

52F11NE0226 2.8827 BUCHAN BAY (EAGLE LA

010

FINAL REPORT - FIELD WORK

EAGLE LAKE CLAIM GROUP

for

JONPOL EXPLORATIONS LTD. November 14, 1985

A. Green/D. MacVeigh



....

RECEIVED

JAN 2 2 1986 MINING LANDS SECTION



52F11NE0226 2.8827 BUCHAN BAY (EAGLE LA

Ø10C

. .

4

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Summary	1
Introduction	2
Location, access, Services	. 3
Description of Mining Claims	3
Historical Setting	7
Geological Setting	` 8
Field Methodology / Work Program	8
Sample Locations and Assays	12
Conclusions	16
Recommendations	17
Sources of Information	18

Enclosures: Geological Map. Scale 1" - 200'

SUMMARY

The Eagle Lake Claim Group is comprised of a contiguous block of 113 claims. Geologically, the area is composed of a series of felsic to intermediate volcanic units of Precambrian age.

This evaluation of a small portion of the claim group is based on one field season's work by a twomember crew. Work was concentrated along the north shore of Buchan Bay in an effort to re-locate a showing originally found by Mr. A. Mosher in 1947.

Grid linecutting, mapping and rock sampling were carried out in the most prospective areas.

Anomalous gold values were found to occur with some predictability in mineralized shears and quartz occurances within the rhyolite suite. No ore-grade occurances were found within the claim block this season.

Future systematic prospecting and controlled surface geophysical surveys of the entire claim block should be considered. There exists a strong likelyhood of locating interesting gold showings within the claim block.

INTRODUCTION

On May 23, 1985, Jonpol Explorations Limited commissioned the field party of David MacVeigh, prospector; and Alison Green, geologist; to work on the Eagle Lake Claim Group for the summer field season. The primary objective was to locate and verify a gold showing which was originally located and sampled by A. Mosher in 1947. The exact location of the showing was not known, but the general area was within the claim group, on the -north shore of Buchan Bay.

Linecutting, prospecting, mapping and sampling were focussed entirely on the priority area. The search for the showing was based on the original sampling sketch and the personal recolections of Mr. Mosher.

Field work was carried out from June 1,1985 through July 31, 1985 and from September 1, 1985 through October 31,1985.

LOCATION, ACCESS, SERVICES

The claim group is located approximately twenty miles southwest of Dryden in North Western Ontario. The property is readily accessible by road to one of the numerous launch sites on Eagle Lake, and thence by boat to the claims. Water travel distance varies from six to eight miles depending on the launch site used.

The town of Dryden is well equipped to provide the necessary services for exploration work or mining development. "Rail, bus, and air connections to both Winnipeg and Toronto are excellent. All regional geological information is available at the Recording Office, and the Office of the Resident Geologist in Kenora.

DESCRIPTION OF MINING CLAIMS

The block of 113 contiguous claims known as the 'Eagle Lake Claim Group' falls within the Kenora Mining Division. The appropriate claim map is 'Buchan Bay" Eagle Lake; No. G2573.

The claims are presently in good standing, and are currently held jointly by John Pollock (60%) and Beaufield Resources Inc. (40%).

This season's work was carried out under Work Permit No. 103; M.N.R. Dryden. Permit expiry date is March 31, 1986.





FIGURE I

LOCATION OF EAGLE LAKE CLAIM GROUP

The Claim Group is located on Eagle Lake approximately 20 miles southwest of the town of Dryden in North Western Ontario.

4.

Dryden

The property covers approximately 4,520 acres (1829 hectares), partly on land and partly under the waters of Eagle Lake. The claims cover the peninsula north of Buchan Bay and extend westward to Lost Bay.

The Claims are numbered as follows:

K638867 to K638	1899 Incl	usive
K638903 to K638	911	11
K638914 to K638	923	14
K638927 to K638	930	24
K638940 to K638	961)
K638965 to K638	974	16
K638977,		
K638982 to K638	987 Incl	.usive
K638989 to K638	1995	ba
K677759, K67776	ю , к6777	63, K677764
K677908, K67790	9, K6779	14, K677915
K677921 to K677	923 Incl	usive

Eagle Lake 638994 638984 63891 638914 .638923 7- к к 1638927 632974 638973, 638942 ,638943 6389 6389 638944 638958 638904 638928 677922 675915 .677908 638985 163 8990 677921 677916 63 8948 638949 1 638950 638905 638908 638951 638952 638953 638957 638989 638895 638898 638899 63899 612818 63 8867 638889 638890 638988 638879 63889 638882 638876 @l× @ K D K 12183 589 (396 Buchon Ø 638871 +638874 +638875 0638880, 638881 + 638886 | Claim Map · G·2573 Scale · 1" - 1/2 Mile 60773 560772 561423 FIGURE II

HISTORICAL SETTING

Since earliest exploration, gold has remained the focus for most mining attention in the Eagle Lake Region. Dating from as early as the 1890's, numerous gold occurances were documented in the vicinity of Eagle Lake. These discoveries were concurrent with the flurry of mining activity throughout North Western Ontario at the turn of the century. There was a second peak of exploration work during the 1930's and early 1940's; but attention shifted away from this area to the excitement of the Red Lake camp and the Kenora area. Since then, exploration in the Eagle Lake area has been sporatic at best. The area has had its producers, but the operations have been small and short lived. Consequently, until recently, the exploration potential of this region has been largely neglected.

The three known occurances immediately adjacent to the Eagle Lake Claim Group have seen considerable recent exploration work. The scope of the completed work on the Fornieri Occurrence*, Magdalena Prospect* and the W.W. Smith occurence*is well documented by Langelaar. (1984) Raleigh Resources also completed a drilling program on the Fornieri occurence during the summer of 1985; the results are not yet available.

*Gold Deposits of the Kenora-Fort Frances Area. MDC16, 1976 Occurence numbers; 83, 170 and 271.

GEOLOGICAL SETTING

Eagle Lake falls within a region of complex volcanics comprised of metavolcanics, metasediments, volcanogenic intrusions, and large granite batholiths. All formations are Precambrian, although the formations are of different ages.

The geology of the immediate claim area consists of rhyolite flows and intrusives, agglomerates, breccias, porphyries and altered intermediate and acid volcanics. --(Moorhouse, 1939) There is no granite exposed within the claim block.

The claim block is centered on the only such extensive rhyolite complex within the Eagle Lake Map area, and encompasses approximately 70% of the zone. One of the closest similar volcanic units occurs in the Cameron Lake area, which has also seen a recent upsurge in activity.

FIELD METHODOLOGY

To facilitate systematic prospecting in a effort to locate Mr. Mosher's showing, picket lines were established from a baseline parallel to formation. The priority area included the north shore of Buchan Bay from the mafic contact east to the mouth of the bay. Initial briefing (May, 1985) and subsequent conversations with Mr. Mosher (August and October, 1985) indicated that this was the general location of the showing.

A total of 18.9 km. (11.7 miles) of line was cut at 60 m. (200 ft.) intervals. This area was subsequently prospected in detail, mapped, and sampled as thoroughly as conditions warranted. No mechanical stripping or blasting was undertaken.

Rock samples were taken of mineralized (pyrite) shear zones in both the silicious rhyolites and chloritic alteration horizons. Quartz showings were sampled whether or not sulphides were visible. Background or -control samples were taken of the major rock types observed. Assaying was done in parts per billion (PPB) in order to isolate anomalous values and establish background levels.

Mapping was completed within this area at a scale of 1:2400 (1"-200'). Mapping units followed those established by Moorhouse, (1939).

The detailed mapping and prospecting completed on a small portion of the claim block verified Moorhouse⁴ "complex series of felsic and intermediate volcanics". The somewhat confused inter-layering of rhyolites, andesites, silicious units and porphyries, makes it difficult to establish definite zonal contacts.



TABLE-I

As illustrated in Table I, the distribution of values obtained by rock sampling is strongly skewed. From this it can be determined that the local background gold values fall within the range of 1 PPB to 20 PPB. The values obtained which fall between 40 PPB and 80 PPB were generally samples taken in slightly mineralized quartz occurances and in chloritic shears. The six anomalous readings which were greater than 120 PPB were all taken from mineralized quartz veins and blebs:

Of the samples taken, 43% gave anomalous (above background) readings. These samples were visually biassed in favour of quartz showings and/or mineralization in the shears.



Within the mapped area, the following rock units were observed. These are adapted from Moorhouse' original work in the Eagle Lake Area.

- a) Rhyolitic flows and intrusives (acid volcanics)
- b) Intermediate volcanics (andesites)
- c) Altered volcanics and porphyries
- d) Cherty, silicious rhyolite unit

Shears were observed in both the acid and intermediate yolcanics. These shears were generally of limited width, less than eight feet, and frequently pyritic. The shears could be divided into chloritic and silicious forms. In general very little quartz was observed in association with the sheared areas.

Thirty-two minor quartz veins and blebs were located and sampled. The quartz veins were of two types: flatlaying, discordant, narrow veins; and steeper, concordant veins striking with the structure at N60°E to N70°E. In general, the quartz veins located lacked continuity and showed only minor pyrite mineralization. The quartz veins located were frequently on, or near horizons of intermediate to mafic andesites within the Rhyolite unit. These areas also showed more frequent mineralization.

	Sample No.	Location	Sample Description	Assa PPB
	73851	2+00NE 1+30SE	Grab Sample Vein	15
	52	18 bi	Grab Chl Wall Rk. Py. Hem. 14" Qtz.	11
	53	4 0 11	3.5' Qtz. cut thru vein @ fold	12
	54	11 II	8" Qtz. 3' cut thru vein	17
•	55	66 TE	6" Qtz. 3' cut thru vein	12
	56)T 13	Float SW of inland lk.	47
	57	12+00SW 0+30SE	Bleached Vol. w/Chl. Sh. Py.	44
	58	20+80NE 1+20SE	Grab. Manhattan Dump	126
	59	4+00NE 4+00SE	Qtz. fracture filling - Grab.	29
	^{••} 73860	31+70NE 3+00SE	Qtz. vein 4" - Chip	11
	61	0+00SW 4+00SE	3' Shear, Min. Chip	38
	62	30+00SW 16+50NW	4' Shear w/ qtz. Tr. Py/Carb/ Epidote	66
	63	30+00SW 16+50 NW	Face of Shear 2'	162
	64	29+00Sw 7+00NW	Grab 3" Qtz. Vein	8
	65	28+40SW 7+50NW	4" Qtz.vein Grab	14
	66	28+35SW 16+00NW	Qtz veinlets in Sil. Rhy,	266
	67	27+55SW 8+00 NW	Sericitic Shear Tr Py. Carb/Chl.	44
	68	27+40SW 8+00NW	Sil. Shear Tr. Hem. Stain	66
	69	27+55SW 12+NW	Narrow Sil. zone in Mafic Horizon	8
	73870	27+55SW 12+10NW	Contact W/above 6" Grab. Py.	19
	71	27+55SW 12+10NW	Qtz. vein? Discontinuous - no min.	12
	72	26+00SW 16+90NW	3" Qtz. vein - Grab.	52
	73	24+10SW 14+40NW	2' Sh. Chl. W/ F.g. Py.	43
	74	25+10SW 16+65NW	6" Qtz. Mass. Qtz. Tr. Py.	77
	75	23+70SW 16+80NW	6"Qtz. N60°E Chl. Wall Rk.	15
	76	22+10SW 15+30NW	Sil. Shear 8-10' N70°E	15

Sample	No. Location	Sample Description	Assay PPB
73877	22+25SW 12+15NW	Float (Local?) Tr. Py. 4" Qtz.	6
78	22+15SW 11+75NW	Sil. Sh. Bleached. N65° Tr. Py.	14
79	23+75SW 11+10NW	Sil. Rhy/and.contact (2')	12
73880	23+45 SW 10+90NW	Grab. Sil. Rhy. Py. N.60°E	17
. 81	23+35SW 7+40NW	4" Qtz. N85°E in Rhy.	18
82	23+45SW 7+40NW	Qtz. Porph. Float	19
83	23+00 SW 6+15NW	4-6" Qtz. vein w/Py. N45°E	6
84	23+30SW 4+00NW	4' Chl. Shear Tr. Py.	6
85	22+35SW 3+50NW	2' Samp. Chl. and W/ Dis. Py.	. 12
86	21+65SW 2+75NW	4' vis. Sil. Sh. in Rhy. F.G. Py.	7
87	21+90SW 6+55NW	Sh. in Rhy. Fair Py. throughout	58
88	21+90 SW 6+55NW	Shear w/ Py. (15' width)	59
89	21+90SW 6+55NW	6" Qtz vein	43
73890	21+00SW 7+75NW	Sil. Rhy. w/ Tr. Py. Grab.	7
91	21+40SW 8+00NW	Sil. Shear w/ fair V.F.G. Fy.	18
92	21+00SW 17+30NW	Breccia - Flow Edge? Sil/Chl.	12
93	20+55SW 14+60NW	10' -cherty Horiz. (flow?) Tr. Py.	33
94	20+35SW 10+85NW	Sil. Shear in Rhy. w/ py.	6
95	20+60SW 9+30NW	3' Sil. Sh. Sil/Carb Act.	10
96	19+45SW .15+00NW	4.5' Ch1. Sh. Alt. E-W @ 80°S dip Tr Py. (little min.vis.)	7
97	19+70SW 14+00 NW	6-10" Qtz. Irreg. Qtz. vein 60" dip	136
98	18 +25SW 14+10NW	Cont. Apl./Chl. Tr. Py. Str.N70 E	10
73899	19+65SW 13+80NW	10' Sil Shear. Min. Chl. F.G. Py.	10
73900	19+00SW 13+65NW	Contact area w/Ap1. Dyke. Tr. Py.	25
01	18+80SW 12+50NW	4" Qtz. w/ Minor Sericite / Py.	77
02	19+30SW 11+75NW	4" vein Qtz. in Rhy. Tr Py. Hem. Stain.	52

13.

14,

Sample	No. Location	Sample Description A	
73903	18+75SW 10+30NW	Light Gray Cherty Rhy. M.Gr. Py.	285
04	20'W 1+00NE 10+15NW	Andesite, Sl. Sheared. F.G. Py throughout	27
05	1+00NE ^E 10+40NW	Sh. Rhy. w/sericite/carb. Tr. M.G. Py	77
06	20' W 1+00NE 9+85NW	Bkgd. sample. Feld. Porph. no min.	14
07	20' ^W 2+00NE ¹ 2+25NW	Shear F.G. Rhy/And. T. C. Gr. Py.	48
08	5' W 1+00NE 12+15NW	Sil. Sh. W/ int. Qtz. lenses, Tr. Py.	30
09	16+00SW 13+25NW	Bkgd. Rhy. Sl. Sheared. No min.	12
10	16+00SW 13+45NW	Cherty Horizon, Tr. Py. (Poss Aplite)	15
11	17+75SW 13+90NW	Cherty Rhy. Hard Tr. F.G. Py.	25
12	18+00SW 14+00NW	6" Qtz. in Sil. Rhy. No vis. min.	18
13	18+90 SW 12+00NW	4" Rusty Qtz. in Sil. Shy. Tr. Py. in W. Rk.	58
14	14+40SW 5+75NW	Qtz. Porpn. Tr. Py. N85°E vert.	40
15	13+60SW 6+00NW	Chl. Sh. W. V.F.G. Py. very Chl.	30
16	12+45SW 4+70NW	4-5" Qtz in sil. Rhy. No min. near Chl. contact	19
17	12+405W 4+70NW	S1. Sheared Sil. Rhy Tr. C. Gr. Py.	37
18	10+50SW 0+50NW	Grab - Sil. Rhy. Tr. Py. Veinlets	29
19	7+205W 1+00NW	Rhy. Shear. Tr. Py.	12
73920	Trav. 11+50NE 9+50NW	Strongly Sh. Sil. Shy. w/Qtz. eyes Tr. Py.	12
21	11+60NE 9+30NW	Sh. Rhy. No vis. Py. (Bkgd. sample)	7
22	12+00NE 9+50NW	3" Qtz. in sil. Rhyolite N70°E	7
23	1 TFOONE 9+15NW	Sil. Sh. Shy. No vis. min.	10

)	Sample No	. Loca	ation	A Sample Description	issay PPB
	73924	Trav. 16+00NE	50'E 7+00NW	4-8" Qtz. in Sh. Tr. very coarse Py.	4
	25*	27+80SW	12+60NW	Float. Rusty Qtz. w/ Chl. Tr. Py.	549
	26	48'E 24+005W	17+00NW	4" Qtz. variable width Qtz.2-8"	18
	27	40 E 24+00SW 35'E	17+00NW	4" Qtz. @ N70 E to N75 E in Chl. Sh.	73
	28	24+00SW	17+00NW	6" Qtz adjacent vein 4' to NW Tr. only Py. in w. Rk. & 1n vein	17
	29	42'E 24+00SW	17+00NW	6-8" Qtz - Grab sample Rusty Qtz.	26
	73930	23+50SW	17+00NW	6" Qtz. Brecciated Qtz/Carb vein/Chl Tr. Py. in vein	• 8
	31	27+40SW	12+10NW	4" Rusty Qtz. in Sheared Rhy. Tr. Py	. 21
	32	27+40SW	12+15NW	2' Shear Adj. to #31 V.F.G. Py.	55
		31+50SW	14+50NW	4" Qtz. vuggy in Chl. w. Rk. Dip30°	12
	34	31+50SW	11+50NW	3" Shallow Qtz. in sil Rhy. No Min.	4
	35	31+80SW	16+65NW	3-4" Qtz Flat Tr. F.G. Py.	8
	36	31+00SW	20+00NW	2-3" Qtz. in Sil. Sh. Tr. M.G. Py.	10

Abreviations Used:

Qtz.	Quartz
Tr.	trace
Py.	Pyrite
sīl.	silicious
chl.	chloritic
W. Rk.	wall rock
Rhy.	Rhyolite
And.	Andesite
Sh.	shear (ed)
min.	mineralization
	,

* Float sampled was adjacent to the vein from which it had weathered free. 15.

· • · · ·

CONCLUSIONS

Within the area prospected, numerous shears, guartz veins and alteration zones were noted. Assay values obtained from most of the mineralized quartz showings were anomalous. However, the visible pyrite mineralization is not uniform throughout the area. There appears to be a direct proximity relationship between the mafic (andesite) contacts and the occurances of disseminated pyrite. in both the shears and the quartz veins. However, as the samples were from a limited area, this relationship is speculative at this stage of evaluation. Most outcrops observed showed signs of shearing and alteration subsequent to their initial emplacement. Quartz veins were found throughout the area and none showed any indication of previous sampling or prospecting. There is a strong probability of locating new gold showings within the claim group as the ground has seen so little work.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The geology of the Eagle Lake Claim Group is unique. The claims encompass a series of acid and intermediate volcanics in a region of granites and mafics. The majority of this land has never been explored or prospected in a systematic fashion. This complex sequence warrants methodical and thorough exploration in its entirety. The airborne geophysics (Terraquest, 1984) also indicates numerous conductors and magnetic anomalies which need to be defined with ground instruments.

A program of grid linecutting, accompanied by ground geophysics and geological mapping, would systematically and thoroughly explore this area. With the addition of an intensive prospecting program, the likelyhood of locating and verifying gold occurances on this property is excellent.

Respectfully Submitted,

Alivin Green.

Alison Green, Geologist

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

- Blackburn and Janes, 1983. Gold Deposits in North-Western Ontario, Ed. A.C. Colvine. Ontario Geological Survey. " Geology of Gold in Ontario."
- Beard and Garratt, 1976. Gold Deposits, Kenora Fort Francis Area, Districts of Denora and Rainy River. Mineral Deposit Circular 16; Ministry of Natural Resources.
- Langelaar, 1984. Eagle Lake Claim Group, Report for Beaufield Resources. Norontex Exploration Ltd.
- Moorhouse, 1941. Geology of the Eagle Lake Area, Ontario Department of Mines Report. Vol. XLVIII, Part IV.
- Riley, King and Kustra, 1971. Mineral Exploration Targets in Northwestern Ontario. Ontario Deptartment of Mines. MP47.
- Terraquest, 1984. Airborne Geophysical Survey, Eagle Lake claim Group, for Jonpol Explorations Ltd. (Mag. and VLF Survey)
- Assessment Files, Files of the Resident Geologist, Ministry of Natural Resources, Mining Recorders Office, Kenora, Ontario.

APPENDIX I

The present holders of the Eagle Lake Claim Group are:

John Pollock (Jonpol Explorations Ltd.) (60%) #908, 111 Richmond St. W. Toronto, Ontario. M5H 2G4

and George Slightham, (Beaufield Resources Inc.) (40%) 76 Fairway Heights Dr. Thornhill, Ontario. L3T 3A9

K	638895	
	638896	
	638897	
	638898	
	638899	
	638965	
	638966	
	638967	
	638971	
	638984	
	638985	
	638986	

I, Alison Green, of the Town of Dryden, in the Province of Ontario, do hereby certify that:

> I am a consulting geologist presently residing in Dryden, Ontario.

I am a graduate geologist (BSc) 1974, and have been practicing my profession a a geologist in Canada since 1974.

I have no interest either direct or indirect in the property described in this report.

Alisin Green

Alison Green, BSc. Geol.





Ministry of Rep Natural Resources Geo Matural	ort of Work physical, Geological, chemical and Expendi $\Rightarrow S - S G$	tures)	R	52F11NE0226 2.80	B27 BUCHAN E	BAY (EAGLE		900
Type of Survey(s)					Township	or Area	Acto	2572
G COLOGICAL Claim Holder (s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				enuc	Prospecto	Licence No.	
JOHN POLL	ock			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		K140	>62	
Address 7908, 111 1	licAMOND S'	r. W.	Toro	NTO. MS	TH 26	4		
Survey Company				Date of Survey	(from & to)		Total Miles of li	ne Cut
AEOLOGIST - 71. Name and Address of Author (o	f Geo Technical report)			Day Mo.	Yr. Day	Mo. Yr	11.7 MIL	63
ALISON GREE	N BOX 10	$\sim \sum_{i}$	ETDEN.	ONTAKIO,	, PSN	277	•	
Credits Requested per Each (Claim in Columns at ri	ght	Mining C	Claims Traversed (List in num	erical sequ	ence)	1.
	Geophysical	Claim	Prefix	Number	Days Cr,	Prefix	Number	Expend. Daγs Cr,
For tirst survey: Enter 40 days. (This	 Electromagnetic 		ĸ	638895		K	67790	8
includes line cutting)	- Magnetometer			638896		-	677909	r
For each additional survey:	- Radiometric			638897			677915	/
using the same grid: Enter 20 days (for each)	- Other			638898			677915	-
	Geological	40		1-28899	11		17460	,
	Geochemical			120011	1		61174	2
Man Days	Geophysical	Days per		630763			61172	<
Complete reverse side	Geophysical	Claim		638966			67792	3
and enter total(s) here	- Electromagnetic			638967				
	- Magnetometer			638971				
	 Radiometric 			638984	,	RE	CEIVE	D
	- Other			638985				
	Geological			638986		J	N 2 1 138	3
	Geochemical			638987	1			
Airborne Credits		Days per Claim		638989		MINING	LANDS SEC	CTION
Note: Special provisions	Electromagnetic			638990			•	
credits do not apply to Airborne Surveys.	Magnetometer			120091		-		
	Badiometric			638 7 11			MINING D	iv.
Expenditures (excludes pow	er stripping)	J		638792			1. U B B	V-1, [
Type of Work Performed							1AN 16	1986
Performed on Claim(s)							JI:1: 1 -	PM
						718	9,10,11,12,1	23.4.56
Calculation of Expenditure Day	s Credits	[otal) - -	
Total Expenditures	Day	Credits	L					<u> </u>
\$	÷ 15 =			63886	7	Total nui claims co	mber of mining vered by this	24
Instructions	portioned at the claim h	older's		03000		report of	work.	
choice. Enter number of day	s credits per claim selecte	ed	Total Day	For Office Use (vs Cr.IDate Recorded	Only	Miping B	Lorder 1	-A-
			Recorded	Jan	16/86	ni	tout the	Ľ
Date Re	Holder or Agent (S	Signature)	196	Date App over	d as Recorded	Branch O	irector	t
Certification Verifying Rend	ort of Work			yuu ee	med	-		· •
I hereby certify that I have a	personal and intimate ki	nowledge of	the facts set	forth in the Report	of Work ann	exed hereto,	having performe	d the work
or witnessed same during and Name and Postal Address of Per	I/or after its completion son Certifying	and the anr	exed report i	s true.			. 21	
ALISON GR	CEN BO,	x 10	1 Ba	YDEN,	ONT	Ps	N 2Y7	!
				Date Certified	1	Certified	by (Signature)	
362 (81/0)				<u>/_bc23</u>	185	The	ouspic	en.

• •



Ministry of Northern Development and Mines

	File
	2.8827
02 07	Mining Recorder's Report of Work No. 8,86

Date

1986

FAGLE LAKE ABEA Mining Claime Assessed Mining Claime Assessed Beophysical Electromagnetic Beaptrometer days Magnetometer days Induced polarization days Bedtionnetric days Induced polarization days Section 77 (19) See "Mining Claims Assessed" column K Section 77 (19) See "Mining Claims Assessed" column Section 77 (19) See "Mining Claims Assessed" column Section 77 (19) See "Mining Claims Assessed" column Section 77 (19) See "Mining Claims Assessed" column Section 77 (19) See "Mining Claims Assessed" column Section 77 (19) See "Mining Claims Assessed" column Section 77 (19) See "Mining Claims Assessed" convections days Greatit have been reduced because of partial coverage of claims. Section 77 (16) for the following mining claims ecial credits under section 77 (16) for the following mining claims Section 77 (16) for the following mining claims credits have been allowed for the following mining claims Section 77 (16) for the following mining claims credits have been allowed for the following mining claims Section 77 (16) for the following mining claims Greatits have been allowed for the following mining claims S	ownship or Area	
Type of survey and number of Assessed Beochrysical Electromagnetic Magnetometer Gatometer Gatometer <th>EAGLE LAKE AREA</th> <th></th>	EAGLE LAKE AREA	
Beophysical Electromagnetic Magnetometer	Type of survey and number of Assessment days credit per claim	Mining Claims Assessed
Electromagnetic	Geophysical	
Magnetometer	Electromagnetic days	
Padiometric	Magnetometer days	
Induced polarizationdays Otherdays bection 77 (19) See "Mining Claims Assessed" column beologicaldays Seochemicaldays Seochemicaldays Man daysAirborne Special provision KGround 3 Credits have been reduced because of partial coverage of claims. Credits have been reduced because of corrections to work dates and figures of applicant. edial credits under section 77 (16) for the following mining claims credits have been allowed for the following mining claims K 638897 638957-71-92 677909-23 K 638895-96-98	Radiometric days	
Other	Induced polarization days	
iection 77 (19) See "Mining Claims Assessed" column Secological	Other days	
Beological	Section 77 (19) See "Mining Claims Assessed" column	
Beochemical	Geological 26 days	K 638899 638965-66-84-85-86-87-89-90-91
Man days Airborne Special provision Ground Image: Spec	Geochemical days	677908-14-15-21-22
Special provision [X] Ground [X] Credits have been reduced because of partial coverage of claims. Credits have been reduced because of corrections to work dates and figures of applicant. ecial credits under section 77 (16) for the following mining claims ecial credits have been allowed for the following mining claims eredits have been allowed for the following mining claims (*) not sufficiently covered by the survey [*] insufficient technical data filed K 638897 638967-71-92 677909-23 K	Man days Airborne	
Credits have been reduced because of partial coverage of claims. Credits have been reduced because of corrections to work dates and figures of applicant. ecial credits under section 77 (16) for the following mining claims credits have been allowed for the following mining claims (a) not sufficiently covered by the survey (b) not sufficiently covered by the survey (c) assessing (c) asessing (c) assessing (c) assessing (c) asessing (c) a	Special provision 🕅 Ground 📑	
□ Credits have been reduced because of corrections to work dates and figures of applicant. ecial credits under section 77 (16) for the following mining claims • credits have been allowed for the following mining claims ③ not sufficiently covered by the survey ⑤ insufficiently covered by the survey ⑥ insufficiently covered by the survey ⑧ insufficiently covered by the survey ⑧ insufficiently covered by the survey ⑧ insufficiently covered by the survey ○ insufficie	Credits have been reduced because of partial coverage of claims.	
ecial credits under section 77 (16) for the following mining claims • credits have been allowed for the following mining claims ③ not sufficiently covered by the survey	Credits have been reduced because of corrections to work dates and figures of applicant.	
ecial credits under section 77 (16) for the following mining claims e credits have been allowed for the following mining claims To sufficiently covered by the survey K 638897 K 638897 K 638895-96-98 638967-71-92 677909-23		
ecial credits under section 77 (16) for the following mining claims credits have been allowed for		
credits under section 77 (16) for the following mining claims credits have been allowed for the following mining claims redits have been allowed for the following mining claims redits have been allowed for		
credits have been allowed for the following mining claims not sufficiently covered by the survey Insufficient technical data filed K 638897 K 638895-96-98 638967-71-92 677909-23	ecial credits under section 77 (16) for the following	g mining claims
credits have been allowed for the following mining claims Image: The survey Image: The survey K 638897 K 638897-71-92 677909-23 677909-23		
credits have been allowed for the following mining claims Image: Instruction of the survey Image:		
credits have been allowed for the following mining claims Image: The survey Image: The survey K 638897 K 638897-71-92 677909-23 677909-23		
credits have been allowed for the following mining claims Image: Insufficiently covered by the survey Image: Im		
credits have been allowed for the following mining claims Image: Image		
Image: Sector and rest for the forewing mining datase Image: Instruction of the forewing mining datase Image: Instruction of the survey	credits have been allowed for the following mining	n claime
K 638897 K 638895-96-98 638967-71-92 677909-23	X not sufficiently covered by the survey	K insufficient technical data filed
K 638897 638967-71-92 677909-23		
	638897 638967-71-92 677909-23	K 638895-96-98

828 (85/12)



Aut 22/80

Ministry of Northern Development and Mines 1986 02 07

Your File: 8-86 Our File: 2.8827

Mining Recorder Ministry of Northern Development and Mines 808 Robertson Street Box 5080 Kenora, Ontario P9N 3X9

Dear Sir:

Enclosed are two copies of a Notice of Intent with statements listing a reduced rate of assessment work credits to be allowed for a technical survey. Please forward one copy to the recorded holder of the claims and retain the other. In approximately fifteen days from the above date, a final letter of approval of these credits will be sent to you. On receipt of the approval letter, you may then change the work entries on the claim record sheets.

For further information, if required, please contact Mr. R.J. Pichette at (416) 965-4888.

Yours sincerely,

S.E. Yundt, Director Land Management Branch Mining Lands Section Whitney Block, 6th Floor Queen's Park Toronto, Ontario M7A 1W3

•DK/mc Encls.

cc: John Pollock Suite 908 111 Richmond Street West Toronto, Ontario M5H 2G4

Mr. G.H. Ferguson Mining & Lands Commissioner toronto, Ontario



Ministry of Northern Development and Mines

> Notice of Intent for Technical Reports 1986 02 07 2.8827/8-86

An examination of your survey report indicates that the requirements of The Ontario Mining Act have not been fully met to warrant maximum assessment work credits. This notice is merely a warning that you will not be allowed the number of assessment work days credits that you expected and also that in approximately 15 days from the above date, the mining recorder will be authorized to change the entries on the record sheets to agree with the enclosed statement. Please note that until such time as the recorder actually changes the entry on the record sheet, the status of the claim remains unchanged.

If you are of the opinion that these changes by the mining recorder will jeopardize your claims, you may during the next fifteen days apply to the Mining and Lands Commissioner for an extension of time. Abstracts should be sent with your application.

If the reduced rate of credits does not jeopardize the status of the claims then you need not seek relief from the Mining and Lands Commissioner and this Notice of Intent may be disregarded.

If your survey was submitted and assessed under the "Special Provision-Performance and Coverage" method and you are of the opinion that a re-appraisal under the "Man-days" method would result in the approval of a greater number of days credit per claim, you may, within the said fifteen day period, submit assessment work breakdowns listing the employees names, addresses and the dates and hours they worked. The new work breakdowns should be submitted directly to the Land Management Branch, Toronto. The report will be re-assessed and a new statement of credits based on actual days worked will be issued. Mining Lands Section

File No 28827

Control Sheet



MINING LANDS COMMENTS:

& Hunst

Signature of Assessor

27/86

Date

March 4, 1986

Your File: 8-86 Our File: 2.8827

Mining Recorder Ministry of Northern Development and Mines 808 Robertson Street Box 5080 Kenora, Ontario P9N 3X9

Dear Sir:

RE: Notice of Intent dated February 7, 1986 Geological Survey on Mining Claims K 638897, et al, in the Eagle Lake Area

The assessment work credits, as listed with the above-mentioned Notice of Intent, have been approved as of the above date.

Please inform the recorded holder of these mining claims and so indicate on your records.

Yours sincerely,

J.C. Smith, Supervisor Nining Lands Section

Whitney Block, 6th Floor Queen's Park Toronto, Ontario M7A 1W3

Telephone: (416) 965-4888

SH/mc

cc: John Pollock Suite 908 111 Richmond Street West Toronto, Ontario M5H 2G4 Mr. G.H. Ferguson Mining & Lands Comm. Toronto, Ontario Resident Geologist Kenora, Ontario

		_	_	the second s									
638895	W3			638	5990	14							T
96	NS	4			91	3/4 -	-						\uparrow
91	nc	\downarrow			92	hc						[+
98	he	WSF		675	1908	3/41							┢
99	1/2	F			9	WC						<u> </u>	\vdash
965	14				14	3/1			• —				+
66	34	ł			15	1/2							┢
67	NC	F			21	3/4							-
. 71	hC.	FT			22	3/1							+-
84	-34				23	NC-							
85	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$												\vdash
86	14	\overline{F}				1							\vdash
87	3/4					1					<u> </u>		
59	3/1	$\overline{1}$			<u> </u>	†							\vdash
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
315				24-9-	- 15				<u>\.</u>				┢
LAC				15×41		1							-
81/2 NC	1	1		600-2	3.5-	0			φ				
					6	\mathbf{D}	1						-
	<u> </u>			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
				<u></u>							_		
				<u></u>		<u> </u>	+						
		┟──╁											
		╏──┤	{			+							
							+			······			
		┠╼╼╉								- Territor de La			
		-				┼──							
		┟───┟		·····						<u> </u>			
		╏───┨											
		╏──┤			· · ·								
		┟───╄											
		┠──┼	-+			 							
1						1		I				1	



