



52108NW0007 2.2226 CRESCENT LAKE

010

SCEIVED

July 24, 1975.

007 2 5 **1976**

PROJECTS UNIT

Bird River Mines Ltd., 98 Balmoral Street, WINNIPEG, Manitoba.

Interim Report on the Bird River Mines, Ltd., Claims near Crescent Lake, Ontario.

The geology of the immediate area is defined in a report by Dr. Hoiles, and will not be reviewed herein. An examination of this map, and the regional map by Dr. E. Pye of the O.D.M. suggests a relationship between the pegmatite and the granite greenstone contact zone. The potentially economic lithium deposits in this area appear to be in these favourable pegmatite horizons. In the Cosgrave Lake area to the south, however, several high grade lithium zones are completely enclosed in the granite host.

John Donner has, since the last reporting, conducted a series of traverses across the pegmatite zone and the adjacent host rocks. Samples have been taken for geochemical surveying, and a magnetometer survey has also been run (Sharpes A-3).

Although not completely definitive, the geochemical sampling suggests that there is a dramatic increase in the trace lithium content of the host rocks adjacent to the lithium-rich pegmatite zones. A traverse to the south of the main showing area indicates a similar increase along each side of a glacial-debris filled ravine or declivity, and this would suggest itself to be a most favourable place for a more intensive search for a lithium-bearing pegmatite zone.

The magnetic traversing indicated on map #3 indicates that it is possible to define the greenstone-granite contacts (also see the regional aeromagnetic map #), and there is a suggestion in several places that the pegmatite zones are situated in magnetic lows. There has not been sufficient detailed traversing, however, to test this hypothesis.

It is suggested on the basis of these studies that the next priorities should be:

Bird River Mines, Ltd.

(1) Stake the 3 or 4 claims to the south of the presently held ground because the ravine with the geochemical anomaly runs off the property.

(2) Conduct a more intensive geochemical sampling survey through the claim block and adjacent ground.

(3) Investigate the magnetic possibilities with more detailed magnetic surveying.



(Man.).

DTA/VD

Attached: Aeromagnetic Map G-Regional Geology Map by Pye, Local geology map showing geochemical sampling - by Hoiles.

Page 2



OFFICE USE ONLY

IVITITISTI V OT INALU	Mir	nistr	v of	Natu
-----------------------	-----	-------	------	------

TECHNICAL DATA

TO BE ATTACHED AS AN APPENDIX TO TECHNICAL REPORT

FACTS SHOWN HERE NEED NOT BE REPEATED IN REPORT TECHNICAL REPORT MUST CONTAIN INTERPRETATION, CONCLUSIONS ETC.

GEOPHYSICAL - GEOLOG

52108NW0007 2.2226 CRESCENT LAKE

900

P	Ę	C	E	I	1	E	D
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

SEP 1 0 1976

PROJECTS UNIT

Type of Survey(s)	Magnetome	eter		
Township or Area	Crescent	Lake-Plan #M-2609	MINING CLAIMS TRAV	ERSED
Claim Holder(s)	John Donr	ner	List numerically	
Survey Company				
Author of Report	Dr. D. T.	Anderson	(prefix) (r	umber)
Address of Author	814 Fle	of Ave, Winnipeg R3Mile	·	
Covering Dates of Surv	vey_June	e & July, 1975	T.B417360	
Total Miles of Line Cu	t43mi	(linecutting to office)		
SPECIAL PROVISIO CREDITS REQUES ENTER 40 days (incline cutting) for first survey. ENTER 20 days for additional survey usi same grid.	DNS TED cludes each ng	DAYS per claim Electromagnetic 40 Magnetometer Radiometric Other Geological Geochemical		
AIRBORNE CREDITS	Special provision	on credits do not apply to airborne surveys		
Magnetometer	Electromagne. (enter da	etic Radiometric		
DATE: August 27	176_ signat	TURE: Author of Report or Agent		
	LJ	\circ $1/1$		
Res. Geol	Qualifi	cations $\underline{\alpha}, 407$		
Previous Surveys	D			
File No. 1 ype	Date	Claim Holder		
	·••····			
		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
	. .			
	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			

GEOPHYSICAL TECHNICAL DATA

<u>GROUND SURVEYS</u> – If more than one survey, specify data for each type of survey

Number of Stations	_Number of Readings
Station interval <u>10 and 50 feet</u>	Line spacing 300 to 400 feet
Profile scale	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Contour interval	

(N	Instrument Sharp A3 Mag	netometer			
ETIC	Accuracy – Scale constant	Not applicable - relative values.			
<u>N</u>	Diurnal correction method	Base Line station return system.			
MA	Base Station check-in interval (hours)	N-A			
	Base Station location and value	N.A.			
IC	Instrument				
NET	Coil configuration				
AGI	Coil separation				
MO	Accuracy				
TR	Method: 🗆 Fixed transmi	tter 🗆 Shoot back 🗀 In line 🗀 Parallel line			
LEC	Frequency				
Щ	Parameters measured				
	Instrument				
Sc	Scale constant				
λH	Corrections made				
AV					
GR	Base station value and location				
	Elevation accuracy				
	Instrument				
	Method 🔲 Time Domain	Frequency Domain			
	Parameters – On time	Frequency			
IV	- Off time	Range			
IVI	— Delay time				
IST	- Integration time				
RES	Power				
	Electrode array				
ļ	Electrode spacing				
	Type of electrode				

INDUCED POLARIZATION









1787 ž ш LAK CON _ 4 LL.





2.2226