.87	1 19	7 47	7 47	<17
-0.000	237.30			1.10		/.4/	-1.1
Total:	3991.81	100.00	<u>}</u>	}	100.00	<u> </u>	
Wt Average:		1	<u> </u>	0.93		l	<1.7



Table 4-9.

Magino Project Mafic Volcanics Composite Minus 9.5 Millimeter Crushed Material KCA Sample No. 27088 B Head Screen Analysis and Assays

Size Fraction, mm	Weight, grams	Weight, Percent	Cum. Wt. Passing, %	Metallic Screen Assay, gms Au/MT	Weight % Gold	Cum. Gold % Passing	Metallic Screen Assay, gms Ag/MT
-9.5 +6.3	589.62	19.96	100.00	0.88	10.73	100.00	<1.7
-6.3,+4.75	498.90	16.88	80.04	0.62	6.39	89.27	<1.7
-4.75 +3.35	450.40	15.24	63.16	0.67	6.24	82.88	<1.7
-3.35+1.70	539.18	18.25	47.92	0.79	8.80	76.64	<1.7
-1.70 +0.600	385.41	13.04	29.67	0.93	7.41	67.84	<1.7
-0.600 +0.212	180.83	6.12	16.63	12.17	45.48	60.43	<1.7
-0.212	310.67	10.51	10.51	2.33	14.95	14.95	<1.7
Total:	2955.01	100.00			100.00		
Wt Average:	1			1.64	7		<1.7

Table 4-10. Magino Project Granodiorite Composite Minus 22.4 Millimeter Crushed Material KCA Sample No. 27089 B Head Screen Analysis and Assays

Size Fraction, mm	Weight, kilograms	Weight, Percent	Cum. Wt. Passing, %	Metallic Screen Assay, gms Au/MT	Weight % Gold	Cum. Gold % Passing	Metallic Screen Assay, gms Ag/MT
-22.4 +19.0	1.90	12.94	100.00	0.28	2.32	100.00	<1.7
-19.0 +12.5	3.35	22.82	87.06	3.01	43.92	97.68	<1.7
-12.5 +9.5	3.23	22.00	64.24	0.94	13.22	53.76	<1.7
-9.5 +6.3	2.40	16.35	42.24	1.41	14.74	40.54	<1.7
-6.3 +3.35	1.67	11.38	25.89	1.56	11.35	25.80	<1.7
-3.35 +1.70	0.80	5.45	14.51	0.96	3.35	14.45	<1.7
-1.70 +0.600	0.57	3.88	9.06	1.11	2.75	11.10	<1.7
-0.600	0.76	5.18	5.18	2.52	8.35	82.35	<1.7
Total:	14.68	100.00			100.00		
Wt Average:	1		1	1.56	1		<1.7

Table 4-11. Magino Project Granodiorite Composite Minus 9.5 Millimeter Crushed Material KCA Sample No. 27089 C Head Screen Analysis and Assays

C:	11/ LA	Weteka	0	Metallic	Wataball	0	Metallic
Size	weight	weight	Cum. wt.	Screen Assay,	weight %	Cum. Gold	Screen Assay,
Fraction, mm	kılograms	Percent	Passing, %	gms Au/MT	Gold	% Passing	gms Ag/MT
-9.5 +6.3	2.35	13.98	100.00	2.21	16.99	100.00	<1.7
-63+475	4.09	2433	86.02	113	1511	83.01	<17
						05.01	
-4.75 +3.35	2.74	16.30	61.69	3.02	27.06	67.90	<1.7
-3.35 +1.70	3.09	18.38	45.39	1.30	13.14	40.84	<1.7
-1.70 +0.600	2.18	12.97	27.01	1.65	11.76	27.70	<1.7
-0.600 +0.212	0.89	5.30	14.04	1.48	4.31	15.94	<1.7
-0.212	1.47	8.74	8.74	2.42	11.63	11.63	<1.7
Total:		100.00	·		100.00		
Wt Average:		<u> </u>		1.82			<1.7

. .

4.2 **Multi-element Analyses**

Multi-element analyses were conducted on each of the composite bulk samples. Table 4-12 contains the result of these analyses. •

	Mafic	
Element	Volcanics	Granodiorite
Mo, ppm	3	4
Na, %	0.04	0.05
Ni, ppm	42	10
P, ppm	430	380
Pb, ppm	<2	<2
Sb, ppm	<2	<2
Sc, ppm	15	3
Sr, ppm	66	43
Ti, %	0.03	< 0.01
Tl, ppm	<10	<10
U, ppm	<10	<10
V, ppm	129	38
W, ppm	<10	20
Zn, ppm	80	44
Total Carbon, %	1.22	0.85
Total Sulfur, %	0.52	0.52
Sulfate Sulfur, %	< 0.01	0.01
Sulfide Sulfur, %	0.52	0.51

Table 4-12.
Multi-element Head Analyses

5.0 Cyanide Bottle Roll Leach Tests

5.1 Cyanide Bottle Tests on Pulverized (-0.015mm) Material

Cyanide bottle leach test were performed on pulverized portions of bulk and composite samples. The procedure utilized for the bottle roll tests was as follows:

- 1. A 500-gram portion of pulverized material was placed into a 2.5-liter bottle and the material was slurried with 1,000 mLs of distilled water.
- 2. The slurry was mixed thoroughly and the pH of the slurry was checked. The pH of the slurry was adjusted, as required, to between 10 and 10.5 with hydrated lime.
- 3. To the slurry sodium cyanide was added equal to 1.0 grams of sodium cyanide per liter of distilled water added. The bottle was then placed onto a set of laboratoryrolls. The slurry was mixed by rolling throughout the duration of the test.
- 4. The slurry was checked at 2, 4, 8, 24, 48 and 72 hours for pH, NaCN, Au, Ag and Cu.
- 5. After completion of the leach period, the slurry was filtered, washed and the final tailings were oven dried. The dry tailings were pulverized and split in half, the two splits were submitted for gold and silver analysis by fire assay.

Tables 5-1 through 5-4 contain the complete results of the bottle leach tests completed for this test program.

Table 5-1.Magino ProjectMafic Volcanics CompositeCyanide Bottle Roll Leach TestPulverized Material (Minus 0.015 Millimeters)(500 grams + 1,000 mLs)

					Free	Total	NaCN	Ca(OH) ₂	Solution	Soln.	Soln.	Soln.	Leach	Leach
KCA	КСА			DO ₂	NaCN,	NaCN,	Add,	Add,	Volume (1),	Au,	Ag,	Cu,	Au,	Ag,
Test No.	Sample No.	Hours	pH*	mg/L	gpL	gpL	grams	grams	mLs	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	g/MT	g/MT
27116 A	27088	0	8.6				1.00	0.40	1,000		e	9, ± 5	***	***
		2	10.3	4.8	0.98	0.98	0.00	0.00	1,000	0.19	0.04	2.55	0.38	<0.1
		4	10.3	5.3	0.85	0.85	0.15	0.10	1,000	0.28	0.04	2.97	0.57	<0.1
		8	10.8	5.9	0.98	0.98	0.00	0.00	1,000	0.28	0.03	3.60	0.58	<0.1
		24	10.6	6.0	0.88	0.88	0.00	0.00	1,000	0.33	0.02	6.19	0.69	<0.1
		48	10.5	6.0	0.83	0.83			1,000	0.33	0.03	8.52	0.70	<0.1
							Filtra	nte + Wash:	1,720	0.18	0.01		0.68	<0.1
				C	Chemical C	Consumptio	ons			T	ail Assays	s, g/MT:	0.03	1.4
		Soc	lium cya	anide, kil	ograms Na	aCN per dr	y metric to	onne of ore:	0.49				0.07	1.4
		Hyd	rated lir	ne, kilog	rams Ca(C)H)2 per dr	y metric to	onne of ore:	1.00	Avg. 1	fail Assay	, g/MT:	0.05	1.4
Notes:														
* - Before chemical additions										Calcı	ulated Hd.	, g/MT:	0.73	1.4
(1) - 20 mLs removed at each sampling interval.											Extra	cted, %:	93.2	

.

Table 5-2. Magino Project Mafic Volcanics Composite Cyanide Bottle Roll Leach Test Minus 9.5 Millimeter Crushed Material (1.000 grams + 1,500 mLs)

		1			Free	Total	NaCN	Ca(OH) ₂	Solution	Soin.	Soln.	Soln.	Leach	Leach
КСА	КСА	1		DO1	NaCN.	NaCN.	Add.	Add.	Volume (1).	Au.	Ag.	Cu	Au	Ag
Test No.	Sample No.	Hours	pH*	mg/L	gpL	gpL	grams	grams	mLs	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	g/MT	g/MT
27116 C	27088 B	0	8.4				1.50	0.50	1,500					
		2	11.3	5.3	0.95	0.95	0.00	0.00	1,500	0.07	0.02	1.45	0.11	<0.1
		4	11.3	5.3	0.95	0.95	0.00	0.00	1,500	0.13	0.02	1.86	0.20	<0.1
		8	11.3	5.5	0.92	0.92	0.00	0.00	1,500	0.15	0.04	2.39	0.23	<0.1
		24	11.2	5.6	0.90	0.90	0.00	0.00	1,500	0.16	0.04	2.66	0.25	<0.1
		48	11.2	5.6	0.88	0.88	0.00	0.00	1,500	0.17	0.05	3.05	0.27	<0.1
		72	11.0	6.0	0.85	0.85	0.00	0.00	1,500	0.21	0.05	3.68	0.33	<0.1
		96	10.9	6.0	0.85	0.85			1,500	0.19	0.05	3.98	0.30	<0.1
							Filtra	te + Wash:	2,860	0.10	0.02		0.31	<0.1
				C	Chemical C	Consumptic	ns			Ta	ail Assays	, g/MT:	0.62	1.4
		Sod	ium cya	nide, kil	ograms Na	aCN per dr	y metric to	onne of ore:	0.12				0.51	1.4
Hydrated lime, kilograms Ca(OH) ₂ per dry metric tonne of ore:									0.50				0.48	1.4
										Avg. T	`ail Assay	, g/MT:	0.54	1.4
Notes:														
* - Before o	* - Before chemical additions									Calcu	lated Hd.	, g/MT:	0.85	1.4
(1) - 20 mL	(1) - 20 mLs removed at each sampling interval.										Extrac	cted, %:	36.5	

`

Table 5-3.Magino ProjectGranodiorite CompositeCyanide Bottle Roll Leach TestPulverized Material (Minus 0.015 Millimeters)(500 grams + 1,000 mLs)

					Free	Total	NaCN	Ca(OH)₂	Solution	Soln.	Soln.	Soln.	Leach	Leach
KCA	KCA	ĺ	1	DO ₂	NaCN,	NaCN,	Add,	Add,	Volume (1),	Au,	Ag,	Cu,	Au,	Ag,
Test No.	Sample No.	Hours	pH*	mg/L	gpL	gpL	grams	grams	mLs	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	g/MT	g/MT
27116 B	27089	0	8.6			***	1.00	0.40	1,000			***	***	
		2	10.8	4.7	0.85	0.85	0.15	0.00	1,000	0.29	0.06	2.06	0.39	0.1
		4	10.8	5.3	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1,000	0.55	0.08	2.35	1.11	0.2
		8	10.6	5.9	0.98	0.98	0.00	0.00	1,000	0.67	0.08	2.80	1.37	0.2
		24	10.5	6.0	0.93	0.93	0.00	0.00	1,000	0.71	0.07	4.40	1.48	0.1
		48	10.5	5.9	0.85	0.85			1,000	0.70	0.07	6.01	1.49	0.2
							Filtra	ate + Wash:	1,760	0.40	0.04		1.52	0.2
				C	Chemical C	Consumptio	ons			· Ta	ail Assays	, g/MT:	0.03	1.4
		Soc	lium cya	anide, kil	ograms Na	aCN per dr	y metric to	onne of ore:	0.45				0.07	1.4
		Hyd	rated lir	ne, kilog	rams Ca(C)H)₂ per dr	y metric to	onne of ore:	0.80	Avg. 1	`ail Assay	, g/MT:	0.05	1.4
Notes:	Notes:													
* - Before of	* - Before chemical additions									Calcu	lated Hd.	, g/MT:	1.57	1.6
(1) - 20 mL	s removed at e	ach samp	ling inte	erval.							Extra	cted, %:	96.8	

Table 5-4. Magino Project Granodiorite Composite Cyanide Bottle Roll Leach Test Minus 9.5 Millimeter Crushed Material (1.000 grams + 1,500 mLs)

					Free	Total	NaCN	Ca(OH) ₂	Solution	Soln.	Soln.	Soln.	Leach	Leach
KCA	KCA			DO ₂	NaCN,	NaCN,	Add,	Add,	Volume (1),	Au,	Ag,	Cu,	Au,	Ag,
Test No.	Sample No.	Hours	pH*	mg/L	gpL	gpL	grams	grams	mLs	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	g/MT	g/MT
27185 A	27089 C	0	8.5			***	1.50	0.30	1,500					
		2	11.1	5.4	0.93	0.93	0.00	0.00	1,500	0.07	0.04	0.69	0.11	<0.1
		4	11.0	5.5	0.90	0.90	0.00	0.00	1,500	0.15	0.04	0.78	0.23	<0.1
		8	10.9	6.0	0.88	0.88	0.00	0.00	1,500	0.19	0.05	0.94	0.29	<0.1
		24	10.9	5.8	0.85	0.85	0.23	0.00	1,500	0.30	0.07	1.47	0.46	0.1
		48	10.8	5.8	0.98	0.98	0.00	0.00	1,500	0.35	0.06	1.72	0.54	0.1
		72	10.8	5.9	0.95	0.95	0.00	0.00	1,500	0.33	0.06	1.63	0.52	0.1
		96	10.8	6.0	0.93	0.93			1,500 ·	0.34	0.05	1.87	0.54	0.1
							Filtra	ate + Wash:	3,020	0.15	0.04		0.49	0.1
				C	chemical C	Consumptio	ons			Ta	ail Assays	s, g/MT:	1.6	<1.7
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Sod	ium cya	nide, kil	ograms Na	CN per dr	y metric to	onne of ore:	0.23				1.17	<1.7
<u> </u>		Hyd	rated lin	ne, kilogi	rams Ca(C)H)2 per dr	y metric to	onne of ore:	0.30	Avg. 1	ail Assay	', g/MT:	1.12	<1.7
Notes:														
* - Before chemical additions										Calcu	ilated Hd.	, g/MT:	1.61	<1.7
(1) - 20 mLs removed at each sampling interval.											Extra	cted, %:	30.4	

1

6.0 Laboratory Scale Cyanide Column Leach Tests

6.1 **Precious Metal Recovery**

Cyanide column leach tests were completed on the core composite samples at several different crushed sizes. Table 6-1 contains the parameters for the column leach tests.

KCA Sample No.	KCA Test No.	Magino I.D.	Crush Size, mm	Weight of Sample in Column, kg	Ca(OH)2 Added, kg/MT
27088 A	27120	Mafic Volcanics	- 22.4	20.0	0.50
27088 B	27123	Mafic Volcanics	- 9.5	20.0	0.50
27089 B	27126	Granodiorite	- 22.4	40.0	0.50
27088 C	27129	Granodiorite	- 9.5	40.0	0.50

Table 6-1.Column Leach Test Parameters

Table 6-2 contains a summary of the gold recovery results for the four column leach tests completed in this test program.

Table 6-2.
Results of Column Leach Tests

KCA Sample No.	KCA Test No.	Magino I.D.	Crush Size, mm	Days Leach	Calc. Head, gms Au/MT	Recovery, gms Au/MT	Recovery, %
27088 A	27120	Mafic Volcanics	- 22.4	63	0.94	0.35	37.2
27088 B	27123	Mafic Volcanics	- 9.5	63	1.60	0.79	49.5
27089 B	27126	Granodiorite	- 22.4	63	1.72	0.56	32.5
27088 C	27129	Granodiorite	- 9.5	63	1,41	0.79	56.0
		Average	- 22.4	63			34.9
		Average	- 9.5	63			52.8

Tables 6-3 through 6-6 contain the complete results of the individual column leach tests.

Recovery results contained in the body of this report were based upon carbon assays vs. the calculated head (carbon assays + tail assays). Recovery results contained in the attached appendix, were based upon the daily solution assays vs. the calculated head (solution assays + tailings assays).

Table 6-3.

Magino Project Mafic Volcanics Composite Minus 22.4 Millimeters Crushed Material KCA Sample No. 27088 A KCA Cyanide Column Leach Test No. 27120 Metal Recoveries and Chemical Consumptions

Days Leaching	Cumulative, t, / to	Recov., gm Au/MT	Recov., gm Ag/MT	Recov., % Au	NaCN Cons., kg/MT	Ca(OH)₂ Added, kg/MT
0-8	0.52	0.18	<0.1	19.1	0.10	0.50
9 - 19	1.34	0.08	<0.1	8.5	0.16	0.00
20 - 63	4.38	0.09	<0.1	9.6	0.34	0.00
	Total -	0.35	<0.3	37.2	0.60	0.50
	Tail -	0.59				
	Calc. Head -	0.94				

ts / to = Tonnes of solution effluent per tonne of dry ore leached.

Column Parameters

Ore Weight:	20.00	kilograms
Initial, Ore Height:	1.518	meters
Final, Ore Height	1.511	meters
Percent Slump:	0.5	%
Column I.D.:	0.102	meters
Hydrated Lime Blended In:	0.50	kilograms per dry metric tonne
Final, Apparent Bulk Density:	1.62	metric tonnes per cubic meter
96-Hour Draindown:	21.7	kilograms per dry metric tonne
Final, Maximum Percolation Rate:	12,512	liters per hour per square meter of column surface area
Final, Retained Moisture:	31.1	kilograms per dry metric tonne

Table 6-4.

Magino Project Mafic Volcanics Composite Minus 9.5 Millimeters Crushed Material KCA Sample No. 27088 B KCA Cyanide Column Leach Test No. 27123 Metal Recoveries and Chemical Consumptions

ays Leaching	Cumulative, t _s / t _o	Recov., gm Au/MT	Recov., gm Ag/MT	Recov., % Au	NaCN Cons., kg/MT	Ca(OH) ₂ Added, kg/MT
Q-8	0.52	0.47	<0.1	29.4	0.07	0.50
9 - 19	1.33	0.14	<0.1	8.8	0.17	0.00
20 - 63	4.27	0.18	0.1	11.3	0.46	0.00
	Total -	0.79	<0.3	49.5	0.70	0.50
	Tail -	0.81		1		
	Calc. Head -	1.60		1		

ts / to = Tonnes of solution effluent per tonne of dry ore leached.

Column Parameters

Ore Weight:	20.00	kilograms
Initial, Ore Height:	1.454	meters
Final, Ore Height	1.435	meters
Percent Slump:	1.3	%
Column I.D.:	0.102	meters
Hydrated Lime Blended In:	0.50	kilograms per dry metric tonne
Final, Apparent Bulk Density:	1.71	metric tonnes per cubic meter
96-Hour Draindown:	35.1	kilograms per dry metric tonne
Final, Maximum Percolation Rate:	4,579	liters per hour per square meter of column surface area
Final, Retained Moisture:	59.1	kilograms per dry metric tonne

Table 6-5.

Magino Project Granodiorite Composite Minus 22.4 Millimeters Crushed Material KCA Sample No. 27089 B KCA Cyanide Column Leach Test No. 27126 Metal Recoveries and Chemical Consumptions

Days Leaching	Cumulative, t_s / t_o	Recov., gm Au/MT	Recov., gm Ag/MT	Recov., % Au	NaCN Cons., kg/MT	Ca(OH)₂ Added, kg/MT
0-8	0.61	0.32	0.1	18.6	0.08	0.50
9 - 19	1.53	0.10	<0.1	5.8	0.12	0.00
20 - 63	5.12	0.14	<0.1	8.1	0.35	0.00
	Total -	0.56	<0.3	32.5	0.55	0.50
	Tail -	1.16				
	Calc. Head -	1.72		l		

ts / to = Tonnes of solution effluent per tonne of dry ore leached.

Column Parameters

Ore Weight:	40.00	kilograms
Initial, Ore Height:	1.391	meters
Final, Ore Height	1.384	meters
Percent Slump:	0.5	%
Column I.D.:	0.152	meters
 Hydrated Lime Blended In: 	0.50	kilograms per dry metric tonne
Final, Apparent Bulk Density:	1.59	metric tonnes per cubic meter
96-Hour Draindown:	23.8	kilograms per dry metric tonne
Final, Maximum Percolation Rate:	25,652	liters per hour per square meter of column surface area
Final, Retained Moisture:	26.1	kilograms per dry metric tonne

Table 6-6.

Magino Project Granodiorite Composite Minus 9.5 Millimeters Crushed Material KCA Sample No. 27089 C KCA Cyanide Column Leach Test No. 27129 Metal Recoveries and Chemical Consumptions

Days Leaching	Cumulative, t _s / t _o	Recov., gm Au/MT	Recov., gm Ag/MT	Recov., % Au	NaCN Cons., kg/MT	Ca(OH)₂ Added, kg/MT
0-8	0.58	0.53	0.1	37.6	0.10	0.50
9 - 19	1.50	0.11	<0.1	7.8	0.07	0.00
20 - 63	5.07	0.15	<0.1	10.6	0.37	0.00
	Total -	0.79	<0.3	56.0	0.54	0.50
	Tail -	0.62	1			
	Calc. Head -	1.41				

ts / to = Tonnes of solution effluent per tonne of dry ore leached.

Column Parameters

Ore Weight:	40.00	kilograms
Initial, Ore Height:	1.372	meters
Final, Ore Height	1.359	meters
Percent Slump:	0.9	%
Column I.D.:	0.152	meters
 Hydrated Lime Blended In: 	0.50	kilograms per dry metric tonne
Final, Apparent Bulk Density:	1.62	metric tonnes per cubic meter
96-Hour Draindown:	29.2	kilograms per dry metric tonne
Final, Maximum Percolation Rate:	11,518	liters per hour per square meter of column surface area
Final, Retained Moisture:	48.1	kilograms per dry metric tonne

6.3 Description of Cyanide Column Leach Test Apparatus

6.3.1 Drip Leach Test Apparatus

The column tests were run as continuously-drained drip leach tests. This type of test most accurately reflects actual heap leach conditions and is normally run when the ore contains enough fines to prevent channeling of solution down individual rock faces.

The apparatus used for this test is shown schematically in Figure 6-1.

6.3.2 Column Set-up

The ore to be leached was placed into a clear leach column as shown in Figure 6-1. Alkaline cyanide solution was continuously distributed onto the ore through a header of tygon tubing with glass-capillary drip tubes. Flowrate of solution dripping onto the ore was controlled with a peristaltic pump, to approximately 10 - 12 liters per hour per cubic meter of column surface area (typical application rate for a production heap).

The solution exiting the leach column was collected in the bottom (floor) tank. Leach solution was checked each cycle for pH, NaCN, Au, and Ag. Copper was checked periodically. The solution was then passed through a bottle of activated carbon over a period of 24 hours to recover the gold and silver in solution. After passing through the bottle of activated carbon, the solution was re-assayed for pH, NaCN, Au, and Ag. Sodium cyanide was then added, if necessary, to maintain the solution at "target" levels (discussed in the Test History section). The leach solution was then recycled to the ore for another 24-hour leach period. Two batches of leach solution were used so that while one batch was being applied to the column, the other was run through the carbon.





6.4 History of Cyanide Column Leach Tests

6.4.1 Start-up of Tests

The initial leach solutions for the column tests contained 1.0 grams sodium cyanide per liter of solution.

6.4.2 Solution Clarity and Color

The initial and final solution clarity and color were monitored. Table 6-7 contains comments on solution color and clarity for the column tests.

KCA Test No.	Magino I.D.	Crush Size, mm	Color and Clarity of the Initial Column Effluent	Color and Clarity of the Final Column Effluent
27120	Mafic Volcanics	- 22.4	Yellow and clear.	Light gray and clear.
27123	Mafic Volcanics	- 9.5	Yellow and clear.	Light gray and clear.
27126	Granodiorite	- 22.4	Yellow and clear.	Light gray and clear.
27129	Granodiorite	- 9.5	Yellow and clear.	Light gray and clear.

Table 6-7.Column Test Solution Color and Clarity

The solution color and clarity do not indicate a problem with percolation or fines migration in any of the four column tests.

6.4.3 Copper Analyses in Solution

Interim pregnant (effluent) cyanide leach solutions were assayed (A.A.S. solution analysis) periodically for copper content. Table 6-8 summarizes the lowest and highest copper in solution data obtained over the leach period.

KCA Test No.	Magino I.D.	Crush Size, mm	Low Copper, mg/L	High Copper, mg/L
27120	Mafic Volcanics	- 22.4	5.96	14.8
27123	Mafic Volcanics	- 9.5	5.20	23.3
27126	Granodiorite	- 22.4	2.22	6.8
27129	Granodiorite	- 9.5	3.94	7.9

 Table 6-8.

 Copper Concentration in Column Leach Solutions

Copper values are low enough (at the crush sizes tested), that copper in solution should not be a problem during operations at Magino.

6.4.4 Mercury Analyses

The initial carbon sample from the column tests were air dried and assayed for mercury content. Table 6-9 contains the results of the mercury analyses on the (C-1) initial column carbon samples.

KCA Test No.	Magino I.D.	Crush Size, mm	C-1 Wt., grams	Period Days	Assay mg Hg / kg Carbon
27120	Mafic Volcanics	- 22.4	282.98	0-8	0.12
27123	Mafic Volcanics	- 9.5	282.20	0-8	0.07
27126	Granodiorite	- 22.4	305.55	0-8	0.05
27129	Granodiorite	- 9.5	308.97	0-8	0.22

Table 6-9. Mercury Analysis of C-1 Carbon Sample

Mercury analyses on carbon were low for each of the four column leach tests.

6.4.5 Cyanide Strength and Alkalinity

The initial leach solutions for the column tests contained 1.0 grams sodium cyanide and 0.50 grams of hydrated lime per liter of leach solution. Cyanide strength of the onflow solutions was then maintained in the range 0.4 - 0.6 gpL sodium cyanide.

Protective alkalinity in the tests was maintained with hydrated lime, if necessary, in the pH range of 9.5 - 10.5.

Table 6-10 summarizes the data obtained for reagent consumptions for the column leach tests.

KCA Sample No.	KCA Column Test No.	Magino I.D.	Crush Síze, mm	NaCN Consumed, kg/MT	Ca(OH)2 Added, kg/MT
27088 A	27120	Mafic Volcanics	- 22.4	0.60	0.50
27088 B	27123	Mafic Volcanics	- 9.5	0.70	0.50
27089 B	27126	Granodiorite	- 22.4	0.55	0.50
27089 C	27129	Granodiorite	- 9.5	0.54	0.50

Table 6-10.Column Test Reagent Consumptions

6.4.6 Percent Slump

The overall slump for each column over the test period was calculated. Table 6-11 contains a summary of the percent slump data for the column leach tests.

KCA Test No.	Magino I.D.	Crush Size, mm	Initial Ht., meters	Final Ht., meters	Percent Slump
27120	Mafic Volcanics	- 22.4	1.518	1.511	0.5
27123	Mafic Volcanics	- 9.5	1.454	1.435	1.3
27126	Granodiorite	- 22.4	1.391	1.384	0.5
27129	Granodiorite	- 9.5	1.372	1.359	0.9

Table 6-11. Column Test Percent Slump

The percent slump of a column gives an indication of potential permeability problems in production heaps. KCA typically classifies slumps larger than 10% as high. As indicated in the table, the percent slumps obtained in the four-column leach tests were all much less than 2%.

6.5 Tailings Analyses

The final tailings from each of the column tests were dumped, weighed wet and then oven dried. Table 6-12 contains a summary of the retained moistures for the four-column leach tests.

KCA Test No.	Magino I.D.	Crush Size, mm	Retained Moisture, Kilograms per dry Metric tonne
27120	Mafic Volcanics	- 22.4	31.1
27123	Mafic Volcanics	- 9.5	59.1
27126	Granodiorite	- 22.4	26.1
27129	Granodiorite	- 9.5	48.1

Table 6-12.Retained Moisture in Column Leach Tests

The tailings from the column tests were screened in the same manner as the feed (head) material. Tables 6-13 through 6-20 contains the tailings screen analyses.

Table 6-13.Magino ProjectMafic Volcanics CompositeKCA Sample No. 27088 AKCA Column Leach Test No. 27120Minus 22.4 Millimeter Crushed MaterialTail Metallic Screen Analysis and Fire Assays

Size	Metallic Size						
Fraction,	Fraction,	Weight,	Weight,	Assay,	Avg.,	Assay,	Avg.,
<u>mm</u>	mm	grams	Percent	gms Au/MT	gms Au/MT	gms Ag/MT	gms Ag/MT
-22.4 +19.0	+0.106	24.83	2.46	0.17	0.17	<1.7	<1.7
	-0.106	983.70	97.54	0.24	0.28	<1.7	<1.7
				0.31		<1.7	
	Total:	1008.53	100.00				
	Wt. Avg.:				0.28		<1.7
-19.0 +12.5	+0.106	6.29	0.62	19.71	19.71	<1.7	<1.7
	-0.106	1006.00	99.38	0.96	0.96	<1.7	<1.7
·				0.96		<1.7	
	Total:	1012.29	100.00	·····			
	Wt. Avg.:				1.08	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<1.7
-12.5 +9.5	+0.106	5.05	0.51	10.11	10.11	<1.7	<1.7
·····	-0.106	994.60	99.49	0.72	0.79	<1.7	<1.7
		<u>.</u>		0.86		<1.7	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Total:	999.65	100.00				
	WL Avg.:				0.84		<1.7
				·			
-9.5+6.3	+0.106	6.85	0.68	11.38	11.38	<1.7	<1.7
	-0.106	1004.60	99.32	0.55	0.52	<1.7	<1.7
	0.100			0.48	0.52	<17	
	Total	1011.45	100.00				
	Wt Ave ·	1011.45	100.00	<u> </u>	0.59		<17
		· · ·			0.37		
-6 3 +3 35	+0.106	10.61	1.06	237	237	<17	<17
	-0.106	080 00	08.04	0.31	0.33	<17	<17
	0.100	707.00	70.74	0.31	0.55	<1.7	
	Total	000 61	100.00	0.54			
	Wt Ava:	777.01	100.00	·	0.35		<17
	11 L AVE				0.55		<u> </u>
-3 35 +1 70	+0.106	017	0.81	1.22	1.22	(17	<17
-5.35 +1.70	+0.100	0.12	0.01	0.17	1.23	(12)	<u></u>
	-0.100	997.00	99.19	0.17	0.22	<u> </u>	
	Tatala	1005 12	100.00	0.21	·	<u> </u>	ļ
		1005.12	100.00	ļ	0.22	<u></u>	(17
	wi. Avg.:		[0.23		<u> </u>
1.70 +0.000	1010(1	1		
-1.70 +0.600	+0.106	4.22	0.48	2.85	2.85	<1.7	<1.7
	-0.106	882.60	99.52	0.17	0.19	<1.7	<1.7
		0000		0.21		<u> </u>	
	Total:	886.82	100.00				
	Wi. Avg.:	ļ	<u> </u>	1	0.20		< <u>1.7</u>
-0.600	+0.106	22.19	2.21	0.14	0.14	<1.7	<1.7
	-0.106	982.00	97.79	<0.01	0.07	<1.7	<1.7
			L	0.14	<u> </u>	<1.7	
	Total:	1004.19	100.00				
	Wt. Avg.:				0.07		<1.7

Table 6-14. Magino Project Mafic Volcanics Composite KCA Sample No. 27088 B KCA Column Leach Test No. 27123 Minus 9.5 Millimeter Crushed Material Tail Metallic Screen Analysis and Fire Assays

Size	Metallic Size						
Fraction,	Fraction,	Weight,	Weight,	Assay,	Avg.,	Assay,	Avg.,
mm	៣៣	grams	Percent	gms Au/MT	gms Au/MT	gms Ag/MT	gms Ag/MT
-9.5 +6.3	+0.106	23.29	2.36	26.95	26.95	<1.7	<1.7
	-0.106	964.00	97.64	1.27	1.15	<1.7	<1.7
•				1.03		<1.7	
	Total:	987.29	100.00				
	Wt. Avg.:				1.76		<1.7
-6.3 +4.75	+0.106	5.68	0.58	4.94	4.94	<1.7	<1.7
	-0.106	982.00	99.42	0.86	0.96	<1.7	<1.7
				1.06		<1.7	
	Total:	987.68	100.00				
	Wt. Avg.:				0.98		<1.7
-4.75 +3.35	+0.106	23.98	2.40	0.38	0.38	<1.7	<1.7
	-0.106	976.70	97.60	0.48	0.47	<1.7	<1.7
				0.45		<1.7	
	Total;	1000.68	100.00				
	Wt. Avg.:				0.47		<1.7
-3.35 +1.70	+0.106	2.38	0.24	6.89	6.89	<1.7	<1.7
	-0.106	1007.80	99.76	0.89	1.06	<1.7	<1.7
				1.23		<1.7	
	Total:	1010.18	100.00				
	Wt. Avg.:				1.07		<1.7
-1.70 +0.600	+0.106	5.79	0.57	0.69	0.69	<1.7	<1.7
	-0.106	1003.00	99.43	0.24	0.24	<1.7	<1.7
			1	0.24		<1.7	
	Total:	1008.79	100.00				1
	Wt. Avg.:				0.24		<1.7
							1
-0.600 +0.212	+0.106	5.74	0.57	0.17	0.17	<1.7	<1.7
	-0.106	994.00	99.43	<0.01	0.12	<1.7	<1.7
				0.24		<1.7	
	Total:	999.74	100.00				
	Wt. Avg.:				0.12		<1.7
						·	<u> </u>
-0.212	+0.106	4.03	0.41	<0.01	<0.01	<1.7	<1.7
	-0.106	990.50	99.59	<0.01	0.05	<1.7	<1.7
	<u> </u>			0.10		<1.7	
	Total:	994.53	100.00				
	Wt. Avg.:				0.05		<1.7

Table 6-15.

Magino Project Granodiorite Composite KCA Sample No. 27089 B KCA Column Leach Test No. 27126 Minus 22.4 Millimeter Crushed Material Tail Metallic Screen Analysis and Fire Assays

Size	Metallic Size		[
Fraction,	Fraction,	Weight,	Weight	Assay,	Avg.,	Assay,	Avg.
mm	mm	grams	Percent	gms Au/MT	gms Au/MT	gms Ag/MT	gms Ag/MT
-22.4 +19.0	+0.106	1.19	0.21	7.58	7.58	<1.7	<1.7
	-0.106	1003.0	99.88	0.69	0.83	<1.7	<1.7
- -				0.96		<17	
	Total:	1004 19	100.00				
	Wt Avg ·				0.84	<u> </u>	<1.7
			·	<u> </u>		<u></u>	
-19.0 +12.5	+0.106	2 18	0.22	124 32	174 32	<17	<17
	-0.106	1002.50	99.78	2.64	263	<17	<17
		1002.00	77.10	2.01		<17	
				2.54	<u> </u>	<17	<u> </u>
	Total	1004 68	100.00	2.71	{		
	Vt Ave	1004.00			2 90		<17
					2.50		
-12.5 +9.5	+0,106	155	0.15	22.59	22.59	<1.7	<1.7
	-0.106	1002.60	99.85	0.62	0.81	<1.7	<1.7
				0.99		<1.7	1
<u></u>	Total	1004.15	100.00	0.37	<u> </u>		
	Wt Ave ·		100.00		0.84	<u> </u>	<1.7
	Hu Hug.				0.04	<u>}</u>	
-9.5 +6.3	+0.106	213	021	91.54	91.54	<1.7	<1.7
	-0.106	997.00	99.79	0.55	0.69	<17	<1.7
	0.100			0.82		<17	
	Total	909 13	100.00				
	Wt Avg		100.00		0.88		<17
			 		0.00		+
-6.3 +3.35	+0.106	3.97	0.39	4.05	4.05	<17	<1.7
	-0.106	1002.80	99.61	031	0.35	<17	<1.7
				0.38		<17	
<u>.</u>	Total:	1006 77	100.00		<u>+</u>		
	WL Ave:			<u> </u>	0.36		<1.7
-3.35 +1.70	+0.106	7.43	0.74	3.77	3.77	<1.7	<1.7
	-0.106	991.00	99.26	0.17	0.26	<1.7	<1.7
			+	0.34	1	<1.7	-1
	Total:	998.43	100.00	1	1	1	
	Wt. Ave		+	1	0.29	+	<1.7
		<u> </u>	1	1	<u> </u>		+
-1.70 +0.600	+0.106	2.51	0.25	1.57	1.57	<1.7	<1.7
	-0.106	1006.30	99.75	0.21	0.23	<1.7	<1.7
				0.24		<1.7	+
	Total.	1008.81	100.00		1	+	
	Wt. Ave		+	- <u> </u>	0.23		<1.7
					+		
-0,600	+0 106	6.03	0.60	034	0 34	<1.7	<1.7
	-0.106	997 80	99.40	<0.01	0.51	<17	<1.7
		772.00	+	0.01		<17	
	Total	098.83	100.00				
	WI Ava			+	011	+	<1.7
	1	<u> </u>			0.11		

Table 6-16.

Magino Project Granodiorite Composite KCA Sample No. 27089 C KCA Column Leach Test No. 27129 Minus 9.5 Millimeter Crushed Material Tail Metallic Screen Analysis and Fire Assays

Size	Metallic Size						
Fraction,	Fraction,	Weight,	Weight,	Assay,	Avg.,	Assay,	Avg.,
mm	mm	grams	Percent	gms Au/MT	gms Au/MT	gms Ag/MT	gms Ag/MT
-9.5 +6.3	+0.106	10.18	1.03	4.32	4.32	<1.7	<1.7
•	-0.106	973.60	98.97	1.23	1.17	<1.7	<1.7
				1.10		<1.7	
	Total:	983.78	100.00				
	Wt. Avg.:				1.20		<1.7
-6.3 +4.75	+0.106	3.80	0.38	2.64	2.64	<1.7	<1.7
	-0.106	1007.00	99.62	0.65	0.74	<1.7	<1.7
				0.82		<1.7	
	Total:	1010.80	100.00				
	Wt. Avg.:				0.75		<1.7
-4.75 +3.35	+0.106	34.90	3.47	0.45	0.45	<1.7	<1.7
	-0.106	972.00	96.53	0.24	0.36	<1.7	<1.7
				0.48		<1.7	
	Total:	1006.90	100.00				
	Wt. Avg.:				0.36		<1.7
-3.35 +1.70	+0.106	18.32	1.85	3.43	3.43	<1.7	<1.7
	-0.106	970.00	98.15	0.69	0.71	<1.7	<1.7
			L	0.72	ļ	<1.7	
	Total:	988.32	100.00	L			<u> </u>
··· ·····	Wt. Avg.:		<u> </u>		0.76	ļ	<1.7
1.80 - 0.445		L	ļ		L	ļ	
-1.70 +0.600	+0.106	12.03	1.19	0.24	0.24	<1.7	<1.7
	-0.106	1000.00	98.81	0.31	0.26	<1.7	<1.7
		1010.00	1	0.21	<u> </u>	<1.7	- <u> </u>
	I otal:	1012.03	100.00	ļ	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
	Wt. Avg.:	 	<u> </u>	ļ	0.26		<1./
0 (00 10 212	10100		1 0.26		+		
-0.000 +0.212	+0.100	2.49	0.25	0.41	0.41	<1.1	
	-0.100	00.166	<u> 79.75</u>	0.17	0.16		
	Tetal	002.40	100.00	0.14		<1.7	
		993.49	100.00	+	0.14	·	
	wi. Avg.:		+	<u> </u>	0.10		
-0.212	+0.106	804	0.80	014	014		<17
-0.212	-0.106	0.04	0.80	0.14	0.14		<17
	-0.100	990.40	99.20	0.01	0.07		
	Tevel	1006 44	100.00	0.14		<u> </u>	
		1006.44	1 100.00				<17
	WI. AVg.:	L		I	0.07		<u> </u>

.

Table 6-17.

Magino Project Mafic Volcanics Composite Minus 22.4 Millimeter Crushed Material KCA Sample No. 27088 A KCA Cyanide Column Leach Test No. 27120 Tail Screen Analysis and Fire Assays

				Metallic			Metallic
Size	Weight,	Weight,	Cum, Wt.	Screen Assay,	Weight %	Cum. Gold	Screen Assay,
Fraction, mm	Kilograms	Percent	Passing, %	gms Au/MT	Gold	% Passing	gms Ag/MT
-22.4 +19.0	3.32	16.73	100.00	0.28	7.90	100.00	<1.7
•							
-19.0 +12.5	4.28	21.57	83.27	1.08	39.30	911,10	<1.7
-175+95	3.62	18.25	61.70	0.84	25.86	52.80	<17
-9.5 +6.3	3.12	15.73	43.45	0.59	15.65	26.94	<1.7
-6.3 +3.35	2.26	11.39	27.72	0.35	9.72	11.29	<1.7
}							
-3.35 +1.70	1.22	6.15	16.33	0.23	2.39	4.57	<1.7
-1 70 +0 600	0.88	4 4 4	1018	0.20	1.50	218	<17
	0.00		10.10	0.20		2.10	
-0.600	1.44	5.74	5.74	0.07 ·	0.68	0.68	<1.7
Total:	19.84	100.00		ļ	100.00		ļ. — ———
Wt Average:				0.59			<1.7

Table 6-18.

Magino Project Mafic Volcanics Composite Minus 9.5 Millimeter Crushed Material KCA Sample No. 27088 B KCA Cyanide Column Leach Test No. 27123 Tail Screen Analysis and Fire Assays

Size	Weight, Kilograms	Weight, Bergent	Cum. WL.	Metallic Screen Assay,	Weight %	Cum. Gold	Metallic Screen Assay,
-95+63	3 72	18.71	100.00	1 76	40.49	100.00	<17
	5.72	10.71	100.00	1.70	-10.47	100.00	
-6.3 +4.75	3.66	18.41	81.29	0.98	22.18	59.51	<1.7
-4.75 +3.35	2.88	14.49	62.88	0.47	8.37	37.33	<1.7
-3.35 +1.70	3.52	17.71	48.39	1.07	23.30	28.96	<1.7
-1.70 +0.600	2.76	13.88	30.68	0.24	4.09	5.66	<1.7
-0.600 +0.212	1.22	6.14	16.80	0.12	0.91	1.57	<1.7
-0.212	2.12	10.66	10.66	0.05	0.66	0.66	<1.7
Total:	19.88	100.00			100.00	•	
Wt Average:				0.81			<1.7
• .

Table 6-19. Magino Project Granodiorite Composite Minus 22.4 Millimeter Crushed Material KCA Cyanide Column Leach Test No. 27126 KCA Sample No. 27089 B Tail Screen Analysis and Fire Assays

Size Fraction, mm	Weight, Kilograms	Weight, Percent	Cum. Wt. Passing, %	Metallic Screen Assay, gms Au/MT	Weight % Gold	Cum. Gold % Passing	Metallic Screen Assay, gms Ag/MT
-22.4 +19.0	6.20	15.60	100.00	0.84	11.26	100.00	<1,7
-19.0 +12.5	8.74	21.99	84.40	2.90	54.82	88.74	<1.7
-12.5 +9.5	8.94	22.50	62.41	0.84	16.25	33.92	<1.7
-9.5 +6.3	6.34	15.95	39.91	0.88	12.06	17.67	<1.7
-6.3 +3.35	4.02	10.12	23.96	0.36	3.13	5.61	<1.7
-3.35 +1.70	1.98	4.98	13.84	0.29	1.24	2.48	<1.7
-1.70 +0.600	1.54	3.88	8.86	0.23	0.77	1.24	<1.7
-0.600	1.98	4.98	4.98	0.11	0.47	0.47	<17
Total:	39.74	100.00	┼───	}	100.00		
Wt Average:	<u> </u>	<u>}</u>	<u> </u>	1.16		<u> </u>	<1.7

Table 6-20.

Magino Project Granodiorite Composite Minus 9.5 Millimeter Crushed Material KCA Cyanide Column Leach Test No. 27129 KCA Sample No. 27089 C Tail Screen Analysis and Fire Assays

Size	Weight,	Weight,	Cum. Wt.	Metallic Screen Assay,	Weight %	Cum. Gold	Metallic Screen Assay,
Fraction, mm	Knograms	Percent	Passing, %	gms AWM1	Uola	% Passing	gms Ag/MT
-9.5 +6.3	6.28	15.79	100.00	1.20	30.62	100.00	<1.7
•							
-6.3 +4.75	9.98	25.10	84.21	0.75	30.42	69.38	<1.7
]		
-4.75 +3.35	6.76	17.00	59.11	0.36	9.89	38.96	<1.7
3 35 +1 70	716	18.01	42.11	0.76	22 12	20.07	<17
-5.55 -1.70	7.10	10.01	42.11	0.70	44.12	29.07	
1 20 10 (00	4.69	11.62	24.10	6.57		(07	~1.7
-1.70 +0.000	4.38	11.52	24.10	0.26	4.84	0.95	\$1.7
-0.600 +0.212	1.90	4.78	12.58	0.16	1.23	2.11	<1.7
-0.212	3.10	7.80	7.80	0.07	0.88	0.88	<1.7
		l			1	<u> </u>	
Total:	39.76	100.00			100.00	1	
Wt Average:				0.62	1		<1.7

6.6 Gold Recovery versus Grain Size

Tables 6-21 through 6-24 contains the results of gold recovery vs. grain size for each of the column leach tests. The cumulative percent gold recovery reported in the table was determined as follows:

- 1. Calculate the percent gold recovery for each size fraction. This value would be calculated as a percent difference between the head size fraction assays and the tail size fraction assay.
- 2. Multiply the percent gold recovery calculated for the individual size fraction by the weight percent represented by that size fraction in the tailings screen analysis.
- 3. Sum the values determined in step (2) below and including the size fraction for which the calculation of the cumulative percent gold recovered is being made.
- 4. The cumulative percent gold recovered value would then be determined by dividing the value determined in step (3) by the cumulative average weight percent of the tailings below and including that size fraction.

In order to clarify this calculation, a sample calculation was outlined from the following table:

	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н
			Cum.			Percent	Product	
	Size	Tail	Pass Wt.,	Head,	Tail,	Gold	of	Cumulative
	Fraction, mm	Wt., %	%	Au g/MT	Au g/MT	Recovered	B X F	Recovered, %
1	- 22.4 + 19.0	16.73	100.00	0.56	0.28	48.3	808.06	32.5
2	- 19.0 + 12.5	21.57	83.27	1.76	1.08	38.6	832.6	29.3
3	- 12.5 + 9.5	18.25	61.70	0.84	0.84	0.0	0.0	26.0
4	- 9.5 + 6.3	15.73	43.45	0.74	0.59	20.3	319.3	37.0
5	- 6.3 + 3.35	11.39	27.72	0.29	0.35	0.0	0.0	46.4
6	- 3.35 + 1.70	6.15	16.33	0.76	0.23	69.7	428.7	78.8
7	- 1.70 + 0.60	4.44	10.18	0.71	0.20	71.8	318.8	84.4
8	- 0.60	5.74	5.74	1.18	0.07	94.1	540.1	94.1

Examples of Calculations

- 1. The value contained in cell H1 was obtained by summing G1 through G8 and then dividing this product by the value in cell C1.
- 2. The value contained in cell H3 was obtained by summing G3 through G8 and then dividing this product by the value in cell C3.

Table 6-21.

Magino Project Mafic Volcanic Composite Minus 22.4 Millimeter Crushed Material KCA Sample No. 27088 A KCA Column Leach Test No. 27120 Head Screen Assays vs. Tail Screen Assays

Size	Tail	Cumulative	Head	Tail	Percent Gold	Cumulative
Fraction, mm	Wt., %	Pass Wt., %	Au g/MT	Au g/MT	Recovered	Recovered, %(1)
- 22.4 + 19.0	16.73	100.00	0.56	0.28	48.3	32.5
- 19.0 + 12.5	21.57	83.27	1.76	1.08	38.6	29.3
- 12.5 + 9.5	18.25	61.70	0.84	0.84	0.0	26.0
- 9.5 + 6.3	15.73	43.45	0.74	0.59	20.3	37.0
- 6.3 + 3.35	11.39	27.72	0.29	0.35	0.0	46.4
- 3.35 + 1.70	6.15	16.33	0.76	0.23	69.7	78.8
- 1.70 + 0.60	4.44	10.18	0.71	0.20	71.8	84.4
- 0.60	5.74	5.74	1.18	0.07	94.1	94,1

(1) - Based on tail screen analysis

Table 6-22.Magino ProjectMafic Volcanic CompositeMinus 9.5 Millimeter Crushed MaterialKCA Sample No. 27088 BKCA Column Leach Test No. 27123Head Screen Assays vs. Tail Screen Assays

Size	Tail	Cumulative	Head	Tail	Percent Gold	Cumulative
Fraction, mm	Wt., %	Pass Wt., %	Au g/MT	Au g/MT	Recovered	Recovered, % (1)
- 9.5 + 6.3	18.71	100.00	0.88	1.76	0.0	31.1
- 6.3 + 4.75	18.41	81.29	0.62	0.98	0.0	38.3
- 4.75 + 3.35	14.49	62.88	0.67	0.47	29.9	49.5
- 3.35 + 1.70	17.71	48.39	0.79	1.07	0.0	55.4
- 1.70 + 0.60	13.88	30.68	0.93	0.24	74.2	87.4
- 0.60 + 0.212	6.14	16.80	12.17	0.12	99.0	98.3
- 0.212	10.66	10.66	2.33	0.05	97.9	97.9

(1) - Based on tail screen analysis

Table 6-23.

Magino Project Granodiorite Composite Minus 22.4 Millimeter Crushed Material KCA Sample No. 27089 A KCA Column Leach Test No. 27126 Head Screen Assays vs. Tail Screen Assays

Size	Tail	Cumulative	Head	Tail	Percent Gold	Cumulative
Fraction, mm	Wt., %	Pass Wt., %	Au g/MT	Au g/MT	Recovered	Recovered, % (1)
- 22.4 + 19.0	15.60	100.00	0.28	0.84	0.0	28.3
- 19.0 + 12.5	21.99	84.40	3.01	2.90	3.7	33.5
- 12.5 + 9.5	22.50	62.41	0.94	0.84	10.6	44.0
- 9.5 + 6.3	15.95	39.91	1.41	0.88	37.6	62.9
- 6.3 + 3.35	10.12	23.96	1.56	0.36	76.9	79.7
- 3.35 + 1.70	4.98	13.84	0.96	0.29	69.8	81.7
- 1.70 + 0.60	3.88	8.86	1.11	0.23	79.3	88.5
- 0.60	4.98	4.98	2.52	0.11	95.6	95.6

(1) - Based on tail screen analysis

Table 6-24. Magino Project Granodiorite Composite Minus 9.5 Millimeter Crushed Material KCA Sample No. 27089 B KCA Column Leach Test No. 27129 Head Screen Assays vs. Tail Screen Assays

Size Fraction, mm	Tail Wt., %	Cumulative Pass Wt., %	Head Au g/MT	Tail Au g/MT	Percent Gold Recovered	Cumulative Recovered, % (1)
- 9.5 + 6.3	15.79	100.00	2.21	1.20	45.7	59.6
- 6.3 + 4.75	25.10	84.21	1.13	0.75	33.6	62.3
- 4.75 + 3.35	17.00	59.11	3.02	0.36	88.1	74.4
- 3.35 + 1.70	18.01	42.11	1.30	0.76	41.5	68.9
- 1.70 + 0.60	11.52	24.10	1.65	0.26	84.2	89.4
- 0.60 + 0.212	4.78	12.58	1.48	0.16	89.2	94.1
- 0.212	7.80	7.80	2.42	0.07	97.1	97.1

(1) - Based on tail screen analysis

7.0 Assaying Procedures

7.1 Heads and Tailings

All heads and tailings assays were run as one assay ton fire assays. The samples for fire assay were submitted to two independent commercial laboratories for fire assaying.

7.2 Carbon Assays

The loaded activated carbon was dried and weighed. Two samples were split out and assayed and the remainder saved for reference. The carbon for assay was roasted to convert it to ash, then conventionally fire assayed.

7.3 Solution Assays

Approximate solution assays were made every cycle on an atomic absorption spectrophotometer, using gold and silver standards that had been calibrated by fire assay. The solution assays were used merely to check on the progress of the column tests, since actual recoveries were based on fire assays of the activated carbon.

7.4 Cyanide Assays

Sodium cyanide concentration in the leach solutions was determined using a colorimetric titration using a silver nitrate titrant and 5-[p-(Dimethylamino)- benzylidene]-rhodanine as the indicator. Free cyanide was determined by titrating 25 mL of the leach solution to the colorimetric end point. A few drops of 1N sodium hydroxide solution were then added to break up any base metal cyanide complexes and the titration continued until the end point was reached again to determine the 'total' cyanide in solution.

APPENDIX B.I COLUMN TEST LOGS

٠

APPENDIX B.1 COLUMN TEST LOGS

Daily log sheets for the leach tests are included in this appendix. The recoveries are based on solution assays determined by atomic absorption (The recoveries in the body of the report are based on carbon fire assays).

The data given in the tables alternate daily between pregnant and barren solutions. The gold and silver values not recovered by the carbon are recorded as negative recoveries. Each log sheet contains the following daily information:

Column	Description of Data
1.	Date
2.	pH level
3.	NaCN concentration - free/total (gpL)
4.	Lime addition (grams)
5.	NaCN addition (grams)
6.	Days (run time)
7.	Solution added to system (mL)
8.	Carbon bottle used
· 9.	Gold solution assay (mg/L)
10.	Silver solution assay (mg/L)
11.	Copper solution assay (mg/L)
12.	Solution volume (mL)
13.	Flowrate, Liters per hour per square meter
14.	Cumulative tonnes of solution effluent per tonne of ore
15.	Daily gold recovery based on solution assays (gms/MT)
16.	Cumulative gold recovery based on soln. assays (gms/MT)
17.	Daily percent gold recovery
18.	Cumulative percent gold recovery
19.	Percent of gold extracted
20.	Daily silver recovery based on solution assays (gms/MT)
21.	Cumulative silver recovery based on soln. assays (gms/MT)
22.	Daily percent silver recovery
23.	Cumulative percent silver recovery



		PROJECT:	Magino)																		يبي الشين البي
		TEST No .:	27120											TAILS	ASSAY:	Au gpt:	0,59		Ag gpi	t 1.4	ł	
_		SAMPLE I.D.:	27088	A										CALC.	HEAD:	Au gpt:	0,92		Ag gpi	l 1.5	j.	
SA	MPLE	HT (meters):	1.518	 .					_					WT OF	" SAMPL	E (kg.):	20.00					
~			Minus :	22.4				M	afic Vo	canics												
C		AM (melers):	1.102		1		1	r		,		·····	T	COLUN	IN SURF	ACE AF	REA (squa	ire meters):	0.008			
		NaCN			1	WATED	1						CUM			Au	AU	Percent		AA	Ag	Ag
		apl	LIME	NaCN	DAYS	ADDED	CARBON	Δ.,	100	C 11	VOLUME	Flow Bate	T SOLINI		DEC	SOLN	SULN	oriotal		CUM.	SOLN	SOLN
DATE	DH	FREETOT	GMS	GMS	RUN	grams	BOTTLE	PPM	PPM	PPM	mis	1/Hr/Sa M	TORE	ant	Au ant	REC	V. DEC	Au	Ag	REG.		N DEC
31 Aug			10.00	3.00	0	3,000					1		1	98	104 abr		1 / 1/20.		<u>1 2000</u>	TUA AR	I REC.	<u> % RCC.</u>
01 Sept	-	**	0.00	2,30	1	2,300		[1	·		<u> </u>			····	<u> </u>	ļ	+	<u> </u>
01 Sept	11.9	0.95/0.95			1		C-1	0.86	0.31	6.0	1,718	8.830	0.09	0.07	0.07	8.04	8.04	22.45	0.0	0.0	1.78	1.78
02 Sept	10.3	0.05/0.05	0.00	1.30	2	600		0.00	0.00		1,718			0.00	0.07	0.00	8.04		0.0	0.0	0.00	1,78
02 Sept	12.0	0.95/0.95	- 0.00		2	·/	·	0.46	0.10		2,306	11.849	0.20	0.05	0.13	5.77	13.81	38.57	0.0	0.0	0.77	2.56
13 Sept	11.8	0.85/0.85	0.00	0.00	3			0.00	0.00		2,306			0.00	0,13	0.00	13.81		0.0	0.0	0.00	2.56
13 Sept	11 7	0.00/0.00	- 0.00	0.00	1-2-	+	ļ	0.23	0.05		2,195	11.281	0.31	0.03	0.15	2.75	16.56	46.24	0.0	0.0	0.37	2.93
4 Sept	115	0.80/0.80	0.00	0.00				0.00	0.00		2,195	10 328	0.41	0.00	0.15	0.00	10.50	61.13	0.0	0.0	0.00	2.93
8 Sept	10.6	0.35/0.35	0.00	0.60	8	<u> </u>		0.00	0.00		2 010	10.525	0.47	0.02	0.17	- 0.00	18 31	51.13	0.0	0.0	0.34	3.20
8 Sept	10.7	0.70/0.70	1		8			0.21	0.03		2,124	10,914	0.52	0.02	0.19	2.43	20.73	57.91	0.0	0.0	0.00	3.48
9 Sept	11.0	0.55/0.55	0.00	0.00	9	1	XC-1	0.00	0.00		2,124			0.00	0.19	0.00	20.73		0.0	0.1	0.00	3.48
9 Sept	11.0	0.45/0.45			9		C-2	0.38	0.05	12,2	1,630	8.376	0.60	0.03	0.22	3.37	24,10	67.32	0.0	0.1	0.27	3,75
10 Sept	10.4	0.10/0.10	0.00	1.20	10	700		0.00	0.00		1,630			0.00	0.22	0.00	24.10		0.0	0.1	0.00	3.75
0 Sept	10.9	0.45/0.45	L		10	I		0.08	0.02		1,775	9.119	0.69	0.01	0.23	0.77	24.87	69.48	0.0	0,1	0.12	3.87
1 Sept	10.6	0.20/0.20	0.00	1.00	11	600		0.00	0,00		1,775			0.00	0.23	0.00	24.87		0.0	0.1	0.00	3.87
1 Sept	10.0	0.35/0.35	0.00	1 20		·		0.06	0.01		2,353	12.094	0.81	0.01	0.24	0.77	25.64	71.62	0.0	0.1	0.08	3.95
4 Sent	10.2	0.15/0.15	0.00	1.20	14			0.00	0.00		2,353	11 624	0.02	0.00	0.24	0.00	25.04	73.24	0.0	0.1	0.00	3.95
5 Sept	10.4	0.25/0.25	0.00	0.90	15			0.00	0.00		2,264	11.004	0.52	0.01	0.24	- 0.02	26.20	/3.34	- 0.0		0.08	4.02
5 Sept	10.3	0.45/0.45			15	f		0.10	0.02	{	2,226	11.439	1.03	0.01	0.25	1.21	27.47	76.73	0.0	0.1	0.15	4.17
6 Sept	10.2	0.25/0.25	0.00	0.90	16			0,00	0.00		2,226			0.00	0.25	0.00	27.47		0.0	0.1	0.00	4,17
6 Sept	10.2	0.50/0.50			16			0.03	0.01	9.4	2,111	10.850	1.14	0.00	0.26	0.34	27.81	77.69	0.0	0,1	0.07	4.24
Sept	10.3	0.30/0.30	0.00	0.00	_17			0.00	0.00		2,111			0.00	0.26	0.00	27.81		0.0	0,1	0.00	4.24
Sept	10.3	0,50/0.50		0.70	17			0.03	0.02		2,218	11.396	1.25	0.00	0.26	0.36	28,18	78.70	0.0	0,1	0.15	4.39
8 Sept	10.3	0.35/0.35	0,00	0.70	18	{		0.00	0.00		2,218	10.054	1.24	0.00	0.26	0.00	28.16	70.00	0.0	0,1	0.00	4.39
1 Sent	10.2	0.10/0.40	0.00	1 00	21		XC-2	0.01	0.00		1,957	10.034		0.00	0.26	0.00	28.28	79.00	0.0	0.1	- 0.07	4.40
1 Sent	10.2	0.40/0.40			21		C-3	0.01	0.01		2,183	11.217	1.45	0.00	0.26	0.12	28.40	79.33	0.0	0.1	0.07	4.53
2 Sept	10.1	0.15/0.15	0.00	1.00	22			0.00	0.00		2,183			0.00	0.26	0.00	28.40		0.0	0.1	0.00	4.53
2 Sept	10.3	0.45/0.45			22			0.10	0.02		1,497	7.694	1.53	0.01	0.27	0.81	29.22	81.60	0.0	0.1	0.10	4.63
3 Sept	10.4	0.15/0.15	0.00	1.20	23	900		0.00	0.00		1,497			0.00	0.27	0.00	29.22		0.0	0.1	0.00	4.63
3 Sept	10.5	0.35/0.35			23]	0.02	0.01	5.3	2,314	11.890	1.64	0.00	0.27	0.25	29.47	82.31	0.0	0.1	0.08	4.71
4 Sept	10,4	0,30/0.30	0.00	0.70	24			0.00	0.00		2,314			0.00	0.27	0.00	29.47		0.0	0.1	0.00	4.71
4 Sept	10.4	0.30/0.30			24			0.01	0.01		1,975	10.147	1.74	0.00	0.27	0.11	29,58	82.61	0.0	0,1	0.07	4.77
5 Sept	10.4	0.15/0.15	0.00	1.00	_25_			0.00	0.00		1,975			0.00	0.27	0.00	29.58		0.0	0,1	0.00	4.77
5 Sept	10.5	0.60/0.60			25	<u> </u>		0.02	0.01	{	2,235	11.487	1.85	0.00	0.27	0.24	29.82	83.29		0.1	0.07	4.85
8 Sept	10.5	0.20/0.20	0.00	0.90	28	<u> </u>		0.00	0.00	ł	2,235		1.00	0.00	0.27	0.00	29.82	02.00	0.0	0.1	0.00	4.85
8 Sept	10.4	0.40/0.40	0.00		28	╞╼╼╼╼╼┥		0.00	0.00	ł	1,951	10.025	1.92	0.00	0.27		29.02			01	0.00	4.05
9 Sept	10.5	0.30/0.30	0.00	0.60	29			0.00	0.00		2 0201		2.05	0.00	0.27	0.00	29.02		-0.0	0,1	0.00	4.65
9 Sept	10.5	0.40/0.45	0.00	0.00	29	<u>├</u>		0.07	0.01		2,030	10.432	2,03	0.01	0.20	-0.01	30.59	00.44	- 2.0	0.1		4.92
0 Sept	10.4	0.40/0.40		0.00	- 30	├ -{		-0.00	0.00	10.8	1 804	9 733	2 15	0.00	0.20	0.00	30.80	86.02	0.01	0.1	0.06	4.52
1 Oct	10.4	0.40/0.40	0.00	0.00	31			0.02	0.00		1 894	3.133		0.00	0.28	0.00	30.80	00.02	0.0	01	0.00	4 98
11 Oct	10.4	0.60/0.60		0.00	31	<u>├</u>		0.03	0.01		1.901	9,770	2.24	0.00	0.29	0.31	31.11	86.89	0.0	0,1	0.06	5.04
12 Oct	10.6	0.60/0.60	0.00	0.00	32	<u> </u>		0.00	0.00		1,901.			0.00	0.29	0.00	31.11		0.0	0.1	0.00	5.04
2 Oct	10.4	0.40/0.40			32			0.02	0.01		1,705	8.761	2.33	0.00	0.29	0.19	31.29	87.41	0.0	0.1	0.06	5.10
5 Oct	10.4	0.20/0.20	0.00	1.00	35	600		0.00	0.00		1,705			0.00	0.29	0.00	31.29		0.0	0.1	0.00	5.10
05 Oct	10.3	0.45/0.45			35			0.01	0.00		1,874	9.632	2.42	0.00	0.29	0.10	31.40	87.69	0.0	0.1	0.00	4.98
06 Oct	10.5	0.20/0.20	0.00	0.70	36			0.00	0.00		1,874			0.00	0.29	0,00	31.40		0.0	0.1	0.00	4.98
06 Oct	10.5	0.55/0.55			36			0.05	0.00		2,041	10,487	2.52	0.01	0.29	0.56	31.95	89.24	0.0	0.1	0.00	4.98
07 Oct	10.4	0.30/0.30	0.00	0.60	37			0.00	0.00		2,041			0.00	0.29	0.00	31.95		0.0	0.1	0.00	4.98
J7 Oc1	10,4	0.55/0.55				ll		0.02	0.00	10.7	1,718	8.830	2,61	0.00	0.30	0.19	32.14	<u> </u>	0.0	<u>0.1</u>	0.00	5.10

	PROJECT.	Magino																			
	TEST No.:	27120											TAILS /	ASSAY:	Au gpt:	0.59		Ag gpt	1.4		
	SAMPLE I.D.:	27088 A	1										CALC, I	HEAD:	Au gpt:	0.92		Ag gpt	1.5		
SAMPLE	HT (meters):	1.518											WT OF	SAMPL	E (kg.):	20.00					
OR	E SIZE (mm):	Minus 2	2.4				Ma	fic Vo	canics												
COLD	IAM (meters):	0.102											COLUM	IN SURF	ACE AR	EA (squar	e meters):	0.008			
		11		T		T	1							AA	Au	Au	Percent	T T	AA	Ag	PA
	NaCN			ł	WATER	1	ł	ł				CUM.	AA	CUM.	SOLN	SOLN	of Total	AA	CUM.	SOLN	SOLN
	gpl	LIME	NaCN	DAYS	ADDED	CARBON	Au	Ag	Cu	VOLUME	Flow Rate	T. SOL'N/	Au	REC.	%	CUM	Recov.	Ag	REC.	%	CUM.
DATE pH	FREETTOT	GMS	GMS	RUN	grams	BOTTLE	PPM	PPM	PPM	mLs	L/Hr./Sg. M.	T. ORE	gpt	Au gpt	REC.	% REC.	Au	gpt	Ag gpt	REC.	% REC.
08 Oct 10.5	0.35/0.35	0.00	0.80	38	600]	0.00	0.00		1,718			0.00	0.30	0.00	32.14		0.0	0.1	0.00	5,10
08 Oct 10.4	0.60/0.60	1		38			0,01	0.01		2,105	10.816	2.72	0.00	0.30	0,11	32.25	90.08	0.0	0.1	0.07	5.17
09 Oct 10.5	0.35/0.35	0.00	0.60	39			0.00	0.00		2,105			0.00	0.30	0.00	32.25		0.0	0.1	0.00	5.17
09 Oct 10.5	0.60/0.60			39			0.01	0.00		2,145	11.024	2.82	0.00	0.30	0.12	32.37	90.41	0.0	0.1	0.00	5.17
12 Oct 10.5	0.25/0.25	0.00	0.90	42			0.00	0.00		2,145			0.00	0.30	0.00	32.37		0.0	0.1	0.00	5.17
12 Oct 10 3	0.55/0.55			42			0.01	0.00		2 242	11,522	2.93	0.00	0.30	0.12	32.49	90,75	0.0	0,1	0.00	5.17
13 Oct 110 4	0.35/0.35	0.00	0.70	43	{		0.00	0.00		2 242			0.00	0.30	0.00	32 49		0.0	01	0.00	5.17
13 Oct 10.4	0.55/0.55	1-0.00	0.10	43			0.06	0.02		1 780	9 147	3.02	0.01	0.30	0.58	33.07	92 37	0.0	01	0.12	5 20
14 Oct 10.4	0.30/0.30	0.00	0.80	44	600		0.00	0.02		1 780	3,141	0.02	0.00	0.30	0.00	33.07	52.57	0.0	0.1	0.00	5 20
14 Oct 10.4	0.50/0.50		0.00				0.00	0.00	12.1	1011	0.919	2 12	0.00	0.30	0.00	22.40	02.66	0.0	0.1	0.00	6 26
15 Oct 10.4	0.05/0.05	0.00	0.00	44			0.01	0.01			9.010	3.12	- 0.00	0.30	0.10	22.40	92.00	-0.0	0.1	0.00	5.35
15 Oct 10.5	0.40/0.40	0.00	0.00	45			0.00	0.00			11.026	2.24	0.00	0.30	0.00	22.10	02.66	0.0	0.1	0.00	5.35
15 Oct 10.8	0.50/0.50	0.00		40			0.00	0.01		2,321	11.920		- 0.00	0.30	0.00	33.10	92.00	0.0	0.1	0.00	5.43
16 Oct 10.8	0.30/0.30	0.00	0.00	40			0.00	0.00		1.505	0 711	2.22	0.00	0.30	0.00	22.54	03.60	0.0	0.1	0.00	5.43
10 Oct 10.7	0.50/0.50	0.00	1.20	40	700		0.04	0.00		1,095		3.32	0.00	0.31	0.37	33.34	93.09	0.0	0.1		5.43
19 Oct 10.5	0.15/0.15	[(.20	49			0.00	0.00		- 1,093	12 424		-0.00	0.31	0.00	33,34	04.05	0.0	0.1	0.00	5.43
19 001 10.5	0.25/0.25	0.00	1 00	43 60			0.01	0.00		2,410	12.424	3.44	0.00	0.31	0.13	22 64	94.00	0.0	0.1	0.00	5.43
20 Oct 10.4	0.20/0.20		1.00	- 50			0.00	0.00		2,410	10 721	3 55	-0.00	0.31	0.00	34.02	05.01	- 0.0	0.1	0.00	5.43
20 001 10.4	0.20/0.20	0.001	0.00	50			0.03	-0.01		2,086	10.721	3.55	0.00	0.31	0.00	34.02	95.01	0.0	0.1	0.07	5.50
21 Oct 10.3	0.55/0.55	0.00	0.00	51			0.00	0.00		2 286	11 745	3.66	0.00	0.32	0.00	34 39	96.05	0.0	0.1	0.00	5.50
22 Oct 10.4	0.35/0.35	0.00	0 70	52			0.00	0.00		2 286			0.00	0.32	0.00	34.39	30.00	0.0	01	0.00	5.58
22 Oct 10.3	0.50/0.50			52			0.03	0.01		1.951	10.025	3.76	0.00	0.32	0.32	34.71	96.94	0.0	0.1	0.07	5.64
23 Oct 10 2	0.35/0.35	0.00	0.60	53			0.00	0.00		1.951			0.00	0.32	0.00	34.71		0.0	0.1	0.00	5.64
23 Oct 10.3	0.65/0.65			53			0.01	0.00		2.074	10.658	3.86	0.00	0.32	0,11	34,82	97.26	0.0	0,1	0.00	5.64
26 Oct 10.4	0.22/0.22	0.00	0.80	56			0.00	0.00		2.074			0.00	0.32	0.00	34.82		0.0	0,1	0.00	5.64
26 Oct 10.3	0.52/0.52			56			0.03	0.00		2,115	10.868	3.97	0.00	0.32	0.35	35.17	98.22	0.0	0,1	0.00	5.64
27 Oct 10.3	0.34/0.34	0.00	0.60	57			0.00	0.00		2,115			0.00	0.32	0.00	35.17		0.0	0,1	0.00	5.64
27 Oct 10.3	0.55/0.55			57			0.05	0.00		1,595	8.194	4.05	0.00	0.33	0.43	35.60	99.43	0.0	0,1	0.00	5.64
28 Oct 10.4	0.35/0.35	0.00	0.80	58	700		0.01	0.00		1,595			-0.00	0.33	-0.09	35.51		0.0	0,1	0.00	5.64
28 Oct 10.4	0.52/0.52			58			0.03	0.00	14.8	1,775	9.122	4.14	0.00	0.33	0.29	35,80	100.00	0.0	0.1	0.00	5.64
29 Oct 10.3	0.30/0.30	0.00	0.80	59	600		0.00	0.00		1,775			0.00	0.33	0.00	35.80		0.0	0.1	0.00	5.64
29 Oct 10.3	0.50/0.50			59			0,00	0.00		2,301	11.822	4.25	0.00	0.33	0.00	35.80	100.00	0.0	0,1	0.00	5.64
02 Nov 10.4	0.20/0.20	0.00	0.00	63			0.00	0.00		2,301			0.00	0.33	0.00	35.80		0.0	0.1	0.00	5.64
02 Nov 10.3	0.60/0.65			63			0.00	0.00	10.6	2,602	13.370	4,38	0.00	0.33	0.00	35.80	100.00	0.0	0.1	0.00	5.64
03 Nov 10.3	0.45/0.45			64		XC-3	0.00	0.00	10.9	2,602	1		0.00	0.33	0.00	35,80		0.0	0.1	0.00	5.04

· · · ·

:

. .





PROJECT: TEST No.:	Magino 27123												ASSAY	Au opt:	0.81		Ac ont	1.4		د وهم بروال کند
SAMPLE I.D.:	27088 [B										CALC.	HEAD:	Au gpt:	1.58		Ag gpt	1.5		
ORE SIZE (mm)	1.454 Minus 9	95				M:	fic Vo	Icanics				WT OF	SAMPL	E (kg.):	20.00					
COL DIAM (meters):	0.102		.			····						COLUN	IN SURF	ACE AF	REA (squa	re meters):	0.008			
NaCN		ĺ	1	WATER				1			CUM		AA	Au	Au	Percent		AA	Ag	Ag
gpi	LIME	NaCN	DAYS	ADDED	CARBON	Au	Ag	Cu	VOLUME	Flow Rate	T. SOL'NI	Au	REC.	30LN	CUM	Recov.	Aq	REC.	SULN	CUM.
DATE PH FREETTOT	GMS	GMS	RUN	grams	BOTTLE	PPM	PPM	PPM	mLs	L/Hr./Sq. M.	T. ORE	gpt	Au gpt	REC.	% REC.	Au	gpt	Ag gpt	REC.	% REC
01 Sept	0.00	2.30	1	2,300								<u> </u>			<u> </u>					
01 Sept 11.9 0.70/0.70			1		C-1	3.50	1.03	5.2	618	3.178	0,03	0.11	0.11	6.87	6.87	14.12	0.0	0.0	2.08	2.0
02 Sept 10.0 0.05/0.05	0.00	1.20	2	1,700		0.00	0.00		618			0.00	0.11	0,00	6,87		0.0	0.0	0.00	2.0
02 Sept 12.0 0.90/0.90	0.00	0.00	2			1.64	0,25		2,802	14.401	0.17	0.23	0.34	14,58	21.44	44.12	0.0	0.1	2.28	4.3
03 Sept 12.0 0.80/0.80	0,00	0.00	3			0.71	0.09		2,002	10.915	0.28	0.08	0.34	4.78	26.14	53.77	0.0	0.1	0.00	4.3
04 Sept 11.7 0.60/0.60	0.00	0.00	4			0.00	0.00		2,124			0.00	0.41	0.00	26.14		0.0	0.1	0.02	4.9
04 Sept 11.7 0.80/0.80	0.00	0.00	4	<u></u>		0.34	0.05		2,643	13,580	0.41	0.04	0.46	2.85	28.99	59.64	0.0	0.1	0.43	5.4
08 Sept 10.6 0.40/0.40	0.00	00,0	8		[]	0.00	0.00		2,643	11 089	0.52	0.00	0.46	0.00	28.99	62.16	0.0	0.1	0.00	5.4
09 Sept 11.0 0.40/0.40	0.00	0.00	9		XC-1	0.00	0.00		2,158		0.52	0.00	0.48	0.00	30.70	03.10	0.0	0.1	0.00	5.62
09 Sept 11.1 0.55/0.55			9		C-2	0.56	0.07	17.1	1,937	9.953	0.61	0.05	0.54	3.44	34,14	70.24	0.0	0,1	0.44	6.07
10 Sept 10.5 0.05/0.10	0.00	1,20	10			0.00	0.00		1,937	0 218	0.70	0.00	0.54	0.00	34.14	71.41	0.0	0,1	0.00	6.07
11 Sept 10.6 0.20/0.20	0.00	1.00	11	600		0.00	0.02		1,798	5,230	0.70	0.00	0.55	0.00	34.71	71,41	0.0	0.1	0.00	6,10
11 Sept 10,7 0.30/0.30			11			0.09	0.01		2,017	10.364	0.80	0.01	0.56	0.58	35.29	72.60	0.0	0.1	0.07	6.25
14 Sept 10.1 0.10/0.10	0.00	1.00	14			0.00	0.00		2,017	11 021	0.02	0.00	0.56	0.00	35.29	74.41	0.0	0.1	0.00	6.25
15 Sept 10.4 0.25/0.25	0.00	0.90	15			0.00	0.00		2,320	(1,921	0.92	0.01	0.57	0.00	36.17	74,41	0.0	0.1	0.08	6.33
15 Sept 10.3 0.37/0.37			15			0.21	0.03		2,034	10.454	1.02	0.02	0.59	1.36	37.53	77.20	0.0	0.1	0.20	6.52
16 Sept 10.2 0.20/0.20	0.00	0.80	16			0.00	0.00	14 7	2,034	11 294	1 13	0.00	0.59	0.00	37.53	78.20	0.0	0.1	0.00	6.52
17 Sept 10.3 0.25/0.25	0.00	0.00	17			0.00	0.00		2,198	11.2.54	1,10	0.00	0.60	0.00	38.01		0.0	0.1	0.00	6.60
17 Sept 10.3 0.35/0.35		0.00	17			0.08	0.01		1,942	9.979	1.23	0.01	0.61	0.49	38.51	79.22	0.0	0.1	0.06	6.66
18 Sept 10.3 0.30/0.30	_0.00	0.60	18			0.00	0.00		1,942	10,116	1.33	0.00	0.61	0.00	38.82	79.86	0.0	- 0.1	0.00	6.66
21 Sept 10.2 0.15/0.15	0.00	1.00	21		XC-2	0.00	0.00		1,969			0.00	0.61	0.00	38.82		0.0	0,1	0.00	6.66
21 Sept 10.1 0.25/0.25			21		C-3	0.04	0.01		1,995	10.252	1.43	0.00	0.62	0.25	39.07	80.38	0.0	0.1	0.07	6.72
22 Sept 10.1 0.10/0.10	0.00	1.00	22			0.00	0.00		1,995	7 386	1 50	0.02	0.62	0.00	40.03	82.35	0.0	0.1	0.14	6.86
23 Sept 10.3 0.10/0.10	0.00	1.20	23	900		0.00	0.00		1,437			0.00	0.63	0.00	40.03		0.0	0.1	0.00	6.86
23 Sept 10.4 0.40/0.40			23			0.07	0.01	12.0	1,899	9.758	1,59	0.01	0.64	0.42	40.45	83.22	0.0	0.1	0.06	6,93
24 Sept 10.4 0.25/0.25	0.00	0.80	24			0.00	0.00		1,899	11 531	1 71	0.00	0.64	0.00	40.45	83.80	0.0	0.1	0.00	<u> </u>
24 Sept 10.3 0.20/0.20	0.00	0.90	25			0.00	0.00	{	2,244	11,001		0.00	0.64	0.00	40.74		0.0	0.1	0.00	7.07
25 Sept 10.4 0.45/0.45			25			0.05	0.01		1,816	9.331	1.80	0.00	0.65	0.29	41.02	84.40	0.0	0.1	0.06	7.13
28 Sept 10.4 0.15/0.15	0.00	0.90	28			0.00	0.00		1,816	10.004	1 00	0.00	0.65	0.00	41.02	84.05	0.0	- 0.1	0.00	7.13
28 Sept 10.4 0.35/0.35	0.00	090	28			0.04	0.00		2,39	10,994		0.00	0.65	0.00	41.30		0.0	0.1	0.07	7.20
29 Sept 10.4 0.30/0.30	0.00	0.50	29			0.13	0.02		1,635	8.404	1.99	0.01	0.66	0.67	41.97	86,34	0.0	0.1	0.11	7.31
30 Sept 10.4 0.25/0.25	0.00	1.40	30	700		0.00	0.00		1,635			0.00	0.66	0.00	41.97		0.0	0.1	0.00	7.31
30 Sept 10.3 0.45/0.45		0.00	30			0.06	0.01	13,5	2,110	10.843	2.09	0.01	0.67	0.40	42.37	87.17	0.0	- 0.1	0.07	7.38
01 Oct 10.3 0.50/0.50	0.00	0.00	31			0.04	0.01		2,009	10.324	2.19	0.00	0.67	0.25	42.63	87.69	0.0	0.1	0.07	7.44
02 Oct 10.5 0.20/0.20	0.00	0.80	32			0.00	0.00		2,009			0.00	0.67	0.00	42.63		0.0	0.1	0.00	7.44
02 Oct 0.5 0.65/0.65	0.00	0.60	32			0.06	0.01		2,153	11,063	2.30	0.01	0.68	0.00	43.04	88.54	0.0	0.1	0.07	7.51
05 Oct 10.3 0.55/0.55		0.00	35			0.03	0.01		1,990	10.227	2.40	0.00	0.68	0.19	43.23	88.93	0.0	0.1	0.06	7.58
06 Oct 10.5 0.30/0.30	0.00	0.60	36			0.00	0.00		1,990			0.00	0.68	0,00	43.23		0.0	0.1	0.00	7.58
06 Oct 10.4 0.55/0.55	0.00		36			0.11	0.01		1,885	9,686	2.49	0.01	0.69	0.66	43.88	90.28	0.01	-0.1	0.06	7.64
07 Oct 10.4 0.35/0.35	0.00	0.60	37			0.05	0.00	18.1	1,710	8,785	2.58	0.00	0,70	0.27	44.16	90.84	0.0	0.1	0.00	7.51

SAI		PROJECT: TEST No.: SAMPLE I.D.: HT (meters): E SIZE (mm):	Magino 27123 27088 E 1,454 Minus 9	3 1.5				Ma	afic Vo	Icanics				TAILS / CALC. WT OF	ASSAY: HEAD: SAMPL	Au gpt: Au gpt: E (kg.):	0.81 1.58 20.00		Ag gpt Ag gpt	1,4 1,5		
		Avi (meters):	0.102	r	r	r	r	r	r	·	<u>г</u>	Γ			IN SURF	ACE AF	EA (squa	re meters):	0.008			·
DATE	pН	NaCN gpi FREE/TOT	LIME GMS	NaCN GMS	DAYS RUN	WATER ADOED grams	CARBON	Au PPM	Ag PPM	Cu	VOLUME	Flow Rate L/Hr./Sg. M.	CUM. T, SOL'N/ T, ORE	AA Au apt	CUM. REC.	SOLN % REC.	SOLN CUM	of Total Recov.	AA Ag	CUM. REC.	Ag SOLN %	Ag SOLN CUM.
08 Oct	10.5	0.30/0.30	0.00	0.80	38	600		0.00	0.00		1,710	an a		0.00	0.70	0.00	44.16		00	01	0.00	75
08 Oct	10.4	0.60/0.60			38			0.03	0.00		1,814	9.320	2,67	0.00	0.70	0.17	44.33	91.19	0.0	0.1	0.00	75
09 Oct	10.5	0.35/0.35	0.00	0.50	39			0.00	0.00		1,814			0.00	0,70	0.00	44.33		0.0	0.1	0.00	7.5
09 Oct	10.5	0.55/0.55			39			0.03	0,00		2,205	11.330	2.78	0.00	0,70	0.21	44.54	91.62	0.0	0.1	0.00	7.5
12 Oct	10.5	0.25/0.25	0.00	0,90	42			0.00	0.00		2,205			0.00	0.70	0.00	44.54		0.0	0.1	0.00	7.5
12 Oct	10.3	0.45/0.45			42			0.04	0.00		1,940	9.967	2.88	0.00	0.71	0.25	44.78	92.13	0.0	0.1	0.00	7.5
13 Oct	10.4	0.35/0.35	0.00	0.60	43			0.00	0.00		1,940			0.00	0.71	0.00	44,78		0.0	0,1	0.00	7.5
13 Oct	10,4	0.50/0.50			43			0.11	0.01		1,809	9.295	2.97	0.01	0.72	0.63	45.41	93.43	0.0	0.1	0.06	7.7
14 Oct	10.4	0.35/0.35	0.00	0.50	44			0.00	0.00		1,809			0.00	0.72	0.00	45.41		0.0	0.1	0,00	7.7
14 Oct	10.4	0.65/0.65			44			0.03	0.00	19.0	1,867	9,596	3.06	0.00	0.72	0.18	45.59	93,79	0.0	0.1	0.00	7.7
15 Oct	10.6	0.45/0.45	0.00	0.00	45			0.00	0.00		1,867			0.00	0.72	0.00	45.59		0,0	0.1	0,00	7.7
15 Oct	10.5	0.55/0.55			45			0,03	0.01		1,691	8.692	3,15	0,00	0.72	0.16	45.75	94.12	0.0	0.1	0.06	7.7
16 Oct	10.7	0.35/0.35	0.00	0.40	46	700		0.00	0.00		1,691			0,00	0.72	0.00	45.75		0.0	0.1	0.00	7.7
16 Oct	10.7	0.50/0.50			46			0.04	0.01		1,745	8.966	3,23	0,00	0.72	0.22	45.97	94,58	0.0	0,1	0.06	7.8
19 Oct	10.6	0.20/0.20	0.00	1.00	49	600		0.00	0.00		1,745			0.00	0.72	0.00	45.97		0.0	0.1	0.00	7.8
19 Oct	10.4	0.40/0.40			49			0.04	0.01		2,323	11.937	3,35	0.00	_ 0.73	0.29	46.27	95,19	0.0	0.1	0.08	7.8
20 Oci	10.4	0.25/0.25	0.00	0.90	_50			0,00	0.00		2,323			0,00	0,73	0.00	46.27		0.0	0.1	0.00	7.8
20 Oct	10.4	0.25/0.25			_50			0.07	0.02		2,042	10,493	3,45	0.01	0.74	0.45	46.72	96.12	0.0	0.1	_0.13	8,0
21 Oct	10.3	0.25/0.25	0.00	0.80				0.00	0.00		2,042			0.00	0.74	0.00	46.72		0.0	0.1	0.00	8.0
21 Ocl	10.3	0.50/0.50			51			0.06	0.01	16.7	2,137	10.979	3.56	0.01	0.74	0.41	47,13	96.96	0.0	0.1	0.07	8.0
22 Oct	10.4	0.35/0.35	0.00	0.60	52			0.00	0.00		2,137			0.00	0.74	0.00	47.13		0.0	0.1	0.00	8.0
22 Oct	10.3	0.55/0.55			52			0.03	0.01		1,978	10,163	3.66	0.00	0.75	0,19	47.32	97.34	0.0	0.1	0.06	8.1
23 Ocl	10.3	0.35/0.35	0.00	0.60	-53			0.00	0.00		1,978			0.00	0.75	0.00	47.32		0.0	0.1	0.00	8.1
23 Ocl	10.3	0.60/0.60			53			0.03	0.00		1,9/9	10.171	3.76	0.00	0.75	0.19	47.51	97.73	0.0	0.1	0.00	8.1
26 Oct	10.4	0.20/0.20	0.00	0.80	-50			0.00	0.00					0.00	0.75	0	47.51		0.0		0.001	8.1
26 UCI	10.3	0.55/0.55			-20			-0.03	0.00		2,140	11.037	3.60	0.00	0.75		47.71	30.15			-0.001	0.1
21 001	10.3	0.35/0.35	0.001	0.50	-21			0.00	0.00		2,140	7 655		0.00	0.75	0.00	41.11		0.0			0.1
21 Oct	10.3	0.55/0.55	0.00		-21		ł	0,08	0.01		1,490	1.000	<u>, ,,,,,</u>	-0.01	0.76	0.30	40.09	90.93	-0.0		-0.03	0.20
28 Oct	10.4	0.31/0.31	0.00	0.80	-20			0.01	-0.00	- 22 2	1,430	7 8 7 8	-101	0.00	0.76	0.03	48 29	00 22	-0.0		- 0.001	- 0.2
28 001	10.4	0.52/0.52			50			0.00	0.00		1,523	1.020	4,01	0,00	0.76	-0.00	48.28		-0.0		-0.00	8 2/
29 Oct	10.3	0.35/0.35	0.00	0.90		000	·	-0.00	-0.00		2 562	13 117	4 14	0.00	0.76	0.00	48.52	00 23	-0.0			- 8 2/
ZA OCI	10.3	0.50/0.50			23			0.03	-0.00	<u></u>	2,000			-0.00	0.76	-0.00	48.53					9.20
JZ NOV	10.4	0.20/0.20	0.00	0.00	-03		{	0.00	0.00	-16.4	2,000		-427	-0.00	0.70	0.00	48.64	100.00				- 0.20
JZ NOV	10.3	0.60/0.60			63			0.01	0.00	10.4	2,039	13.303	- 4,21	0.00	- 0.77	0.00	40.01	00.001	_0.0	- 0.1	- 0.001	
03 Nov	10.3	0.40/0.40			64		XC-3	0.00	0.00	20.5	2,639			0001	<u> </u>	0.001	40.01		_0.01	0,1	0.00	8.Z

•

•

,



÷



TEST No. 12/20 TARE AS NY: Arg PII: 1.16 A g PI 1: 1.6 SWPLET (IT revers): 131 COLUME (IT REVERS): 135 COLUME (IT REVERS): 0.15 COLUME (IT REVERS): 0.15 COLUME (IT REVERS): 0.15 COLUME (IT REVERS): 0.15 COLUME (IT REVERS): 0.15 COLUME (IT REVERS): 0.15 COLUME (IT REVERS): 0.15 COLUME (IT REVERS): 0.1	PROJECT:	Magino	}														<u></u>				
Super-stands Construction Mark Mark April A	TEST No.:	27126											TAILS	ASSAY:	Au gpt:	1.16		Ag gpi	l 1,4		
Date: Diff. Diff. <th< td=""><td>SAMPLE I.D.:</td><td>27089</td><td>A</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>CALC.</td><td>HEAD:</td><td>Au gpt:</td><td>1.66</td><td></td><td>Ag gpi</td><td>I 1.6</td><td></td><td></td></th<>	SAMPLE I.D.:	27089	A										CALC.	HEAD:	Au gpt:	1.66		Ag gpi	I 1.6		
COLUMA: Light (Rem): (1):2 COLUMA: SUPPLIC: ADD, Supplication, and any supplicating any supplication, any supplication, any supplication	SAMPLE HI (melers):	1.391							1 - 14				WT OF	SAMPL	E (kg.):	40.00					
L DOLE UN NACH MACH DATE	COL DIAM (matem)	Minus A	(2.9				C	Fanod	orite												
NGN NGC NGC <td>COL DIXM (meters):</td> <td>0.152</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>r</td> <td>······</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>IN SURF</td> <td>ACE AF</td> <td>EA (squa</td> <td>re meters):</td> <td>0.018</td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td>	COL DIXM (meters):	0.152		1	1				r	······				IN SURF	ACE AF	EA (squa	re meters):	0.018	1		
Opt LINE NACK ADD CARDON Au Au Co. Plan Flow Flats T.SOLN Au Au <td>NaCN</td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>WATER</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>CUM</td> <td></td> <td>AA</td> <td>AU</td> <td>AU</td> <td>Percent</td> <td>1</td> <td>AA</td> <td>Ag</td> <td>Ag</td>	NaCN	1		1	WATER							CUM		AA	AU	AU	Percent	1	AA	Ag	Ag
LATE pair Control Cont		LIME	NaCN	DAYS	ADDED	CARBON	A.,	100	C	VOLUME	Flow Rate	T SOL'NY		DEC	SULN	SOLN	or lotal	AA	CUM.	SOLN	SOLN
Chung Disclet Construction	DATE ON EREEMOT	GMS	GMS	BUM	arams	BOTTLE	DDM	DDM	DDM	VOLUME mie	L/Hr/Sa M	TOPE	Au	Au ant	76	N DEC	Recov.	Ag	REC.	%	CUM.
0 Sept 1 0 South 1 1 0 South 1 <td></td> <td>20.00</td> <td>6.00</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>FFIN</td> <td></td> <td>PPM</td> <td>mus</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td><u>IAU gpt</u></td> <td>REC.</td> <td>1% REC.</td> <td>AU</td> <td>gpt</td> <td>IAD DU</td> <td>REC.</td> <td>% REC</td>		20.00	6.00				FFIN		PPM	mus				<u>IAU gpt</u>	REC.	1% REC.	AU	gpt	IAD DU	REC.	% REC
01 02 <th02< th=""> 02 02 02<!--</td--><td>01 Sept</td><td>20.00</td><td>5 30</td><td></td><td>5 300</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td> </td><td><u> </u></td><td> </td><td>I</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th02<>	01 Sept	20.00	5 30		5 300									<u> </u>		I					
02 030 03000 0300 0300 0	01 Sect 11 0 0 00/0 00	0.00				C.1	1 10	0.20	10	1 200	10.004	0.11	0.12	0.12	7 20					- 26	
Carbon Server Core	02 Sept 12 01 0 66/0 66	0.00	0.00				1,10	0.35	- 4.0	4,300	10.004	<u> </u>	0.12	0.12	1.20	1.20	24.32	0.0	0.0	2./5	2./5
103 103 000 0.00 103 103 0.24 0.00 0.24 0.00 0.24 0.00 0.24 0.00 0.24 0.00 0.24 0.00 0.24 0.00 0.25 0.00 0.25 0.00 0.25 0.00 0.25 0.00 0.25 0.00 0.25 0.00 0.25 0.00 0.25 0.00 0.25 0.00 0.25 0.00 0.25 0.00 0.25 0.00 0.25 0.00 0.25 0.00 0.27 0.00 0.27 0.00 0.27 0.00 0.27 0.00 0.27 0.00 0.27 0.00 0.27 0.00 0.27 0.00 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.05 State 0.00 0.00 State 0.00 0.00 State 0.00 0.00 State 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 State 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 <th< td=""><td>02 Sept 12.1 0.85/0.85</td><td>0.00</td><td>0.00</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.00</td><td>0.00</td><td></td><td>4,300</td><td>44 770</td><td>0.24</td><td>0,00</td><td>0.12</td><td>0.00</td><td>1.28</td><td></td><td>0.0</td><td>0.0</td><td>0.00</td><td>2.75</td></th<>	02 Sept 12.1 0.85/0.85	0.00	0.00				0.00	0.00		4,300	44 770	0.24	0,00	0.12	0.00	1.28		0.0	0.0	0.00	2.75
03 02 02 03 <th03< th=""> 03 03 03<!--</td--><td>03 Sept 12.1 0.83/0.83</td><td>0.00</td><td>0.00</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.00</td><td>0.10</td><td></td><td>5,140</td><td>11.739</td><td>0.24</td><td>0.08</td><td>0.21</td><td>5.12</td><td>12.40</td><td>41.45</td><td>0.0</td><td>0.1</td><td>1.32</td><td>4.07</td></th03<>	03 Sept 12.1 0.83/0.83	0.00	0.00				0.00	0.10		5,140	11.739	0.24	0.08	0.21	5.12	12.40	41.45	0.0	0.1	1.32	4.07
Construction Constentin Co	03 Sept 12.1 0.83/0.83	0.00	0.00		·		0.00	0.00		5,140	44 700		0.00	0.21	0.00	12.40		0.0	0.1	0.00	4.07
Car degi Corr degi <thcor degi<="" th=""> <thcor degi<="" th=""> <thcor< td=""><td>04 Sept 11 8 0 62/0.62</td><td>0.00</td><td>0.00</td><td></td><td>{</td><td></td><td>0.34</td><td>0.00</td><td></td><td>5,100</td><td>11.705</td><td>0.37</td><td>0.04</td><td>0.25</td><td>2.05</td><td>15.05</td><td>50.31</td><td>0.0</td><td>0.1</td><td>0.66</td><td>4.73</td></thcor<></thcor></thcor>	04 Sept 11 8 0 62/0.62	0.00	0.00		{		0.34	0.00		5,100	11.705	0.37	0.04	0.25	2.05	15.05	50.31	0.0	0.1	0.66	4.73
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	04 Sept 11.0 0.02/0.02	0.00	0.00		<u> </u>		0.00	0.00		5,100	11.054	0.40	0.00	0.25	0.00	15.05	64.46	0.0	0.1	0.00	4./3
11.1 0.598 0.00 0.50 <t< td=""><td>04 Sept 11.1 0 68/0 68</td><td>0.00</td><td>- 0.00</td><td></td><td>{</td><td></td><td>0.17</td><td>0.05</td><td></td><td>4,040</td><td>11.034</td><td>0.49</td><td>0.02</td><td>0.27</td><td>1.24</td><td>10.29</td><td>54.45</td><td>0.0</td><td>0.1</td><td>0.39</td><td>5.12</td></t<>	04 Sept 11.1 0 68/0 68	0.00	- 0.00		{		0.17	0.05		4,040	11.034	0.49	0.02	0.27	1.24	10.29	54.45	0.0	0.1	0.39	5.12
000 000 <td>08 Sept 11.4 0.69/0.69</td> <td>0.00</td> <td>0.00</td> <td>8</td> <td><u> </u></td> <td></td> <td>0.00</td> <td>0.00</td> <td></td> <td>4,040</td> <td>11 557</td> <td>0.61</td> <td>0.00</td> <td>0.27</td> <td>0.00</td> <td>10.29</td> <td>E0 E7</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.1</td> <td>0.00</td> <td>5.12</td>	08 Sept 11.4 0.69/0.69	0.00	0.00	8	<u> </u>		0.00	0.00		4,040	11 557	0.61	0.00	0.27	0.00	10.29	E0 E7	0.0	0.1	0.00	5.12
$ \begin{array}{c} \hline 0.5 \ 0.6 \ 0.7 \ 0.$	09 Sept 11.5 0.59/0.59	0.00	0.00			XC-1	0.20	0.00		6 060	11.557		0.03	0.29	0.00	17.02	59,57	0.0	0,1	0.41	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	09 Sept 11.4 0.54/0.64	0.00	0.00				0.00	0.00	4 36	4 040	0 227	0.72	0.00	0.29	1 90	10.71	66 80	0.0		0.00	5.23
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	10 Sept 10.9 0.32/0.32	0.00	1 50	10	1 000		0.00	0.00		4 040	J.2.61	0.72	0.00	0.33	0.00	10 71	03.63	0.0		- 0.09	5.92
11 0.000 11 0.001 0.000 4680 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.010 0.000<	10 Sept 11.3 0.60/0.60	0.00		10	1,000		0.07	0.02		4 680	10.689	0.83	0.00	0.33	0.00	20.21	67.55	0.0	0.1	0.00	6.07
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	11 Sept 11.0 0.54/0.54	0.00	0.00	11			0.00	0.00		4.680	10.005		0.00	0.33	0.00	20.21	07.00	0.0	0.1	- 0.00	6.07
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	11 Sept 11.1 0.49/0.49			11			0.06	0.02		4.880	11,146	0.95	0.01	0.34	0.44	20.65	69.02	0.0	0.1	0.16	6.22
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	14 Sept 10.5 0.34/0.34	0.00	1.50	14			0.00	0.00		4,880			0.00	0.34	0.00	20.65		0.0	0.1	0.00	6.22
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	14 Sept 10.5 0.51/0.51			14			0.07	0.02		4,760	10.872	1.07	0.01	0.35	0.50	21.15	70.71	0.0	0.1	0.15	6.38
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	15 Sept 10.7 0.49/0.49	0.00	0.00	15			0.00	0.00		4,760			0.00	0.35	0.00	21.15		0.0	0,1	0.00	6.38
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	15 Sept 10.7 0.54/0.54			15			0.13	0.04		4,220	9,638	1.18	0.01	0.36	0.83	21.98	73.47	0.0	0.1	0.27	6.65
10 56 0.00 <th< td=""><td>16 Sept 10.5 0.41/0.41</td><td>0.00</td><td>0.50</td><td>16</td><td>1,000</td><td></td><td>0.00</td><td>0.00</td><td></td><td>4,220</td><td>10.200</td><td>4.20</td><td>0.00</td><td>0.36</td><td>0.00</td><td>21.98</td><td>74.05</td><td>0.0</td><td>0.1</td><td>0.00</td><td>6.65</td></th<>	16 Sept 10.5 0.41/0.41	0.00	0.50	16	1,000		0.00	0.00		4,220	10.200	4.20	0.00	0.36	0.00	21.98	74.05	0.0	0.1	0.00	6.65
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	16 Sept 10.5 0.44/0.44	0.00	- 0.00	10			0.00	0.02		4,540	10,369	1.29	0.01	-0.37	-0.41	22.39	/4.65	0.0	- 0.1	-0.15	6.79
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	17 Sept 10.5 0.34/0.34	0.00	0.00	17			0.05	0.01		5 020	11 465	1 42	0.01	0.38	0.38	22 77	76 12	0.0	01	0.08	6.87
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	18 Sept 10.5 0.29/0.29	0.00	2.00	18			0.00	0.00		5.020			0.00	0.38	0.00	22.77		0.0	0.1	0.00	6.87
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	18 Sept 10.3 0.40/0.40			18			0.03	0.01		4,280	9.775	1.53	0.00	0.38	0.19	22.97	76.77	0.0	0.1	0.07	6.94
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	21 Sept 10.4 0.16/0.16	0.00	2.50	21	1,000	XC-2	0.00	0.00		4,280			0.00	0.38	0.00	22.97		0.0	0.1	0.00	6.94
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	21 Sept 10.3 0.47/0.47			21		C-3	0.02	0.02		5,100	11.648	1.65	0.00	0.38	0.15	23.12	77.28	0.0	0.1	0.16	7.11
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	22 Sept 10.3 0.29/0.29	0,00	2.00	22			0,00	0.00		5,100			0.00	0,38	0.00	23.12	70.07	0.0	0.1	0.00	7.11
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	22 Sept 10.5 0.50/0.50			22			0,11	0.03		4,660	10.643	1.//	0.01	0.40	0.77	23.90	/9.87	0.0		- 0.22	7.33
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	23 Sept 10.6 0.35/0.35	0.00	1.50	-23			0.00	0.00		4,000	10.926	1 00	-0.00	-0.40	0.00	23.90	91 20	0.0	- 0.1	0.00	7.41
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	23 Sept 10.6 0.52/0.52	0.00	- 0.00	23			0.00	0.01	2.22	4,740	10.020	1.03	- 0.01	0.40	0.43	24.32	01.50	0.0	0.1	0.00	7.41
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	24 Sept 10.6 0.43/0.43	0.00	0.001	24			0.04	0.00	{	4 620	10 552	2 00	0.00	0.40	0.28	24 60	82 24	0.0	01	0.07	7 48
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	25 Sept 10.5 0.54/0.54	0.00	2 00	25			0.00	0.00		4.620			0.00	0.41	0.00	24.60		0.0	0.1	0.00	7.48
28 Sept 10.6 0.280.28 0.00 2.00 28 0.00 0.00 4,600 0.00 0.41 0.00 24.74 0.0 0.0 7.56 28 Sept 10.5 0.460.46 28 0.05 0.01 5,380 12.288 2.25 0.01 0.42 0.41 25.15 84.06 0.0 0.1 0.09 7.64 29 Sept 10.6 0.38/0.38 0.00 0.00 29 0.05 0.02 4,180 9.547 2.36 0.01 0.42 0.02 25.46 85.11 0.00 0.1 0.00 7.78 30 Sept 10.5 0.47/0.47 0.00 0.50 30 1,000 0.00 4,180 0.00 0.43 0.23 25.46 85.11 0.00 0.00 7.78 30 Sept 10.5 0.41/0.41 30 0.03 0.01 5.0 5.140 11.739 2.49 0.00 0.43 0.23 25.70 85.63 0.0	25 Sept 10.5 0.47/0.47			25			0.02	0.01		4.600	10.506	2.12	0.00	0.41	0.14	24.74	82.70	0.0	0.1	0.07	7.56
28 Sept 10.5 0.46/0.46 28 0.05 0.01 5,380 12.288 2.25 0.01 0.42 0.41 25.15 84.06 0.0 0.1 0.09 7.64 29 Sept 10.6 0.38/0.38 0.00 0.00 29 0.00 0.00 5,380 0.00 0.42 0.00 25.15 0.0 0.1 0.00 7.64 29 Sept 10.6 0.57/0.57 29 0.05 0.02 4,180 9.547 2.36 0.01 0.42 0.32 25.46 85.11 0.0 0.1 0.03 7.76 30 Sept 10.5 0.47/0.47 0.00 0.50 30 1.000 0.00 4.180 0.00 0.42 0.00 0.23 25.70 85.89 0.0 0.1 0.00 7.86 01 Oct 10.4 0.33/0.33 0.00 1.50 31 0.03 0.01 4.900 11.191 2.61 0.00 0.43 0.22 25.92	28 Sept 10.6 0.28/0.28	0.00	2.00	28			0.00	0.00	_	4,600			0.00	0.41	0.00	24.74		0.0	0.1	0.00	7.56
29 Sept 10.6 0.38/0.38 0.00 0.00 29 0.00 0.00 5,380 0.00 0.42 0.00 25.15 0.0 0.1 0.00 7.64 29 Sept 10.6 0.57/0.57 29 0.05 0.02 4,180 9.547 2.36 0.01 0.42 0.02 25.46 .85.11 0.0 0.1 0.00 7.78 30 Sept 10.5 0.47/0.47 0.00 0.50 30 1,000 0.00 0.00 4,180 0.00 0.42 0.00 25.46 .85.11 0.00 0.1 0.00 7.78 30 Sept 10.5 0.41/0.41 30 0.00 0.00 5,140 11.739 2.49 0.00 0.43 0.02 25.70 85.89 0.0 0.1 0.00 7.86 01 Oct 10.4 0.40/0.40 31 0.00 0.00 5,140 11.79 2.49 0.00 0.43 0.02 25.92 86.63 0.0 0.1 0.00 7.94 02 Oct 10.4 0.40/0.40 31	28 Sept 10.5 0.46/0.46			28			0.05	0.01		5,380	12.288	2.25	0.01	0.42	0.41	25.15	84.06	0.0	0.1	0.09	7.64
29 Sept 10.6 0.57/0.57 29 0.05 0.02 4,180 9.547 2.36 0.01 0.42 0.32 25.46 85.11 0.0 0.1 0.13 7.76 30 Sept 10.5 0.47/0.47 0.00 0.50 30 1,000 0.00 0.00 4,180 0.00 0.42 0.00 25.46 0.0 0.1 0.00 7.78 30 Sept 10.5 0.41/0.41 30 0.03 0.01 5.0 5,140 11.739 2.49 0.00 0.43 0.02 25.70 85.89 0.0 0.1 0.08 7.86 01 Oct 10.4 0.40/0.40 31 0.03 0.01 4,900 11.191 2.61 0.00 0.43 0.02 25.92 86.63 0.0 0.1 0.08 7.86 01 Oct 10.4 0.40/0.40 31 0.03 0.01 4,900 0.00 0.43 0.02 25.92 86.63 0.0 0.1 0.08 7.94 02 Oct 10.4 0.48/0.48 32 0.03 <t< td=""><td>29 Sept 10.6 0.38/0.38</td><td>0.00</td><td>0.00</td><td>29</td><td></td><td></td><td>0.00</td><td>0.00</td><td></td><td>5,380</td><td></td><td></td><td>0.00</td><td>0.42</td><td>0.00</td><td>25.15</td><td>•</td><td>0.0</td><td>0.1</td><td>0.00</td><td>7.64</td></t<>	29 Sept 10.6 0.38/0.38	0.00	0.00	29			0.00	0.00		5,380			0.00	0.42	0.00	25.15	•	0.0	0.1	0.00	7.64
30 Sept 10.5 0.47/0.47 0.00 0.50 30 1,000 0.00 0.00 4,180 0.00 0.42 0.00 25.46 0.0 0.1 0.00 7.86 30 Sept 10.5 0.41/0.41 30 0.03 0.01 5.0 5,140 11.739 2.49 0.00 0.43 0.23 25.70 85.89 0.0 0.1 0.08 7.86 01 Oct 10.4 0.33/0.33 0.00 1.50 31 0.00 0.00 5,140 0.00 0.43 0.22 25.92 86.63 0.0 0.1 0.08 7.86 01 Oct 10.4 0.40/0.40 31 0.03 0.01 4,900 11.191 2.61 0.00 0.43 0.22 25.92 86.63 0.0 0.1 0.08 7.94 02 Oct 10.4 0.31/0.31 0.00 1.50 32 0.00 0.01 4,660 10.643 2.72 0.00 0.43 0.21 26.13 87.34 0.0 0.1 0.00 8.01 05 Oct 10.5	29 Sept 10.6 0.57/0.57		_	29			0,05	0.02		4,180	9.547	2.36	0.01	0.42	0.32	25.46	. 85.11	0.0	0.1	0.13	7.78
30 Sept 10.5 0.41/0.41 30 0.03 0.01 5.0 5,140 11.739 2.49 0.00 0.43 0.23 25.70 85.89 0.0 0.1 0.08 7.86 01 Oct 10.4 0.33/0.33 0.00 1.50 31 0.00 0.00 5,140 0.00 0.43 0.02 25.70 0.0 0.1 0.08 7.86 01 Oct 10.4 0.40/0.40 31 0.03 0.01 4.900 11.191 2.61 0.00 0.43 0.02 25.92 86.63 0.0 0.1 0.08 7.86 02 Oct 10.4 0.40/0.40 32 0.00 1.50 32 0.00 0.01 4.900 11.191 2.61 0.00 0.43 0.02 25.92 86.63 0.0 0.1 0.08 7.94 02 Oct 10.4 0.31/0.31 0.00 1.50 32 0.03 0.01 4.660 10.643 2.72 0.00 0.43 0.21 26.13 87.34 0.0 0.1 0.00 8.01	30 Sept 10.5 0.47/0.47	0.00	0.50	30	1,000		0.00	0.00		4,180			0.00	0.42	0.00	25.46		0.0	0.1	0.00	7.78
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	30 Sept 10.5 0.41/0.41			30			0.03	0.01	5.0	5,140	11.739	2.49	0.00	0.43	0.23	25.70	85.89	0.0	0.1	0.08	7.86
01 Oct 10.4 0.40/0.40 31 0.03 0.01 4,900 11.191 2.61 0.00 0.43 0.22 25.92 86.63 0.0 0.1 0.08 7.94 02 Oct 10.4 0.31/0.31 0.00 1.50 32 0.00 0.00 4,900 0.00 0.43 0.02 25.92 0.0 0.1 0.00 7.94 02 Oct 10.5 0.48/0.48 32 0.03 0.01 4,660 10.643 2.72 0.00 0.43 0.21 26.13 87.34 0.0 0.1 0.00 7.94 05 Oct 10.5 0.28/0.28 0.00 2.00 35 0.00 0.00 4,660 0.00 0.43 0.21 26.13 87.86 0.0 0.1 0.00 8.01 05 Oct 10.4 0.55/0.55 35 0.02 0.01 5.140 11.739 2.85 0.00 0.44 0.16 26.29 87.86 0.0 0.1 0.08 8.10 06 Oct 10.5 0.42/0.42 0.00 0.00 <t< td=""><td>01 Oct 10.4 0.33/0.33</td><td>0.00</td><td>1.50</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.00</td><td>0.00</td><td></td><td>5,140</td><td></td><td></td><td>_0.00</td><td>0.43</td><td>0.00</td><td>25.70</td><td></td><td>0.0</td><td>-0.1</td><td>0.00</td><td>7.86</td></t<>	01 Oct 10.4 0.33/0.33	0.00	1.50				0.00	0.00		5,140			_0.00	0.43	0.00	25.70		0.0	-0.1	0.00	7.86
02 Oct 10.4 0.3170.31 0.00 1.50 32 0.00 0.00 4,900 0.00 0.43 0.00 23.92 0.0 0.1 0.00 7.94 02 Oct 10.5 0.48/0.48 32 0.03 0.01 4,660 10.643 2.72 0.00 0.43 0.21 26.13 87.34 0.0 0.1 0.07 8.01 05 Oct 10.5 0.28/0.28 0.00 2.00 35 0.00 0.00 4,660 0.00 0.43 0.21 26.13 87.34 0.0 0.1 0.07 8.01 05 Oct 10.5 0.28/0.28 0.00 2.00 35 0.00 0.01 5.140 11.739 2.85 0.00 0.44 0.16 26.29 87.86 0.0 0.1 0.08 8.10 06 Oct 10.5 0.42/0.42 0.00 0.00 36 0.07 0.01 4,040 9.227 2.95 0.01 0.44 0.43 26.71 89.29 0.0 0.1 0.00 8.16 06 Oct 10.5	01 Oct 10.4 0.40/0.40						0.03	0.01		4,900	11.191	2.01	0.00	-0.43	0.22	25.92		-0.0	- 0.1	0.08	7.94
02 Oct 10.5 0.480.480 0.1 0.1 0.0 0.1 0.00 0.0 0.01 0.00 8.01 06 Oct 10.5 0.60/0.60 36 0.07 0.01 4,040 9.227 2.95 0.01 0.44 0.43 26.71 89.29 0.0 0.1 0.00 8.16 07 Oct 10.5 0.46/0.46 37 0.00 5.9	02 Oct 10.4 0.31/0.31	0.00	1,50	- 32			0.00	0.00		4,900	10 643	2 72	0.00	043	0.00	26 13	87 74	0.0		-0.00	- 1.94 R 01
05 Oct 10.4 0.500 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 0.10 0.00 2.00 2.00 0.11 0.00 0.11 0.00 0.11 0.00 0.11 0.00 0.11 0.00 0.11 0.00 0.11 0.00 0.11 0.00 0.11 0.00 0.11 0.00 0.11 0.00 0.11 0.00 0.11 0.00 0.11 0.00 0.11 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.11 0.10 0.11 0.10 0.11 0.10 0.11 0.00 0.11	05 Oct 10.5 0.46/0.48	- 0.00	2 00	34			0.00	0.01	~ł	4 660	10.045		0.00	0.43	0.00	26 13		0.0		0.01	8.01
06 Oct 10.5 0.42/0.42 0.00 36 0.00 0.00 5,140 0.00 0.44 0.00 26.29 0.0 0.1 0.00 8.10 06 Oct 10.5 0.42/0.42 0.00 36 0.07 0.01 4,040 9.227 2.95 0.01 0.44 0.43 26.71 89.29 0.0 0.1 0.06 8.16 07 Oct 10.5 0.47/0.47 0.00 0.50 37 1,000 0.00 4,040 0.00 0.44 0.00 26.71 89.29 0.0 0.1 0.06 8.16 07 Oct 10.5 0.46/0.46 37 0.02 0.00 4,040 0.00 0.44 0.00 26.71 0.00 0.1 0.00 8.16 07 Oct 10.5 0.46/0.46 37 0.02 0.00 5.9 5,000 11.420 3.08 0.00 0.44 0.15 26.86 89.79 0.0 0.1 0.00 8.01 <td>05 Oct 10.4 0.55/0.55</td> <td>0.00</td> <td>2.00</td> <td>35</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.02</td> <td>0.01</td> <td></td> <td>5,140</td> <td>11,739</td> <td>2.85</td> <td>0.00</td> <td>0.44</td> <td>0.16</td> <td>26.29</td> <td>87.86</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0,1</td> <td>0.08</td> <td>8,10</td>	05 Oct 10.4 0.55/0.55	0.00	2.00	35			0.02	0.01		5,140	11,739	2.85	0.00	0.44	0.16	26.29	87.86	0.0	0,1	0.08	8,10
06 Oct 10.5 0.60/0.60 36 0.07 0.01 4,040 9.227 2.95 0.01 0.43 26.71 89.29 0.0 0.1 0.06 8.16 07 Oct 10.5 0.47/0.47 0.00 0.50 37 1,000 0.00 4,040 0.00 0.44 0.00 26.71 89.29 0.0 0.1 0.06 8.16 07 Oct 10.5 0.47/0.47 0.00 0.50 37 1,000 0.00 4,040 0.00 0.44 0.00 26.71 0.0 0.1 0.00 8.16 07 Oct 10.5 0.46/0.46 37 0.02 0.00 5.9 5,000 11.420 3.08 0.00 0.44 0.15 26.86 89.79 0.0 0.1 0.00 8.01	06 Oct 10.5 0 42/0 42	0.00	0.00	36			0.00	0.00		5.140			0.00	0.44	0.00	26.29		0.0	0.1	0.00	8.10
07 Oct 10.5 0.47/0.47 0.00 0.50 37 1,000 0.00 4,040 0.00 0.44 0.00 26,71 0.0 0.1 0.00 8.16 07 Oct 10.5 0.46/0.46 37 0.02 0.00 5.9 5,000 11.420 3.08 0.00 0.44 0.15 26.86 89.79 0.0 0.1 0.00 8.01	06 Oct 10.5 0.60/0.60			36			0.07	0.01		4,040	9.227	2,95	0.01	0.44	0.43	26.71	89.29	0.0	0.1	0.06	8.16
07 Oct 10.5 0.46/0.46 37 0.02 0.00 5.9 5,000 11.420 3.08 0.00 0.44 0.15 26.86 89.79 0.0 0.1 0.00 8.01	07 Oct 10.5 0.47/0.47	0.00	0.50	37	1,000		0.00	0.00		4,040			0.00	0.44	0.00	26,71		0.0	0.1	0.00	8.16
	07 Oct 10.5 0.46/0.46			37			0.02	0.00	5.9	5,000	11,420	3.08	0.00	0.44	0.15	26.86	89.79	0.0	0.1	0.00	8.01

	TEST No.: SAMPLE I.D.:	27126 27089 A	٩										TAILS / CALC.	ASSAY: HEAD:	Au gpt: Au gpt:	1.16 1,66		Ag gpt Ag gpt	1.4 1.6		
SAMPLE OF	E HT (meters): RE SIZE (mm): DIAM (meters):	1.391 Minus 2 0.152	2.4				c	Granod	liorite	•			WT OF	SAMPL	E (kg.):	40.00	en main	0.048			
			r	[]	1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		r				T		AUEAR	Au	Percent	0.018		40	An
	NaCN		1		WATER	}	1	1]			CUM.	AA	CUM.	SOLN	SOLN	of Total	AA	CUM.	SOLN	SOLN
	gpl	LIME	NaCN	DAYS	ADDED	CARBON	Au	Ag	Cu	VOLUME	Flow Rate	T, SOL'N/	Au	REC.	%	CUM	Recov.	Aq	REC.	%	CUM.
DATE pH	FREETOT	GMS	GMS	RUN	grams	BOTTLE	PPM	PPM	PPM	mLs	L/Hr./Sq. M.	T. ORE	gpt	Au gpt	REC.	% REC.	Au	gpt	Ag gpt	REC.	% REC
08 Oct 10.5	0.37/0.37	0.00	1.50	38			0.00	0.00		5,000			0.00	0.44	0.00	26.86		0.0	0.1	0.00	8.01
08 Oct 10.5	0.43/0.43						0.01	0.00	<u> </u>	4,840	11.054	3.20	0.00	0,45	0.07	26,94	90.04	0.0	0.1	0.00	8,01
09 Oct 10.5	0.34/0.34	0.00	1.50	39			0.00	0.00		4,840			0,00	0.45	0.00	26,94		0.0	0.1	0.00	8.01
09 Oct 10.5	0.54/0.54			39			0.02	0.00		4,620	10.552	3.32	0.00	0.45	0.14	27.08	90.50	0.0	0.1	0.00	8,01
12 Oct 10.5	0.33/0.33	0.00	1.50	42			0.00	0,00		4,620			0.00	0.45	0.00	27.08		0.0	0,1	0.00	8.01
12 Oct 10.4	0.54/0.54			42			0.02	0.00		4,780	10,917	3.44	0.00	0.45	0.14	27.22	90.99	0.0	0.1	0.00	8,01
13 Oct 10.4	0.45/0.45	0.00	0.00	43			0.00	0.00		4,780			0.00	0.45	0.00	27.22		0.0	0.1	0.00	8.01
13 Oct 110,5	0.52/0.52			43			0.06	0.02		4,080	9.318	3.54	0.01	0.46	0.37	27.59	92.22	0.0	0,1	0.13	8.29
14 Oct 10.5	0.42/0.42	0.00	0.50	44	1,000		0.00	0.00		4,080			0.00	0.45	0.00	27.59		0.0	0.1	0.00	8.29
14 Oct 10.4	0.47/0.47		1.00	44			0.02	0.00	6.8	4,500	10.278	3.65	0.00	0.46	0.14	27.73	92.68	0.0	0.1	0.00	8.29
15 Oct 10.6	0.37/0.37	0.00	1.50	45			0.00	0.00		4,500			0.00	0.46	0.00	27.73		0.0	0,1	0.00	8.29
15 OCI 10.5	0.39/0.39			40			0.01	0.00		5,120	11.694	3.78	0.00	0.46	0,08	27.80	92,93	0.0	0.1	0.00	8.29
16 Oct 10.9	0.29/0.29	0.00	1.50	46			0.00	0.00		5,120			0.00	0.46	0.00	27.80		0.0	0.1	0.00	8,29
16 Oct 110.8	0.51/0.51			40			0.02	0.00		4,200	9.593	3.88	0.00	0.46	0.13	27.93	93,36	0.0	0.1	0.00	8.29
19 Oct 110.7	0.31/0.31	0.00	2.00	49	1,000		0.00	0.00		4,200			0.00	0.46	0.00	27.93		0.0	0.1	0.00	8,29
19 Oct 110.5	0.56/0.56		0.00	49			0.03	0.01		5,180	11.831	4.01	0.00	0.47	0.23	28.17	94.14	0.0	0.1	0.08	8,38
20 Oct 10.5	0.42/0.42	0.00	0.00	50			0.00	0.00		5,180	10.552	4.12	0.00	0.47	- 0.00	28.17	05.24	0.0	0.1	0.00	8.38
20 Oct 10.5	0.54/0.54	0.00		50			0.05	0.03		4,020	10,552	4.13	0.01	0.47	- 0.35	20.51	95,31	0.0	0.2	0.22	0.00
21 Oct 10.4	0.44/0.44	0.00	0.00	- 64			-0.00	0.00	6.2	4,020	11 557	4 25	0.00	0.47	0.00	20,01	06.22	0.0	- 0.2	0.00	0,00
21 001 110.5	0.45/0.45	0.00	1 50				0.04	-0.01	<u>J,Z</u>	5,000	11.557	- 4.23	0.01	0.40	-0.01	20.02		-0.0	0.2	0.00	8.68
22 001 10.5	0.37/0.37	0.00					-0.00	0.00		4 420	10.005	4.36	0.00	0.48	0.00	28.02	96.78	0.0	0.2	0.00	8 75
22 Oct 10.4	0.40/0.40	0.00		-52	1.000		0.02	0.01		4 420	10.033		0.00	0.48	-0.00	28.05		0.0	0.2	0.00	8 75
23 Oct 10.3	0.54/0.54	0.00	2.00	53	1,000		0.03	0.00		4 820	11 009	4.49	0.00	0.48	0.22	29.17	97.51	0.0	0.2	0.00	8.75
26 Oct 10.5	0.27/0.27	0.00	2 00	56			0.00	0.00		4,820			0.00	0.48	0.00	29.17		0.0	0.2	0.00	8.75
26 Oct 10.3	0.54/0.54	0.00		56			0.02	0.00		5,600	12,790	4.63	0.00	0.49	0.17	29.34	98.07	0.0	0.2	0.00	8.75
27 Oct 10.4	0.43/0.43	0.00	0.00	57			0.00	0.00		5,600			0,00	0,49	0.00	29.34		0,0	0.2	0.00	8,75
27 Oct 10.4	0.63/0.63			57			0.04	0.01		4,180	9.547	4.73	0.00	0,49	0.25	29,59	98.92	0.0	0.2	0.07	8.82
28 Oct 10.5	0.46/0.46	0.00	0.50	58	1 000		0.00	0.00		4,180			0.00	0.49	0.00	29,59		0.0	0,2	0.00	8.82
28 Oct 10.5	0.45/0.45	- <u></u>		58			0.01	0.00	5.9	5,300	12,105	4.86	0.00	0.49	0.08	29.67	99.18	0.0	0.2	0.00	8.82
29 001 10 4	0.37/0.37		1.50	59			0.00	0.00		5,300			0.00	0.49	0.00	29.67		0.0	0.2	0.00	8.82
29 Oct 10.3	0.40/0.40	+- <u></u> +		59			0.01	0.00		4,520	10.323	4,98	0.00	0.49	0.07	29.74	99.41	0.0	0.2	0.00	8.82
02 Nov 10.5	0 17/0 17	0.001	0.00	63			0.00	0.00		4,520			0.00	0.49	0.00	29.74		0.0	0.2	0.00	8.82
02 Nov 10.4	0.51/0.51			63			0.02	0.00	6.6	5.820	13,292	5.12	0.00	0,50	0.18	29.92	100.00	0.0	0.2	0.00	8.82
03 Nov 10.4	0.31/0.31	<u></u> }}		64		XC-3	0.00	0.00	6.0	5.820			0.00	0.50	0.00	29.92		0.0	0.2	0.00	8.82

;





		PROJECT:	Magino																			
1		TEST No.:	27129	-										TAILS A	ASSAY:	Au gpt:	0.62		Ag gpt	i 1.4		
C		SAMPLE I.D.:	27089 8	3										CALC.	HEAD:	Au gpt:	1.37		Ag gpt	. 1.6		
SAUV		Circlers):	1.372 Minur 0	5					-	llarita				WIOF	SAMPLI	E (Kg.):	40.00					
i cr		AM (meters):	0.152						stanou	ionie				COLUM			EA (cours	ro motore):	0.018			
ĭ	Ĭ	vir (incited).	T	T	T	1	i	l	r	1	r	r	r			AU		Percent	10.018		A.	1 40
i Í	[[NaCN	[WATER	[1	[([[CUM.	AA	CUM.	SOLN	SOLN	of Total		CUM	SOLN	SOLN
		gpt	LIME	NaCN	DAYS	ADDED	CARBON	Λu	Λg	Cu	VOLUME	Flow Rate	T. SOL'N/	Au	REC.	%	CUM	Recov.	Ag	REC.	%	CUM
DATE	pH	FREE/TOT	GMS	GMS	RUN	grams	BOTTLE	PPM	PPM	PPM	mLs	L/Hr./Sq. M.	T. ORE	apt	Au apt	REC.	% REC.	Au	apt	Ag apt	REC.	% REC.
31 Aug			20.00	6.00	0	6,000		·								and the second second						
01 Sept			0.00	5.30	1	5,300							l	[[<u> </u>
01 Sept	11.9	0.85/0.85			1		C-1	2.45	0.86	3.94	3,080	7.035	0.08	0.19	0.19	13.82	13.82	25.32	0.1	0,1	4,18	4.18
02 Sept	12.2	0,59/0.59	0.00	0,50	2	2,000		0.00	0.00		3,080			0.00	0.19	0.00	13.82		0.0	0.1	0.00	4.18
02 Sept	12.1	0.88/0.88			2			1.43	0,26		5,260	12.013	0,21	0.19	0.38	13.77	27.59	50.55	0.0	0,1	2.16	6.34
03 Sept	12.1	0.84/0.84	0.00	0.00	3			0.01	0.00		5,260			-0.00	0.38	-0.10	27.50		0.0	0.1	0.00	6.34
03 Sept	12.1	0.66/0.66			3			0,58	0,10		4,940	11.283	0.33	0.07	0,45	5.25	32.74	59.99	0.0	0,1	0.78	7.12
04 Sept	11,9	0.64/0.64	0.00	0.00	4			0.00	0.00		4,940			0.00	0.45	0.00	32.74		0.0	0.1	0.00	7.12
04 Sept	11.7	0.62/0.62			4			0.25	0.05		4,980	11.374	0.46	0.03	0.48	2.28	35.02	64.17	0.0	0.1	0.39	7.52
08 Sept	10.8	0.51/0.51	0.00	0.00	8			0.00	0.00		4,980			0.00	0.48	0.00	35.02		0.0	0.1	0.00	7.52
U8 Sept	11.5	0.73/0.73			8		¥0.4	0.26	0.04		5,000	11.420	0.58	0.03	0.51	2,38	37.41	68.53	0.0	0.1	0.32	7.83
09 Sept	11.6	0.65/0.65	0.00	0.00	-9		<u>XC-1</u>	0.00	0.00	7 70	5,000		0.00	0.00	0.51	0.00			0.0	0.1	0,00	7.83
10 Sept	11.6	0.5//0.5/	- <u>.</u>	2 00	-10	1 000	<u> </u>	0.43	0.00	7.79	4,160	9,501	0.69	0.04	0.56	3.28	40.68	/4.53	0.0	0,1	0.39	8.23
10 Sept	11.0	0.50/0.50	0.00	2.00	10			0.00	0.00		4 620	10 552	0.80	0.00	0.56	0.00	40.00	75.02	0.0	0,1	0.00	8.23
11 Sent	110	0 49/0 49	0.00	0.00	11			0.00	0.00		4,620	10,552		0.00	0.57	0.00	41.44		0.0	-01	0.15	8.37
11 Sent	11.3	0.56/0.56		0.00	11			0.07	0.02		4,660	10.643	0.92	0.01	0.57	0.60	42.04	77.02		01	0.00	8.52
14 Sept	10.5	0.41/0.41	0.00	0.00	14			0.00	0.00		4,660	10.0 10		0.00	0.57	0.00	42.04		0.0	0.1	0.00	8.52
14 Sept	10.5	0.55/0.55			14			0.10	0.02		4,880	11,146	1.04	0.01	0.59	0.89	42.93	78.66	0.0	0.1	0.15	8.67
15 Sept	10.7	0.45/0.45	0.00	0.00	15			0.00	0.00		4,880			0.00	0.59	0,00	42.93		0.0	0.1	0.00	8.67
15 Sept	10.9	0.40/0.40			15			0.13	0.03		4,120	9,410	1.14	0.01	0.60	0,98	43.91	80.45	0.0	0.1	0.20	8.87
16 Sept	10.5	0.33/0.33	0.00	2.00	16	1,000		0.00	0.00		4,120			0.00	0.60	0.00	43.91		0.0	0.1	0.00	8.87
16 Sept	10.5	0.40/0.40			16			0.06	0.01	5,64	4,660	10,643	1.26	0.01	0.61	0.51	44.43	81.39	0.0	0.1	0.07	8.94
17 Sept 1	10.5	0.32/0.32	0.00	0.50	17			0.00	0.00		4,000	11 283	1 38	0.00	0.61	0.45	44.43	82 22			0.00	8.94
18 Sent	10.5	0.38/0.38	0.00	0.00	18			0.00	0.00		4,940			0.00	0.61	0.00	44.88	02.22	0.0	0.1	0.00	9.02
18 Sept	10.3	0.37/0.37			18			0.08	0.04		4,580	10,460	1.50	0.01	0.62	0.67	45.55	83,45	0.0	0.1	0.29	9.31
21 Sept	10.4	0.34/0.34	0.00	1.50	21		XC-2	0.00	0.00		4,580			0.00	0.62	0.00	45.55		0.0	0.1	0.00	9.31
21 Sept	10.3	0.35/0.35			21		C-3	0.04	0.01		5,100	11.648	1.62	0.01	0.63	0.37	45.92	84.14	0.0	0.1	0.08	9.39
22 Sept	10.2	0.22/0.22	0.00	2,00	22			0.00	0.00		5,100			0.00	0.63	0.00	45.92		0.0	0.1	0.00	9.39
22 Sept	10.4	0.40/0.40			22			0.14	0.03		3,820	8.725	1.72	-0.01	0.64	0.98	46.90	85.93	-0.01	-0.2	0.18	9.57
23 Sept 1	10.6	0.29/0.29	0.00	1.50		1,500		0.00	0.00	4.55		10 690		0.00	0.64	0.00	40.90	96 71			-0.00	9.57
23 Sept	10.6	0.48/0.48			- 23			0.05	-0.01	4.25	4,000	10,009	1.04	-0.01	- 0.05	0.43	47.33	00.71	- 0.0	-0.2	-0.07	9.03
24 Sept 1	10.5	0.41/0.41	0.00		-24-		{	0.00	0.00		5 300	12,105	1 97	0.01	0.65	0.49	47.82	87.60	-0.01	0.2	0.08	9.73
24 Sept	10.6	0.30/0.30	0.00	0.00	25			0.00	0.00		5,300			0.00	0.65	0.00	47.82		0.0	0.2	0.00	9.73
25 Sent	10.6	0 49/0 49		- 0.00	25			0.03	0.01		4,280	9,775	2.08	0.00	0.66	0.24	48.05	88.03	0.0	0.2	0.07	9.80
28 Sept	10.6	0.32/0.32	0.00	2.00	28	1,000		0.00	0.00		4,280			0.00	0.66	0.00	48.05		0.0	0.2	0.00	9.80
28 Sept	10.4	0.31/0.31			28			0.06	0.01		4,680	10,689	2.19	0.01	0.66	0.51	48.57	88.98	0.0	0.2	0.07	9.87
29 Sept	10.6	0.27/0.27	0.00	2.00	29			0.00	0.00		4,680			0.00	0.66	0.00	48.57		0.0	0.2	0.00	9.87
29 Sept	10.6	0,39/0.39			29			0.09	0.01		5,000	11.420	2.32	0,01	0.67	0.82	49,39	90,49	0.0	0.2	0.08	9.95
30 Sept	10.5	0.35/0.35	0,00	1.50	30			0.00	0.00		5,000		- 2.45	0.00	0.67	0.00	49.39			0.2	-0.00	9.95
30 Sept	10,4	0.39/0.39						0.03	0.01	4.78	4,540	10.369	2.43	0.00	80.0	0.25	49.04	90,94	-0.0	0.2	-0.07	10.02
01 Oct /	10.4	0.30/0.30	0.00	1.50	-31			0.00	0.00		4,040	10 734	255	- 0.00	88.0	0.00	49.04	01 57			-0.00	10.02
	10.4	0.40/0.40	0.00	1 50	- 12			0.04	0.01		4 700	10.134		0.00	0.68	0.00	49.98		-0.0	-02	-0.07	10.10
02 001	10.0	0.33/0.35		1.50	32			0.02	0.01		4.580	10.460	2,66	0.00	0.68	0.17	50.15	91.88	0.0	0.2	0.07	10.17
05 001	10.5	0.38/0.38	0.00	0.00	35			0.00	0.00		4,580			0.00	0.68	0.00	50.15		0.0	0.2	0.001	10.17
05 Oct	10.4	0.60/0.60	<u> </u>		35			0.02	0.00		4,700	10.734	2.78	0.00	0.69	0.17	50,32	92.20	0.0	0.2	0.00	10.02
06 Oct	10.5	0.47/0.47	0.00	0.00	36			0.00	0.00		4,700			0.00	0.69	0.00	50.32		0.0	0.2	0.00	10.02
06 Oct	10.5	0.44/0.44			36			0.07	0.00		3,900	8.907	2.88	0.01	0.69	0.50	50.82	93.11	0.0	0.2	0.00	10.02
	10.0	0 26/0 26	0.001	1 50	37	1.000		0.00	0.00		3,900			0.00	0.69	0.00	50.82	l	0.0	0.2	0.00	10.02
07 Oct	10.5	0.30/0.30	0.00														C					

		PROJECT	Magino																			
		TEST No	27129											TAUSA	VA22	Au ant:	0.62		Ac			
		SAMPLEID	27089 5	7								•					1 37		Ag gpi	1.4		
SAN	API F	HT (meters):	1 372	-										W/T OF	SAMDI I	- 74 ypt. 5 /kg \•	40.00		vð ðbi	1.0		
0,1	086	SIZE (mm):	Minus	15				0		lorita				W1 01	OPdail- Fi	L (Ng.).	40.00					
C		AM (melers):	0 152						staniou	ionite				COLUM			EA /cours		0.019			
Ť			T	r	1	Γ		1	1	· · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		I	1			Δu	Percent	0.010		1 Ag	1 10
		NaCN		1		WATER			1				CUM	AA	CIIM	SOLN		of Total		CUM	AG N	Ag COLN
		apl	LIME	NaCN	DAYS	ADDED	CARBON	An	۸n	Cu		Flow Rate	T SOL'N	An	REC	%	CIM	Recov		DEC		CUM
DATE	DH	FREETOT	GMS	GMS	RUN	grams	BOTTLE	PPM	PPM	PPM	mis	L/Hr/Sa.M.	TORE	ant	Au ont	REC	% REC	Διι	ant	An ant	REC	W DCC
08 Oct	10.51	0.30/0.30	0.00	1.50	38			0.00	0.00		4 540			0.00	0 70	0.00	50 00			149 950	0.00	10 1
08 Oct	10.4	0.49/0.49			38			0.01	0.00		4 780	10 917	3.11	0.00	0 70	0.09	51 08	93.58	0.0	0.2	0.00	10.17
09 Oct	10.5	0.38/0.38	0.00	0.00	39			0.00	0.00		4 780	10.011		0.00	0.70	0.00	51.00	53.50	0.0	0.2	0.00	10.17
09 Oct	10.5	0.55/0.55			39	t		0.03	0.00		4 380	10.004	3.22	0.00	0.70	0.24	51 32	94.02	0.0	0.2	0.00	10.17
12 Oct	10.5	0 34/0 34	0.00	2.00	42	1 000		0.00	0.00		4 380	10.004	0.22	0.00	0.70	0.00	51 32	39.02	-0.0	0.2	0.00	10.17
12 Oct	10.4	0.43/0.43			42			0.02	0.00		4 900	11 101	3.34	0.00	0.70	0.00	51.50	04 35	-0.0	0.2	0.00	10.17
13 Oct	10.4	0.35/0.35	0.00	1.50	43			0.00	0.00		4 900			0.00	0.70	0.00	51 50		0.0	0.2	0.00	10.17
13 Oct	10.4	0 41/0 41			43			0.06	0.01		4 420	10.095	3.45	0.01	0.71	0.49	51.98	95.24	0.0	0.2	0.00	10.17
14 Oct	10 4	0 34/0 34	0.00	2.00	44	1 000		0.00	0.00		4 420			0.00	0.71	0.00	51 98		0.0	0.2	0.07	10.24
14 Oct	10 4	0.59/0.59			44			0.02	0.00	62	4 560	10 415	3.57	0.00	0.71	0.00	52 15	95.54	-0.0	0.2	0.00	10.24
15 Oct	10.6	0 46/0 46	0.00	0.00	45			0.00	0.00		4 560			0.00	0.71	-0.00	52 15		0.0	0.2	0.00	10.24
15 Oct	10.5	0.58/0.58			45			0.02	0.00		5.520	12,607	3.71	0.00	0.71	0.20	52.35	95,91	0.0	02	0.00	10.24
16 Oct	10.8	0.45/0.45	0.00	0.00	46			0.00	0.00		5,520			0.00	0.71	0.00	52.35		0.0	02	0.00	10.24
16 Oct	10.8	0.47/0.47			46			0.02	0.00		4,140	9,455	3.81	0.00	0.72	0.15	52.50	96,19	0.0	0.2	0.00	10.24
19 Oci	10.6	0.30/0.30	0.00	2.00	49	1,000		0.00	0.00		4,140			0.00	0.72	0.00	52.50		0.0	0.2	0.00	10.24
19 Oct	10.5	0.38/0.38			49			0.02	0.01		5,960	13.612	3.96	0.00	0.72	0.22	52.72	96.59	0.0	0.2	0.09	10.33
20 Oct	10.5	0.33/0.33	0.00	2.00	50			0.00	0.00		5,960			0.00	0.72	0.00	52.72		0.0	0.2	0.00	10.33
20 Oct	10.5	0,45/0.45			50			0.05	0.01		4,620	10.552	4.07	0.01	0.73	0.42	53.15	97.37	0.0	0.2	0.07	10.40
21 Oct	10,3	0.33/0.33	0.00	1.50	51			0.00	0.00		4,620			0.00	0.73	0.00	53.15		0.0	0.2	0.00	10.40
21 Oct	10.3	0.58/0.58			51			0.03	0.00	6.4	5,660	12.927	4.22	0.00	0.73	0.31	53.46	97.94	0.0	0.2	0.00	10.40
22 Oct	10.5	0.48/0.48	0.00	0.00	52			0.00	0.00		5,660			0.00	0.73	0.00	53.46		0.0	0.2	0.00	10.40
22 Oct	10.4	0,36/0.36			52			0.01	0.00		4,580	10.460	4.33	0.00	0.73	0.08	53.54	98.09	0.0	0.2	0.00	10.40
23 Oct	10.3	0.47/0.47	0.00	0.00	53	·		0,00	0.00		4,580			0.00	0.73	0,00	53.54		0.0	0.2	0.00	10.40
23 Oct	10.3	0.55/0.55			53			0.01	0.00		5,460	12.470	4.47	0.00	-0.73	0.10	53.64	98.27	-0.0	0.2	-0.00	10.40
26 Oct	10.3	0.47/0.47	0.00	0.00	-56			0.00	0.00		4 720	10 780	4 50	0.00	0.73	-0.00	53.81	08.50	-0.0		- 0.00	10.40
26 Oct	10.3	0.55/0.55	0.00	1 60	- 57			0.02	0.00		4,720	10,780	4.55	0.00	0.73	0.00	53.81	30.33	0.0	0.2	-0.00	10.40
27 Oct	10.4	0.34/0.34	0.00	1.501	57			0.00	0.00		4.560	10 415	4 70	0.00	0.74	0.42	54 23	99.35	0.0	0.2	0.00	10.40
27 Oct	0.3	0.49/0.49	0.00	1.50	- 50	1 000		0.00	0.00		4 560	10.410		0.00	0.74	0.00	54.23		0.0	0.2	0.00	10.40
20 001	10.4	0.50/0.50	0.00	1.50	58			0.02	0.00	7.7	4.520	10,323	4.81	0.00	0.74	0.17	54,40	99.66	0.0	0.2	0.00	10.40
20 Oct	10.4	0.310.31	- 0.00	0.001				0.00	0.00		4.520			0.00	0.74	0.00	54,40		0.0	0.2	0.00	10.40
29 001	10.4	0.53/0.53	0.00	0.00	-59-			0.01	0.00		5.120	11.694	4,94	0.00	0.74	0.09	54,49	99.83	0.0	0.2	0.00	10.40
23 UCL	10.4	0.29/0.29	0.00	0.00	63			0.00	0.00		5,120			0,00	0.74	0.00	54.49		0.0	0,2	0.00	10.40
02 Nov	10 3	0 42/0 42			63			0.01	0.00	7.7	5,080	11.602	5.07	0.00	0.75	0.09	54.58	100.00	0.0	0.2	0.00	10.40
03 Nov	10.3	0.34/0.34			64		XC-3	0.00	0.00	7.4	5,080			0.00	0.75	0.00	54.58		0.0	0.2	0.00	10.40
001100	10.7	0.01/0.01								التجنعت وجيرو				and the second se	the second s					and the second se	-	

.





V Ontario Northern Development	Beelafatten at Accocomo	nt Wierlu	Transpolion Number (affice use)	
	Ferioritou on mining Lun	R.R.O. 1990	Assessment Files Research Imaging	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ETAHE REVIS	ED
	n 66(2) an Web and	d 66(3) of the Min	Ing Act. Under section 8 of the Mining Act,	
	svclop#4	t'and Minne, sra	FIDAR, AND RAHIVEY Lake Road. Dudbyty,	2
W2009 2.19607 FINAN	900			
anaturalization For while performed on Crite	wn 1 ands hetore regording a sigim,	1 War [ym 0240).	
- Please type or print in this.				
1. Recorded holder(s) (Attach a list if na	cessary)			
Colden Cases Ranourcoc		174165	umber 705 894 2011	
PO Base 200, Dubrouliville,				
P0S 180			/05-884-2916	
Name		Client Numbe	X	
Address		Telephone N	umber	
		Fax Number		
2. Type of work performed; Check (*) a	and report on only ONE of the follow	ing groups for	this declaration.	
Geotechnick) prospecting, surveys, assays and work under section 18 (re	gs) Physical: drilling si gs) trenching and asso	rip ping, Icialed assays	Rehabilitation	
Work Type			Office Use	
Metalurgical sampling and study		Commodity		
	Atter	Work Cleim	led \$55,842	
Deles Work From 17 Jun 1998 Recommend Day Morth Lyter	To 30 Dec 1999	NTS Refere	ince	
Blobel Peoklaning System Date (if susitable) Permete	Rive Finan	Mining Divis	sion SSM	
M OF LE-PR	an Number mailure	Perily N 0	Aslegist 65M	
- complete and attact - provide a map show - include two copies (h a Statement of Costs, form 0212; ving contiguous mining lands that ar of your technical report.	e linkod for act	Ngning Work;	
	······································	<u> </u>		
		1 1 1		
3. Person or companies who prepared Name Michael Perkins, PO Box 42, Cobocc	the technical report (Allacti a fist	il neccasary)	umber 708-454-3587	
3. Person or companies who prepared Name Michael Perkins, PO Box 42, Cobocc Addrose	the technical report (Allacti a fist	il ijeccesary) Telephone N	umber 708-454-3587 705-454-2797	
3. Person of companies who prepared Name Michael Perkins, PO Box 42, Cobocc Address	the technical report (Allacia e fist onk, Ontario, KOM 1KO	if necessary) Telephone N Fax Number	umber 705-454-3587 705-454-2797	
3. Person of companies who prepared Name Michael Perkins, PO Box 42, Cobook Address Name Lakefield Reasearch Laboratory	the technical report (Allacti a fist	if necessary) Telephone N Fax Number Telephone N	umber 708-454-3587 705-454-2797 umber 708-652-2000	
3. Person of companies who prepared Name Michael Perkins, PO Box 42, Cobook Address Name Lakefield Reasearch Laboratory Address 185 Concession St, Lakefield, Ont	the technical report (Allacti a fist onk, Ontario, KOM 1KO	if necessary) Telephone N Fax Number Telephone N Fax Number	umber 708-454-3587 705-454-2797 umber 708-652-2000 708-652-6365	
3. Person of companies who prepared Name Michael Perkins, PO Box 42, Coboor Address Name Lakefield Reasearch Laboratory Address 185 Concession St, Lakefield, Ont Name Kappes, Cassiday and Associates	The technical report (Allach a fist	il i)eccasary) Telephone N Fax Number Telephone N Fax Number Telephone N	umber 708-454-3587 705-454-2797 umber 708-652-2000 708-652-6365 umber 702-972-7575	
3. Person of companies who prepared Name Michael Perkins, PO Box 42, Cobood Address Name Lakefield Reasearch Laboratory Address 185 Concession St, Lakefield, Ont Name Kappes, Cassiday and Associates Address 7950 Security Circle, Reno, Nevada	the technical report (Allach a fist onk, Ontario, KOM 1K0	if i)eccasary) Telephone N Fax Number Telephone N Fax Number Telephone N Fax Number	umber 708-454-3587 705-454-2797 umber 708-652-2000 708-652-8385 umber 702-972-7575 702-972-4567	
3. Person of companies who prepared Name Michael Perkins, PO Box 42, Cobood Address Name Lakefield Reasearch Laboratory Address 185 Concession St, Lakefield, Ont Name Kappes, Cassiday and Associates Address 7950 Security Circle, Reno, Nevada	The technical report (Allacti a fist onk, Ontario, KOM 1K0	il necessary) Telephone N Fax Number Telephone N Fax Number Telephone N Fax Number	umber 708-454-3587 705-454-2797 umber 708-652-2000 708-652-6365 umber 702-972-7575 702-972-4567	
 Person of companies who prepared Name Michael Perkins, PO Box 42, Cobock Address Name Lakefield Reasearch Laboratory Address 185 Concession St, Lakefield, Ont Name Kappes, Cassiday and Associates Address 7950 Security Circle, Reno, Nevada 4. Certification by Recorded Holder or 	the technical report (Allach a fist onk, Ontario, KOM 1K0 a, USA, 89506 Agent	if neccessary) Telephone N Fax Number Telephone N Fax Number Telephone N Fax Number	umber 708-454-3587 705-454-2797 umber 708-652-2000 705-652-6365 umber 702-972-7575 702-972-4567	
3. Person of companies who prepared Name Michael Perkins, PO Box 42, Coboor Address Name Lakefield Reasearch Laboratory Address 185 Concession St, Lakefield, Ont Name Kappes, Cassiday and Associates Address 7950 Security Circle, Reno, Nevada 4. Certification by Recorded Holder or I, <u>F.W. Nielson</u>	The technical report (Allach a fist onk, Ontario, KOM 1K0 a, USA, 89506 Agent	il neccesary) Telephone N Fax Number Telephone N Fax Number Telephone N Fax Number	umber 708-454-3587 705-454-2797 umber 708-652-2000 708-652-8385 umber 702-972-7575 702-972-4567	
S. Person of companies who prepared Name Michael Perkins, PO Box 42, Cobook Address Name Lakefield Reasearch Laboratory Address 185 Concession St, Lakefield, Ont Name Kappes, Cassiday and Associates Address 7950 Security Circle, Reno, Nevada 4. Certification by Recorded Holder or I. <u>F.W. Nielson</u> (Prin Name) this Declaration of Assessment Work having completion and, to the best of my knowledg	The technical report (Allacti a fist onk, Ontario, KOM 1K0 a, USA, 89508 Agent , du hereby contify that I ha g caused the work to be performed a, the annexed report is true.	If necessary) Telephone N Fax Number Telephone N Fax Number Telephone N Fax Number	umber 708-454-3587 705-454-2797 umber 708-652-2000 708-652-6385 umber 702-972-7575 702-972-4567	
 Person of companies who prepared Name Michael Perkins, PO Box 42, Cobock Address Name Lakefield Reasearch Laboratory Address 185 Concession St, Lakefield, Ont Name Kappes, Cassiday and Associates Address 7950 Security Circle, Reno, Nevada 4. Certification by Recorded Holder or I, <u>F.W. Nielson</u> (Prin Name) this Declaration of Assessment Work having completion and, to the best of my knowledg Signature of Recorded Holder, or Agent 	The technical report (Allach a fist onk, Ontario, KOM 1K0 a, USA, 89506 Agent , Ju Increby cortify that I ha g caused the work to be performed a, the annexed report is true.	if necessary) Telephone N Fax Number Telephone N Fax Number Telephone N Fax Number	umber 708-454-3587 705-454-2797 umber 708-652-2000 708-652-6365 umber 702-972-7575 702-972-4567 Newledge of the frame cot forth in a same during or after its	VFD
3. Person of companies who prepared Name Michael Perkins, PO Box 42, Coboor Address Name Lakefield Reasearch Laboratory Address 185 Concession St, Lakefield, Ont Name Kappes, Cassiday and Associates Address 7950 Security Circle, Reno, Nevada Address 7950 Security Circle, Reno, Nevada 4. Certification by Recorded Holder or I, <u>F.W. Nielson</u> (Prin Name) this Declaration of Assessment Work having completion and, to the best of my knowledg Signature of Recorded Holder, or Agent Agent's Address	The technical report (Allach a fist onk, Ontario, KOM 1K0 a, USA, 89506 Agent , Ju Increby contify that I have g caused the work to be performed a, the annexed report is true.	I necessary) Telephone N Fax Number Telephone N Fax Number Telephone N Fax Number Vé personol kr or witnessed th	umber 708-454-3587 705-454-2797 umber 708-652-2000 708-652-8365 umber 702-972-7575 702-972-4567 Newladge of the frame cot forth in e same during or after its Date 25 DECEN	VED
3. Person of companies who prepared Name Michael Perkins, PO Box 42, Cobock Address Name Lakefield Reasearch Laboratory Address 185 Concession St, Lakefield, Ont Name Kappes, Cassiday and Associates Address 7950 Security Circle, Reno, Nevada Address 7950 Security Circle, Reno, Nevada 4. Certification by Recorded Holder or I, <u>F.YY. Nielson</u> this Declaration of Assessment Work having completion and, to the best of my knowledg Signature of Recorded Holder, or Agent Agent's Address	The technical report (Allach a fist onk, Ontario, KOM 1K0 a, USA, 89506 Agent , du hereby certify that I have g caused the work to be performed to, the annexed report is true.	if necessary) Telephone N Fax Number Telephone N Fax Number Telephone N Fax Number Sector Sec	umber 708-454-3587 705-454-2797 umber 708-652-2000 708-652-6365 umber 702-972-7575 702-972-4567 Newledge of the frame cot forth in e same during or after its Date 28 DECEN Fax Number JUL - 7 is	VED
3. Person of companies who prepared Name Michael Perkins, PO Box 42, Coboor Address Name Lakefield Reasearch Laboratory Address 185 Concession St, Lakefield, Ont Name Kappes, Cassiday and Associates Address 7950 Security Circle, Reno, Nevada Address 7950 Security Circle, Reno, Nevada 4. Certification by Recorded Holder or I, <u>F.W. Nielson</u> this Declaration of Assessment Work having completion and, to the best of my knowledg Signature of Recorded Holder, or Agent Agent's Address MIBOVE	The technical report (Allachi e fist onk, Ontario, KOM 1K0 a, USA, 89506 Agent , Uu hereby certify that I ha g caused the work to be performed e, the annexed report is true. 	il necessary) Telephone N Fax Number Telephone N Fax Number Telephone N Fax Number Second kr or witnessed th Second kr	Umber 708-454-3587 705-454-2797 Umber 708-652-2000 708-652-8385 Umber 702-972-7575 702-972-4567 Newledge of the frame cot forth in e same during or after its Date 2 December 10 Fax Number JUL - 7 in GEOSCIENCE 100	VED
S. Person of companies who prepared Name Michael Perkins, PO Box 42, Coboor Address Name Lakefield Reasearch Laboratory Address 185 Concession St, Lakefield, Ont Name Kappes, Cassiday and Associates Address 7950 Security Circle, Reno, Nevada Address 7950 Security Circle,	The technical report (Allach a fist onk, Ontario, KOM 1K0 a, USA, 89508 Agent , du hereby cordify that I have g caused the work to be performed a, the annexed report is true. JUL - 7 199 	I necessary) Telephone N Fax Number Telephone N Fax Number Telephone N Fax Number Telephone N Fax Number Vé pérsonal lut personal lut personal lut Ser	umber 708-454-3587 705-454-2797 umber 708-652-2000 708-652-6365 umber 702-972-7575 702-972-4567 Newledge of the frame cot forth in a same during or after its Date 2 RECENT Fax Number JUL - 7 is GEOSCIENCE ASS, OFFICE	VED 399 ESSMENT
3. Person of companies who prepared Name Michael Perkins, PO Box 42, Coboor Address Name Lakefield Reasearch Laboratory Address 185 Concession St, Lakefield, Ont Name Kappes, Cassiday and Associates Address 7950 Security Circle, Reno, Nevada 4. Certification by Recorded Holder or I, <u>F.YY. Nielson</u> this Declaration of Assessment Work having completion and, to the best of my knowledg Signature of Recorded Holder, or Agent Agent's Address JUL 87 '99 15:52	The technical report (Allachi e fist onk, Ontario, KOM 1K0 a, USA, 89506 Agent , du hereby cortify that I ha g caused the work to be performed e, the annexed report is true. JUL - 7 199 GEOSCIAL 1995	I necessary) Telephone N Fax Number Telephone N Fax Number Telephone N Fax Number Telephone N Fax Number Telephone N Fax Number Ve personal kr or witnessed th M	umber 708-454-3587 705-454-2797 umber 708-652-2000 708-652-8385 umber 702-972-7575 702-972-4567 Howladge of the frate cot forth in a same during or after its Date 2 DECOEIN Fax Number JUL - 7 for a fill of the frate	VED 399 ESSMENT

4. Certification by Recorded Holder or Agent

......

, do hereby certify that I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in

I, <u>F.W. Nielsen</u>, do hereby certify that I have personal knowledge of the facts set f (Print Name) this Declaration of Assessment Work having caused the work to be performed or witnessed the same during or after its completion and, to the best of my knowledge, the annexed report is true.

Signature of Recorded Holder or Agent		Date 23-6-19
Agent's Address ABOUF	CTelephone Number	Fax Number
October 5'99	JUL - 7 1993 10 651	

		She	eet1	ELAIN	Driveri	
	Miniphry of		claration of	Trans	KEVISE	
Contario	and Mines	Jesesument Work on	Mining Land	w995	0.00053	
Mining Claim Number. Of	Number of			1		
eligible mining land, show	Claim Units.	Value of work	ternel and and			
number indicated on the	mining land,	CISIM OF OTHER	upplied to this	essigned to other	to be distributed at a	
claim mos.	liet hoctares	mining land	cipim.	mining chahms.	huture data	
2600002-204	19.62	\$5,580	\$0	\$5,580	\$0	
3 2000/38 2050 18 000/38 2050	17.36	\$33,504	\$0 \$0	\$32,145	\$1,359	
C - 206	14.58	diff di 100	50		And the second second second second	
8 6000/27581948	19.44	YS12,240	\$0	\$16,740	18t Alt	
10 581950	27.25		30	<u> </u>		
11 58195 (9 504057	4.78		\$0 :			
13 50106	7.87		50			
17 698644			\$800			
18 698647	1		\$800			
20 " נשונים:	1		1.200			
21 698650 22 99660	1		\$800 (800	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
25 69006	1		\$800			
20 60086	1		\$600		1	
27 69865	Į		\$800 \$800			
			1800			
30 89856	2		3000			
31 69866	2 1		3800 \$800			
33 69866	1		\$500	ļ		
35 69866	3 1		\$800			
36 69866			\$800			
30 09000			5800			
39 69867 40 A9867			\$800	1		
41 <u>71112</u> 42 <u>71113</u>	1		\$800 \$800			}
43 71113	1		\$800			
40 71113	0		\$800 \$800			
46 71113 47 80996	1		\$800 s			
10 00000	1		5800			
	<u></u>			4	-	
11 00227 \$2 \$0007			576 HI 5000			
53 80997	2 1		\$800			
55 84125	7		\$800			1
56 64125 57 84125			\$800 \$800	<u> </u>	+	
58 84127			\$800	L		
DU 04760	3	t	\$400	1		FCENT
61 84780 62 84780	6		\$400	HELE	V	FOLIVE
la sumilio de compressiones				1	7 1090	
9 1	00	- HELA DA		REDSCIENCE	SSESSMENT	1339
~ .]	90	07			GEO	OFFICE
JUL 07 '99 15:54		- 4 4		A1651604	99 5005 00	Strive.
0033/11				-1001004	rnu≞,øb	
809972	1	 	\$800			
827520	1		\$1,200)		
841257	1		\$800			
841258		·	\$800			
841270	1		\$800			
847804	1		\$400			
847805	1		\$400			
847805	1		\$400		ECEVE	
	'!					
· ~ ~		***	t.		JUL - / 7.20	
		Pa	age 1	GEC	SCIENCE ASSESSM	ENT
					OFFICE	

··· ··· ···

n an analos e a su de analos e an

Sheet1

W9950.00053

Mining if work eligible in this c numbe	Claim Number. Or was done on other mining land, show olumn the location r indicated on the claim map.	Number of Claim Units. For other mining land, list hectares.	Value of work performed on this claim or other mining land.	Value of work applied to this claim.	Value of work assigned to other mining claims.	Bank. Value of work to be distributedat a future date.
63	847814	1		\$800		
64	884901	1		\$800		
65	884902	1		\$800		
66	884903	1		\$800		
67	884904	1		\$800		
68	1110086	2		\$800		
69	1118352	1		\$800		
70	1174399	4		\$4,800		
71	1174400	6		\$2,400		
72	1174401	1		\$400		
73	1174402	9		\$4,465		
74	1174403	2				
75	1174404	2				
76	1174405	6				
77	1174846	1				
78	1174847	1				
79	1174848	2				
80	1174849	1				
81	1174854	1				
	Column Totals		\$55,824	\$54,465	\$54,465	\$1,359

Ì

2 . 960 7

RECEIVED JUL - 7 100 GEOSCIENCE ASSESSMENT ,



Declaration of Assessment Work Performed on Mining Land

Mining Act, Subsection 65(2) and 66(3), R.S.O. 1990

Transaction Number (office use) W9950.00054Assessment Files Research Imaging

Personal information collected on this form is obtained under the authority of subsection 65(2) and 66(3) of the Mining Act. Under section 8 of the Mining Act, this information is a public record. This information will be used to review the assessment work and correspond with the mining land holder. Questions about this collection should be directed to a Provincial Mining Recorder, Ministry of Northern Development and Mines, 3rd Floor, 933 Ramsey Lake Road, Sudbury, Ontario, P3E 6B5.

Instructions: - For work performed on Crown Lands before **recording** a claim, use form 0240.

Please type or print in ink.

1. Recorded holder(s) -(Attach a list if necessary)

Name	Client Number
Golden Goose Resources	174165
Address Ontario	Telephone Number 705-884-2911
PO Box 209, Dubreuilville,	
	Fax Number 705-884-2916
P0S 1B0	
Name	Client Number
	Tolenhous Number
Address	
	Fax Number

2. Type of work performed: Check (✓) and report on only ONE of the following groups for this declaration.

	Geo ass	otechr ays ar	ical: p nd wor	prospecting k under sec	, surveys ction 18 (, regs)	X	Physic: trenchi	al: drilling st ng and asso	ripping, Rehabilitation ociated assays
Work 1	Гуре						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Office Use
Tree	nchin	ng and	Structu	ral Mapping						Commodity
										Total \$ Value of Work Claimed \$18,827
Dates V Perform	Vork ned	From	17 Day	Jun Month	1997 _{Year}	То	18 _{Day}	July Month	1997 Year	NTS Reference
Global	Positic	oning Sys	tem Data	a (if available)	Townsh	iip/Area	Finan			Mining Division Soult Se Figure
					M or G-	Plan Numl	_{ber} m-158	4		Resident Geologist District

Please remember to: - obtain a work permit from the Ministry of Natural Resources as required;

- provide proper notice to surface rights holders before starting work;

- complete and attach a Statement of Costs, form 0212;

- provide a map showing contiguous mining lands that are linked for assigning work;

- include two copies of your technical report.

3. Person or companies who prepared the technical report (Attach a list if necessary)

Name Michael Perkins, PO Box 42, Coboconk, Ontario, KOM 1K0	Telephone Number 705-454-3587
Address	Fax Number 705-454-2797
Name Bruce C. Wilson, Structural Geologist	Telephone Number 613-544-2171
Address 347 Albert St., Kingston, Ont	Fax Number Same
Name	Telephone Number
Address	Fax Number

4. Certification by Recorded Holder or Agent

this Declaration of Assessment Work having caused the work to be performed or witnessed the same during or after its

completion and, to the best of my knowledge, the annexed report is true.

Signature of Recorded Holder or Agent			Date 23/6	199
Agent's Address AS ABOUR	REC ^{Jelephone Number}		Fax Number	
Decmed October 5, 1999	JUL - 7 (20) JO-51 GEOSCIENCE AUSESSIA DE OFFICE	A	,	· ,

			She	et1	Funda L	REUISE	
🕑 Onta	rio 💷		sessment Work on	leration of Mining Land	W9950 0	ction Number (office une)	\bigcup
Mining C	laim Number. Or	Mumber of					
eligible n	hining land, show	Chaim Units.	Value of work	Value of work	Value of work	Rank Value of work	
number	indicated on the	mining land,	claim or other	applied to this	assigned to other	to be distributed at a	
1	2048	19.68	rtinning titles.				
3	2049	21.80	\$15.021 000	2		\$48721 18	127.0#
	2061 2052	17.36					
6 8	2053 581948	26.92 19.44					
9	581949 581950	27 25					
11	581951 581952	4.76					
13	58 1953 696645	7.67					
17	898646 698647						
19	698648 698849						
21	898650				 		
23	698652						
25	698654				†		
27	698656						
20	698658						
31	698661						
32	698662 698664						
34	698665 698666						
36	698667 698668						
38 30	698669 698670						
40	698671 711129						
42	711131						
44	711133		ļ				
46	711135						
48	809967						
50	809989	1		́			
52	809971						
53 54	8099/2 827520						
55 56	841257 841258			<u> </u>			
57 58	841259 841270						
59 60	847804 847805				100	H HEI	EIV
61	847800 847807						- 7 (0)
2 er	. 19 15:56	60	₹_	ge 1	JU. 6E06016 416516849	INCLASSESSEDSCIEN OFFICE 9 PAGE. 08	- 7 19 CE ASSES OFFICE
	309972 327520	1 1 1					
ł	841257	1					
	841259						
	841270	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	847804						مريد ور تقسم
	847806	1				HEC	
	847807	1					

A standarder, and gate contains an ender an

]

J

Sheet1

W9950.00050

Mining if work eligible in this c numbe	Claim Number. Or was done on other mining land, show olumn the location er indicated on the claim map.	Number of Claim Units. For other mining land, list hectares.	Value of work performed on this claim or other mining land.	Value of work applied to this claim.	Value of work assigned to other mining claims.	Bank. Value of work to be distributedat a future date.
63	847814	1				
64	884901	1				
65	884902	1				
66	884903	1				
67	8 84904	1				
68	1110086	2				
69	1118352	1				
70	1174399	4				
71	1174400	6				
72	1174401	1				
73	1174402	9				
74	1174403	2				
75	1174404	2				
76	1174405	6				
77	1174846	1				
78	1174847	1				
79	1174848	2				
80	1174849	1				
81	1174854	1				
	Column Totals		\$18,227	\$0	\$0	\$18,227

M

RECEIVED JUL -7 (...) GEOSIDENCE ASSESSMENT

Ministry of Northern Development and Mines Ministère du Développement du Nord et des Mines

September 24, 1999

F.W. Nielson RESSOURCES GOLDEN GOOSE INC. PO Box 209 Dubreuilville, Ontario P0S 1B0 **Ontario**

Geoscience Assessment Office 933 Ramsey Lake Road 6th Floor Sudbury, Ontario P3E 6B5

Telephone: (888) 415-9846 Fax: (877) 670-1555

Visit our website at: www.gov.on.ca/MNDM/MINES/LANDS/mlsmnpge.htm

Dear Sir or Madam:

Submission Number: 2.19607

Status
Subject: Transaction Number(s): W9950.00053 Approval

We have reviewed your Assessment Work submission with the above noted Transaction Number(s). The attached summary page(s) indicate the results of the review. WE RECOMMEND YOU READ THIS SUMMARY FOR THE DETAILS PERTAINING TO YOUR ASSESSMENT WORK.

If the status for a transaction is a 45 Day Notice, the summary will outline the reasons for the notice, and any steps you can take to remedy deficiencies. The 90-day deemed approval provision, subsection 6(7) of the Assessment Work Regulation, will no longer be in effect for assessment work which has received a 45 Day Notice. Allowable changes to your credit distribution can be made by contacting the Geoscience Assessment Office within this 45 Day period, otherwise assessment credit will be cut back and distributed as outlined in Section #6 of the Declaration of Assessment work form.

Please note any revisions must be submitted in DUPLICATE to the Geoscience Assessment Office, by the response date on the summary.

If you have any questions regarding this correspondence, please contact Lucille Jerome by e-mail at lucille.jerome@ndm.gov.on.ca or by telephone at (705) 670-5858.

Yours sincerely,

10

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY Blair Kite Supervisor, Geoscience Assessment Office Mining Lands Section

Correspondence ID: 14173 Copy for: Assessment Library

Work Report Assessment Results

Submission Number: 2.19607								
Date Corresponden	ce Sent: Septem	ber 24, 1999	Assessor:Lucille	Jerome				
Transaction Number	First Claim Number	Township(s) / Area(s)	Status	Approval Date	_			
W9950.00053	5000001	FINAN	Approval	September 23, 1999				
Section: 17 Assays ASSAY								
Correspondence to:			Recorded Holde	er(s) and/or Agent(s):				
Resident Geologist			F.W. Nielson					
South Porcupine, ON	l		RESSOURCES GOLDEN GOOSE INC. Dubreuilville, Ontario					
Assessment Files Lib Sudbury, ON	orary							

Ministry of Northern Development and Mines Ministère du Développement du Nord et des Mines

November 9, 1999

RESSOURCES GOLDEN GOOSE INC. PO Box 209 Dubreuilville, Ontario P0S 1B0 **Ontario**

Geoscience Assessment Office 933 Ramsey Lake Road 6th Floor Sudbury, Ontario P3E 6B5

Telephone: (888) 415-9845 Fax: (877) 670-1555

Visit our website at: www.gov.on.ca/MNDM/MINES/LANDS/mlsmnpge.htm

Dear Sir or Madam:

Submission Number: 2.19607

 Subject: Transaction Number(s):
 W9950.00054
 Approval After Notice

We have reviewed your Assessment Work submission with the above noted Transaction Number(s). The attached summary page(s) indicate the results of the review. WE RECOMMEND YOU READ THIS SUMMARY FOR THE DETAILS PERTAINING TO YOUR ASSESSMENT WORK.

If the status for a transaction is a 45 Day Notice, the summary will outline the reasons for the notice, and any steps you can take to remedy deficiencies. The 90-day deemed approval provision, subsection 6(7) of the Assessment Work Regulation, will no longer be in effect for assessment work which has received a 45 Day Notice. Allowable changes to your credit distribution can be made by contacting the Geoscience Assessment Office within this 45 Day period, otherwise assessment credit will be cut back and distributed as outlined in Section #6 of the Declaration of Assessment work form.

Please note any revisions must be submitted in DUPLICATE to the Geoscience Assessment Office, by the response date on the summary.

If you have any questions regarding this correspondence, please contact LUCILLE JEROME by e-mail at lucille.jerome@ndm.gov.on.ca or by telephone at (705) 670-5858.

. . .

to a stragon in the same statements a

Yours sincerely,

a the

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY Blair Kite Supervisor, Geoscience Assessment Office Mining Lands Section

Correspondence ID: 14297 Copy for: Assessment Library
Work Report Assessment Results

Submission Nun	nber: 2.19607							
Date Correspondence Sent: November 09, 1999			Assessor:LUCILLE JEROME					
Transaction Number	First Claim Number	Township(s) / Area(s)	Status	Approval Date				
W9950.00054	5000138	FINAN	Approval After Notice	November 09, 1999				
Section: 10 Physical PSTF 12 Geological GE	RIP OL							
The 45 days outlined in the Notice dated September 24, 1999 have passed.								
Assessment work	credit has been app	proved as outlined on the attached Dis	tribution of Assessment Work Credit	sheet.				
The assessment submission, is \$5	credit is being reduce 382.00.	ed by \$13,445.00. The TOTAL VALU	E of assessment credit that will be al	lowed, based on the information provided in this				
Correspondence to:			Recorded Holder(s) and/or Agent(s):					
Resident Geologist			RESSOURCES GOLDEN GOOSE INC.					
South Porcupine, ON			Dubreuilville, Ontario					
Assessment Files Sudbury, ON	Library							

Distribution of Assessment Work Credit

The following credit distribution reflects the value of assessment work performed on the mining land(s).

Date: November 09, 1999

Submission Number: 2.19607

Transaction Number: W9950.00054

Claim Number	Value	Of Work Performed
5000138		5,382.00
	Total: \$	5,382.00

Page: 1

a and a second and a second a second a sequence of the second second second second second second second second



C ON THIS NUCLES FORMATION FOR STATE MAC HAS BEEN COLFLED FROM VARIOUS SOURCES AND ACCURACY IS NOT GUISSING STRUCED.