

010

ST. JOE CANADA INC.

4

REPORT ON MAGNETIC SURVEY SHOAL LAKE (KPM) PROPERTY CLAIM NO.: K896887

SHOAL LAKE, NORTHWESTERN ONTARIO

RECEIVED

MAR 1 4 1988

MINING LANDS SECTION





52E10SW8579 2.10911 SHOAL LAKE

Ø10C

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PARI A	<u>Page</u>
INTRODUCTION	1
DESCRIPTION, LOCATION & ACCESS	l
HISTORY	1
REGIONAL GEOLOGY	1
MAGNETIC SURVEY	2
SUMMARY & CONCLUSIONS	2
RECOMMENDATIONS	3

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE 1 CLAIM MAP FIGURE 2 LOCATION MAP

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX 1	CERTIFICATE OF	QUALIFICATIONS
APPENDIX 2	TECHNICAL DATA	STATEMENT

<u>PART B</u>

L

PLAN 1 MAGNETIC SURVEY - SHOAL LAKE (KPM) PROPERTY (In Map Case)





The following is a report on a ground magnetic survey carried out by St. Joe Canada Inc., between February 9-14, 1988 on claim K896887, a part of the Shoal Lake (KFM) property.

2. DESCRIPTION, LOCATION & ACCESS:

Shoal Lake (KPM) project is a farm-in/joint venture program under which St. Joe Canada Inc. can acquire 50% interest from Kenora Prospector's and Miners.

Shoal Lake (KPM) property encompasses 72 contiguous patented (21) and unpatented (52) mining claims totalling 1294 hectares. The property is located about 60km west of Kenora and 14km south of the Trans-Canada Highway. The property lies within NTS Quadrage 52E/10SW, Glass Township, in the Shoal Lake area of northwestern Ontario. The claims are recorded on the Shoal Lake claim map G Plan 2642 (see Figures 1 and 2).

Access to the property can be made by float and/or ski-equipped aircrafts from Kenora or via Trans-Canada Highway to the Rush Bay Road turn off and along a gravel road about 10km to Clytie Bay Landing situated 4km north by water from the property.

The surveyed claim K896887 is located at the northwestern portion of Helldiver Bay, Shoal Lake.

All claims are registered in the name of:

St. Joe Canada Inc. Suite 1100 20 Adelaide Street, East Toronto, Ontario M5C 2T6

Mining Licence T3608

3. <u>HISTORY</u>:

Gold exploration and mining activities in this region started in the late 1800's. Three former producing mines are present on the property. Production figures for the Mikado Mine No. 1 and No. 2 veins indicate 57,813 tonnes milled grading 17g/t gold. Main production years were 1896 to 1902 with limited gold recoveries during 1910-1911 and 1931. A total of 16,997 tonnes grading 10g/t gold were produced from Cedar Island (Cornucopia) Mine during 1986-1987 and 1931-1936. Olympia Gold Mine, partially on the property and located to the immediate west of the surveyed area, produced 11,353 tonnes grading 7.8g/t gold in 1906, 1911, 1912 and 1915.

4. <u>REGIONAL GEOLOGY</u>:

Regional and detailed geological mapping of the area was performed in 1978 and 1986, respectively, by J.C. Davies and P.M. Smith of the Ontario Geological Survey.

The property is underlain by rocks of Archean-age within the Superior Province of the Precambrian Shield and lies on the southwest edge of the Cance Lake quartz-diorite batholith. The volcanic assemblage consists of a sequence of fine to medium-grained pillowed and feldspar phyric basalt flows intercalated with coarse-grained flows of gabbroic composition. The rocks are folded into a northeast trending anticline. Two major directions of shearing and/or faulting have been recognized. One striking about 120° parallel to the vein structure of Cedar Island and the other one striking about 340° along with the Mikado Vein.

Gold mineralization, associated with varying percentage of sulphides appears to occur in quartz veins along shears and fissures in the mafic flows as well as in the dioritic intrusives.

5. MAGNETIC SURVEY:

The survey was carried out between February 9-14, 1988. A grid, totalling 2.9km was established on ice, over the northwest end of Helldiver Bay, Shoal Lake. A baseline, totalling 0.65km at 50° was chained and picketed. Cross lines, 50m apart, were turned off from the baseline and picketed at 25m spacings.

The magnetic survey was performed over the grid using an EDA-PPM 350 proton magnetometer. An EDA-PPM 400 proton magnetometer was used as a base station.

Results from the magnetic survey are presented on Plan 1 attached to this report.

The magnetic relief of the area is generally low, within five hundred gamma. The data of the survey provides a distinct reflection of the northeasterly trending local stratigraphy. Moderately higher magnetic readings, about 200-300 gamma above the mean, were recorded along the northwest shoreline of the bay between L18+00W to L20+00W. An isolated higher magnetic reading, 400 gamma above the mean was recorded at the south end of L23+00W. The moderately lower magnetic readings, registered in the central portion of the grid, trending in the same orientation as Helldiver Bay, can be interpreted as a weak indication of shearing and/or faulting. However, due to limited coverage of the survey, a more conclusive interpretation is prohibited.

6. <u>SUMMARY & CONCLUSIONS</u>:

A ground magnetic survey was performed on mining claim K896887, a part of St. Joe Canada Inc.'s Shoal Lake (KPM) property.

Results of the survey reflect the northeast trending local stratigraphy. There is also a weak evidence of a fault or shear zone trending in the same direction.

7. <u>RECOMMENDATIONS</u>:

- 1. The existing grid should be expanded to cover a larger area on adjoining claim blocks. VIF-EM and magnetic surveys should be performed on the expanded grid in order to provide a more detailed interpretation of local geology.
- 2. Detailed mapping and geochemical surveys are also recommended. Combining these results with the results from geophysical surveys would assist to locate gold-bearing structures and to select diamond drilling targets.



CERTIFICATE OF QUALIFICATIONS

I, Sha-Pak Cheung, of the City of North York, Province of Ontario do hereby certify that:

- 1. I reside at #104 131 Torresdale Avenue, Willowdale, Ontario.
- I have worked as a geologist since 1978. 2.
- 3. I hold a Bachelor of Science (Honours) degree from McMaster University, a Master of Science degree from The University of Manitoba and a Master of Business Administration degree from York University.
- 4. I am a Professional Engineer registered with The Association of Professional Engineers of Saskatchewan.
- The survey was performed under my supervision. I have prepared the map 5. and have written the report.

Shavak Cheur S.P. Cheung, P. Eng.

Dated at Toronto, this 23rd day of February, 1988.

Ontario	nt (Geophysical, 1 Geochemical a H	ork Seologe nd Experie 4	SCOMEN VB203- Innics) Z Mini	52E10SW8579 2.	10911 SHOAL	LAKE	900
Type of Survey le' Gielin	VSICAL (ALLA	cuto	veter)		Shoa	Lake 62	642
Claim Holder(s)	PALANA	0 	a a c /			Prospector's Licence No.	
Address 21 000	CANNERT J	۸C. ج	Tout	12 1	1170 -		
Survey Company	$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$	L .	1040410	Date of Survey	$\int_{1}^{\infty} \int_{1}^{\infty} \int_{1$	Z OF Total Miles of h	ne Cut
Name and Address of Author (o	MODA MC . 1 Geo Technical report)	,	_	Day Mo.	Yi, Day	Mo. YI. 2.9 K	m
S. P. CHEUNG	1100 20	Ade la	de St.	E. Tore	nto, C	mt Mac 27	6
Special Provisions	Geophysical	Days per		Alarins Traversed (L Alaring Claim	Expend.	Mining Claim	Expend.
For first survey:	- Electromagnetic		K	896887		A NUMBER	Days Cr.
Enter 40 days. (This includes line cutting)	 Magnetometer 	40					
For each additional survey:	- Radiometric		Сс.	,			
using the same grid: Enter 20 days (for each)	- Other		With and St.	SUJGICAL SI	RVEY		
	Geological		ASS	SESSMENT FILE	S		
	Geochemical			MAD Q 4 4000			
Man Days	Geophysical	Days per Claini		IPAR 64 1988			
Complete reverse side and enter total(s) here			I RE	CEIVED			
KEV	- Magnetometer		L			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
MAR	1 4 ^{Ra} 1988 ^{tric}					:	
	- Other		с.	• •		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
MINING	LANDSICSEUTUN						
Airborne Credits	Geochemical	Dave per				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		Claim		· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Note: Special provisions credits do not apply	Electromagnetic					·	
to Airborne Surveys.	Magnetometer			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Expenditures (excludes powe	er stripping))			
Type of Work Performed				KENORA	i		
Performed on Claim(s)					חי	•	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				FEB 29-198	8	·	
Calculation of Expenditure Days Total Expenditures	Credits Days	otai Credits	7181	9,10,11,12,1,2,3	1415 A	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
\$	+ 15 = [0	alag		Total number of mining	
Instructions			ð	76001		claims covered by this report of work.	
Total Days Credits may be an choice. Enter number of days in columns at right	portioned at the claim h credits per claim selecte	older's d	Total Dav	For Office Use O	nly 7	Mining/Recorder	
	······································		Recorded	Aet:	29/88	hit R.	and a start
Date 76 26 1988 (order Holder or Agent (S	ignature)	40	Date Approved i	ns Frecorded	Branch Director	
Certification Verifying Repo	rt of Work		L	Ø			
I hereby certify that I have a or witnessed same during and	personal and intimate kr /or after its completion i	owledge of and the ann	the facts set . exed report is	Forth in the Report c true.	of Work anne:	xed hereto, having performed	d the work
Name and Postal Address of Pers	ion Certifying	7	Adala:	lo St. F.	ast		
Toot D	+ M~~	n - 1	ine al	Date Certified	1000	Certified by (Signature)	
1362 (BS/12)	$1 ' \alpha \subset \alpha$	2/6		teb 26	100	Kualak [be	7



Ministry of Northern Development and Mines

Geophysical-Geological-Geochemical Technical Data Statement

TO BE ATTACHED AS AN APPENDIX TO TECHNICAL REPORT FACTS SHOWN HERE NEED NOT BE REPEATED IN REPORT TECHNICAL REPORT MUST CONTAIN INTERPRETATION, CONCLUSIONS ETC.

Type of Survey(s) <u>Geophysi</u>	cal (Magnetic)	
Township or Area Shoal La	ke G2642	MINING CLAIMS TRAVERSED
Claim Holder(s) St. Joe	Canada Inc.	List numerically
6 10-00-07-07-07-07-07-07-07-07-07-07-07-07		-
Survey Company St. Joe	Canada Inc.	
Author of Report Sha-Pak	Cheung	(prenz) (number)
Address of Author $\frac{\#104 - 1}{100}$	31 Torresdale Ave., Willowdale	
Covering Dates of Survey Fel	b. 9 - Feb. 14, 1988 (linecutting to office)	
Total Miles of Line Cut	km	
SPECIAL PROVISIONS	DAYS	
CREDITS REQUESTED	Geophysical per claim	
ENTER 40 days (includes	Electromagnetic	
line cutting) for first	Magnetometer40	
survey.	-Radiometric	
ENTER 20 days for each	Other	
additional survey using	Geological	
same grid.	Geochemical	
AIRBORNE CREDITS (Special p	rovision credits do not apply to airborne surveys)	
MagnetometerElectrom	agnetic Radiometric	-
(en	ter days per claim)	
DATE: 26 1 766 1855 SIG	NATURE: Author of Report or Agent	
	.) (
Res. GeolQu	valifications or this fle	-
Previous Surveys	O	
File No. Type Date	Claim Holder	
		TOTAL CLAIMS 1

837 (85/12)

OFFICE USE ONLY

GEOPHYSICAL TECHNICAL DATA

G	ROUND SURVEYS – If more than one survey, sp	pecify data for each type of survey	•					
N	umber of Stations 205	Number of Readings 205						
S	ation interval 12.5m	Line spacing 50m						
P	ofile scale1:1,250							
C	ontour interval 100 gamma							
-								
	Instrument EDA PPM 350 with PPM 400 bas	se station						
DI	Accuracy - Scale constant $\stackrel{+}{=} 0.02$ gamma sensitivity; $\stackrel{+}{=} 15$ ppm at 23°C accuracy							
NE	Diurnal correction method Diurnal base station recorder							
MAC	Base Station check-in interval (hours) 8 hours							
~4	Base Station location and value <u>on grid</u>							
<u>0</u>	Instrument		: 					
IET	Coil configuration		······································					
AGN	Coil separation							
WC	Accuracy							
TRO	Method: 🗆 Fixed transmitter	🗆 Shoot back 🛛 In line	Parallel line					
LEC	Frequency	(specify V.L.F. station)						
E	Parameters measured	(
	Instrument							
	Scale constant							
<u>Y</u>	Corrections made							
AV								
GR	Base station value and location							
	Elevation accuracy							
	Instrument							
Z	Method 🔲 Time Domain	🗀 Frequency Domain						
VII	Parameters – On time	Frequency						
TX/	Off time	Range						
IVI	— Delay time							
PO	– Integration time							
RE	Power							
'n	Electrode array		,					
N	Electrode spacing							
	Type of electrode							

••

•

SELF POTENTIAL

	Range
Survey Method	
Corrections made	
RADIOMETRIC	
Instrument	
Values measured	
Energy windows (levels)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Height of instrument	Background Count
Size of detector	
Overburden (type, depth – include o	outcrop map)
OTHERS (SEISMIC, DRILL WELL LOGGING ETC.)	
Type of survey	
Instrument	
Accuracy	
Parameters measured	
Additional information (for understanding results)	
AIRBORNE SURVEYS	
Type of survey(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Instrument(s)	(specify for each type of survey)
Accuracy	
······································	(specify for each type of survey)
Aircraft used	
Sensor altitude	
Navigation and flight path recovery	method
Aircraft altitude	Line Spacing
Miles flown over total area	Over claims only
miles nomi over total area	Over chains only

GEOCHEMICAL SURVEY – PROCEDURE RECORD

•

.

Numbers	of	claims	from	which	samples	taken
---------	----	--------	------	-------	---------	-------

Total Number of Samples	ANALYTICAL METHODS					
Type of Sample	Values expressed in:	per cent p. p. m. p. p. b.				
Method of Collection	Cu, Pb, Zn, Ni, Co,	Ag, Mo,	As,-(circle)			
Soil Horizon Sampled	Others					
Horizon Development	Field Analysis (tests)			
Sample Depth	Extraction Method	<u></u>				
Terrain	Analytical Method					
	Reagents Used		······			
Drainage Development	Field Laboratory Analysis					
Estimated Range of Overburden Thickness	No. (tests)			
	Extraction Method					
	Analytical Method		<u></u>			
	Reagents Used					
SAMPLE PREPARATION	Commercial Laboratory (_		tests)			
(Includes drying, screening, crushing, ashing)	Name of Laboratory					
mesn size of fraction used for analysis	Extraction Method					
n	Analytical Method					
	Reagents Used					
General	General					
		•,				
		······				
		<u></u>	<u></u>			
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			



